DIRECT-CHANNEL RESONANCES FROM REGGE-POLE EXCHANGE*

Christoph Schmid

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California (Received 1 February 1968)

The ρ Regge amplitude for πN charge-exchange scattering with helicity flip is partialwave analyzed in the direct channel. This produces resonance circles on the Argand diagram corresponding to the prominent experimental N^* resonances.

We consider the ρ Regge amplitude for πN charge-exchange scattering with helicity flip $B_{\rm Cex}$. The usual parametrization and fit to the high-energy data, $p_L = 5.8-18.2 \ {\rm BeV}/c$, is¹

$$B_{\text{cex}} = \beta \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{\alpha - 1} \frac{1 - e^{-i\pi\alpha}}{\sin\pi\alpha \,\Gamma(\alpha)},\tag{1}$$

where $\alpha(t) = 0.57 + 1.08t$, and *E* is the laboratory energy of the π . Choosing $E_0 = 0.7$ BeV and $\beta(t) = \text{const} = 60.3$ mb, one correctly fits the relative height of the near forward peak and the secondary peak. We now extrapolate this expression down to $p_L = 1.7$ BeV/*c* and check that it roughly fits $d\sigma/dt$.² Note in particular that the dip at $t \approx -0.6$ BeV² persists down to this energy and that the magnitudes of the nearforward peak and of the secondary peak are correctly given by the extrapolation of the highenergy fit.

Our partial-wave analysis of B(E, z) in the direct channel gives

$$B_{l}(E) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} dz P_{l}(z) B(E, z).$$
 (2)



FIG. 1. (a)-(c) The complex amplitude $B_l(\psi_L)$ for fixed l versus the laboratory momentum p_L . The numbers along the circles give the values of p_L . (d) $B_l(\psi_L)$ for fixed p_L vs l. The numbers along the circle give the values of l. For normalization we have put $\beta = 1$.

We integrate out to 180° , but we ignore the small backward peak coming from N exchange. Furthermore, if we want to use $\beta(t)$ in (1) only for those t values which have been measured,³ $|t| \le 3.0 \text{ BeV}^2$, then we should stay below $p_L \approx 2.0 \text{ BeV}/c$. On the other hand, we should stay above $p_L \approx 1.7 \text{ BeV}/c$ in order to have (1) give a rough fit to the $d\sigma/dt$ data. Actually, we let p_L vary beyond these limits and consider $1.0 \le p_L \le 2.4 \text{ BeV}/c$.

Because $\beta(t)$ is constant it is irrelevant for most of the following considerations, and we put $\beta = 1$. The complex amplitude B_1 , calculated from (1) and (2), is shown in Figs. 1(a), 1(b), and 1(c) for fixed l vs p_L . Surprisingly, the B_1 describe circles on the Argand diagram. Such circles are usually associated with resonances. We read off the momentum p_{I} at which B_1 reaches the top of the circle, and in Fig. 2 we plot these "resonance" momenta versus l and compare them with the experimental resonances (1920, 2190, 2420). In Fig. 1(d) we show B_l for fixed p_L as a function of l. Such a circle corresponds to an N^* Regge pole and indicates that the N^* resonances plotted in Fig. 2 actually belong to an N^* Regge trajectory.

Let us understand qualitatively why we obtain circles. (1) The zeros of Im*B* at $\alpha = 0$, -1, -2, etc. and the double zeros of Re*B* at $\alpha = 0$, -2, -4, etc. are crucial. Consider, for exam-



FIG. 2. Chew-Frautschi plot. Curve A is the established πN resonances and curve B is the N* resonances from our partial-wave analysis of the ρ Regge exchange. E_L = lab energy of $\pi \approx p_L$. E_L is linear in $(M \text{ res})^2$.

ple, Fig. 1(d). For $p_L = 1.4$ we have $\alpha = -1.5$ at 180°. Therefore ImB contains two zeros in the physical region, $-1 \le z_S \le +1$, and the l=2 wave becomes particularly strong. (2) The signature factor $(1-e^{-i\pi\alpha})$ induces the correct counterclockwise motion on the Argand diagram because the phase of B(E, t) moves counterclockwise as (-t) increases, and the partial-wave amplitude $B_l(E)$ includes larger (-t) values at higher energies. (3) The arguments given in (1) and (2) are mainly relevant at intermediate energies the secondary peak is so much suppressed that the presence of the nodes becomes less relevant.

Are the circles in Fig. 1 really resonances? The problem is that (1) and (2) are regular at $s = m_{res}^2 - i(m\Gamma)_{res}$; they do not contain poles corresponding to resonances. Let us give a mathematical analog. Take $\psi(z)$, the logarithmic derivative of the Γ function. It has poles (resonances) at $z = 0, -1, -2, \cdots$. The asymptotic representation (Regge representation) is the Stirling expansion. ψ_{asy} is a good approximation to ψ for $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ as long as we exclude a wedge $|\arg z| < \pi - \epsilon$. But ψ_{asy} does not contain the poles at $z = 0, -1, -2, \cdots$; the approximation breaks down if we penetrate the wedge. Similarly the Regge asymptotic form B_{asy} is a good approximation to the full amplitude Bfor real s. Both amplitudes contain the resonance circles. But if we go below the physical axis the approximation breaks down, and B_{asv} does not contain the resonance poles. In a sense we are in a situation similar to that of the phaseshift analyst. We must stick to real energies, and if we see a circle, we never know with absolute certainty whether or not the extrapolation to Ims < 0 would lead to a pole.

We now make the comparison of our circles with the established N^* resonances more quantitative, and we clarify the meaning of the parameter *l*. The connection between B_l and the partial waves $f_{l\pm}$ is⁴

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}B_{l} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{E+M} (f_{(l+2n)+} - f_{(l+2+2n)-}) + \frac{1}{E-M} (f_{(l+1+2n)-} - f_{(l+1+2n)+}) \right].$$
(3)

For our comparison we approximate the righthand side of this equation by the resonances,⁵ we leave out the low-energy tails of high-energy resonances, corresponding to $n \neq 0$, and we neglect the term with $(E+M)^{-1}$. This reduces (3) to

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}B_{l} \approx \frac{1}{E-M} [f_{(l+1)} - f_{(l+1)+}].$$
(4)

Note that the parameter l in B_l mainly corresponds to an orbital angular momentum of (l+1). Using the established resonances, we obtain from (4)

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}B_{3}^{\text{cex}} \approx \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \frac{1}{E - M} f_{2190},$$
(5)

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}B_4^{\text{cex}} \approx \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \frac{1}{E - M} f_{2420},\tag{6}$$

 $B_2^{\text{Cex}} \approx \cdots (f_{1920} + f_{1688})$. From Fig. 1 we read off the widths $M\Gamma = (0.7, 0.8 \text{ GeV}^2)$ for l = (3, 4). The corresponding experimental N^* widths are $M\Gamma = (0.44, 0.67 \text{ GeV}^2)$. The elasticities are determined by the height of the circles in Fig. 1, and using $\beta = 60.4$ mb and (5) and (6) we obtain $M\Gamma x = (0.12, 0.09 \text{ GeV}^2)$. This agrees well with Rosenfeld's values $M\Gamma x = (0.13, 0.07 \text{ GeV}^2)$.

Let us compare our procedure with the N/Dscheme.⁶ Both times one uses as an input the particles in the crossed channel (forces), in the N/D scheme elementary ρ exchange, in our case Regge ρ exchange. One computes the contribution of this exchange to a definite partial wave in the direct channel $a_l(s)$. In our scheme this already produces the direct-channel resonances. In the N/D scheme this only gives a real Born amplitude, and the additional step of unitarization generates the resonance. In contrast, the Regge ρ exchange amplitude is automatically unitary, since it roughly represents the full amplitude. For the same reason it automatically includes absorption.

We have shown (Horn et al.⁷) how finite-energy sum rules (FESR) imply that direct-channel resonances are, on the average over the low- and intermediate-energy region, already contained in the Regge amplitude of the crossed channel. The present Letter shows that the equivalence between *t*-channel Regge poles and *s*-channel resonances does not only hold on the average, but even locally at each intermediate energy. (At low energies the equivalence must break down; the resonances no longer overlap.) The equivalence $B_{\rho} \text{Regge} \approx B_N * \text{res}$ suggested by Fig. 1 shows that the interference model,⁸ which puts $B \approx B_{\text{Regge}} + B_{\text{res}}$ in the intermediate energy region, involves severe double counting.

We do not know yet whether this equivalence is a very general feature of strong interactions. But we might mention one additional example, $K^{\pm}p$. Assume for the moment that the Pomeranchon is a special case with $\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}(t) \equiv 1$, and that for purposes of describing $K^{\pm}p$ we can lump together all odd-signature meson trajectories (μ, ω, φ) into one trajectory X, and all even signature trajectories (A_2, f, f') into Y. We further assume that X and Y are exchange degenerate.⁹ If we now partial-wave analyze the Regge amplitudes, we find that the Pomeranchon does not generate resonance circles because it is purely imaginary. The meson signature factor in K^+p factor is real, $(+1-e^{-i\pi\alpha})_X$ $-(-1-e^{-i\pi\alpha})_{Y}=2$, and we cannot obtain resonances. On the other hand, for K^-p the phase of the Regge amplitude rotates, $(+1-e^{-i\pi\alpha})_X$ $+(-1-e^{-i\pi\alpha})_Y = -2e^{-i\pi\alpha}$, the imaginary part has zeros at $\alpha = 0, -1, -2, \cdots$, and the real part has zeros at $\alpha = \cdots, +\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, \cdots$. Therefore we expect that resonances will be generated in $K^{-}p$.

The argument in the opposite direction is well known.⁹ No resonances in K^+p (and pp, *KK*) means that there are only direct forces,

but no exchange forces, in $K\overline{K} \rightarrow p\overline{p}$ (and $p\overline{p} \rightarrow p\overline{p}$, $K\overline{K} \rightarrow K\overline{K}$). Therefore (ρ, ω, φ) are "exchange degenerate" with (A_2, f, f') , and the total cross sections in K^+p and K^+n must be constant and equal.

*This work was supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

¹F. Arbab and C. B. Chiu, Phys. Rev. <u>147</u>, 1645 (1966); G. Höhler, J. Baacke, and G. Eisenbeiss, Phys. Letters <u>22</u>, 203 (1966). Since Arbab and Chiu were interested only in |t| < 1.4 BeV², they put $1/\Gamma(\alpha) \propto \alpha(\alpha + 1)$.

²A. S. Carroll <u>et al.</u>, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>16</u>, 288 (1966).

³A. V. Stirling <u>et al.</u>, Phys. Letters <u>20</u>, 75 (1966). ⁴V. Singh, Phys. Rev. <u>129</u>, 1889 (1963); G. Chew, M. L. Goldberger, F. E. Low, and Y. Nambu, Phys.

Rev. <u>106</u>, 1337 (1957).

⁵A. H. Rosenfeld <u>et al</u>., Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>39</u>, 1 (1967). ⁶F. Zachariasen, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>7</u>, 112, 268 (1961).

⁷D. Horn and C. Schmid, California Institute of Technology Report No. CALT-68-127 (unpublished); R. Dolen, D. Horn, and C. Schmid, Phys. Rev. <u>166</u>, 1768 (1968).

⁸V. Barger and M. Olsson, Phys. Rev. <u>151</u>, 1123 (1966).

⁹R. C. Arnold, Phys. Rev. Letters 14, 657 (1965).

TEST OF TIME-REVERSAL INVARIANCE USING THE MÖSSBAUER TRANSMISSION OF THE 73-keV TRANSITION IN Ir¹⁹³ †

M. Atac, B. Chrisman, P. Debrunner, and H. Frauenfelder Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois (Received 6 November 1967)

The real and imaginary parts of the E2-M1 mixing ratio of the 73-keV transition in Ir¹⁹³ have been determined in an arrangement that requires no correction for Faraday rotation. The values Re $\delta = +0.556 \pm 0.010$ and Im $\delta = (+0.6 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-3}$ result in a phase angle between E2 and M1 of $\eta = (+1.1 \pm 3.8) \times 10^{-3}$, thus showing no evidence for T non-conservation.

The *CP* nonconservation observed in the K_2^0 decay¹ led Bernstein, Feinberg, and Lee² to conjecture that *T* invariance is violated in the electromagnetic interaction of hadrons. As a result of such a violation, nuclear matrix elements would contain an admixture of a *T*-odd amplitude. Under favorable conditions, the fractional admixture could be as large as 10^{-3} to 10^{-2} and lead to effects observable in interference terms, $\langle f|L|i\rangle\langle f|L'|i\rangle^* + c.c.$, of mixed gamma transitions. If *T* invariance holds, the ratio of reduced matrix elements is real³; a complex mixing ratio indicates fail-

ure of T invariance.⁴ Experiments so far have been done on E2-M1 mixed transitions where we write for the ratio of reduced matrix elements⁵

$$\delta = \langle f \| E2 \| i \rangle / \langle f \| M1 \| i \rangle = |\delta| e^{i\eta}.$$

A deviation of the phase angle η from 0 or π indicates violation of T invariance. We have performed a measurement of δ for the 73-keV $\frac{1}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$ transition in Ir¹⁹³ using the Mössbauer effect in a geometry that requires no correction for Faraday rotation. Our result, Re δ =+0.556±0.010, Im δ = (+0.6±2.1)×10⁻³, η = (+1.1