

---

 E R R A T A
 

---

DYNAMIC POLARIZATION OF NUCLEI BY ELECTRON-NUCLEUS DIPOLAR COUPLING ("EFFET SOLIDE"). M. Borghini [Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 318 (1966)].

(i) "Eq. (4)" and "Formula (4)" should be replaced by "Eq. (3)" and "Formula (3)." (ii) P. 320, Sec. 3: A more accurate calculation of the parameter  $f$  using the crystal structure of LMN gives  $f \cong 6 \times 10^5 H^{-2}$ , i.e., with  $H = 18.5$  kG,  $f \cong 18 \times 10^{-4}$ . On the other hand, in the crystals which were grown for dynamic polarization, although the concentration of neodymium was 1% in the starting solution, its final value was about  $0.2\%^{1,2}$  and the value for the parameter  $\sigma'$  is accordingly smaller, so that  $f\sigma'$  lies between 0.1 and 0.3. With  $P_0 = 0.93$ , the solution of system II ranges between 0.75 and 0.86; with  $P_0 = 0.83$ , between 0.65 and 0.75. The other calculations are not affected by these changes.

<sup>1</sup>H. Dost, in a private communication to C. Schultz, thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1964 (unpublished).

<sup>2</sup>Mrs. H. Raynaud and Mrs. A. Cittanova, private communication, quoted in Ref. 14.

REGGE RECURRENCES AND  $\pi^-p$  ELASTIC SCATTERING AT  $180^\circ$ . V. Barger and D. Cline

[Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 913 (1966)].

Under the section Interference of amplitudes, the third sentence should be changed to read, "For example, these authors suggest that the  $I = \frac{1}{2}$ , 2190-MeV resonance should have negative parity in agreement with the assignment in Table I."

The following acknowledgement was omitted in printing: "We are grateful to Professor C. Goebel for helpful comments on the manuscript."

$K_{l3}$  FORM FACTORS. V. S. Mathur, L. K. Pandit, and R. E. Marshak [Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 947 (1966)].

In Eq. (11),  $M_K^2$  in the denominator should be replaced by  $(M_K^2 - M_{K^*}^2)$ . If we further use the values of the parameters  $C_\pi$  and  $C_K$  evaluated directly from the  $\pi_{l2}$  and  $K_{l2}$  decay rates, rather than from baryon leptonic decays as quoted, we obtain for Eqs. (12), (13), and (14) the results

$$F_+(0) = -0.67, \quad F_-(0) = +0.09, \quad \xi = -0.13.$$

The value of  $\xi$  changes sign for a  $\kappa$  width  $\Gamma(\kappa) \cong 20$  MeV, becoming equal to 0.16 for  $\Gamma(\kappa) = 30$  MeV and equal to 0.46 for  $\Gamma(\kappa) = 50$  MeV.