Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 1368 (1967).

 12 C. Ebner, Phys. Rev. 156, 222 (1967).

 13 C. Ebner, dissertation, University of Illinois, 1967 (unpublished) .

 14 E. M. Ifft, D. O. Edwards, R. E. Sarwinski, and M. M. Skertic, Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 831 (1967).

 15 B. M. Abraham, O. G. Brandt, Y. Eckstein, J. Munarin, and G. Baym, to be published.

 16 R. I. Schermer, L. Passell, and D. C. Rorer, Phys. Rev. 173, 277 (1968).

 17 H. London, D. Phillips, and G. P. Thomas, in Pro-

ceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Low Temperature Physics, St. Andrews, Scotland, 1968, edited by J. F. Allen. D. M. Finlayson, and D. M. McCall (St. Andrews University, St. Andrews,

Scotland, 1968).

 18 C. Boghosian and H. Meyer, Phys. Letters 25A, 352 (1967).

 19 A. F. Andreev, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. 50, 1415 (1966) [translation: Soviet Phys.—JETP 23, ⁹³⁹ (1966)j. 20 G. E. Watson, J. D. Reppy, and R. C. Richardson (private communication) .

BROKEN SYMMETRY AND DECAY OF ORDER IN RESTRICTED DIMENSIONALITY

David Jasnow and Michael E. Fisher Baker Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14850 (Received 25 June 1969)

The ordering of one- and two-dimensional systems with a continuous symmetry is considered in the absence of a symmetry-breaking field. It is shown rigorously that no spontaneous ordering can occur; bounds on the order-order correlation function integrated over a subdomain indicate how the short-range order decays with distance.

It has been appreciated heuristically for some time that in a one- or two-dimensional system, i.e., a system of finite cross section or thickness, which has a continuous symmetry (such as the gauge invariance of a Bose fluid or rotational isotropy in a ferromagnet), the fluctuations in the order parameter are so large as to destroy any ordered state with spontaneously broken symmetry even though such can arise in the fully three-dimensional system. Hohenberg' has demonstrated that Bogoliubov's inequality,

$$
\frac{1}{2}\langle\{A,A^{\dagger}\}\rangle\geq k_{B}T|\langle[C,A]\rangle|^{2}/\langle[[C,\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}],C^{\dagger}]]\rangle,(1)
$$

in which \mathcal{K}_{Ω} is the Hamiltonian for the system confined to a domain Ω , can be used to substantiate this idea, and Mermin and Wagner² have proven that if the dimensionality of Ω is less than three, an isotropic Heisenberg ferromagnet can exhibit no spontaneous magnetization, i.e.,³

$$
M_0(T) = \lim_{H \to 0^+} M(T, H) = 0 \quad (T > 0).
$$
 (2)

As indicated by (2) [see also Chester, Fisher, and Mermin, $^4]$ the existing proofs 5 first introduc a symmetry-breaking field η (the magnetic field H for a ferromagnet), then proceed to the thermodynamic limit [volume $V(\Omega) \rightarrow \infty$], and finally, show that the induced order parameter $\Psi(\eta)$ vanishes as the field η is removed $(|\eta| - 0)$. For a magnet $\Psi \sim M$, while for a Bose fluid one consider s

$$
\Psi(T,\,\eta) = \lim_{V(\Omega)\, \rightarrow \infty} [V(\Omega)]^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \langle \psi(\vec{r}) \rangle_{\Omega} d\vec{r}.\tag{3}
$$

These results are satisfying, but they leave open some more fundamental questions, namely:

(A) How does the order-order correlation function $\sigma(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ behave as $|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'| \rightarrow \infty$? For a magnet with localized spin variables $\overline{S}(\overline{r})$ we may take

$$
\sigma(\vec{\mathbf{r}}, \vec{\mathbf{r}}') = \langle S_z(\vec{\mathbf{r}})S_z(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \rangle \text{ or } \langle S_z(\vec{\mathbf{r}})S_z(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \rangle. \tag{4}
$$

One would like to say something about the rate of decay and to prove that $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ as $|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'| \rightarrow \infty$, so as to demonstrate the absence of long-range order $[\sigma(\infty) \equiv 0]$; but as a matter of fact, even when (2) holds one cannot be sure that $\sigma(\infty) = 0.$ ⁶ For a Bose fluid one is interested in the off-diagonal order or one-body density matrix

$$
\sigma(\vec{\mathbf{r}}, \vec{\mathbf{r}}') = \langle \psi^{\dagger}(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \psi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) \rangle. \tag{5}
$$

A second question is the following:

(B) Can one dispense with the symmetry-breaking field in proving the absence of ordering'? (This question is especially pertinent for a Bose fluid, ' where the relevant "off-diagonal" field cannot be realized physically.) An answer might be provided by considering (with $\eta=0$) the rms order parameter Ψ_{σ} defined by

$$
(\Psi_{\sigma})^2 = \lim_{V(\Omega) \to \infty} [V(\Omega)]^{-2}
$$

$$
\times \int_{\Omega} d\vec{r} \int_{\Omega} d\vec{r}' \sigma_{\Omega}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'), \quad (6)
$$

where, as above, the subscript Ω indicates that the finite system is implied. One normally expects that

$$
\Psi_0 \equiv \lim_{\eta \to 0} \Psi(\eta) = c \Psi_{\sigma},
$$

which would be of order unity for $d = 3$, but this has never been shown generally. Here c is a constant depending on the symmetry group and the precise definition of $\sigma(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$.

In this note we present, we believe for the first time, rigorous answers to these questions. Spe-

$$
[V(\Gamma)\Psi\{f|\Gamma\}]^2 = V(\Gamma)n\{f\} = \int_{\Gamma} d\vec{r} \int_{\Gamma} d\vec{r}' f^*(\vec{r}') f(\vec{r}) \sigma(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')
$$
\n(8)

in which $|f(\vec{r})|$ = 1. [In a lattice system sum: over cells replace the integrals.] This proves that there can be no (short) long-range order.⁶ Roughly speaking it also shows that $\sigma(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ must decrease faster than $1/ln|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|$ for $d=2$ or $1/$ $|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|^{1/2}$ for $d=1$. [This does not insure that $\sigma(\vec{0}, \vec{r}')$ is integrable; thus "weak long-range" order,"⁴ or an infinite "susceptibility," could still arise.] If Ω and Γ have sufficiently regular still arise, \prod if α and \prod have sufficiently regularized as a "single-particle state" of a Bose system, then (7) asserts that there can be no macroscopic occupancy of the state \vec{K} , i.e., $n\vec{x}/N \rightarrow 0$, where N $=N(\Gamma)$ is the (mean) number of particles in Γ . Finally we note that (7) remains valid even if a symmetry-breaking field is imposed anywhere outside the subdomain Γ .

We now sketch the proof of (7) for a two-dimensional Bose system (the $d = 1$ case is similar); the proofs for magnetic systems are somewhat simpler as are the proofs that $\Psi_{\sigma} = 0$. These proofs, and further details, will be published separately. Ne suppose that the slice subdomain Γ is surrounded by a corridor or channel Δ , of thickness say between b and 2b. When $V(\Gamma) \rightarrow \infty$

FIG. 1. Sectioned "two-dimensional" domain Ω showing a "slice" subdomain Γ and a surrounding corridor Δ .

cifically we have answered (B) by proving that Ψ_{α} vanishes for all $T > 0$ if the domain Ω can be contained in a cylinder of finite cross section (d $=$ 1) or between parallel planes of finite separation $(d=2)$. As regards (A) we prove that for $\eta=0$ and any (reasonably shaped) subdomain $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ which constitutes a "slice" of Ω , as shown in Fig. 1, we have the bounds

$$
\Psi\{f|\Gamma\} \le \text{const} \times [\ln V(\Gamma)]^{1/2}, \quad d = 2,
$$

$$
\le \text{const} \times [V(\Gamma)]^{-1/4}, \quad d = 1,
$$
 (7)

as $V(\Gamma) \rightarrow \infty$, where

we assume that $V(\Delta)/V(\Gamma)$ approaches zero as a normal surface to volume ratio. Bogoliubov's inequality is now applied with

$$
C = \int d\vec{\mathbf{r}} g(\vec{\mathbf{r}})\rho(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = \int d\vec{\mathbf{r}} g(\vec{\mathbf{r}})\psi^{\dagger}(\vec{\mathbf{r}})\psi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}), \tag{9}
$$

which is the normal choice except for the weighting factor $g(\vec{r}) = a(\vec{r}) \exp[i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{r}]$ (k arbitrary). which we suppose vanishes identically outside $\Gamma \cup \Delta$. We take $a(\overline{r})$ twice continuously differentiable with $a(\vec{r}) = 1$ for \vec{r} in Γ , and $|\nabla a| \le 1/b$ in Δ . where $a(\vec{r})$ goes smoothly to zero. It is assumed that \mathcal{K}_{Ω} contains (i) a kinetic-energy term, (ii) only normal "diagonal" many-particle interactions, and (iii) a wall potential which ensures that all wave functions vanish smoothly on the boundary of Ω . We then obtain $(\hbar=1)$

$$
\langle [[C, \mathcal{K}_\Omega], C^{\dagger}] \rangle = m^{-1} \int d\vec{r} \mid \nabla g \mid^2 \langle \rho(\vec{r}) \rangle
$$

$$
\leq m^{-1} N(\Gamma \cup \Delta) [k^2 + \lambda], \qquad (10)
$$

where the second line follows by noting that $|\nabla g|^2$ reduces to k^2 in Γ but in Δ becomes $k^2 + |\nabla a|^2$, which is bounded by $k^2 + b^{-2}$. Thus the parameter $\lambda = (1/b)^2 N(\Delta)/N(\Gamma \cup \Delta)$ grows small as $V(\Gamma) \rightarrow \infty$. Next we choose'

$$
A = \int d\vec{r} \int d\vec{R} f^*(\vec{r}) e^{-t\vec{K} \cdot \vec{r}} f(\vec{R}) \psi^\dagger(\vec{r}) \psi(\vec{R}), \qquad (11)
$$

where $|f(\vec{r})|$ is unity for \vec{r} in Γ but vanishes otherwise. Then the numerator in (1) becomes

$$
|\langle [C,A] \rangle|^2 = [V(\Gamma)(n\{f\} - n\{fe^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}}\})]^2, \qquad (12)
$$

where $n\{f\}$ is defined in (8). If D is the spacing between the parallel planes containing Ω , we have⁸ for all \bar{r} and \bar{r}' in Ω (and Γ)

$$
D^{-1}\sum_{\mathbf{\vec{k}}_{\perp}}(2\pi)^{-2}\int d^2\mathbf{\vec{k}}_{\parallel}e^{i\mathbf{\vec{k}}\cdot(\vec{\mathbf{r}}-\vec{\mathbf{r}})}=\delta(\mathbf{\vec{r}}-\mathbf{\vec{r}}'),\qquad(13)
$$

where $\mathbf{\vec{k}} = (\mathbf{\vec{k}}_{\parallel}, \mathbf{\vec{k}}_{\perp})$ in which $\mathbf{\vec{k}}_{\perp}$ represent a discrete but complete set (including $\overline{k}_\perp = 0$) of wave vectors normal to the bounding planes. Now we integrate the inequality for $|\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}| \leq \kappa$ with $\mathbf{k}_{\perp} = 0.$ ⁹ We may extend the integral (and sum) to all \vec{k} over nonpositive terms on the right-hand side and nonnegative terms on the left-hand side. For the right-hand side we find, dropping a positive (squared) term,

$$
R \ge (mk_{\rm B}T)[V(\Gamma)^2/N(\Gamma \cup \Delta)]
$$

$$
\times [n^2\{f\}J(\lambda)-2n\{f\}J(\lambda)], \qquad (14)
$$

where, denoting the restricted integration by subscript κ ,

$$
I(\lambda) = (2\pi)^{-2} \int_{\kappa} d^2 \vec{k} / (k^2 + \lambda) \approx (4\pi)^{-1} \ln(\kappa^2 / \lambda)
$$

as $\lambda \to 0$, (15)

and

$$
0 \leq J(\lambda) = (2\pi)^{-2} \int_{\kappa} d^2 \vec{k} n \{ f e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \} / (k^2 + \lambda)
$$

$$
\leq \lambda^{-1} (2\pi)^{-2} \int_{\kappa} d^2 \vec{k} n \{ f e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \}
$$

$$
\leq \lambda^{-1} DN(\Gamma) / V(\Gamma) = \lambda^{-1} D \rho(\Gamma), \qquad (16)
$$

where (i) the positivity of n was used, (ii) the integration was extended to all \overline{k} , and (iii) relation (13) was applied. On the left-hand side we write $\langle \{A^{\dagger}, A\} \rangle = 2 \langle A A^{\dagger} \rangle + \langle [A^{\dagger}, A] \rangle$ and extend the integral on the first term (only). On using the commutation relations and discarding appropriate negative terms we find

$$
L \le D\big[Q\big\{f\big\} + \rho(\Gamma)V(\Gamma)^2 - V(\Gamma)n\big\{f\big\}\big] + c_2V(\Gamma)^2\kappa^2n\big\{f\big\},\qquad(17)
$$

where c_2 is a constant and

$$
0 \le Q\{f\} = \int_{\Gamma} d\vec{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\Gamma} d\vec{\mathbf{r}} \int_{\Gamma} d\vec{\mathbf{r}}' f^* f^* (\vec{\mathbf{r}}) f' (\vec{\mathbf{r}}')
$$

$$
\times \langle \rho(\vec{\mathbf{R}}) \psi^{\dagger}(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) \psi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \rangle. \tag{18}
$$

If the number of particles in Γ were fixed (definite), this would reduce simply to $N(\Gamma)V(\Gamma)n\{f\}$. However, we can allow for the natural fluctuations by using the relation'

$$
\int_{\Gamma} d\mathbf{\tilde{r}} \int_{\Gamma} d\mathbf{\tilde{r}}' \left[\langle \rho(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}) \rho(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}') \rangle - \langle \rho(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}) \rangle \langle \rho(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}') \rangle \right] \n= k_{B} T \rho(\Gamma)^{2} V(\Gamma) K_{T} [1 + \epsilon(\Gamma)], \quad (19)
$$

where K_T is the isothermal bulk compressibility of the fluid in Γ which we may assume is bounded, and $\epsilon(\Gamma) \rightarrow 0$ as $V(\Gamma) \rightarrow \infty$ represents a surfaceto-volume correction. Application of Schwarz's

inequality to (18) then yields

$$
Q\{f\} \le c_3 \rho(\Gamma)^2 [k_B T K_T (1+\epsilon)]^{1/2} V(\Gamma)^{5/2}
$$

$$
+ \rho(\Gamma) V(\Gamma)^2 n\{f\}, \qquad (20)
$$

where $c₃$ is a constant

Finally on collecting terms and using the definition (8) the inequality reduces to the form

$$
q_1\Psi^2 + q_2[V(\Gamma)]^{-1/2} \ge \Psi^4 I(\lambda) \sim \Psi^4 \ln V(\Gamma), \qquad (21)
$$

where q_1 and q_2 are intensive parameters depending on temperature and density. Qn multiplying by $I(\lambda)$ and choosing $V(\Gamma)$ so large that $\ln V(\Gamma)/$ $V(\Gamma)^{1/2} \ll 1$ we obtain the desired result (7).

It is a pleasure to thank Professor G. V. Chester and Professor N. D. Mermin for their interest and encouragement. Professor J. L. Lebowitz and Dr. P. C. Hohenberg kindly read the manuscript. The support of the Advanced Research Projects Agency through the Materials Science Center at Cornell and of the National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

 4 G. V. Chester, M. E. Fisher, and N. D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. (to be published).

⁵Similar proofs have been presented for crystalline ordering, other sorts of magnetic ordering, etc., e.g., N. D. Mermin, J. Math. Phys. 8, 1061 (1967), and Phys. Rev. 176, 25o (1968).

⁶The point is that $\sigma(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ is defined by taking the thermodynamic limit with fixed \vec{r} and \vec{r}' ; thus $\sigma(\infty)$ is then the "short long-range order" in contrast to the "long long-range order" of r -r in contrast to the long-range order" σ_{∞} in which $|r-r'|$ is, say, kept equal to $[V(\Omega)]^{1/d}$ as the thermodynamic limit is taken.

 7 A discussion of this question has been presented by G. V. Chester fLectures in Theoretical Physics (University of Colorado Press, Boulder, Colo., to be published), Vol. XI] and our work follows his in spirit.

 8 Following Chester, Fisher, and Mermin, Ref. 4. $^9{\rm To}$ prove Ψ_σ vanishes we also employ a lower limit

 $K_{0} < |\vec{k}_{\parallel}|$ which then plays a similar role to λ .
¹⁰This is merely a form of the standard (compressibility)/(fluctuation) relation. Although we are not aware of a rigorous general proof, we accept it because, here, we need to assume only that (19) is valid with some constant K_T . This simply embodies the physical assumption that the density fluctuations are "normal."

 ^{1}P . C. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. 158, 383 (1967). 2 N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Letters 17, 1133 (1966).

³Here $M_0(T)$ and $M(T, H)$ denote the magnetizations per spin (or per unit volume) at temperature T and external field H of an infinite system (see below).