

The correct factor of  $\frac{1}{2}$  is obtained by time averaging after taking the absolute value and expanding  $\gamma_z$ .

<sup>12</sup>A. Rich, in *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Atomic Masses and Related Constants* (Univ. of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada, 1968), p. 383.

<sup>13</sup>The errors obtained are consistent with a *a priori*

estimates using the method of maximum likelihood. See Ref. 7 for a discussion of this method applied to the phase error.

<sup>14</sup>E. Klein, *Z. Physik* **208**, 28 (1968). In order to use this result at our field, we assume that  $\mu_p'/\mu_B$  is independent of the magnetic field.

---

#### ERRATUM

---

MASS FLUCTUATION WAVES. R. A. Guyer and L. I. Zane [*Phys. Rev. Letters* **24**, 660 (1970)].

We would like to thank Dr. A. Landesman for drawing our attention to the work of A. F. Andreev and I. M. Lifshitz, *Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz.* **56**, 2057(1969) [*Soviet Phys. JETP* **29**, 1107 (1969)], who have independently derived many of the ideas current in the literature of quantum crystals. We made an unnecessarily cursory reference to the work of Varma. At the Quantum Crystals Conference in Aspen, Colorado, 1-6 September 1969, Varma suggested a topology similar to that in our Fig. 2 and identified the <sup>4</sup>He bath with the motion of the <sup>4</sup>He particles through the <sup>3</sup>He lattice. An attempt to quantify this suggestion by identifying the <sup>4</sup>He-bath specific heat with the solid-phase separation precursor was not successful.

The sentence beneath Eq. (14) should read, "... Fig. 2 explains why  $\tau_{34}$  has not been observed..."