favor a 1^+ D-wave assignment for the A_1. However, in this analysis, a background amplitude having the angular dependence given by one-pion exchange has been subtracted.

[11]There are also a variety of theoretical predictions for the properties of the A_1. The literature can be traced from the following papers: S. Weinberg, in Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on High Energy Physics, Vienna, Austria, September, 1968 (CERN Scientific Information Service, Geneva, Switzerland, 1968), and Phys. Rev. Letters 22, 1023 (1969); P. Brooker and J. M. Kosterlitz, "Hard pion results from the Veneziano model" (to be published).

[12]I. T. Drummond, P. V. Landshoff, and W. J. Zakrewshi, Cambridge University Report No. DAMTP 69/7 (to be published).

[13]M. Gell-Mann, M. L. Goldberger, F. E. Low, E. Marx, and F. Zachariasen, Phys. Rev. 133, B145 (1964).

[14]C. D. Froggatt and G. Ranft, to be published.

[15]K. Watson, Phys. Rev. 88, 1163 (1952); J. S. Ball, W. R. Frazer, and M. Nauenberg Phys. Rev. 128, 478 (1962); J. Gillespie, Final State Interactions (Holden-Day Publishers, San Francisco, Calif., 1964).

[16]J. D. Jackson, Nuovo Cimento 34, 1644 (1964).

[17]The partial-wave amplitudes A_L^{JM} are of course not bounded by the unitarity circle familiar in elastic scattering phase shift analysis.

[18]If all the $J^P=1^+$ partial waves are dominated by resonance production then factorization implies that the ratio of S-wave to D-wave amplitudes should be the same for $M=0$ and $M=1$. Since an appreciable effect occurs only in the $M=0$ S-wave amplitude, this cannot be used as a practical test of local duality for the double-Regge amplitude.

ERRATA

INTERPRETATION OF RECENT EXPERIMENTAL TESTS OF VECTOR-MESON DOMINANCE. W. Schmidt and D. R. Yennie [Phys. Rev. Letters 23, 623 (1969)].

The last line of Table I ($t=-10m_\pi^2$) for $C^{\|}$ should read 0.35, 0.38, 0.39 at $E_\gamma=4$, 8, and 16 GeV.

MAGNETOELECTRIC EVIDENCE FOR THE ATTAINABILITY OF TIME-REVERSED ANTIFERROMAGNETIC CONFIGURATIONS BY METAMAGNETIC TRANSITIONS IN $DyPO_4$. George T. Rado [Phys. Rev. Letters 23, 644 (1969)].

On p. 646, column 1, the tenth line above Eq. (3) should read "···probably not a single···."

OMEGA PRODUCTION IN $\pi^+d\rightarrow\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0pp$ AT 4.19 GeV/c. G. S. Abrams, B. Eisenstein, and H. Gordon [Phys. Rev. Letters 23, 673 (1969)].

(1) Page 674, column 2, line 6 now reads "The best fit, shown in Fig. 1(d),···"; it should be "The best fit, shown in Fig. 1(a),···."

(2) Page 675, column 2, the equation $\pi^+n\rightarrow\omega^0N^{*++}(1236)$ should be $\pi^+p\rightarrow\omega^0N^{*++}(1236)$.

(3) Page 676, footnote 12, should be:

$$f_{++}{}^1=S^J\left[a_+\alpha_\rho+b_+\left(\frac{t}{4m_n{}^2}-1\right)\right]\frac{m_n\tau_{\pi\omega}}{2s_0}\left(\frac{s-u}{2s_0}\right)^{\alpha_\rho-1}\sin\theta_t\left(\frac{\alpha_\rho}{\Gamma(\alpha_\rho+1)}\right),$$

$$f_{+-}{}^1=S^Ja_+t^{1/2}(1+\cos\theta_t)\frac{\tau_{\pi\omega}}{2s_0}\left(\frac{s-u}{2s_0}\right)^{\alpha_\rho-1}\frac{\alpha_\rho{}^2}{2\Gamma(\alpha_\rho+1)},$$

$$f_{-+}{}^1=S^Ja_+t^{1/2}(1-\cos\theta_t)\frac{\tau_{\pi\omega}}{2s_0}\left(\frac{s-u}{2s_0}\right)^{\alpha_\rho-1}\frac{\alpha_\rho{}^2}{2\Gamma(\alpha_\rho+1)},$$

$$f_{++}{}^1=S^Ja_-\left(\frac{\tau_{np}}{2s_0}\right)\left(\frac{s-u}{2s_0}\right)^{\alpha_B-1}\frac{\alpha_B}{2\sqrt{2}\Gamma(\alpha_B+1)}\sin\theta_t,$$

$$f_{++}{}^0=S^J\left[\alpha_Ba_-\frac{t+m_\omega{}^2-m_p{}^2}{2m_\omega}+b_1(1-\alpha_B)\tau_{\pi\omega}{}^2\right]\frac{\tau_{np}t^{-1/2}}{4s_0\Gamma(\alpha_B+1)}\left(\frac{s-u}{2s_0}\right)^{\alpha_B-1}\cos\theta_t,$$

where ···.