³L. Durand, III, and Y. T. Chiu, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>14</u>, 329, 680(E) (1965).

⁴M. Feldman, W. Frati, J. Halpern, A. Kanofsky,

M. Nussbaum, S. Richert, P. Yamin, A. Choudry,

S. Devons, and J. Grunhaus, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>14</u>, 869 (1965).

⁵V. Hagopian, W. Selove, J. Alitti, J. P. Baton,

M. Neveu-Rene, R. Gessaroli, and A. Romano, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>14</u>, 1077 (1965).

⁶N. Gelfand, G. Lütjens, M. Nussbaum, J. Steinber-

ger, H. O. Cohn, W. M. Bugg, and G. T. Condo, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>12</u>, 567 (1964).

⁷A histogram of the mass recoiling against the two protons for four-prong events shows no evidence for systematic error in computing the missing mass.

⁸A. H. Rosenfeld, A. Barbaro-Galtieri, W. H. Barkas, P. L. Bastien, J. Kirz, and M. Roos, Rev. Mod. Phys. 36, 977 (1964).

⁹D. Loebbaka and J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>14</u>, 929 (1965).

ERRATA

FURTHER DISCUSSION OF PARTICLE-MIX-TURE THEORIES OF $K^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$ DECAY. P. K. Kabir and R. R. Lewis [Phys. Rev. Letters <u>15</u>, 711 (1965)].

The last line of the second-last paragraph should read, "the existence of any charge asymmetry.¹⁷" The corresponding additional footnote is as follows:

¹⁷This is strictly true only insofar as one neglects interference between contributions from K_2 and Ψ_L . If such interference cannot be eliminated, either by selective absorption of one component or by averaging over a period of the $\Psi_L - K_2$ interference, there <u>could</u> be a charge asymmetry whose magnitude would depend on the unknown leptonic-decay properties of the S particle. If, for example, we assume that S cannot contribute to leptonic decays, the expected charge asymmetry would be very much smaller than in the *CP*nonconserving case, since it would then arise solely from the admixture of K_+ in Ψ_L . On the other hand, detection of a charge asymmetry <u>exceeding</u> 5.7% would be strong evidence for the particle-mixture theory.

OFF-SHELL EQUATIONS FOR TWO-PARTICLE SCATTERING. K. L. Kowalski [Phys. Rev. Letters 15, 798 (1965)].

The quantity $(k^2-x^2)^{-1}$ (or its inverse), which appears in the definition of $\Lambda(p,q)$, Eq. (7), and the equation following (7) with x = q, p', p, should be $x^2(k^2-x^2)^{-1}$. Also, $\Lambda(p',p)$ should be $\Lambda(p',q)$ in the second integral equation for $\mathfrak{R}_k(p,q)$.