ELECTROMAGNETIC MASS DIFFERENCE OF PIONS*†

T. Das, \ddagger G. S. Guralnik and V. S. Mathur

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York

and

F. E. Low

Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Physics Department, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts

and

J. E. Young Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico (Received 13.March 1967)

Using the algebra of currents and the sum rules recently derived by Weinberg, we calculate the electromagnetic mass difference of pions and obtain $m_{\pi} + -m_{\pi 0} \approx 5.0$ MeV.

Recently Weinberg' has obtained sum rules for the spectral functions of vector and axialvector current propagators, from which he has derived a relation between the masses of ρ and A_{1} , in remarkable agreement with experiment. The purpose of this note is to show that using similar techniques, one can calculate the electromagnetic mass splitting of the pions. We use the soft-pion technique to reduce the virtual photon-pion Compton scattering amplitude in terms of the propagator functions of the vector and axial-vector currents. Since, as we shall show in Eq. (12), the difference $m_{\pi^+}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2$ is independent of the mass of the pion, we have an a posteriori justification for the use of the soft-pion technique. Although we have used partially conserved axial-vector

currents $(PCAC)^2$ in the reduction technique and subsequently employ Weinberg's sum rules which hold when the axial-vector currents are divergenceless, this apparent inconsistency may be resolved if we take either of the following two viewpoints: (i) After the soft-pion reduction, since the expression for $m_{\pi^+}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2$ is independent of the pion mass, we may at this stage set $m_\pi=0$; or (ii) for a realistic pion mass, Weinberg's sum-rules would presum $ably¹$ be altered only by terms of the order of $(m_{\pi}/m_{\rho})^2$, which may be neglected. If we assume that the propagator functions are dominated by the low-lying poles, namely ρ , π , and A_1 , our calculation yields a value of m_{π^+} - m_{π^0} $\simeq 5.0$ MeV.

The electromagnetic self-energy of the pion in the second order is given by'

$$
\Delta E = (2\pi)^3 e^2 \text{Re}(1/2i) \int d^4x \langle 0|T[a_{\mu}(x)a_{\nu}(0)]|0\rangle \left\{ \langle \pi|T[V_{\mu}^{em}(x)V_{\nu}^{em}(0)]| \pi \rangle - \langle 0|T[V_{\mu}^{em}(x)V_{\nu}^{em}(0)]|0\rangle \right\}, (1)
$$

where we have dropped the contact terms proportional to $\varphi^2a_{\, \mu}a_{\, \mu},\,$ since the contribution due to these terms can be made to vanish by the choice of a suitable gauge. $^\textbf{4}$ In this gauge, the difference of the squared masses of π^+ and π^0 is given by

$$
m_{\pi^{+}}^{2} - m_{\pi^{0}}^{2} = -\frac{e^{2}}{4\pi} 2m_{\pi} \text{Re} \left[\int \frac{d^{4}q}{q^{2} - i\epsilon} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - 4 \frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{q^{2}} \right) \right]
$$

$$
\times \int d^{4}x \, e^{iq \cdot x} \left\{ \left\langle \pi^{+} |T| V_{\mu} \right. \text{em}_{(x)} V_{\nu} \text{em}_{(0)} | \pi^{+} \right\rangle - \left\langle \pi^{0} |T| V_{\mu} \right. \text{em}_{(x)} V_{\nu} \text{em}_{(0)} | \pi^{0} \right\rangle \right\}.
$$
 (2)

Using the soft-pion technique with $PCAC^2$ and the equal-time commutation relations,⁵ we obtain the expression given by 6

$$
m_{\pi^+}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2 = \frac{e^2}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{4m_{\pi}^4}{c_{\pi}^2} \int \frac{d^4q}{q^2 - i\epsilon} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - 4 \frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{q^2} \right) [\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ V}(q) - \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ A}(q)],\tag{3}
$$

759

where

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{\quad V}(q) = \int d^4 x \, e^{iq \cdot x} \langle 0|T[V_{\mu}^{\quad 3}(x)V_{\nu}^{\quad 3}(0)]|0\rangle \tag{4}
$$

and a similar expression for $\Delta_{\mu\ \nu}^{\ \ \ \mathcal{A}}(q)$ with axial-vector currents.
Using the expressions for the two-point functions of the vector and the axial-vector currents given by Weinberg,¹ we obtain the following spectral representations of the propagator functions⁷:

$$
\langle 0|T[V_{\mu}^{3}(x)V_{\nu}^{3}(0)]|0\rangle = \frac{-i}{4(2\pi)^{4}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dm^{2} \rho_{\nu}(m^{2}) \int d^{4}p
$$

$$
\times e^{ip \cdot x} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{m^{2}} \right) \frac{1}{p^{2} + m^{2} - i\eta} + \frac{i}{4} \delta_{\mu}4 \delta_{\nu}4 \delta^{(4)}(x) \int_{0}^{\infty} dm^{2} \frac{p_{V}(m^{2})}{m^{2}},
$$
 (5)

$$
\langle 0|T[A_{\mu}^{3}(x)A_{\nu}^{3}(0)]|0\rangle = \frac{-i}{4(2\pi)^{4}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dm^{2} \rho_{A}(m^{2}) \int d^{4}p \ e^{ip \cdot x} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{m^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{p^{2} + m^{2} - i\eta} + \frac{i}{4} \delta_{\mu}4 \delta_{\nu}4 \delta^{(4)}(x) \int_{0}^{\infty} dm^{2} \frac{p_{A}(m^{2})}{m^{2}} - \frac{-i}{4(2\pi)^{4}} F_{\pi}^{2} \int d^{4}p \ e^{ip \cdot x} \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p^{2} - i\eta} + \frac{i}{4} \delta_{\mu}4 \delta_{\nu}4 \delta^{(4)}(x) F_{\pi}^{2}, \quad (6)
$$

where the spectral functions $\rho_V(m^2)$ and $\rho_A(m^2)$ satisfy the following sum rules¹:

$$
\int_0^{\infty} {\rho_V(m^2) - \rho_A(m^2)} dm^2 = 0
$$
 (7)

and

$$
\int_0^\infty \frac{\rho V(m^2) - \rho_A(m^2)}{m^2} dm^2 = F_{\pi}^2,
$$
\n(8)

with

$$
F_{\pi} = C_{\pi}/m_{\pi}^{2}.
$$

!

Substituting Eqs. (5) , (6) , and (8) in Eq. (3) , we obtain

$$
m_{\pi^+}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2 = -\frac{3ie^2 m_{\pi}^4}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\int d^4q}{C_{\pi}^2} \int \frac{d^4q}{q^2} \int_0^{\infty} dm^2 \frac{\rho_V(m^2) - \rho_A(m^2)}{q^2 + m^2}.
$$
 (9)

We now approximate' the spectral functions by retaining only the ρ and A_1 poles, i.e.,

$$
\rho_V(m^2) = g \rho^2 \delta(m^2 - m \rho^2),
$$
\n
$$
\rho_A(m^2) = g \rho^2 \delta(m^2 - m \rho^2).
$$
\n
$$
\rho_A(m^2) = g \rho^2 \delta(m^2 - m \rho^2).
$$
\n(10)\n
$$
m \rho^2 = m \rho^2 \rho^2 m^2.
$$
\n(11),\n
$$
m \rho^2 = m \rho^2 m^2 \rho^2.
$$
\n(12)

Equation (9) with Eqs. (7) and (8) now leads to^8

$$
m_{\pi^+}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2 = (3 \ln 2) \frac{e^2 m_{\pi}^4 g^2}{4\pi} \frac{g^2}{C_{\pi}^2} \frac{2}{4\pi}.
$$
 (11)

Using the value of g&' from either current algebra 9 or the observed ρ width, we have g_{ρ}^{2} $\approx 2m_\rho^2 F_\pi^2$, so that we finally get, from Eq. (11),

$$
m_{\pi^+}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2 = \frac{(3 \ln 2)}{2\pi} \frac{e^2}{4\pi} m_{\rho}^2, \qquad (12)
$$

which gives the mass difference

$$
m_{\pi^+} - m_{\pi^0} \approx 5.0 \text{ MeV},
$$

in reasonable agreement with the experimental value¹⁰ of 4.6 MeV. It is not surprising that the approximation of keeping only the lowest lying resonances in the spectral functions leads to a reasonable value of the π^+ - π^0 mass difference, since the $\Delta I = 2$ part of the effective electromagnetic interaction relevant in this case is well described¹¹ by low q^2 values.

We would like to acknowledge a useful conversation with Professor S. Okubo.

*Work supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

)The result of this paper was obtained by the first three and last two authors independently, but they decided to publish the work jointly.

\$0n leave from Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, India.

 1 S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Letters 18, 507 (1967).

 ${}^{2}Y.$ Nambu, Phys. Rev. Letters $\underline{4}$, 380 (1960);

M. Gell-Mann and M. M. Levy, Nuovo Cimento 16,

705 (1960). We have used PCAC in the form $\partial_{\mu}A_{\mu}{}^{i}$

 $=\frac{1}{2}C_{\pi}\varphi^{i}$, where $C_{\pi} = 2m_{N}m_{\pi}^{2}g_{A}/G_{NN\pi}$.

 3 Riazuddin, Phys. Rev. 114, 1184 (1959); V. Barger and E. Kazes, Nuovo Cimento 28, 385 (1963).

⁴We choose the gauge introduced by H. M. Fried and D. R. Yennie, Phys. Rev. 112, 1391 (1958).

 5 M. Gell-Mann, Physics 1, 63 (1964).

6We assume that the Schwinger terms arising in the equal-time commutation relations are c numbers.

 $7\text{In contrast to Weinberg's notation (Ref. 1) our cur-}$ rents satisfy the usual commutation relations.

⁸Note that because of the sum rule (7) we have g_0^2 $=g_{A}^{2}$, which plays a crucial role in providing the convergence of the integral over g^2 in (9).

⁹K. Kawarabayashi and M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 255 (1966); Riazuddin and Fayyazuddin, Phys. Rev. 147, ¹⁰⁷¹ (1966); J.J. Sakurai, Phys. Rev. Letters 17, 552 (1966).

 10 A. H. Rosenfeld et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 37, 633 (1965).

 11 H. Harari, Phys. Rev. Letters 17, 1303 (1966).

SYMMETRY, SUPERCONVERGENCE, AND SUM RULES FOR SPECTRAL FUNCTIONS*

T. Das, \dagger V. S. Mathur, \dagger and S. Okubo

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York (Received 3 April 1967)

We discuss the convergence and the superconvergence properties of the invariant amplitudes which occur in suitable combinations of the propagator functions of the vector and the axial-vector currents, based on the use of symmetry arguments for the asymptotic behavior. The sum rules so obtained for the spectral functions are in good agreement with experiment.

In this note we discuss a general way to obtain sum rules for the spectral functions of vector and axial-vector currents. Two of the sum rules we derive have been recently obtained by Weinberg' under the more restrictive assumption that the pion is massless, so that the axial-vector current is divergenceless. Our method exploits the possibility of superconvergence of amplitudes occurring in suitable combinations of the vector and axial-vector two-point functions. We show that the case for convergence or superconvergence can be made on the basis of group-symmetry arguments alone. This provides some interesting insight into the relation between the concepts of superconvergence and group symmetry. Finally, we show that the sum rules we so obtain are well saturated by suitable low-lying particle states.

We start by considering the vacuum expec-

tation values of the time-ordered product of two vector and two axial-vector currents, whose Fourier transforms are given, respectively, by

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{V}(q)
$$

= $\int d^4 x e^{-iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T \{ V_{\mu j}^{i}(x) V_{\nu i}^{j}(0) \} | 0 \rangle,$ (1)

$$
\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{A}(q)
$$

= $\int d^{4}x e^{-iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T \{ A_{ij}^{i}(x) A_{ij}^{j}(0) \} | 0 \rangle$, (2)

where *i* and *j* are the SU(2) indices $(i, j = 1, 2)$. If $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ were an exact symmetry, we would have $\Delta_{\mu\nu} V(q) = \Delta_{\mu\nu} A(q)$ for all values of q . However, in nature this symmetry seems to be broken, but nevertheless one expects that in the asymptotic limit $q \rightarrow \infty$, SU(2) \otimes SU(2) would