

COVALENCY AND TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE OF HYPERFINE COUPLING Mn^{2+} IN MgO †

E. Šimánek* and Nai Li Huang

Department of Physics, University of California, Los Angeles, California

(Received 6, July 1966)

A covalent model is suggested for the calculation of the temperature dependence of hyperfine coupling. The results of calculations on Mn^{2+} in MgO show that covalent admixtures of inner shells form an important contribution to the strength of the temperature dependence.

In a previous paper, one of the present authors and Orbach¹ have proposed a mechanism for the explicit temperature dependence of the hyperfine interaction of Mn^{2+} in cubic crystals. A near-neighbor point-charge model has been adopted to evaluate the phonon-induced admixture of the excited $3d^4ns$ states into the ground $3d^5$ configuration of Mn^{2+} in MgO , and it has been shown that the calculated strength of the variation of the hyperfine constant A is an order of magnitude smaller than that deduced from measurements by Walsh, Jeener, and Bloembergen.² The purpose of the present note is to show that this discrepancy can be reduced by taking into account covalency effects in calculating the phonon-induced hyperfine field. The importance of covalent delocalization of the d electrons for the temperature dependence has been pointed out by Walsh, Jeener, and Bloembergen, who have observed that the smaller the absolute value of A the greater the temperature dependence. We consider a Mn^{2+} ion in a cubic crystal, especially MgO . The σ antibonding orbitals of the $(\text{MnO}_6)^{10-}$ octahedral complex are

$$\psi_u = N(\varphi_u - \lambda_\sigma \chi_u), \quad \text{transforming like } (3z^2 - r^2),$$

$$\psi_v = N(\varphi_v - \lambda_\sigma \chi_v), \quad \text{transforming like } (x^2 - y^2), \quad (1)$$

where φ_u , φ_v are atomic d functions and χ_u , χ_v are linear combinations of $2p_\sigma$ oxygen orbitals.³ It has been shown recently^{4,5} that spin density can be transmitted from p_σ ligand orbitals to central ion s shells by overlap and transfer effects. In a perfect octahedron the overlap integrals of the orbitals ψ_u and ψ_v with the central ion s functions φ_{ns} are zero, but a phonon excitation in the crystal distorts ψ_u and ψ_v so that nonzero overlaps result. These phonon-induced overlaps can be calculated by expanding the atomic $\langle 2p_\sigma | \varphi_{ns} \rangle$ overlaps and λ_σ in terms of nuclear displacements. Using the strain tensor components ϵ_{ij} and retaining

only terms linear in ϵ_{ij} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_u' | \varphi_{ns} \rangle &\simeq -\frac{R}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\lambda_\sigma \frac{\partial S_{ns}}{\partial R} + S_{ns} \frac{\partial \lambda_\sigma}{\partial R} \right] (3\epsilon_{zz} - \epsilon_{xx} - \epsilon_{yy}) \\ &\equiv a_{ns} (2\epsilon_{zz} - \epsilon_{xx} - \epsilon_{yy}), \\ \langle \psi_v' | \varphi_{ns} \rangle &\simeq -R \left[\lambda_\sigma \frac{\partial S_{ns}}{\partial R} + S_{ns} \frac{\partial \lambda_\sigma}{\partial R} \right] (\epsilon_{xx} - \epsilon_{yy}) \\ &\equiv a_{ns} \sqrt{3} (\epsilon_{xx} - \epsilon_{yy}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where R is the anion-cation distance, and $S_{ns} = \langle 2p_\sigma | \varphi_{ns} \rangle$. The overlap integrals with the occupied $1s$, $2s$, and $3s$ shells are accounted for by orthogonalizing the distorted orbitals ψ_u' and ψ_v' by the Schmidt process. The $4s$ function becomes admixed as a result of $2p_\sigma - 4s$ transfer. Thus, the orthogonal antibonding orbitals perturbed by phonon excitation have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_u'' &= N(\Psi_u' - \sum_{n=1}^3 \langle \psi_u' | \varphi_{ns} \rangle \varphi_{ns} + a_u \varphi_{4s}); \\ \psi_v'' &= N(\Psi_v' - \sum_{n=1}^3 \langle \psi_v' | \varphi_{ns} \rangle \varphi_{ns} + a_v \varphi_{4s}), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where a_u , a_v are the $4s$ admixture coefficients, which are assumed to be the sum of two terms: the point-charge crystal-field admixture,¹ and a covalent transfer contribution. They have the same strain dependence as (2), so that we can define

$$\begin{aligned} a_u &= -a_{4s} (2\epsilon_{zz} - \epsilon_{xx} - \epsilon_{yy}); \\ a_v &= -a_{4s} \sqrt{3} (\epsilon_{xx} - \epsilon_{yy}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Then the resulting phonon-induced hyperfine

field is

$$H_{\text{hf}} = (8\pi/3)\mu_B [\langle \psi_u'' | \delta(r) | \psi_u'' \rangle + \langle \psi_v'' | \delta(r) | \psi_v'' \rangle] \\ \simeq \frac{8\pi}{3}\mu_B \sum_{n=1}^4 \sum_{n'=1}^4 a_{ns} \cdot a_{n's} \langle \varphi_{ns} | \delta(r) | \varphi_{n's} \rangle 4(\epsilon_{xx}^2 + \epsilon_{yy}^2 + \epsilon_{zz}^2 - \epsilon_{xx}\epsilon_{yy} - \epsilon_{xx}\epsilon_{zz} - \epsilon_{yy}\epsilon_{zz}). \quad (5)$$

This field is parallel to the spin of the $3d^5$ ground state, and we obtain a net decrease of the total Mn^{2+} hyperfine field. By introducing the mean-square strains, $\langle \epsilon_{ij}^2 \rangle$, we can express the temperature-dependent part of the hyperfine constant A as follows¹:

$$A(T) = A(T=0)(1-CT^4) \int_0^{\theta/T} x^3 dx / (e^x - 1), \quad (6)$$

where θ is the averaged Debye temperature and

$$C = \frac{(8\pi/3)\mu_B \sum_n \sum_{n'} a_{ns} a_{n's} \langle \varphi_{ns} | \delta(r) | \varphi_{n's} \rangle}{H_c} \\ \times \frac{6\hbar}{5\rho\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{v_t^5} + \frac{2}{3v_l^5} \right) \left(\frac{k_B}{\hbar} \right)^4, \quad (7)$$

where ρ is the mass density of the crystal, v_t and v_l are the transverse and longitudinal sound velocities, respectively, and H_c is the static hyperfine field coming from exchange polarization of the cores. We estimate the coupling parameters a_{ns} for Mn^{2+} in MgO as follows. Using Watson's Mn^{2+} free-ion wave functions for φ_{ns} and φ_u and O^{2-} wave functions⁷ for $2p_\sigma$, we calculate the overlap integrals S_{ns} and $\langle 2p_\sigma | \varphi_u \rangle$ for various bond lengths. From these we deduce the values for $\partial S_{ns} / \partial R$ and $\partial \langle 2p_\sigma | \varphi_u \rangle / \partial R$ evaluated at the equilibrium anion-cation distance $R = 4.08$ a.u. In order to estimate $\partial \lambda_\sigma / \partial R$ we assume that λ_σ is proportional to $\langle 2p_\sigma | \varphi_u \rangle$. The proportionality constant can be deduced from the equilibrium value of λ_σ . A reliable experimental value of λ_σ is not available since the σ -ligand spin density contains an undetermined contribution from $2p_\sigma - 4s$ transfer. The a priori calculations of Hubbard, Rimmer, and Hopgood⁸ give $\lambda_\sigma = 0.28$ for KMnF_3 . The degree of covalency in oxides is expected to be stronger than in fluorides so that we estimate $\lambda_\sigma = 0.3$ for $\text{Mn}^{2+}:\text{MgO}$. Since the $2p_\sigma - 4s$ transfer matrix element cannot be reliably estimated we limit ourselves to calculating a_{4s} from the near-neighbor point-

charge model. Using Rimmer's⁹ wave function for $\text{Mn}^+(4s)$ to evaluate $\varphi_{4s}(0)$ and inserting the values of ρ , v_t , and v_l appropriate to MgO crystal,¹ we find from Eq. (7) a strength constant $C = 1.24 \times 10^{-13} (\text{°K})^{-4}$ to be compared with $C_{\text{exp}} = 2.58 \times 10^{-13} (\text{°K})^{-4}$ obtained by comparing Eq. (6) with the experimental values of Walsh, Jeener, and Bloembergen. If we neglect the covalency contributions of the $1s$, $2s$, and $3s$ shells, we obtain, for the near-neighbor point-charge $4s$ contribution, $C_{4s} = 0.38 \times 10^{-13} (\text{°K})^{-4}$ which is more than three times smaller than C estimated from Eq. (7). Therefore, a significant enhancement for the strength of the temperature dependence of A is obtained by taking into account the covalent admixture of inner shells. We can expect a further enhancement of a_{4s} caused by $2p_\sigma - 4s$ transfer. Hence, a large part of the discrepancy between point-charge calculation and the experimental strength can be removed by the covalency effects.

The authors are grateful to Professor Raymond L. Orbach for many helpful discussions.

[†]Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation and the U. S. Office of Naval Research NONR 233 (88).

*On leave from the Institute of Physics, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czechoslovakia.

¹E. Šimánek and R. Orbach, Phys. Rev. **145**, 191 (1966).

²W. M. Walsh, Jr., J. Jeener, and N. Bloembergen, Phys. Rev. **139**, A1338 (1965).

³R. G. Shulman and S. Sugano, Phys. Rev. **130**, 506 (1963).

⁴J. Owen and D. R. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Letters **16**, 1164 (1966).

⁵Nai Li Huang, R. Orbach, and E. Šimánek, Phys. Rev. Letters **17**, 134, 343(E) (1966).

⁶R. E. Watson, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Solid State Molecular Theory Group Technical Report No. 12, 1959 (unpublished).

⁷R. E. Watson, Phys. Rev. **111**, 1108 (1958).

⁸J. Hubbard, D. E. Rimmer, and F. R. A. Hopgood, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) **88**, 13 (1966).

⁹D. E. Rimmer, private communication.