VOLUME 21, NUMBER 9

unambiguous evidence for sizable high- (fifth-) rank superexchange terms as predicted theoretically by Levy, and Elliott and Thorpe. It is apparent that such terms are by no means unique to the Ce^{3+} ion and must be anticipated in most situations where the magnetic moment contains an appreciable orbital contribution.

We would like to thank Dr. R. Shore and Mrs. C. Peagram for growing the crystals used in this study. We would also like to thank Dr. P. M. Levy, Dr. W. P. Wolf, Dr. R. J. Elliott, and Mr. R. B. Clover for a number of helpful discussions. ²R. J. Elliott and M. F. Thorpe, J. Appl. Phys. <u>39</u>, 802 (1968).

³W. P. Wolf and R. J. Birgeneau, Phys. Rev. <u>166</u>, 376 (1968).

⁴R. Orbach and M. Tachiki, Phys. Rev. <u>158</u>, 524 (1967).

⁵J. M. Baker and A. F. Mau, Can. J. Phys. <u>45</u>, 403 (1967).

 $^{6}\mathrm{K.}$ A. Wickersheim and R. L. White, Phys. Rev. Letters $\underline{8},$ 483 (1962).

⁷S. J. Allen, Phys. Rev. <u>166</u>, 530 (1968).

⁸Somewhat less complete measurements on Nd³⁺ pairs have been previously reported by K. L. Brower, H. J. Stapleton, and E. O. Brower, Phys. Rev. <u>146</u>, 233 (1966); and J. M. Baker, J. D. Riley, and R. G. Shore, Phys. Rev. 150, 198 (1966).

 9 R. J. Birgeneau, M. T. Hutchings, and R. N. Rogers, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>16</u>, 584 (1966), and Phys. Rev. (to be published).

¹⁰D. P. Landau, R. J. Birgeneau, M. T. Hutchings, and W. P. Wolf, J. Appl. Phys. 39, 975 (1968).

¹¹R. B. Clover and R. J. Birgeneau, to be published. ¹²R. J. Birgeneau, M. T. Hutchings, and W. P. Wolf, Phys. Rev. Letters 17, 308 (1966).

NEW PHOTOEMISSION STUDIES OF THE *d* BANDS OF NICKEL AND COPPER

D. E. Eastman

IBM Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York

and

W. F. Krolikowski*

Stanford University, Stanford, California, and IBM Components Division, East Fishkill, New York (Received 17 June 1968)

New photoemission studies of the optical density of states of nickel and copper are reported. In contrast to other earlier measurements, both Ni and Cu have a sharp maximum at the top of the *d* band. The *d*-band optical density of states of Ni and Cu are consistent with a simple rigid-band model: The exchange splitting of Ni is estimated as $\delta E_{\rm ex} \simeq 0.4 \pm 0.2$ eV.

Previous photoemission studies¹⁻³ of the optical density of states of Ni have found an anomalously strong peak at 4.5 eV below the Fermi level $E_{\rm F}$. The observation of this strong peak is contrary to all one-electron band calculations (which are becoming increasingly successful in explaining numerous properties^{4,5} of Ni). The conclusion was that a rigid-band model was not valid for the *d*-band optical density of states of Ni and Cu.

We report new photoemission results for Ni and Cu which differ from those previously published.^{1,3,6,7} The most striking results of the new measurements are (1) the observation of a sharp maximum in the density of states at the top of the filled d band for both metals (for Ni at $E_{\rm F}$ and for Cu ~2 eV below $E_{\rm F}$) and (2) a large reduction in the amplitude of the above-mentioned 4.5 eV peak in Ni. We find good agreement between our d-band optical density of states of Ni and Cu using a simple rigid band model with an exchange splitting of 0.4 ± 0.2 eV for Ni. In contrast to previous photoemission results, we find general agreement with one-electron band calculations. In particular, we observe good agreement between the optical density of states of Ni and the one-electron band calculations. Support the optical density of states of Ni and the one-electron band calculations. The previous photoemis band calculations of Hodges, Ehrenreich, and Lang,⁴ who determined an exchange splitting of 0.37 eV at $E_{\rm F}$. The previously reported¹⁻³ anomalous peak 4.5

^{*}National Research Council of Canada Postdoctoral Fellow. Present address: Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, N. J.

[†]Present address: University of California, Los Angeles, Calif.

¹P. M. Levy, Phys. Rev. <u>135</u>, A155 (1964), and Phys. Rev. Letters 20, 1366 (1968).

eV below $E_{\rm F}$ for Ni was found by us to be sensitive to surface conditions and, under clean surface conditions, to have a much lower amplitude.

Photoemission was measured in the 5- to 11.6eV range using experimental techniques similar to those described by Spicer and co-workers.^{2,8} An ac synchronous detection system with a cylindrical retarding collector was used. For Ni, a gold-plated spherical mesh collector was also used and gave somewhat improved energy resolution but otherwise identical results.

Ni films were prepared by evaporation onto smooth substrates using 99.999% pure Ni metal and an electron-beam gun in an ultrahigh-vacuum system. Ni, Au, and quartz substrates yielded identical results. The pressure rose from a base of $\sim 7 \times 10^{-11}$ Torr to $\sim 5 \times 10^{-9}$ during evaporation and then fell rapidly to $\sim 2 \times 10^{-10}$ immediately after evaporation. X-ray and electron diffraction measurements indicated polycrystalline fcc Ni with ~100- to 150-Å grain size. Cu films were prepared by evaporation onto smooth Cu substrates in an ultrahigh-vacuum system; pressures of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-9}$ were maintained during and after evaporation.⁹ The effect of surface contamination was studied by varying the pressure during and after the film evaporation. For Cu, films prepared at $\sim 5 \times 10^{-8}$ initially resembled those prepared in better vacuum. Within an hour, however, a large peak of slow electrons appeared, the quantum yield increased, and a general smearing of structure occurred. The vacuum conditions were less critical for Ni. For example, an air leak of $\sim 1 \times 10^{-7}$ did not significantly distort the data at room temperature over a period of hours. However, short exposures to higher pressures strongly enhanced the above-mentioned distortion effects.

Measured energy distribution curves (EDC's) for Ni and Cu films are shown in Fig. 1(a) for a 10.2-eV photon energy. As the photon energy was varied in the 6- to 11.6-eV range, the structure in the curves remained stationary in initial-state energy E_i while smoothly changing amplitude. This observation indicates valence-band structure, a smooth density of states in the conduction band, and the dominance of nondirect transitions.^{2,6} In this case the measured curves directly reflect structure in the optical density of states of the valence band.

Optical density-of-states curves for Ni and Cu, shown in Fig. 1(b), have been derived using the nondirect transition model,² in which transition probabilities are taken proportional to the prod-



FIG. 1. (a) Energy distribution curves of Ni and Cu at $h\nu = 10.2$ eV. $E_i = E - h\nu$. (b) Optical density of states of Ni and Cu.

uct of the initial and final state densities. The occupied valence-band region below $E_{\mathbf{F}}$ follows directly from a set of energy distribution curves taken at various photon energies. Constant matrix elements are assumed. The dashed portions of the valence-band curves in Fig. 1(b) are less reliable than the solid portions because of scattering effects. The dashed portion of the valence band curve for Cu below -5.5 eV was deduced from cesiated Cu data⁶ (which showed strong scattering effects). The empty conduction band shown for Ni consists of a 0.5-eV wide d-state region at $E_{\mathbf{F}}$ plus a simple parabolic band and is plausibly based on one-electron band considerations. The optical conductivity of Ni has been calculated using the nondirect transition model^{2,6} and is in good agreement with the measured optical conductivity.¹⁰

The valence band of Ni [Fig. 1(b)] consists of a

high-density d band extending from $E_{\mathbf{F}}$ to about -5.5 eV, with a sharp dominant peak at -0.2 eV, a second broader peak ~1.7 eV lower, and a third weaker peak ~4.5 eV below $E_{\rm F}$. While the location of all structure previously reported is consistent in energy with that of Fig. 1(b), the amplitudes are extremely different. In the earlier work, the peak at -4.5 eV was dominant and the other two peaks were much less resolved. We have found the peak at -4.5 eV to be increased by air exposure as previously mentioned. EDC's very similar to those previously reported¹⁻³ could be produced by short exposures to low vacuum (~ 10^{-6} Torr). Also, a monolayer of yttrium, which lowered the work function from 5.2 to $\sim 3 \text{ eV}$, strongly enhanced the -4.5 -eV peak. From these studies we conclude that the large number of slow electrons in the -4.5-eV peak previously reported¹⁻³ probably resulted from surface effects. Surface conditions are important because the electron escape depths are very short. Energy distribution curves taken as a function of Ni film thickness for very thin Ni films (~3 to 100 Å) evaporated onto clean gold substrates indicate electron scattering lengths $L(E) \sim 5-15$ Å for Ni for energies greater than ~7 eV above $E_{\rm F}$.¹¹

For Cu, it is seen from Fig. 1(b) that the dband extends from a dominant peak at the top of the d band ~2eV below E_F to ~8.0 eV below E_F . The low-density *s*-*p* derived band between E_F and -2 eV and the location of the top of the dband at ~-2 eV are in good agreement with the previous results.⁶

The Cu d-band density of states in Fig. 1(b) and a simple rigid-band model for the paramagnetic d bands of Ni and Cu have been used to predict a Ni density of states.¹² Results are shown in Fig. 2. The measured optical density of states of Ni and density of states derived from Cu show good agreement, especially within 3 eV of the Fermi level. The dashed portion of the Cu-derived curve, i.e., the peak at -5 eV, is less reliable because it was deduced from data on cesiated Cu.⁶ The optical density of states for Ni derived from Cu data was determined by first placing 0.55 electron holes⁴ in the minority-spin band (taken as a direct replica of the Cu d band), to agree with the magnetization. This places $E_{\mathbf{F}}$ ~0.4 eV below the top of the minority-spin dband. Then, the majority-spin d band was shifted downwards in energy by an exchange splitting $\delta E_{ex} = 0.4 \text{ eV}$ for best agreement with the measured Ni results. Estimated bounds on δE_{ex} are



FIG. 2. Comparison of Ni optical density of states with Cu-derived optical density of states for Ni using rigid-band model with $oE_{ex} = 0.4$ eV.

 $0.2 \leq E_{\rm ex} \leq 0.6$ eV. The upper bound is well established because a doublet will appear just below $E_{\rm F}$ for larger splitting. For $\delta E_{\rm ex} \leq 0.2$ eV, holes appear in the majority-spin band and the assumption of 0.55 spin-oriented holes becomes inconsistent, even considering smearing due to the experimental resolution (0.15 eV).

The experimentally derived splitting is in good agreement with the conclusion of Phillips (0.5 ± 0.1 eV) in a recent survey paper¹³ and with the pseudopotential band calculation of Hodges, Ehrenreich, and Lang⁴ ($\delta E_{ex} = 0.37$ eV at $E_{F'}$ average splitting = 0.29 eV). The experimentally derived splitting is about $\frac{1}{2}$ the values determined by Wakoh and Yamashita (0.72 eV) using a Green'sfunction method¹⁴ and Connolly (0.95 eV) in a self-consistent augmented-plane-wave band calculation.⁵ We find good agreement between the optical density of states of Ni and the results of Hodges, Ehrenreich, and $Lang^4$ [see Fig. 3(a)]. We find disagreement between the measured results and the results of Wakoh and Yamashita¹⁴ and Connolly.⁵ As an example, we compare the optical density of states of Ni with the results of Connolly in Fig. 3(b). For both of the latter calculations, good agreement with the measured results can be obtained by reducing the exchange splitting to ~0.4 eV. To show this, we have taken the spin-up and spin-down density-of-states curves of Connolly,⁵ and by treating the exchange splitting as an adjustable parameter, ¹⁵ have reduced the exchange splitting from 0.95 to 0.4 eV. The total density of states which results is shown in Fig. 3(b) (broken line) and is seen to be in good agreement with the measured optical density of states. The conclusion is that the modified free electron Slater exchange potential used by Connolly⁵ overestimates the exchange



FIG. 3. Comparison of Ni optical density of states with band-calculation results of (a) Hodges, Ehrenreich, and Lang (Ref. 4) and of (b) Connolly (Ref. 5). In (b) the density of states resulting from Connolly's d bands with $\delta E_{\rm ex}$ reduced to 0.4 eV is also shown (broken line).

splitting in Ni. We note that the exchange splitting is not strictly rigid but is a function of energy in the band calculations of Hodges, Ehrenreich, and Lang⁴ and Connolly.⁵ However, the energy dependence of the splitting is small and is much less than the average splitting. Consequently, a rigid exchange splitting for the majority and minority spin bands of Ni is a good firstorder approximation. This is shown by the majority and minority spin band density-of-states curves both in Fig. 12 in Ref. 4 and in Fig. 5 in Ref. 5.

Comparison of the Ni optical density of states in Fig. 1(b) with soft x-ray emission studies¹⁶ and ion-neutralization studies¹⁷ shows general agreement, although much sharper resolution is seen in the photoemission results.

In conclusion, we find that our optical densityof-states measurements for Ni and Cu are in general agreement with one-electron band calculations and can be related via a simple rigidband model in a manner which is consistent with the itinerant electron band picture of ferromagnetic Ni.

The authors acknowledge helpful conversations with A. Blodgett and W. E. Spicer, and thank A. Freeman and S. Methfessel for reading the manuscript. The technical assistance of J. Donelon is gratefully acknowledged.

¹A. J. Blodgett, Jr., and W. E. Spicer, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>15</u>, 29 (1965).

²A. J. Blodgett, Jr., and W. E. Spicer, Phys. Rev. <u>146</u>, 390 (1966).

³W. M. Breen, F. Woolen, and T. Huen, Phys. Rev. 159, 475 (1967).

⁴L. Hodges, H. Ehrenreich, and N. D. Lang, Phys. Rev. 152, 505 (1966).

⁵J. W. D. Connolly, Phys. Rev. 159, 415 (1967).

⁶C. N. Berglund and W. E. Spicer, Phys. Rev. <u>136</u>, A1044 (1964).

⁷W. E. Spicer, in <u>Optical Properties and Electronic</u> <u>Structure of Metals and Alloys</u>, edited by F. Abeles (North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1966), pp. 296-313.

⁸W. E. Spicer and C. N. Berglund, Rev. Sci. Instr. <u>35</u>, 1665 (1964).

⁹The Cu and Ni data were measured at Stanford University and IBM, respectively. An extensive report on Cu is in preparation and will further describe experimental and analytic techniques (W. Krolikowski and W. E. Spicer, to be published).

¹⁰H. Ehrenreich, H. R. Philipp, and D. J. Olechna, Phys. Rev. <u>131</u>, 2469 (1963).

¹¹D. Eastman, a paper on photoemission, hot electron scattering, and optical absorption in Ni will be published.

¹²A recent Letter by D. H. Seib and W. E. Spicer [Phys. Rev. Letters <u>20</u>, 1441 (1968)] has concluded that the rigid-band model is not valid for Ni-Cu alloys. This conclusion is not incompatible with our application of a rigid-band model approximation for pure Ni and Cu. (See footnotes 17 and 18 in Seib and Spicer.) However, their data on pure Ni indicate surface contamination effects and are in disagreement with our results.

¹³J. C. Phillips, J. Appl. Phys. <u>39</u>, 755 (1968).

¹⁴S. Wakoh and J. Yamashita, J. Phys. Soc. Japan <u>19</u>, 1342 (1964).

^{*}Based in part on a thesis submitted by W. F. Krolikowski to Stanford in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Ph.D. degree. This portion of the work was prepared under National Aeronautics and Space Administration Grant No. NAG-05-020-066, Center for Materials Research Contract No. SD-87, and National Science Foundation Grant No. GP-1033.

¹⁵Within the framework of the self-consistent-field, Slater-exchange approximation as applied by Connolly, this procedure amounts to introducing a separate exchange-splitting parameter. This procedure is similar to that used for iron by P. D. DeCitto and A. Kitz,

Phys. Rev. 162, 486 (1967).

¹⁶J. R. Cuthill, A. J. McAlister, M. L. Williams, and R. E. Watson, Phys. Rev. 164, 1006 (1967).

¹⁷H. D. Hagstrom and G. E. Becker, Phys. Rev. <u>159</u>, 572 (1967).

THREE-BODY CALCULATION OF DEUTERON-ALPHA SCATTERING AND POLARIZATION*

Paul E. Shanley Department of Physics, University of California, Davis, California (Received 28 June 1968)

Three-body calculations using separable two-body interactions have been carried out for the deuteron-alpha system with the alpha particle assumed to be structureless. Phase shifts, angular distributions, and polarization have been calculated and found to be in substantial agreement with experiment.

Several recent studies¹ of the bound state and scattering properties of the three-nucleon system have shown that the major features of this system may be described by quite simple separable interactions if three-particle effects are treated exactly. There is considerable interest in applying these methods to problems involving complex nuclei, for example, the system neutron-proton-nucleus and, in fact, several model calculations employing s-wave two-body forces have been reported.²⁻⁴ The aim of the present work is to apply these methods to the deuteronalpha system,⁵ considered as a three-body problem, and to compare the results with the wealth of experimental data that is available. In our approach the alpha particle must be treated as an elementary point particle with no internal structure. This assumption seems quite reasonable for this nucleus due to the compactness of the alpha particle and due to its large binding energy and lack of low-energy excited states.

We study the $d-\alpha$ system in terms of Amado's⁶ formulation of the three-body problem generalized to include spin-dependent interactions. In this formulation two-body pair interactions are thought to proceed through a quasiparticle, or equivalently,⁷ through a separable potential. In the neutron-proton system we introduce an *s*wave interaction with parameters chosen⁸ to fit the deuteron binding energy and the triplet scattering length. In the nucleon-alpha two-body subsystems we neglect Coulomb interactions and allow the neutron and proton to have the same interaction with the alpha particle. Studies⁹ of neutron-alpha scattering below 10 MeV show that it is nonabsorptive and that only the partial waves $s_{1/2}$, $p_{3/2}$, and $p_{1/2}$ contribute significantly, with the scattering dominated by a $p_{3/2}$ resonance at 1.2 MeV. A separable interaction is introduced for each of these three partial waves with the parameters chosen to fit an effective-range analysis of low-energy $n-\alpha$ scattering carried out by Pearce and Swan.¹⁰ The form factor in each partial wave is chosen to be

$$v(k) = k^{l} / (k^{2} + \beta^{2})^{l+1}, \qquad (1)$$

which gives two parameters per interaction, a coupling constant and the range parameter β . The *s*-wave nucleon-alpha potential is made repulsive in an effort to simulate the effect of the exclusion principle in the interaction of a nucleon with a doubly closed-shell nucleus.

In order to study $d-\alpha$ scattering, one writes a set of coupled integral equations for the amplitudes describing the processes $d + \alpha -$ correlated pair + third particle, where the correlated pair may be the deuteron or any of the three quasiparticles coupled to nucleon plus alpha. The final state, so described, is said to be a channel. We write the integral equations in an L-S representation and after partial-wave analysis they have the form

$$T_{\alpha\alpha'}^{(J)}(k,k';E) = B_{\alpha\alpha'}^{(J)}(k,k';E) + 1/[(2\pi)^3] \sum_{\alpha''} \int_0^\infty n^2 dn \ T_{\alpha\alpha''}^{(J)}(k,n;E) \tau_{\alpha''}^{(n)}(n;E) B_{\alpha''\alpha'}^{(J)}(n,k';E),$$
(2)

627