## ERRATA

EVIDENCE FOR A DIRECT-CAPTURE MECH-ANISM IN THE REACTION  $Mn^{55}(n, \gamma)Mn^{56}$ . J. R. Comfort [Phys. Rev. Letters <u>20</u>, 941 (1968)].

A re-examination of the theoretical formalism outlined in the paper shows that Eq. (1) is incorrect and should read

$$\frac{\gamma_n \gamma^2}{\gamma_d p^2} \propto \frac{2J_f + 1}{(2J_f + 1)(2l_n + 1)} = r^2,$$

where  $J_f$  and  $J_c$  are the angular momenta of the final state and the neutron-capture state, respectively. This is the same as Eq. (4) of Ref. 3 and supersedes Ref. 9. The analysis of the data remains unchanged, but the evidence for direct capture in the  $(n, \gamma)$  reaction is presently without theoretical foundation. The author wishes to thank Dr. R. E. Chrien for bringing to his attention a work of M. A. Mariscotti, W. Gelletly, and J. A. Moragues on this point.

 $\pi^- p$  ELASTIC SCATTERING NEAR 180° AT 8 AND 16 GeV/c. E. W. Anderson, E. J. Bleser, H. R. Blieden, G. B. Collins, D. Garelick, J. Menes, F. Turkot, D. Birnbaum, R. M. Edelstein, N. C. Hien, T. J. McMahon, J. Mucci, and J. Russ [Phys. Rev. Letters 20, 1529 (1968)].

There were typographical errors in the second paragraph. It should read:

"The method employed was the missing-mass

technique. By measuring  $\vec{p}_1$  and  $\vec{p}_3$  with high precision, it was not necessary to measure  $\vec{p}_4$  as in previous experiments.<sup>2</sup> Elastic events are those for which the square of the missing mass,  $W^2 = [p_1 + p_2 - p_3]^2$ , lies in the peak at  $W^2 = m\pi^2$  as seen in a typical missing-mass spectrum such as shown in Fig. 2(a)."

THREE-BODY FORCES IN NUCLEAR MATTER. Bruce H. J. McKellar and R. Rajaraman [Phys. Rev. Letters 21, 450 (1968)].

Equation 1 has been transcribed wrongly and should read

$$T_{3}^{B} = \frac{g^{2}}{m} \left[ \frac{4m^{2}q_{0}^{2}}{4m^{2}q_{0}^{2} - q^{4}} + \frac{m}{(m^{2} + \vec{q}^{2})^{1/2}} \frac{[m - (m^{2} + \vec{q}^{2})^{1/2}]^{2}}{[m - (m^{2} + \vec{q}^{2})^{1/2}]^{2} - q_{0}^{2}} \right].$$

All the curves and calculations in the paper are valid and were based on the above correct expression.

THRESHOLD PION PRODUCTION IN NUCLEON-NUCLEON COLLISIONS. M. E. Schillaci, R. R. Silbar, and J. E. Young [Phys. Rev. Letters <u>21</u>, 711 (1968)].

In Eq. (8b), " $[\eta(\epsilon-\eta)]^{1/2}$ " should be replaced by " $4\eta[(\epsilon-\eta)/\mu]^{1/2}$ ." The results are not significantly affected.