SPACE-CHARGE-LIMITED CURRENTS IN NONMETALLIC SOLIDS

Gerald Rosen Drexel Institute of Technology, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Received 8 August 1966)

The theory for space-charge-limited currents¹⁻⁵ in insulators and semiconductors can be extended rigorously to embrace the essentially timedependent cases and to include charge-carrier diffusion. 6 Dynamical and diffusion effects are expected to be of practical importance in certain operating regimes for some thin nonmetallic crystal elements, 7 and extraordinary transient voltage-current relations are predicted for them by the theory outlines here.

With trapping negligible, the one-dimensional single-carrier current flow in an ideal nonmetallic solid is governed by the conductioncontinuity and Poisson equations'

$$
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\mu n \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} + D \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} \right),\tag{1}
$$

$$
\partial^2 \varphi / \partial x^2 = -(q/\epsilon)n, \qquad (2)
$$

for the potential field φ = φ (x, t) and carrier concentration $n = n(x, t)$ of particles with constant drift mobility μ , effective charge q, and diffusivity D in a medium of permittivity ϵ ; by definition, μ and q have the same sign (minus for electrons, positive for holes) and the Einstein relation takes the form $D = \mu kT/q$. Combining Eqs. (1) and (2) and integrating twice with respect to x , one obtains the inhomogeneous nonlinear equation

$$
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \mu \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right)^2 - D \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2}
$$

= -\epsilon^{-1}xJ

+ (trivial gauge function of t alone), (3)

where $J = J(t)$ is the total Maxwell current (drift) plus diffusion plus displacement) per unit area. Equation (3), an inhomogeneous Burgers equation⁸ for $\partial \varphi / \partial x$, can be integrated exactly⁹; it is satisfied by

$$
\varphi = -\epsilon^{-1} x \int_0^t J(t')dt' + \frac{2D}{\mu} \ln \psi \tag{4}
$$

if ψ (>0) satisfies the homogeneous linear equa-
tion
 $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\mu}{\epsilon} \int_0^t J(t')dt' \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - D \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = 0.$ tion

$$
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\mu}{\epsilon} \int_0^t J(t')dt' \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - D \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = 0.
$$
 (5)

Hence, in the case of an unbounded x domain,

 (4) satisfies Eq. (3) with

$$
\psi = \frac{1}{2} (\pi Dt)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\{- (4Dt)^{-1} [\xi - x + (\mu/\epsilon)] \int_{0}^{t} (t - t') \times J(t') dt'\}^{2} + (\mu/2D) \varphi_{0}(\xi) \} d\xi, \tag{6}
$$

in which $\varphi_0(x) \equiv \varphi(x, 0)$ is the prescribed initial potential field.¹⁰ tial potential field.¹⁰

Of greater practical interest is a finite x domain $0 \le x \le L$, appropriate to a thin insulating crystal of length L . With an Ohmic injecting contact at $x = 0$, the boundary condition

$$
\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\right)_{x=0} = 0 \text{ implying}
$$
\n
$$
\left(\frac{\psi^{-1}\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right)_{x=0} = \frac{\mu}{2\epsilon D} \int_0^t J(t')dt' \tag{7}
$$
\nis a satisfactory approximation,¹¹ compatible

with a prescribed potential difference

$$
V = V(t) \equiv \varphi(L, t) - \varphi(0, t) \tag{8}
$$

with $\mu V > 0$ for $t > 0$ that is established by an external voltage source. Subject to a field-free initial condition $\varphi(x, 0) = 0$ [requiring $V(0) = 0$], the space-charge-limited solution¹² to Eq. (5) with (7) and (8) can be obtained by application of well-known linear methods, although the exact solution is not expressible in closed form. However, an approximate version of the solution to Eq. (5) with (7) and (8) , valid with good accuracy provided that the applied voltage (8) does not change too rapidly after an initial transient rise, i.e., $|\dot{V}| \ll |\mu| V^2/L^2$ for $t \lesssim L^2/\mu V$, is given by

$$
\psi = \exp\{(\mu/2\epsilon D)x \int_0^t J(t')dt' + (2\mu J/\epsilon)^{1/2}x^{3/2}/3D\}
$$

for $0 \le x \le \lambda$,

$$
= \exp\{(\mu/2\epsilon D)x \int_0^t J(t')dt' + \mu Vx/2DL\}
$$

for $\lambda \le x \le L$, (9)

with

$$
J = q\mu \epsilon V^2 / 8L^2 \lambda \tag{10}
$$

and

$$
\lambda \equiv \min\{(\mu/\epsilon)\int_0^t (t-t')J(t')dt'+2(Dt)^{1/2},L\}.
$$
 (11)

A transient voltage-current relation follows from (10) and (11), the current density determined implicity by the applied voltage; by in-

692

troducing some obvious approximations, the explicit dependence is found to take the approximate form

$$
J \approx q \mu \epsilon V^2 / 16L^2 (Dt)^{1/2} \text{ for } 0 \le t \le t_1,
$$

\n
$$
\approx q \epsilon V / 8Lt \text{ for } t_1 \le t \le t_2,
$$

\n
$$
\approx q \mu \epsilon V^2 / 8L^3 \text{ for } t_2 \le t,
$$
 (12)

where the characteristic times are

$$
t_1 = 4DL^2/\mu^2V^2 \approx 4(2.5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec})(10^{-2} \text{ cm})^2
$$

×(10² cm²/V sec)⁻²(10 V)⁻² = 10⁻⁹ sec,

$$
t_2 = L^2/\mu V \approx (10^{-2} \text{ cm})^2/(10^2 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V sec})(10 \text{ V})
$$

$$
= 10^{-7} \text{ sec}
$$

for typical physical magnitudes. Hence, in the case of an applied voltage that rapidly attains a finite constant value in a time of the order t_1 , the associated current density increases in magnitude from $J(0) = 0^{13}$ to a maximum valwe about equal to $J(t_1) \cong q \epsilon \mu^2 V^3 / 32DL^3$ ($\cong \pm 1$ A cm', for typical physical magnitudes) and then decreases in magnitude asymptotically to the steady or quasisteady value¹ $J(t_2) \approx q \mu \epsilon V^2 / 8L^3$ $(\approx \pm 10^{-2} \text{ A/cm}^2$, again for typical physical magnitudes). The very large diffusion-dominated transient current density, two orders of magnitude greater than the steady space-chargelimited value for J, should be observable with fast electronic circuitry and near-perfect insulating crystals substantially free of largecross-section traps.

- 2 R. W. Smith and A. Rose, Phys. Rev. 97, 1531 (1953).
- 3S. M. Skinner, J. Appl. Phys. 26, 498, ⁵⁰⁹ (1955).
- $4M.$ A. Lampert and A. Rose, Phys. Rev. 121, 26 (1961).
- 5A. Many and G. Rakavy, Phys. Rev. 126, 1980 (1962).

passive role (subordinate to charge-carrier drift) for the steady currents, 1,4 it is necessary to include diffusion in a rigorous dynamical theory for space-chargelimited currents. The $D=0$ idealization⁵ is a singular limiting case for the dynamical theory, Eq. (3) being parabolic with $t =$ const characteristics for positive (physical) D but hyperbolic with charge-carrier flowline characteristics for the academic $D=0$. Moreover, subject to an Ohmic injecting-contact boundary condition (7), the diffusion governs the flow of charge carriers for small x with the drift current vanishing at the contact. Thus, the usefulness of a $D=0$ theory is quite limited, the actual smallness of physical D notwithstanding.

 $N⁷M$. A. Lampert, private communication.

⁸J. M. Burgers, Proc. Acad. Sci. Amsterdam 53, 247 {1950).

 $9J.$ D. Cole, Quart. Appl. Math. 9.225 (1951).

 10 Ordinarily, the integral (6) can be evaluated with the method of steepest descents, which gives the more explicit but approximate solution

$$
\begin{aligned} \varphi = & \varphi_0(\lambda) - \tfrac{1}{2} [\varphi_0'(\lambda)]^2 \mu t - (D/\mu) \ln [1 - \mu t \varphi_0''(\lambda)] \\ - & \varepsilon^{-1} x \int_0^t J(t') dt' \,, \end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(x,t)$ is a real root of the equation

$$
x-\lambda+\varphi_0'(\lambda)\mu t-\mu/\epsilon)\int_0^t (t-t')J(t')dt'=0.
$$

 11 M. A. Lampert and A. Rose, Phys. Rev. 113 , 1236 (1959).

 12 The macroscopic theory also admits the "condenser" solution to Eq. (5) with (7) and (8) , approximately of the form

$$
\psi = \frac{1}{2} [1 + \exp\{(\mu/\epsilon D)x \int_0^t J(t')dt'\}]
$$

with

$$
J = (1 - e^{-\mu V/D})^{1/2} (\epsilon/L) \dot{V}
$$

for

$$
|\dot{V}| \ll |\mu| V^2/L^2.
$$

In the case of an ineffective Ohmic contact that fails to provide a free supply of charge carriers for the conduction band of the insulating crystal, the latter solution supersedes (9).

¹³For the $D=0$ idealization, (10) and (11) produce $J(0)$ = $3\epsilon V(0)/2L$, a condenser-type¹² voltage-current relation. Naturally, the $D=0$ theory⁵ cannot predict the large diffusion-dominated transient current density.

¹W. Shockley and R. C. Prim, Phys. Rev. 90, 753 (1953).

⁶Whereas charge-carrier diffusion plays a relatively