<sup>9</sup>V. H. Tiensuu, S. Ergun, and L. E. Alexander, J. Appl. Phys. 35, 1718 (1964).

<sup>10</sup>M. H. Brodsky and R. S. Title, Phys. Rev. Letters 23, 581 (1969). <sup>11</sup>A. Bienenstock and B. G. Bagley, J. Appl. Phys. <u>37</u>,

4840 (1966).

<sup>12</sup>T. B. Light, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>22</u>, 999 (1969). <sup>13</sup>T. M. Donovan, W. E. Spicer, and J. M. Bennett, Phys. Rev. Letters 22, 1058 (1969).

<sup>14</sup>C. J. Mogab, private communication.

## MAGNETIC CLUSTERS ASSOCIATED WITH ISOLATED Fe ATOMS IN PARAMAGNETIC Cu-Ni ALLOYS\*

L. H. Bennett and L. J. Swartzendruber Institute for Materials Research, National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760

and

## R. E. Watson<sup>†</sup>

Brookhaven National Laboratory.<sup>‡</sup> Upton, New York 11973 (Received 23 September 1969)

A small magnetic cluster is shown to exist around an isolated Fe atom in  $Cu_{1-x}Ni_x$  alloys with a magnetic moment and saturation hyperfine field depending on the number nof Ni near neighbors. This small cluster changes abruptly from moments of (2.85 + 0.6n) $\times \mu_{\rm B}$  to large moments [(~17 to 20) $\mu_{\rm B}$ ] for Ni concentrations near the critical composition.

The moment compensation (Kondo effect) found for isolated Fe in Cu appears to persist in Cu-Ni up to at least 10 at.% Ni.

Giant moment clusters (~10 $\mu_B$ ) with large spatial extent have been reported<sup>1-4</sup> in the critical region  $(0.4 \le x \le 0.5)$  bounding the ferromagnetic Ni-rich  $Cu_{1-x}Ni_x$  alloys. In the present Letter we report Mössbauer-effect results for isolated Fe atoms (i.e., sources) in both the Cu-rich (x $\leq$  0.33) and in the critical region of Cu-Ni alloys. In the critical region the presence of an Fe atom nucleates a giant moment of  $17-20\mu_{B}$ . In the nonferromagnetic Cu-rich alloys, the data are readily understood in terms of a distinctly different small cluster confined largely to the Fe atom and the nearest-neighbor shell of surrounding atoms. We find that a simple model of the cluster, with near-neighbor Cu atoms magnetically inert and Ni atoms active,<sup>5</sup> predicts the complex Mössbauer spectra observed at compositions of x = 0.1. 0.21, and 0.33. The data also suggest that the Kondo effect is present in the x = 0.1 alloys, with a Kondo temperature  $T_{\rm K}$  of ~3 K. This is to be compared with  $T_{\rm K}$  ~16 K (as defined from Mössbauer data) for Fe in pure Cu.<sup>6,7</sup>

Any investigation of the  $Cu_{1-x}Ni_x$  system raises the obvious question of atomic clustering. The small amount of short-range order found<sup>8-10</sup> in slow-cooled alloys with x near 0.5 would not substantially alter our conclusions. Our alloys were rapidly quenched from high temperature, with correspondingly less clustering.<sup>11</sup>

Our "small"-cluster model assumes a moment  $\mu_n$  for an Fe atom having *n* Ni nearest neighbors of

$$\mu_{n} = (2.85 + 0.6n)\mu_{B}.$$
 (1)

This moment determines the paramagnetic behavior of the cluster as a function of applied field  $H_0$  and temperature. The Fe magnetic hyperfine fields at saturation,  $H_{sat}^{n}$ , are also assumed to be functions of n. The distribution in the population of n is taken to be that appropriate to a random alloy of the concentration in guestion. Models of this type are not new. What is unique here is that this particularly simple model, effectively with one adjustable parameter,<sup>12</sup> predicts both the splitting and the complex shapes<sup>13</sup> of spectra for a variety of fields, temperatures, and alloy compositions, as seen in Fig. 1. (The induced and applied fields are opposed, hence the net average hyperfine field can, and does, go through a minimum. Note the ability to predict the temperatures at which these minima occur.) While satisfactory, the agreement between the model and experiment is inferior to that often obtained in parametrized fits of Mössbauer spectra. Fits of similar quality could be made here by the explicit inclusion of additional adjustable parameters, attributed to more-distant-neighbor or other effects. Such will not be done here. As is true with almost all such fits, the fitting would not be unique and the "improvements" would be within the noise of the model and the experiments.

The giant moment clusters of the critical re-



FIG. 1. Mössbauer effect data (points) and theoretical spectra (solid lines). The theoretical spectra have not been parametrized [e.g., by using second-neighbor effects, clustering  $T_{\rm K}(n)$ , etc.] to fit the data, but are generated as described in the text. The 2-K spectra for Cu<sub>0.67</sub>Ni<sub>0.33</sub> are for an external field of, from bottom to top, 50 kOe (3.98 MA m<sup>-1</sup>), 30 kOe (2.38 MA m<sup>-1</sup>), and 10 kOe (0.80 MA m<sup>-1</sup>). All other spectra were taken in an external field of 50 kOe (3.98 MA m<sup>-1</sup>), except for the zero-field spectra. The Cu<sub>0.9</sub>No<sub>0.1</sub> theoretical spectra use  $T_{\rm K} = 2.7$  K.

gion appear<sup>1, 2</sup> to extend over  $\sim 100$  lattice sites, with a cluster moment occurring if a region of the crystal is Ni rich. The critical local Ni concentration is probably in excess of 65%. Ferromagnetism occurs when there is sufficient overlap between clusters (namely at  $x \sim 0.43$ ). The Fe site enhanced moments appearing in the Curich alloys have smaller dimensions. We have observed<sup>14</sup> alloys containing 3% Fe to order ferromagnetically with  $T_c \sim 10$  K for  $0.1 \le x \le 0.3$ whereas  $\frac{1}{2}\%$  Fe alloys do not order down to 4 K. The clusters are thus of limited spatial extent. i.e., the moment is limited to one or two shells of neighbors (consistent with the model used to describe the Mössbauer spectra), in contrast to the giant clusters in the critical region.

Consider Eq. (1). The value  $2.85 \mu_{\rm B}$  is characteristic of an Fe atom moment in either pure Cu  $^{15}$  or pure Ni  $^{16}$  and 0.6 $\mu$   $_{\rm B}$  is characteristic of a Ni moment. A zero moment is expected for Cu.<sup>5</sup> The average cluster moments predicted by Eq. (1) (setting n equal to the average nearestneighbor Ni count) are in agreement with the values obtained from a standard  $plot^{17}$  (see Fig. 2) of the average hyperfine splitting as a function of  $H_0/(T-T_C)$ , with  $T_C = 0$  for the nonferromagnetic alloys. For example, this yields an average moment of ~5.4 $\mu_B$  for the x = 0.33 alloy, as compared with  $5.25 \mu_{\rm B}$  given by setting n = 4 in Eq. (1). The moment values derived from Fig. 2 are plotted in Fig. 3. It is seen that there is a sharp break in moment behavior between the Cu-rich and critical regions. Given the moment appropriate to a particular near-neighbor environment, the magnetic field at the Fe nucleus is the sum of the applied field and an induced field. The induced hyperfine field is given by

$$H_{\rm eff}{}^{n} = -H_{\rm sat}{}^{n}B_{J}(\mu_{n}H_{0}/kT), \qquad (2)$$

where  $B_J$  is a Brillouin function and  $J = \mu/(g\mu_B)$ . We have used g = 2, a result appropriate to the behavior<sup>15</sup> of Fe in pure Cu. The present results are not very sensitive to the value of g.



FIG. 2. Average Fe-site internal field versus  $H_0/(T-T_{\rm C})$  for  ${\rm Cu}_{1-x}{\rm Ni}_x$  (<sup>57</sup>Co) alloys.  $H_0$  is the externally applied field ( $H_0 = 50$  kOe for all the data points shown). The Curie temperatures,  $T_{\rm C}$ , are 79 K for  ${\rm Cu}_{0.47}{\rm Ni}_{0.53}$ , 16 K for  ${\rm Cu}_{0.53}{\rm Ni}_{0.47}$ , and zero for the remaining alloys. The x = 0.53 and 0.47 curves have been extended to the saturation fields as determined below the Curie temperature. The Cu data for  $H_0 = 66$  and 136 kOe are from Ref. 7.



FIG. 3. Measured average moment  $\mu$  versus percent Ni for isolated Fe in Cu-Ni. The point for pure Cu is from Ref. 9. Also shown are the cluster specific heat A (Ref. 2), and the concentration C of magnetic clusters as measured by neutron diffraction (from Ref. 1).

Changes in the Fe hyperfine field with alloying are most sensitive to the near-neighbor environment in ferromagnetic metals.<sup>18</sup> In a case such as that under consideration, where the magnetic cluster moment is largely limited to near neighbors, it appears reasonable to assume  $H_{\text{sat}}$  " is a function of n alone with only minor contributions from further neighbors. We utilize the data of Wertheim and Wernick<sup>19</sup> to obtain  $H_{\rm sat}$  " values of 250, 262, 270, 276, 280, and 282 for n = 7 to 12 (i.e., the Ni-rich ferromagnetic alloys), respectively. For n = 6 our source data for ferromagnetic alloys below their Curie temperatures show  $H_{\text{sat}}^{6} = 240$ .  $H_{\text{sat}}^{0}$  was obtained<sup>7, 20</sup> from source data for Fe in Cu as 80 kG. The remaining  $H_{sat}^{n}$ are very nearly what one would obtain by drawing a smooth curve through the above mentioned fields. The values utilized<sup>21</sup> are 130, 155, 185, 205, and 225 for n = 1 to 5, respectively.

Given these  $H_{sat}$ " values and the assumption of a random alloy (i.e., a binomial probability distribution for *n*), all the data of Fig. 1 are well described except the low temperature (i.e., T=2and 4 K) results for  $Cu_{0,9}Ni_{0,1}$ . In this case the assumed  $H_{sat}$ " values are too large. This apparent reduction in saturation hyperfine field at low temperature is also present<sup>6</sup> for Fe in pure Cu and has been explained as due to a moment fluctuation<sup>6</sup> or compensation,<sup>7, 22</sup> i.e., the Kondo effect. Assuming this, we replace<sup>23</sup> T by  $T+T_{\rm K}$  in Eq. (2) and, retaining the above  $H_{sat}$ " values, we obtain  $T_{\rm K} = 2.7$  K, yielding the x = 0.1 spectra plotted in Fig. 1. The presence of the Kondo effect is, of course, not proven by this. However, granting the presence of the Kondo effect for Fe in pure Cu, it is present in this alloy as well. Measurements at higher fields would be useful. With  $T_{\rm K}$  (for x = 0.1) and the above values for  $H_{\rm sat}$ " we obtain the predictions shown as solid lines in Fig. 1. The ability to predict a large variety of spectra supports the essential correctness of the model. Note that only a small amount of second-neighbor, atomic clustering, or other effects would be allowed in an attempt to improve the theoretical spectra by parametrization.

The cluster-moment data at Fe sites deduced from Fig. 2 is compared in Fig. 3 with data on binary Cu-Ni alloys. [No datum point is shown for the enhanced Fe moment for x = 0.1 since the moment value from Eq. (1) was assumed in the process of obtaining  $T_{\rm K}$ =2.7 K.] We see that the small cluster moments go over sharply to values of  $(17-20)\mu_{\rm B}$  when the Fe is in the presence of the giant clusters of the critical region. The cluster specific-heat (A) results<sup>2</sup> sample the number of uncoupled paramagnetic giant clusters. The neutron diffraction results<sup>1</sup> C sample those clusters which are ferromagnetically coupled (hence the concentration C rises at a larger Ni concentration than either A or our data). Susceptibility studies<sup>3</sup> have also shown the onset of the uncoupled giant cluster moments. Taken with magnetization data, the neutron diffraction results yield a cluster-moment value of  $(8-10)\mu_{\rm B}$ for a giant iron-free cluster, while our results yield  $(17-20)\mu_B$  for a cluster in which an Fe moment is imbedded. These enhanced moments are somewhat larger than the simple sum of the ironfree Cu-Ni alloy giant moment [with its average of  $(0.1 \text{ to } 0.2)\mu_{\rm B}$  per Ni site] plus the local Fe near-neighbor cluster including its  $0.6\mu_{\rm B}$  for the nearest-neighbor Ni sites as described by Eq. (1). One might expect that an Fe moment nucleates a giant cluster moment. Giant moments which are larger than the simple sum are consistent with this expectation and with the model, advanced by Hicks et al.,<sup>1</sup> for the moment in the pure Cu-Ni alloy.

We wish to acknowledge the technical assistance of R. L. Parke and R. Robbins, and conversations with P. A. Beck, T. A. Kitchens, J. S. Kouvel, and I. D. Weisman.

<sup>\*</sup>Work supported in part by the Office of Saline Water, Department of Interior.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Also Consultant, National Bureau of Standards. <sup>‡</sup>Work supported by U. S. Atomic Energy Commis-

sion.

- <sup>1</sup>T. J. Hicks, B. Rainford, J. S. Kouvel, G. G. Low,
- and J. B. Comly, Phys. Rev. Letters 22, 531 (1969),

and J. Appl. Phys. 40, 1107 (1969); J. S. Kouvel, Bull.

Am. Phys. Soc. <u>14</u>, 301 (1969).

<sup>2</sup>C. G. Robbins, H. Claus, and P. A. Beck, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>22</u>, 1307 (1969), and J. Appl. Phys. <u>40</u>,

2269 (1969); K. P. Gupta, C. H. Cheng, and P. A.

Beck, Phys. Rev. 133, A203 (1964).

<sup>3</sup>F. M. Ryan, E. W. Pugh, and R. Smoluchowski, Phys. Rev. <u>116</u>, 1106 (1959).

<sup>4</sup>H. C. Van Elst, B. Lubach, and G. J. Van Den Berg, Physica <u>28</u>, 1297 (1962).

<sup>5</sup>This is consistent with models, such as that presented by N. D. Lang and H. Ehrenreich [Phys. Rev. <u>168</u>, 605 (1968)], in which a *d* hole is assumed to not enter a Cu site in the Cu-Ni system.

<sup>6</sup>T. A. Kitchens, W. A. Steyert, and R. D. Taylor,

Phys. Rev. <u>138</u>, A467 (1965). <sup>7</sup>R. B. Frankel, N. A. Blum, B. B. Schwartz, and

D. J. Kim, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>18</u>, 1051 (1967).

<sup>8</sup>B. Mozer, D. T. Keating, and S. C. Moss, Phys. Rev. <u>175</u>, 868 (1968).

<sup>9</sup>A. Kidron, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>22</u>, 774 (1969).

<sup>10</sup>S. C. Moss, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>23</u>, 381 (1969).

<sup>11</sup>The Mössbauer effect detects the effect of atomic clustering due to various thermal treatments; this aspect of our data will be reported elsewhere (L. H. Bennett and L. J. Swartzendruber, to be published). Our results indicate no deviation from randomness in the rapidly quenched source alloys. Other measurements of the atomic clustering have been extensively reported. See, for example, Refs. 3 and 4, and E. Kneller, M. W. Wolff, and E. Egger, J. Appl. Phys. <u>37</u>, 838 (1966).

<sup>12</sup>An adjustable parameter  $T_{\rm K}$  outside the simple model is required by the observed low value of hyperfine field at low temperature for  ${\rm Cu}_{0,\vartheta}{\rm Ni}_{0,1}$ .

<sup>13</sup>For examples of the complexities involved in fitting spectral shapes as a function of both temperature and composition see L. J. Swartzendruber and L. H. Bennett, J. Appl. Phys. <u>39</u>, 1323 (1968), and W. L. Trousdale, G. Longworth, and T. A. Kitchens, J. Appl. Phys. <u>38</u>, 922 (1967).

 $^{14}\rm M\ddot{o}ssbauer$  absorber experiments in the ferromagnetic (3% Fe) alloys give  $H_{\rm sat}$  values equal to the

source  $H_{sat}$  for a paramagnetic 33% Ni and for ferromagnetic 47 and 53% Ni samples. At lower Ni concentration, higher  $H_{sat}$  values are observed in absorbers than in sources.

<sup>15</sup>C. M. Hurd, J. Phys. Chem. Solids <u>28</u>, 1345 (1967).
<sup>16</sup>C. G. Shull and M. K. Wilkinson, Phys. Rev. <u>97</u>, 304 (1955); G. G. Low and M. F. Collins, J. Appl. Phys. <u>34</u>, 1195 (1963).

 $\overline{}^{17}$ As described in Ref. 6, to determine moment values from a standard plot the saturation fields are first obtained at high values of  $H_0/T$ . After picking a suitable g factor, the slope at small  $H_0/T$  then determines the moment. For the ferromagnetic alloys we use the same procedure with T replaced by  $T-T_C$ , where  $T_C$ is the Curie temperature.

<sup>18</sup>See, for example, G. K. Wertheim, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>4</u>, 403 (1960); M. B. Stearns, Phys. Rev. <u>147</u>, 439 (1966); G. K. Wertheim, V. Jaccarino, and J. H. Wernick, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>12</u>, 24 (1964); M. Rubinstein, G. H. Stauss, and M. B. Stearns, J. Appl. Phys. <u>37</u>, 1334 (1966); T. J. Burch, J. I. Budnick, and S. Skalski, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>22</u>, 846 (1969).

<sup>19</sup>G. K. Wertheim and J. H. Wernick, Phys. Rev. <u>123</u>, 755 (1961).

<sup>20</sup>D. C. Golibersuch and A. J. Heeger, Phys. Rev. <u>182</u>, 58 (1969). Comparing the Mössbauer and susceptibility at high temperatures, these authors obtain  $H_{\text{sat}}^{0} = 140$  kG. Use of this rather than the 80 kG from Ref. 7 would have an important effect only on our x = 0.1 result, giving a somewhat higher value for  $T_{\text{K}}$ .

<sup>21</sup>These  $H_{\text{sat}}^n$  were adjusted slightly by fitting the x = 0.21 and 0.33 spectra taken at largest  $H_0/T$  (i.e., when all moments are nearly saturated). The x=0.21 spectrum is most sensitive to  $H_{\text{sat}}^n$  for n=1, 2, and 3 while that for x=0.33 is sensitive to n=3, 4, 5.

 $^{22}$ M. D. Daybell and W. A. Steyert, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>18</u>, 398 (1967). The equivalence of the spin-compensated state and a localized spin fluctuation has been discussed by N. Rivier and M. J. Zuckerman, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>21</u>, 904 (1968).

<sup>23</sup>This procedure may not be strictly correct from a theoretical point of view (e.g., see Ref. 18 which uses  $T + 2T_{\rm K}$ ), but it provides a satisfactory description of the data for Cu-Fe, as presented in Refs. 6 and 7, yielding a  $T_{\rm K}$  of 16 K, in sensible agreement with other experimental estimates ranging from 8 to 18 K.