two cases: There can be a single Lorentz pole at $s=0$ or an infinite number of poles. Any finite number $m>1$ leads to nonfactorizable Regge residues β_{n_i} for $n>m$.

[10]The little group of the Poincare group for unequal-mass scattering at $s=0$ is $E(2)$. Since this is a symmetry group of the scattering amplitude, it might be supposed that the parent-daughter sequence of Regge poles would classify in this case as a single irreducible representation of $E(2)$. This is not so. The representation coefficients of $E(2)$ are Bessel functions (cf. J. F. Boyce, R. Delbourgo, A. Salam, and J. Strathdee, to be published). No single irreducible representation can yield the expected t^{α} behavior of $A(s,t,u)$ for $s=0, t\to\infty$. The result obtained from a single irreducible representation of $E(2)$ is also singular in the limits $m_1-m_2\to 0, m_3-m_4\to 0$.

[11]J. B. Bronzan and C. E. Jones, Phys. Rev. Letters 21, 564 (1968).

ERRATA

SUPERCONDUCTIVITY IN MULTIPLE PAIR-BREAKING REGIMES. R. P. Guertin, W. E. Masker, T. W. Mihalisin, R. P. Groff, and R. D. Parks [Phys. Rev. Letters 20, 387 (1968)].

In line 38 of the second column on page 388, the factor 0.006 should be changes to 0.003. The same change should be made in Fig. 1 and the caption for Fig. 1.

TRAJECTORIES IN VENEZIANO'S MODEL. Ralph Roskies [Phys. Rev. Letters 21, 1851 (1968)].

In condition (A) of the theorem, replace $\ln S$ by $\ln s$. The first term of the right side of Eq. (22) should be $2\nu Ks/(\ln s)^{\nu+1}$, and the right-hand side of (25) should read

$$\exp[\tfrac{1}{2}\pi s/(\ln s)]^{\nu}\times\text{lower order terms.}$$

These changes do not affect the argument at all.

I wish to thank Professor B. Desai for pointing out the error in (25).

COULOMB DISTORTION EFFECTS IN LARGE-ANGLE $M1$ ELECTROEXCITATION. B. T. Chertok and W. T. K. Johnson [Phys. Rev. Letters 22, 67 (1969)].

A paper by Dieter Dreschel [Nucl. Phys. A113, 665 (1968)] was brought to our attention after submission of this Letter for publication. Dreschel has given an excellent quantitative evaluation of the model independency of Coulomb corrections in $M1$ electroexcitation and has demonstrated the inadequacy of the transition radius, R_{tr}, as a unique (experimentally determined) quantity for transverse transitions. Preliminary calculations of the model dependency of the $M1$ radiative widths in our work indicate, e.g., a possible additional uncertainty of 5-7% in Γ_0 for the 11.42-MeV, 1^+ level of ^{28}Si.

The end of the last sentence of paragraph 6 should read, "$\cdots$ versus an experimental value for the ratio of the two shape factors, $A=a^-(^{12}\mathrm{B})/a^+(^{12}\mathrm{N})$, $A=(1.07\pm0.24)\%$ per MeV."