## CHARGE AND ENERGY DISTRIBUTIONS OF RECOILS FROM Th<sup>226</sup> ALPHA DECAY\*

K. Gunter, F. Asaro, and A. C. Helmholz

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California (Received 23 December 1965)

The charge and energy distributions of recoils from  $Th^{226}$  alpha decay were investigated with a high-resolution alpha-particle spectrograph.  $Th^{226}$  was chosen for investigation because its decay chain contains three relatively short-lived alpha emitters which make conclusive identification of the recoils possible, because it can be prepared into an extremely thin source by electrostatic recoil collection, and because it has an appropriate complex alpha spectra.<sup>1</sup>

The  $U^{230}$  was milked out of a previously chemically purified  $Pa^{230}$  source which had been prepared by bombarding  $Th^{232}$  with 50-MeV deuterons. After purification, the  $U^{230}$  was vaporized in vacuo onto a platinum plate. The resulting source was invisible. The 31-min  $Th^{226}$  daughter was electrostatically collected on a platinum plate from the thin  $U^{230}$  source under a uniformly applied negative potential of 225 V/cm in an air atmosphere.

Such Th<sup>226</sup> samples were used as sources in a  $180^{\circ}$  double-focusing alpha-particle spectrograph<sup>2</sup> with photographic emulsions for detection. The alpha particles appear in the emulsion as nearly parallel tracks of approximately the same length. The recoils appear in the emulsion as two or three alpha tracks of varying length and direction coming from a common vertex on the surface of the emulsion (2- and 3-pronged events). These 2- and 3-pronged events represent only a portion of the total number of recoils striking the plate.

As would be expected for a thin source, the +2 recoils were found to strike the emulsion quite close to the position of the Th<sup>226</sup> groundstate alpha group ( $\alpha_0$ ) as shown in Fig. 1. Charges +3 through +20 were each investigated separately by altering the magnetic field so that the recoils of a given charge followed approximately the same path in the spectrograph as had the alpha particles and +2 recoils. Charges +1 through -2 were obtained with the emulsions much closer to the source than they were for the other charges, which resulted in adequate charge separation but essentially no energy resolution.

Typical results for recoils of various charges

are shown in Fig. 1. Recoil peaks are identified by the notation  $\operatorname{Th}^{226} R_E^{+n}$  where *n* indicates the charge of the recoils and *E* indicates the energy in keV of the excited state of the recoil nucleus populated by the alpha decay of  $\operatorname{Th}^{226}$ .

The  $R_0^{+2}$  peak, shown in Fig. 1(a), is separated from the  $\alpha_0$  peak by 0.17 keV and was found to be much broader than the other  $R_0$  peaks. The former effect could be the result of the recoils losing energy by collision in leaving the platinum backing plate. Such collisions



FIG. 1. Experimental alpha and recoil spectra. (a) Partial Th<sup>226</sup>  $\alpha$  spectrum (shown on an arbitrary scale) and Th<sup>226</sup>  $R^{+2}$  spectrum. The dashed line is the relative shape of all the  $R_0^{+n}$  peaks with a charge greater than +2. (b) Th<sup>226</sup>  $R^{+7}$  spectrum. (c) Th<sup>226</sup>  $R^{+15}$  spectrum. could also involve a change in charge, and, in the present case, a charge of +2 would be favored because it has a closed electronic configuration. The net effect would then be a selective broadening of the  $R^{+2}$  peaks. It was found that all the  $R_0^{+n}$  peaks except  $R_0^{+2}$  had approximately the same shape and half-width. This peak shape is indicated by the dashed line in Fig. 1 and represents 64% of the total  $R_0^{+2}$ peak.

The  $R_{111}^{+n}$  peaks are composed of recoils of Th<sup>226</sup> which are associated with the  $\alpha$  group  $(\alpha_{111})$  which populates the 111-keV excited state (21.9%). These recoils can thus decay either by the emission of  $\gamma$  rays or conversion electrons. Those  $R_{111}$  recoils which decay by  $\gamma$ emission  $(R_{111}\gamma)$  should have the same charge distribution as the  $R_0$  recoils, but they should have a slightly increased half-width due to the recoil energy associated with the  $\gamma$  rays. Those  $R_{111}$  recoils which decay by electron conversion  $(R_{111}e)$  should have a charge distribution that differs from that of the  $R_0$  recoils because of the emission of Auger electrons associated with the conversion electrons. The half-width of the  $R_{111}e$  recoil peaks should be considerably larger than that of the  $R_0$  recoil peaks

Table I. Normalized experimental results.

n	$R_0^{+n}/\alpha_0^{-(\%)}$	$(R_0^{+n} + R_{111}^{+n})/\alpha_0$ (%)	$R_{111}^{+n}/\alpha_{111}$ (%)
-2		<0.03	
$^{-1}$		<0.03	
0		~20	
+1		~50	
+2		$26.7 \pm 1.1$	
+3	$7.06 \pm 0.50$		$1.21 \pm 0.86$
+4	$4.74 \pm 0.26$		$1.06\pm0.52$
+5	$4.55 \pm 0.39$		$2.04 \pm 0.62$
+6	$2.08 \pm 0.11$		$1.91\pm0.24$
+7	$0.651 \pm 0.065$		$3.52\pm0.30$
+8	$0.167 \pm 0.024$		$6.67 \pm 0.40$
+9			$8.07 \pm 0.52$
+10			$10.03\pm0.62$
+11			$9.64 \pm 0.65$
+12			$9.38 \pm 0.67$
+13			$9.26 \pm 0.67$
+14			$5.25 \pm 0.40$
+15			$5.10\pm0.32$
+16			$3.38 \pm 0.27$
+17			$2.67 \pm 0.27$
+18			$\boldsymbol{1.57 \pm 0.22}$
+19			$0.80 \pm 0.19$
+20			$0.44 \pm 0.11$

because of the recoil energy associated with the L and higher order conversion electrons. As seen in Fig. 1, the half-width of  $R_{111}$  is indeed appreciably larger than  $R_0$ . Also the spacing between the  $R_0^{+n}$  and  $R_{111}^{+n}$  peaks was equal to the spacing between the  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_{111}$  groups for all clearly resolved recoil peaks. This indicates that the increase in half-width of  $R_{111}$  must be roughly symmetrical, as would be expected from the foregoing explanation.

If one assumes that the recoils do not drift in the emulsion after their initial energy has been absorbed then one should be able to calculate the counting efficiency of the recoils in the photographic emulsion, i.e., the intensity of the 2- and 3-prong events. The absolute intensity of 3-prong events, however, was a factor of 2.5 greater than the calculated value, possibly indicating unusual behavior of the recoils in the emulsions. The recoil counting efficiency was determined by setting the total intensity of the  $R_{111}^{+n}e$  groups equal to the known intensity of that portion of  $\alpha_{111}$  which is followed by conversion electrons. The normalization factor so obtained was used for all the experimental recoil intensities. This procedure is valid for charges +2 through +20 because these recoils struck the photographic emulsion at essentially the same angle. However, the intensities of charges +1, 0, -1, and -2were obtained with the photographic emulsion in a different arrangement, and hence, their



FIG. 2. Charge distributions of recoils following Th<sup>226</sup> alpha decay; (a) charge distribution of  $R_0$  recoils; (b) charge distribution of  $R_{111}e$  recoils ( $R_{111}$  recoils which decay by conversion electrons).

corrected intensities can only be regarded as approximate.

The experimental intensities normalized in the above manner are presented in Table I. The errors in the table reflect 90% confidence limits, assuming no systematic errors are present.

For charge +3 the intensity of  $R_{111}$  is just the value one would expect from only  $R_{111}^{+3}\gamma$ , i.e., essentially all recoils followed by conversion electrons have higher charges. We assumed then that the 0, +1, and +2 recoils were composed of only  $R_0$  and  $R_{111}\gamma$ , which was deduced from the known 111 keV  $\gamma$  ray intensity (4.8%). The resulting charge distributions of the  $R_0$  recoils and the  $R_{111}e$  recoils are plotted in Fig. 2.

Szucs and Delfosse<sup>3</sup> studied Po<sup>216</sup> recoils from

 $\mathrm{Rn}^{220}$  alpha decay and found the maximum in the charge distribution occurring at zero, in contrast to our results with  $\mathrm{Th}^{226} R_0$  where the maximum intensity occurs at a charge of +1. The charge distribution of the  $\mathrm{Th}^{226} R_{111}e$  recoils is roughly similar to that found by Snell and Pleasonton<sup>4</sup> for Xe<sup>131</sup>.

\*This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

- <sup>1</sup>Frank Asaro and I. Perlman, Phys. Rev. <u>104</u>, 91 (1956).
- <sup>2</sup>Carl P. Ruiz, University of California Radiation Laboratory Report No. UCRL-9511, 1961 (unpublished).
- $^3S.$  Szucs and J. M. Delfosse, Phys. Rev. Letters  $\underline{15},$  163 (1965).

<sup>4</sup>A. H. Snell and F. Pleasonton, Phys. Rev. <u>111</u>, 1338 (1958).

# NUCLEAR GIANT QUADRUPOLE RESONANCE

## R. Ligensa and W. Greiner

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

#### and

### M. Danos

### National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. (Received 24 January 1966)

We have extended the description of the giant quadrupole resonance of the deformed nuclei<sup>1,2</sup> to include the coupling between the different collective modes in a quantum mechanical treatment, i.e., we have developed the quantum hydrodynamics of the nucleus including all modes, viz. the rotations, the surface vibrations, and the giant resonance oscillations, up to quadrupole multipolarity. The interactions with the odd particle are also taken into account. The total Hamiltonian can be written

$$H = E_{R} [I^{2} - I_{3}^{2} - j_{3}^{2} - d_{3}^{2} - q_{3}^{2}] + (\hbar^{2}/16B\eta^{2})[(I_{3} - j_{3})^{2} + q_{3}^{2} + d_{3}^{2} - 1] + (\hbar^{2}/8B\eta^{2})(I_{3} - j_{3})(d_{3} + q_{3}) \\ - (\hbar^{2}/2B)(\partial^{2}/\partial\xi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\partial^{2}/\partial\eta^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}C_{0}\xi^{2} + C_{2}\eta^{2} + H_{part} + \sum_{\mu = -1}^{+1} \hbar\omega_{\mu}^{(1)}[1 + G_{\mu}^{(1)}(\xi + 6^{1/2}\mu\eta)]b_{\mu}^{(1)+b}\mu^{(1)} \\ + \sum_{\nu = -2}^{+2} \hbar\omega_{\nu}^{(2)}[1 + G_{\nu}^{(2)}[\xi - \nu(4 - \nu^{2})(\frac{2}{3})^{1/2}\eta]]b_{\nu}^{(2)+b}\nu^{(2)}.$$
(1)

For  $H_{\text{part}}$  the Nilsson-Hamiltonian has been used. *I*, *j*, *d*, and *q* are the angular momentum operators of the nucleus, the odd particle, the giant dipole resonance phonons, and the giant quadrupole resonance phonons, respectively.  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  describe the  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  vibrations of the surface.  $b^{(1)}$  and  $b^{(2)}$  are the annihilation operators for the dipole and quadrupole oscillation phonons, respectively. The diverse energies and the coupling constants  $G_{\nu}^{(L)}$  are given by

$$\hbar\omega_{\mu}^{(1)} = \hbar\omega_{1} [1 + 0.08(-2)^{-|\mu|}\beta] [1 + (-2)^{-|\mu|}\beta]^{-1},$$