SUM RULES, STRUCTURE FACTORS, AND PHONON DISPERSION IN LIQUID He⁴ AT LONG WAVELENGTHS AND LOW TEMPERATURES*

David Pines

Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801

and

Chia-Wei Woo Department of Physics, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201 (Received 27 February 1970)

Combining simple sum-rule arguments and a calculation of departures from the Feynman excitation spectrum, we demonstrate the existence of an inflection point in the liquid structure factor S_q , and relate S_q to the phonon dispersion coefficient γ and the strength and average frequency of the multiparticle excitation spectrum in liquid He⁴. We find in particular $\gamma \cong 0$, a result recently obtained by Woods and Cowley, to be in accord with other parameters determined by x-ray and neutron-scattering measurements.

Hallock¹ has recently reported an x-ray scattering measurement of the liquid structure factor, S_{q} , at very long wavelengths and low temperatures. His data, of greater accuracy and extending to smaller wave vectors than previous measurements, provide experimental confirmation for the hitherto theoretically conjectured inflection point in S_{α} .²⁻⁴ On the other hand, Woods and Cowley,⁵ using neutron-scattering techniques, measured the single-phonon part of S_{σ} , the dispersion of the phonon spectrum, as well as the average multiparticle excitation energy $\overline{\omega}$. We have present simple sum-rule arguments for the existence of an inflection point, and show how these may be combined with a calculation⁶ of departures from the Feynman excitation spectrum and experimental data to yield an estimate of phonon dispersion and of the strength of the multiparticle excitation spectrum in liquid He⁴. We find

$$\gamma \cong 0, \tag{1}$$

a result reported by Woods and Cowley,⁵ consistent with the experimental results on S_{a} .

The relevant sum rules for the dynamic structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ are as follows⁷:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega S(\mathbf{\bar{q}}, \omega) = NS_{q}, \qquad (2)$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \omega S(\mathbf{\bar{q}}, \omega) = \frac{Nq^{2}}{2m},$$
(3)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} S(\mathbf{\bar{q}}, \omega) = \frac{-\chi_{q}}{2}, \tag{4}$$

where χ_q is the static wave-vector-dependent density-density response function. In working with the sum rules it is useful to divide the contributions to the dynamic structure factor into those coming from single-particle excitation from the condensate and multiparticle excitations, as was first done by Miller, Pines, and Nozières.² In the long-wavelength limit, we may write

$$S(\mathbf{\bar{q}}, \omega) = Z_q \delta(\omega - \omega_q) + \xi(\mathbf{q}/ms)^4 f(\omega), \qquad (5)$$

where Z_q and ω_q are the strength and energy of the single-particle excitations (presumed to possess series expansions in integral powers of q), and ξ measures the strength of the multiparticle excitations from the condensate, which contributes terms of order q^4 to all three sum rules in this limit. We further argue that χ_q possess a series expansion in powers of q^2 which takes the form

$$\chi_q = \frac{-N}{ms^2} \left[1 - \chi_2 \left(\frac{q}{ms} \right)^2 + \cdots \right]$$
(6)

(where χ_2 is positive), a result which follows from the assumption that only short-range correlations are present in the density-density correlation function. On making use of the sum rules, Eqs. (2)-(4), and Eq. (6), we obtain directly the following long-wavelength expansions:

$$Z_q = \frac{Nq}{2ms} \left[1 + z_2 \left(\frac{q}{ms} \right)^2 + \cdots \right], \tag{7}$$

$$\omega_q = sq \left[1 + \omega_2 \left(\frac{q}{ms} \right)^2 + \cdots \right], \tag{8}$$

$$\chi_q = \frac{-N}{ms^2} \left[1 + (z_2 - \omega_2) \left(\frac{q}{ms} \right)^2 + \cdots \right], \tag{9}$$

$$S_q = \frac{q}{2ms} \left[1 + \varepsilon_2 \left(\frac{q}{ms} \right)^2 + \xi \left(\frac{q}{ms} \right)^3 + \cdots \right].$$
(10)

Note that since $\omega_2 = -\gamma (m s)^2$ is from all experimental indications zero or negative, if χ_2 is positive, Z_2 must be negative. And since ξ must be positive, one will always expect a point of inflection in the static structure factor S_{α} .

(11)

If further we write

$$\omega_q = \frac{q^2}{2mS_q} \left[1 + C_2 \left(\frac{q}{ms}\right)^2 + C_3 \left(\frac{q}{mc}\right)^3 + \cdots \right],$$

we find by comparison

.

 $\omega_2 = 0,$

$$C_2 = \omega_2 + z_2 = -\overline{\omega}\xi/ms^2, \tag{12}$$

where $\overline{\omega}$ is the average multiparticle excitation energy in the long-wavelength limit, defined by

$$\overline{\omega} = \int_0^\infty \omega f(\omega) d\omega / \int_0^\infty f(\omega) d\omega.$$
 (13)

In Ref. 6, C_2 was calculated to a considerable degree of accuracy to yield a series whose leading terms are -1.04 and -0.30. Assuming a geometric progression, we find $C_2 = -1.46$. From Ref. 5, we find

$$\overline{\omega} = 20^{\circ} \text{K}.$$
 (15)

Hence,

$$z_2 = -1.46,$$
 (16)

and

$$\xi = -C_2 m s^2 / \overline{\omega} = 2.0. \tag{17}$$

Substituting these results into Eqs. (7) and (10), we find Z_q and S_q in good agreement with the data of Woods and Cowley⁵ and Hallock¹ at long wavelengths ($\leq 0.4 \text{ Å}^{-1}$). Beyond 0.4 Å⁻¹, higher orders in q than those considered here become important and must be taken into account. It should be noted that the previously accepted value of γ ($3 \times 10^{37} \text{ g}^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^2$) corresponds to $\omega_2 = -0.77$, and leads to large discrepancies between the calculated and experimental results for Z_q and S_q .

Further information is provided by the ω^3 sum rule³:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \omega^{3} S(\mathbf{\bar{q}}, \omega) d\omega = \frac{Nq^{2}}{2m} \left[\left(\frac{q^{2}}{2m} \right)^{2} + 4 \left(\frac{q^{2}}{2m} \right) \frac{\overline{E}_{kin}}{N} + \frac{\rho}{m} \int (1 - \cos \mathbf{\bar{q}} \cdot \mathbf{\bar{r}}) g(\mathbf{r}) \frac{(\mathbf{\bar{q}} \cdot \mathbf{\bar{\nabla}})^{2}}{q^{2}} v(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{\bar{r}} \right].$$
(18)

(14)

For the Lennard-Jones 6-12 potential and long wavelengths it reduces⁹ to

$$\frac{s^2}{2m} + \overline{\omega}^3 \frac{\xi}{2(ms)^4} = \left(\frac{1}{2m}\right)^2 \left[\frac{272}{15} \frac{\overline{E}_{\rm kin}}{N} - \frac{144}{5} \frac{E}{N}\right].$$

Using the experimental value of -7.14° K for E/N, and a recent theoretical estimate¹⁰ of 14.3°K for \overline{E}_{kin}/N , we find

$$(\overline{\omega}^3)^{1/3} = 42.3^{\circ} \text{K}.$$
 (20)

Equations (15) and (20) indicate that the multiparticle contribution to the dynamic structure factor, or $f(\omega)$, peaks toward higher frequencies.

We are grateful to Dr. Robert B. Hallock, Dr. A. D. B. Woods, and Dr. R. A. Cowley for communicating to us detailed unpublished data, and to Aspen Center for Physics where most of this work was carried out. GP-11054.

¹B. Hallock, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>23</u>, 830 (1969), and private communications.

²A. Miller, D. Pines, and P. Nozières, Phys. Rev. <u>127</u>, 1452 (1962).

³W. E. Massey, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>12</u>, 719 (1964).

⁴C. E. Campbell and E. Feenberg, Phys. Rev. <u>188</u>, 396 (1969).

⁵A. D. B. Woods and R. A. Cowley, Phys. Rev. Letters 24, 646 (1970), and private communications.

⁶H.-W. Lai, H.-K. Sim, and C.-W. Woo, Phys. Rev. (to be published).

⁷D. Pines and P. Nozières, *Theory of Quantum Liq-uids* (Benjamin, New York, 1966).

⁸N Mihara and R. D. Puff, Phys. Rev. <u>174</u>, 221 (1968);

R. D. Puff and N. S. Gillis, Ann. Phys. <u>46</u>, 364 (1968). ⁹E. Feenberg, *Theory of Quantum Fluids* (Academic,

New York, 1969), Appendix IV-A.

¹⁰W. E. Massey and C.-W. Woo, Phys. Rev. <u>164</u>, 256 (1967).

(19)

^{*}Work supported in part by Army Research Office (Durham), Contract No. DAHC04 69 C 0007, by the Advanced Research Projects Agency through the Materials Research Center of Northwestern University, and by the National Science Foundation through Grant No.