ERRATA

GAUGE FIELD ALGEBRA, SOFT-MESON METHODS, AND DIVERGENCES. M. B. Halpern and and G. Segrè [Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 611 (1967)].

Equation (3) should be calculated using the SU(3) ⊗ SU(3) field algebra, not the SU(3) field algebra. The term proportional to g_0^2/m_0^2 in the commutator is then

$$-i(g_0^2/m_0^2)f^{\alpha\delta\gamma}f^{\beta\delta\gamma}\delta(\vec{x})$$

$$\times\{j_i^{\gamma}(\vec{x},0)j_j^{\epsilon}(\vec{x},0)+j_{i,5}^{\gamma}(\vec{x},0)j_{j,5}^{\epsilon}(\vec{x},0)\}.$$

M_{lk} is appropriately modified and now vanishes in the limit of zero pion momenta, using soft-pion methods, without needing to invoke the arguments of Ref. 2. Our conclusions are all unchanged. We wish to thank Professor B. Zumino for bringing the above point to our attention.

SINGLE PROTON STATES IN Bi^{209} CORRESPONDING TO THE SHELL $82<Z<126$. J. S. Lilley and Nelson Stein [Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 709 (1967)].

There are two typographical errors. In the caption for Fig. 2, the triton potential should be $V = 200$ MeV instead of $V = 299$ MeV. On p. 712, first column, "...multiple of positive-parity states..." should read "multiplet of positive-parity states...."

ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT OF THE CESIUM ATOM. A NEW UPPER LIMIT TO THE ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT OF THE FREE ELECTRON. T. S. Stein, J. P. Carrico, E. Lipworth, and M. C. Weisskopf [Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 741 (1967)].

We note the following typographical errors that appeared in the original manuscript: (1) The Zeeman transitions studied are $(F, m_F = -I+\frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow (F, -I-\frac{1}{2})$. (2) The observed intercept difference $(Cs_{hfs}-Cs_{Zeeman})$ corresponds to 0.32 cps at 5.25×10^4 V/cm.

ELECTRICITY, GRAVITY, AND COSMOLOGY. G. Gamow [Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 759 (1967)].

The sentence on p. 761, second column, lines 9 and 10 should read, "Thus it will be impossible...."