## HELICON RESONANCES IN NIOBIUM NEAR  $H_{c2}$ <sup>†</sup>

## B.W. Maxfield

Department of Physics and Laboratory of Atomic and Solid State Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York (Received 21 June 1967)

In a recent Letter, Caroli and Maki' calculate the low-frequency  $(\omega \ll kT_c/h)$  electromagnetic response for a pure type-II superconductor in the vicinity of  $H_{c2}$ . In particular, when the oscillating currents are in a plane perpendicular to the static external magnetic field  $H_{\alpha}$ , the imaginary part of conductivity vanishes to order  $H_{c2}-H_0$ . As a consequence of this, they find that the helicon resonant frequency in the mixed state just below  $H_{c2}$  should be the normal-state value at  $H_{c2}$  scaled by an appropriate field- and temperature-dependent carrier density,  $N_n$ .

Caroli and Maki' suggest that a precise determination of the helicon frequency in the vicinity of  $H_{\bf C2}^{\parallel}$  would be a direct check of their theory. We have determined the field dependence of the helicon resonant frequency near  $H_{c2}$  in a very pure, strain-free sample of Nb<sup>2</sup> having a residual-resistance ratio of about 11000. The field dependence near  $H_{c2}$  is much different from that reported previously,<sup>3</sup> probably because strains that were introduced by spark cutting are no longer present. The experimental results are shown in Fig. 1. Both the field and frequency scales are expanded to show the detailed field dependence near  $H_{c2}$ . There is no discontinuity in  $\nu_{\gamma}$ , but there appears to be a discontinuity in the slope of  $\nu_{\gamma}$ vs  $H_0$ .



FIG. 1. The field dependence of the helicon resonant frequency in niobium near  $H_{c,2}$ . Both scales are expanded. The niobium sample is a flat plate 0.3 mm  $\times$  4  $mm \times 35 mm$  having a resistance ratio of 11000. The dashed line shows the extrapolated normal-state helicon resonant frequency.  $H_a = H_{c2} = 2630$  G and  $H_b$  $=2750$  G.

On close examination there appear to be two "critical" fields. These are shown in Fig. 1 by arrows labeled  $H_{a}$  and  $H_{b}$ . At the lower field,  $H_{a}$ , there is a sharp change in the slope of  $\nu_{\gamma}$  vs  $H_0$ . This field corresponds to  $H_{c2}$  obtained by others from magnetization measurements.<sup>4</sup> Between  $H_a$  and  $H_b$  the resonant frequency changes gradually to the extrapolated nor mal-state value. The helicon-resonance amplitude reaches the normal-state value at  $H_{\sigma}$ . Neither the resonant frequency nor the amplitude show any hysteresis.

To examine the region between  $H_a$  and  $H_b$ more closely, we determined the field dependence of the surface impedance using a marginal oscillator.<sup>5</sup> The results are shown in Fig. 2. As expected, the surface resistance' is almost linear in  $H_0$  well below  $H_{c2}$ . However, in the vicinity of  $H_{c2}$  the surface resistance changes more rapidly, but it does not reach the normal-state value until  $H<sub>b</sub>$ . The field dependence of the surface reactance shows similar behavior near  $H_{c2}$ .

We can offer no explanation of the behavior in the region between  $H_{a}$  and  $H_{b}$  but, since it is above  $H_{c2}$ , it may be associated with surface superconductivity. Our flat plate sample was accurately (within  $\frac{1}{4}^{\circ}$ ) perpendicular to the applied field; so the usual surface superconductivity' should be absent. If the transi-



FIG. 2. The field dependence of the surface resistance near  $H_{c2}$  at 680 kHz for the same sample shown in Fig. 1. Both scales are expanded. The dashed line is the extrapolated linear surface resistance in the mixed state and the solid line is the extrapolated normal-state resistance.

tion region were not present, it appears that the mixed-state helicon resonance would change rather rapidly to the normal-state value. This is the behavior expected at low frequencies from the work of Caroli and Maki.<sup>1</sup> Because of the presence of the transition region, attempting a fit to their theory does not seem reasonable.

We are extremely grateful to Dr. R. W. Meyerhoff and the Union Carbide Corporation for supplying us with the niobium samples used in this investigation. Discussions with J.W. Wilkins have been very helpful.

Christiane Caroli and Kazumi Maki, Phys. Rev. Letters 18, 698 (1967).

 ${}^{2}Dr$ . R. W. Meyerhoff prepared the niobium sample used in this investigation. The material is Union Carbide electrolytic niobium that has been rolled into a strip and then annealed and outgassed about 100'C below the melting point in a vacuum of  $10^{-10}$  Torr. Heating was aeeomplished by passing a current through the niobium. A section of the annealed strip was used for these experiments.

 ${}^{3}$ B. W. Maxfield and E. F. Johnson, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 652 (1966).

<sup>4</sup>T. McConville and B. Serin, Phys. Rev. 140, A1169 (1965).

 ${}^{5}$ In a marginal oscillator, a change in the shunt resistance (in this experiment, the surface resistance) of the tank circuit shows up as a change in the oscillator output. Marginal oscillators are discussed by E. R. Andrew, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (Cambridge University Press, London, England, 1958), p. 49.

 $6B$ . Rosenblum and M. Cardona, Phys. Rev. Letters 12, 657 (1964).

 $\sqrt[7]{R}$ . W. Rollins and J. Silcox, Phys. Rev. 155, 404 (1967). This paper gives a good discussion of surface superconductivity.

## MOSSBAUER STUDY OF FERRIMAGNETIC ORDERING IN NICKEL FERRITE AND CHROMIUM-SUBSTITUTED NICKEL FERRITE

J. Chappert\* and R. B. Frankel

National Magnet Laboratory,† Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Received 24 July 1967)

Mössbauer-effect measurements in external magnetic fields show that the magnetic structure of ferrimagnetic NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is the collinear Neel type. NiFe<sub>0.3</sub>Cr<sub>1.7</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is shown to have a triangular structure with  $\theta_A = 20 \pm 10^{\circ}$  and  $\theta_B = 50 \pm 4^{\circ}$ .

In a recent Letter,<sup>1</sup> Kedem and Rothem have presented Mössbauer data which they propose is evidence for a Yafet-Kittel triangular spin arrangement<sup>2</sup> in the ferrimagnetic spinel Ni $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ . Their conclusions are in sharp disagreement with the results of susceptibility measurements by Jacobs,<sup>3</sup> who proposed a Néel collinear model<sup>4</sup> for this material. We report here Mössbauer measurements in external magnetic fields which provide conclusive evidence for the Néel model and thus support the conclusions drawn by Jacobs. In addition, we show that the chromium substituted ferrite  $NiFe_{0.3}Cr_{1.7}O_4$  is consistent with a Yafet-Kittel model.

Many of the magnetic properties of the ferrimagnetic spinel compounds  $M^{2+}N_2^{3+}O_4$  are well understood on the basis of the Néel collinear model. However, for spinels with large amounts of chromium, the spontaneous magnetization is lower than the expected from this model and is usually interpreted in terms of

the Yafet-Kittel triangular arrangement in which each tetrahedral  $A$  and octahedral  $B$  sublattice is divided into two sub-sublattices; the resultant moments of the two triangular sublattices are antiparallel. Experimental evidence for the Yafet-Kittel model has been established by high-field susceptibility measurements $3,5$ and neutron-diffraction experiments.<sup>6,7</sup> Previous nmr<sup>8</sup> and Mössbauer<sup>9,10</sup> studies of NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> indicate two different hyperfine fields, corresponding to the iron ions on the  $A$  sites and the B sites. However, Kedem and Rothem<sup>1</sup> have concluded, mainly from the width of the Mössbauer lines, that there are four hyperfine fields and that this observation constituted experimental evidence for the Yafet-Kittel model.

Our samples were made by firing mixed oxides including  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  enriched in  $Fe<sup>57</sup>$  in a platinum crucible at 1200'C in air for ten hours; the resulting product was then ground to a pow-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>This work was supported mainly by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under Contracts Nos. AT(30-1)- 2150 and NY0-2150-31, and to a lesser degree by Advanced Research Projects Agency.