mental data, both electric and magnetic. This, of course, is highly speculative.

(5) Thus the  $\rho - \pi - \gamma$  meson-exchange effect appears to be a good candidate for explaining the consistently large magnetic scattering observed at all values of  $q^2$  and the possible enhancement of the electric scattering for  $q^2 > 10$  $F^{-2}$ .

We intend to extend our experimental program with further data at  $q^2$  of 16 F<sup>-2</sup> and possibly 10 F<sup>-2</sup>.

We would like to thank Dr. R. Hofstadter for his support of our work; Dr. R. Adler, Dr. S. Drell, Dr. D. Drickey, and Dr. E. F. Erickson for valuable discussions; Mr. P. Heide and Mr. S. Berezin for their help in performing the experiment; and Mr. R. G. Gilbert and the crew of the Mark-III linear accelerator for their cooperation.

\*Work supported in part by the Office of Naval Research, Contract No. [Nonr 225(67].

<sup>1</sup>C. de Vries, R. Hofstadter, A. Johansson, and

R. Herman, Phys. Rev. <u>134</u>, B848 (1964).

<sup>2</sup>D. Benaksas, D. Drickey, and D. Frerejacque, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>13</u>, 353 (1964).

<sup>3</sup>E. F. Erickson, in <u>Proceedings of the International</u> <u>Conference on Nucleon Structure at Stanford University</u>, <u>1963</u>, edited by R. Hofstadter and L. I. Schiff (Stanford University Press, Stanford, California, 1964), p. 370.

<sup>4</sup>See, for example, T. A. Griffy and L. I. Schiff, in "High Energy Physics," edited by E. H. S. Burhop (Academic Press, Inc., New York, to be published). Also, E. F. Erickson, thesis, Stanford University, 1965 (unpublished).

<sup>5</sup>Reference 4. See also R. J. Adler, thesis, Stanford University, 1965 (unpublished).

<sup>6</sup>R. J. Adler and S. Drell, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>13</u>, 349 (1964).

<sup>7</sup>We thank E. F. Erickson of Stanford University for calculating the values of the relevant integrals using wave functions provided by G. Breit of Yale University.

<sup>8</sup>L. Hand, D. G. Miller, and R. Wilson, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>35</u>, 335 (1963).

<sup>9</sup>T. Janssens, E. B. Hughes, T. A. Griffy, R. Hofstadter, and M. R. Yearian, to be published.

<sup>10</sup>E. B. Hughes, T. A. Griffy, M. R. Yearian, and R. Hofstadter, Phys. Rev. <u>139</u>, B458 (1965).

<sup>11</sup>N. K. Glendenning and G. Kramer, Phys. Rev. <u>126</u>, 2159 (1962).

# TEST FOR PARTICLE-MIXTURE THEORIES OF $K^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$ DECAY

## P. K. Kabir

Institut de Physique Nucleaire, Lyon, France

#### and

#### R. R. Lewis\*

Institut de Physique Theorique, Geneve, Switzerland (Received 14 June 1965)

Christenson, Cronin, Fitch, and Turlay<sup>1</sup> have discovered that, in addition to the well-known short-lived  $2\pi$  mode characterized by the lifetime<sup>2</sup>  $\gamma_1^{-1} = (0.92 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-10}$  sec, there is another  $\pi^+\pi^-$ -decay mode of neutral K mesons which persists at times of the order of 300  $\gamma_1^{-1}$ . The lifetime associated with this second mode has not been measured accurately, but the observations are compatible with what would be expected from a  $\pi^+\pi^-$ - decay channel of the longlived mode of  $K^0$  decay  $[\gamma_2^{-1} = (5.62 \pm 0.68) \times 10^{-8}]$ sec] with a branching ratio of  $R = (2.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$ with respect to all charged modes. CP invariance would require R to be strictly zero. This observation of long-lived  $\pi^+\pi^-$  decays, which we shall refer to as the LLD effect, has subsequently been confirmed by two other groups<sup>3</sup> who find, under considerably different conditions, values of R which are substantially the same as the one previously reported. However, since there is nothing in the observations which is manifestly noninvariant under CP, and there is no clear indication of CP violation anywhere else, it is important to consider possible explanations of the LLD effect within the framework of CP invariance. In this note we describe a simple test for a <u>class</u> of such theories (particle-mixture theories), which represent the only proposals advanced so far which maintain CP invariance without calling upon cosmological effects.<sup>4</sup>

It is a common feature of all such particlemixture theories<sup>5-8</sup> that they require the existence of an additional spinless particle state, which we shall denote by  $|S\rangle$ , with CP = +1, in the neighborhood of the  $K^0$ -meson mass. Thisstate mixes with the CP = +1 component of neutral K mesons,  $|K_{+}\rangle = (|K^{0}\rangle + |\overline{K}^{0}\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ , in the same sense that the  $K^{0}$  mixes with the  $\overline{K}^{0}$  through weak interactions,<sup>9</sup> or the  $\rho^{0}$  mixes with the  $\omega^{0}$  through electromagnetic interactions.<sup>10</sup> In a Weisskopf-Wigner approximation,<sup>11</sup> the states characterized by an exponential time dependence are linear combinations of  $|S\rangle$  and  $|K_{+}\rangle$ ,

$$|\psi_{S}\rangle = N(\cos\theta | K_{+}\rangle + \sin\theta | S\rangle),$$
  
$$|\psi_{L}\rangle = N(\sin\theta | K_{+}\rangle - \sin\theta | S\rangle).$$
(1)

Here  $\theta$  is a complex parameter determined by the energy matrix of the *S*-*K*<sub>+</sub> system, and *T* invariance has been assumed.

$$N^{-2} = |\cos\theta|^2 + |\sin\theta|^2 = \cosh 2\chi,$$

where

$$\theta = \varphi + i\chi.$$

Since *CP* invariance is postulated, there is no mixing of the *CP* = -1 component,  $|K_{\perp}\rangle = (|K^0\rangle - |\tilde{K}_0\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ , with  $|\rho\rangle$  and  $|K_{\perp}\rangle$ .

(1) According to this picture, when a  $K^0$  or a  $\overline{K}^0$  is produced, its  $K_+$  component must be re-expressed in terms of the  $|\psi_S\rangle$  and  $|\psi_L\rangle$  states, which are states which exhibit purely exponential decay,

$$|K_{+}\rangle = N^{-1}(\cos\theta |\psi_{S}\rangle + \sin\theta |\psi_{L}\rangle).$$
(2)

The fractional rate of decays into CP = +1 modes after a proper time *t*, from a beam which consists initially of pure  $K^0$  or  $\overline{K}^0$ , is

$$W(t) = \frac{1}{2}N^{-2} \{ \gamma_1 | \cos\theta |^2 e^{-\gamma_1 t} + i [\beta\Delta\sin\theta(\cos\theta)^* e^{-\Delta t} - \text{c.c.}] + \gamma_L |\sin\theta|^2 e^{-\gamma_L t} \}, \qquad (3)$$

with

$$\beta = \tanh 2\chi; \quad \Delta = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_L) - i(m_1 - m_L),$$

and where  $m_1, \gamma_1$  and  $m_L, \gamma_L$  are the masses and widths of the states  $|\psi_S\rangle$  and  $|\psi_L\rangle$ , respectively. We identify  $\gamma_1^{-1}$  with the lifetime of the short-lived mode, and assume that the lifetime  $\gamma_L^{-1}$  is comparable to the lifetime,  $\gamma_2^{-1}$ , of the long-lived  $K_2^0$  component which, in these theories, decays exclusively into CP = -1 channels. The LLD effect then represents not the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  decay of  $K_2^0$ , but instead the decay of an admixture of long-lived  $|\psi_L\rangle$  which is necessarily present in a neutral-kaon beam by virtue of interactions which mix the  $|K_+\rangle$  and  $|S\rangle$  particle states. The oscillations due to the middle term in Eq. (3) will be observable in practice only if  $|m_1-m_L|$  is comparable to  $(\gamma_1 + \gamma_L)$ .

(2) Experiments which measure the branching ratios<sup>12</sup> of the short-lived  $K_1^0$  mode of  $K^0$  decay verify that half of  $K^0$ -production events<sup>13</sup> lead to  $K_1^0$  decays, to an accuracy of a few percent. Since  $\int_0^{\infty} W_+(t)dt = \frac{1}{2}$ , the fraction of decays into CP = +1 modes at long times can be at most a few percent. According to Eq. (3), this fraction is given by

$$\int_{\gamma_1 t}^{\infty} |\nabla W_+(t)| dt \approx \frac{1}{2} N^{-2} |\sin \theta|^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \cosh 2\chi [\sin^2 \varphi + \sinh^2 \chi].$$

We therefore conclude that  $|\theta| \ll 1$ ; i.e., the  $|\psi_S\rangle$  and  $|\psi_L\rangle$  states must contain only relatively small admixtures of  $|S\rangle$  and  $|K_+\rangle$ , respectively.

(3) The ratio R measured in the LLD effect must be interpreted as

$$R = \frac{\rho N^{-2} \{ |\sin\theta|^{2} + |\xi\cos\theta|^{2} \}_{\gamma_{L}} e^{-\gamma_{L}t}}{(\rho_{c}\gamma_{2}e^{-\gamma_{2}t})}, \qquad (4)$$

where we have assumed that  $|S\rangle$  particles are produced incoherently, with an amplitude  $\xi/\sqrt{2}$ relative to that for the production of  $K^0$  mesons, in the target in which the kaon beam originates.  $\rho$  is the branching ratio for  $\psi_L \to \pi^+ + \pi^-$ , and  $\rho_c$  is the known branching ratio for  $K_2^0 \to$  charged decay modes. From the temporal variation of the events observed in the LLD effect, one knows that  $\gamma_L$  cannot be appreciably greater than  $\gamma_2$ . Hence, if we disregard the variation due to the exponential factors in Eq. (4) (since the events are observed at proper times less than or equal to  $\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2^{-1}$ , the approximate equality of R measured in different experiments implies the approximate constancy of the factor in curly brackets in Eq. (4). Since  $\theta$  is a parameter independent of the production mechanism, this requires that  $|\xi \cos \theta|$  must be appreciably smaller than  $|\sin\theta|$  (unless the complex parameter  $\xi$  retains the same value under the widely different conditions of the experiments reported<sup>14</sup>). We have already seen that  $|\theta| \ll 1$ . Thus,

### $|\xi| < |\theta| \ll 1.$

Therefore, S particles, if directly produced

at all, are produced at a rate much lower than  $K^0$  mesons.

(4) Thus, everything we know about the hypothetical S particles suggests that they have relatively weak interaction with matter (of the kind usually available in the laboratory<sup>6</sup>)! If, therefore, we assume that total cross sections for the interaction of S particles with matter are smaller than K-meson cross sections by an order of magnitude or more,<sup>15</sup> we are in a position to propose a simple test for the particle-mixture theories.

At times long compared to  $\gamma_1^{-1}$ , a neutral K-meson beam consists mainly of  $K_2^0$  and, in the particle-mixture theories, a small fraction of the state  $|\psi_L\rangle$ . If the LLD effect were indeed due to  $\pi^+\pi^-$  decays of the  $K_2^0$ , the interposition of a thick absorber (of a few  $K^0$  interaction lengths) upstream<sup>16</sup> from the LLD detector should lead to a significant reduction in the rate of LLD events. On the other hand, if the explanation of the LLD effect lies in a CP-conserving particle-mixture theory, the introduction of such an absorber should have very little effect on the rate of LLD events. In the particle-mixture theories, the LLD events arise only from the decay of  $|\psi_L\rangle$ , and we have seen that  $|\psi_L\rangle$  can contain only a small admixture of  $|K_{\perp}\rangle$ . Consequently, if we assume that Sparticle cross sections are much smaller than K cross sections, the  $|\psi_L\rangle$  beam would be attenuated much less than the  $K_2^0$  beam. If we make the simplifying assumption that the  $K_+$ component of  $\left|\psi_{L}\right\rangle$  is completely absorbed, while the amplitude of the S component is reduced by a factor  $\lambda$ , the residual rate of LLD events is expected to be  $|\lambda \cos \theta|^2 \approx |\lambda|^2$  times the original rate (without absorber), a conclusion which is quite different from the previous case if, as we expect,  $|\lambda|^2$  is not much less than unity.

It is perhaps of interest to remark that this experiment bears the same relation to the particle-mixture theories as the Pais-Piccioni experiment<sup>17</sup> did to the Gell-Mann-Pais theory.<sup>9</sup> We believe that it provides a more direct and clear-cut test of particle-mixture theories than the tests proposed in references 5-7.

One of us would like to thank Professor A. Bohr and Professor B. Mottelson for a stimulating discussion during which the basic argument was developed, and for the hospitality of the Institute for Theoretical Physics, Copenhagen. We also thank Professor A. Sarazin and Professor J. M. Jauch for the hospitality of their Institutes.

\*Permanent address: University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

<sup>1</sup>J. Christenson, J. Cronin, V. Fitch, and R. Turlay, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>13</u>, 138 (1964); cf. A. Abashian <u>et</u> <u>al.</u>, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>13</u>, 243 (1964).

<sup>2</sup>A. H. Rosenfeld <u>et al</u>., Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>36</u>, 977 (1964).

<sup>3</sup>W. Galbraith <u>et al.</u>, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>14</u>, 383 (1965); X. De Bouard <u>et al.</u>, Phys. Letters <u>15</u>, 58 (1965).

<sup>4</sup>F. Gürsey and A. Pais, unpublished. Cf. F. Gürsey, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) <u>24</u>, 211 (1963). Other explanations relying on cosmological effects are ruled out by the experiments cited in reference 3.

<sup>5</sup>J. L. Uretsky, Phys. Letters <u>14</u>, 154 (1965); cf. H. Lipkin and A. Abashian, Phys. Letters <u>14</u>, 151 (1965).

<sup>6</sup>K. Nishijima and H. Saffouri, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>14</u>, 205 (1965).

<sup>7</sup>H. Ezawa, Y. Kim, S. Oneda, and J. Pati, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>14</u>, 673 (1965).

<sup>8</sup>P. K. Kabir and R. R. Lewis, to be published.

<sup>9</sup>M. Gell-Mann and A. Pais, Phys. Rev. <u>97</u>, 1387 (1955).

<sup>10</sup>S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>7</u>, 469 (1961).
<sup>11</sup>V. F. Weisskopf and E. P. Wigner, Z. Physik <u>63</u>,

54 (1930); <u>65</u>, 18 (1930). T. D. Lee, R. Oehme, and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. <u>106</u>, 340 (1957).

 $^{12}$ Reference 2 contains a compilation of the various experiments.

<sup>13</sup>We reject as unlikely and implausible the possibility that *S* particles may be produced coherently with  $K^0$ mesons, i.e., in associated production. Even under the hypothesis of coherent production, detailed analysis shows that one is led to conclusions similar to those stated in (2) and (3). One is then, however, forced to rely on the less accurately measured fraction of  $K^0$ -production events which lead to  $K_2^0$  decays. <sup>14</sup>See also D. Neagu <u>et al</u>., Phys. Rev. Letters <u>6</u>, 552

(1961). <sup>15</sup>Although one cannot state this as a logical conclu-

sion, it is the most natural assumption and the one least likely to disturb established relations. References 5-8 all assume that S particles interact much more weakly with matter than K mesons.

<sup>16</sup>Unwanted background from regenerated  $K_1^{0}$ 's can be avoided by placing the absorber at a sufficient distance upstream for most  $K_1^{0}$ 's to decay.

<sup>17</sup>A. Pais and O. Piccioni, Phys. Rev. <u>100</u>, 1487 (1955).