## NONLINEAR CONDUCTION OF ZnS IN STRONG ELECTRIC FIELDS

S. Maekawa Electrotechnical Laboratory, Tanashi, Tokyo, Japan (Received 26 March 1970)

Electric conduction of cubic ZnS crystal was investigated in the field range from  $2 \times 10$ to  $3 \times 10^6$  V/cm. The resonant type current anomalies were observed around  $4 \times 10^5$ , 1  $\times10^6$ , and  $1.4\times10^6$  V/cm. The experimental results suggest that the Stark ladder will play an important role in the high-field tran sport phenomena.

Since Wannier predicted the existence of a Stark ladder arising from the motion of a Bloch  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  arising it on the institution of a Dicelectron in a periodic potential,<sup>1</sup> experimental and theoretical' studies on this problem have been made especially in the fields of tunneling, electro-optical effect, and nonlinear conduction. However, it is not yet certain how Wannier's quantum state will contribute to the electrical properties of solids. ' The purpose of this paper is to propose a possible mechanism of quantum transport due to the Stark ladder on the basis of experiments recently made in ZnS crystal.

According to Wannier, the energy separation between adjacent Stark levels is given by  $eEd$ . where  $e$  is the electronic charge,  $E$  is the electric field strength, and  $d$  is the lattice spacing. An electron in a quantum state  $l$  will be scattered into another one,  $l'$ , by emitting or absorbing phonons via electron-phonon interaction.<sup>5</sup> The transition probabilities of the emission and absorption processes are proportional to  $n\!\rightarrow\!+1$  and  $n\vec{a}$ , respectively. Here,  $n\vec{a}$  is the population of phonons with wave vector  $\vec{q}$ . The net component arising from the surplus of those processes is a spontaneous one. Therefore, the electron will be scattered into the lower lying quantum level by emitting a phonon spontaneously. In position space, this process will correspond to the transition of the electron wave packet centered at the lattice point  $-ld$  to the adjacent one  $(-l+1)d$ , and the succession of this process will cause a stationary electric current in a crystal. If the interaction with the longitudinal optical mode is predominant, and the wave number dependence of the phonon energy  $\hbar\omega_0$  is neglected, the current increase due to this effect will be expected to occur at the electric field  $E_n = \hbar \omega_0 /neq$ . Here, *n* is a positive integer, and is given by  $|l-l'|$ . Cubic ZnS crystal seems appropriate to investigate such a problem, because of its simple band structure<sup>6</sup> and interband configuration.<sup>7</sup> According to the measurements of Raman scattering, ' the  $\hbar\omega_0$  value is estimated to be about 0.04 eV. When the electric field is applied along  $[111]$ direction, one obtains  $E_1 = 4.6 \times 10^5$ ,  $E_2 = 2.3 \times 10^5$ 

## $V/cm$ , and so on.

Experiments have been carried out on ZnS thin films deposited by vacuum evaporation. $9$  First of all, aluminum as an electrode was evaporated on a quartz plate, then ZnS 3 mm in radius was evaporated on the aluminum, and finally a counter electrode of aluminum with 1.5-mm radius was evaporated. The temperature of the substrate was maintained at about 500'K in the ZnS evaporation process. Under this condition, the samples were cubic, and had a preferred oriensamples were cubic, and had a preferred orit<br>tation along [111] axis.<sup>10</sup> The thickness of the sample was measured by a shift of the resonance frequency of the quartz plate. Gold wires were attached to the aluminum electrodes by conducting silver coating material. Electric conduction was studied, in a vacuum of  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  Torr, by using a de-voltage pulse whose width and repetition rate were  $3 \times 10^{-6}$  sec and 100 Hz, respectively. The current was of the order of  $10^{-5}$  A at the field of  $10^5$  V/cm. The sampling process was effective in order to obtain a good signal-tonoise ratio. All the measurements were made at room temperature.

 $l-l'$ . and about  $1\times10^5$  V/cm, respectively. Althought A typical example of the conductivity ratio  $\sigma/\sigma_0$ versus eleetrie field is shown in Fig. 1. Below  $1.5 \times 10^5$  V/cm,  $\sigma/\sigma_0$  keeps a constant value, which shows the Ohmic relation. Above  $1.5 \times 10^5$  $V/cm$ , the curve begins to deviate from the Ohmic relation, and increases with increasing the electric field strength. The deviation from Ohm's law seems to be classified into two types. One is a monotonic deviation of the base current, and the other is the resonant-type anomaly around  $4 \times 10^5$ ,  $1 \times 10^6$ , and  $1.4 \times 10^6$  V/cm. The intensity and the width of the anomalous component are estimated to be about  $10\%$  of the base component the characteristic field values were somewhat scattered, similar results were observed in about twenty samples used in the measurements. Figure 2 shows curves of  $\sigma/\sigma_0$  vs E for three different samples with poor preferred crystal oriferent samples with poor preferred crystal orientation.<sup>11</sup> The  $\sigma/\sigma_{0}$  value is nearly proportion: to  $\exp{\sqrt{E}}$ , and no resonant-type anomaly is ob-



FIG. 1. <sup>A</sup> typical example of conductivity ratio versus electric field.  $\sigma_0$  is the low-field conductivity. Thickness of the sample is  $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$  cm. The error of each point was estimated experimentally as 2% both in the ordinate and the abscissa. The absolute value of the electric field was estimated within 10  $\!\%$ accuracy.

served. This relation suggests that the field-assisted internal emission known as the Poole-Frenkel effect<sup>12</sup> is a predominant conduction mechanism for the monotonic deviation observed in Fig. 1. Figure 3 shows the angular variation between  $\sigma/\sigma_0$  and  $E^{13}$ . The anom of the relation between  $\sigma/\sigma_0$  and  $\tilde{E}$ .<sup>13</sup> The anomalies are clearly seen for the  $[111]$  field direction, while they become smaller and finally disappear with increasing the angle between  $[111]$ and the field direction. This suggests that the intensity of the resonant-type anomaly will depend on the field direction. A possibility that we are seeing barrier breakdown phenomena<sup>14</sup> seems remote because the better the crystalline state, the larger the anomaly. The voltage-controlled negative resistance has been observed in very thintive resistance has been observed in very thi<br>film insulators,<sup>15</sup> in which the phenomenon is voltage dependent, and thickness independent. Our data will not fit such phenomena. The Guni<br>effect has been observed in ZnSe and CdTe.<sup>16</sup> effect has been observed in ZnSe and CdTe, whose energy band is similar to that of ZnS. Our samples, however, are too thin to be composed of a high-field domain. This situation will also rule out the possibility of a piezoelectric instability. The successive ionization of electrons from discrete trap levels will not account for the results shown in Fig. 3.

lt is suggested that the resonant-type current anomaly may be related to the quantum effect mentioned above. The anomaly observed around  $4 \times 10^5$  V/cm seems to correspond to that estimated at  $E_1 = 4.6 \times 10^5$  V/cm. The fact that no ob-



FIG. 2. Examples of the Poole-Frenkel-type current conduction.

servation of the anomaly was found around  $E<sub>2</sub>$ or lower fields is well understood provided that the broadening of the quantum level is larger than the  $eEd$  value at such small electric fields. This is not inconsistent with the observed linewidth of about  $1\times10^5$  V/cm. The results in Fig. 3 will also be supported by this picture, because



FIG. 3. The angular dependence of conductivity ratio versus electric field for three samples.  $\theta$  is the angle between the [111] axis and the electric field direction.

Wannier's levels are not expected for an arbitrary direction of the electric field. On the other hand, the observations around  $1\times10^6$  and  $1.4\times10^6$ V/cm are not expected from a one-phonon process. These seem to correspond to  $eEd$  values 2 and 3 times larger than the phonon energy, which suggests two- and three-phonon processes. It is natural to consider that the transition probability for multiple-phonon processes will be smaller than that for a one-phonon process. However, the number of electrons capable of contributing to the periodic motion will increase with increasing electric field strength. The current anomaly is concerned with the product of these effects. This situation will give rise to rather large anomalies around  $1 \times 10^6$  and  $1.4 \times 10^6$  V/cm. The multiplephonon process may well be expected in our case, because a similar effect was observed in phonon-assisted tunneling phenomena in polar<sup>17</sup> and nonpolar<sup>18</sup> semiconductors. The acoustical phonons with wave vector near the zone boundary will also contribute to the anomaly. However, the energy of the acoustical phonons is small,<sup>8</sup> and these will contribute to the broadening of the anomaly. The  $q$  dependence of the optical mode will also give rise to the broadening effect. In conclusion, our results suggest that the resonant-type current anomaly comes from the quantum effect.

The author wishes to thank Professor W. Sasaki and Dr. J. Kondo for the suggestion of the problem and encouragement in the course of this work. He gratefully acknowledges valuable discussions with Dr. T. Ishiguro, Dr. N. Mikoshiba, Dr. T. Sakudo, Dr. M. Kikuchi, Professor Y. Toyozawa, and Professor H. Hasegawa.

 ${}^{3}P$ . N. Argyres, Phys. Rev. 126, 1386 (1962); J. Callaway, Phys. Rev. 130, 549 (1963), and 134, A998 (1964); Yu. A. Kurskii and V. B. Stopachinskii, Fiz. Tekh. Poluprov. 1, 106 (1967) [Soviet Phys. Semicond. 1, 81 (1967)]; Yu. A. Bychkov and A. M. Dykhne, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. 48, 1174 (1965) [Soviet Phys. JETP 21, 783 (1965)]; G. Döhler and K. Hacker, Phys. Status Solidi 26, 551 (1968); K. Hacker, Phys. Status Solidi 33, 607 (1969).

 $^{4}$ J. Zak, Phys. Rev. Letters 20, 1477 (1968); G. H. Wannier, Phys. Rev. 181, 1364 (1969); J. Zak, Phys. Hev. 181, 1366 (1969); T. Lukes and K. T. S. Somaratna, Phys. Letters 29A, 69 (1969).

 ${}^{5}$ K. Hacker, Phys. Status Solidi 33, 607 (1969).

 ${}^{6}$ M. L. Cohen, in 1967 International Conference on II-VI Semiconducting Compounds, edited by D. G. Thomas (Benjamin, New York, 1967), p. 462.

<sup>7</sup>The energy separation  $(3.7 \text{ eV})$  between the valence and the conduction band is larger than the conduction bandwidth (2 eV) between the  $\Gamma_1$  and  $L_1$  points. At the L point, the separation between lower and upper conduction bands is large (3 eV).

 ${}^{8}$ O. Brafman and S. S. Mitra, Phys. Rev. 171, 931 (1968).

 $^{9}$ Wannier's level is well understood in a one-dimensional case. The thin film will give rise to a one-dimensional conduction.

 $10$ <sup>th</sup> thas been reported that the preferred orientation is [100] when deposited on cleaved NaCl. See V. K. Miloslavskii, Fiz. Tekh. Poluprov. 1, 629 (1967) [Soviet Phys. Semicond. 1, 527 (1967)].

 $11$ These samples were obtained when the temperature of the substrate was maintained at room temperature

in the evaporating process.<br> $12$ S.M. Sze, *Physics of Semiconductor Devices* (Wiley, New York, 1967), p. 492.

 $13$ In this measurement, the angle between [111] axis and the field direction was controlled by inclining the substrate in the evaporating process.

 $^{14}$ R. H. Bube, J. Appl. Phys. 31, 2239 (1960).

 $15$ T. W. Hickmott, J. Appl. Phys. 33, 2669 (1962), and 35, 2118 (1964), and 36, 1885 (1965); R. R. Venderber, J. G. Simmons, and B. Eales, Phil. Mag. 16, <sup>1049</sup> (1967); J. G. Simmons and B. R. Venderber,

Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), Ser. A 301, 77 (1967).

 $^{16}$ G. W. Ludwig, in 1967 International Conference on II-VI Semiconducting Compounds, edited by D. G.

II-VI Semiconducting Compounds, edited by D.<br>Thomas (Benjamin, New York, 1967), p. 1287.<br><sup>17</sup>R. N. Hall, J. H. Racette, and H. Ehrenreich R. N. Hall, J. H. Racette, and H. Ehrenreich, Phys. Hev. Letters 4, 456 (1960).

 $^{18}$ A. G. Chynoweth, R. A. Logan, and D. E. Thomas, Phys. Rev. 125, 877 (1962).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>G. H. Wannier, Phys. Rev. 117, 432 (1960), and Bev. Mod. Phys. 34, 645 (1962).

 ${}^{2}$ A. G. Chynoweth, G. H. Wannier, R. A. Logan, and D. E. Thomas, Phys. Rev. Letters 5, 57 (1960); M. Chester and P. H. Wendland, Phys. Rev. Letters 13, 193 (1964); B.B. Snavely, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 10, 344 (1965); V. S. Vavilov, V. B. Stopachinskii, and V. S. Chanbarisov, Fiz. Tverd. Tela 8, 2660 (1966) [Soviet Phys. Solid State 8, 2126 (1967)].