

PHASE OF THE CP -INVARIANCE VIOLATION IN τ^0 DECAY*

S. L. Glashow† and S. Weinberg†

Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California
(Received 13 April 1965)

A recent experiment¹ indicates possible strong CP -invariance violation in the decay mode $K_1^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0$. The measured ratio of the K_1^0 and K_2^0 decay amplitudes,²

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(a_1/a_2) &= +0.25 \pm 0.65, \\ \operatorname{Im}(a_1/a_2) &= +1.00 \pm 0.65, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

suggests that this ratio may have absolute magnitude of order unity and phase near 90° , though of course the statistics are hardly conclusive. (If CP were conserved then K_1^0 could only decay into asymmetric three-pion states with large centrifugal barriers, and a_1/a_2 would be very small.)

We wish to point out that if a_1/a_2 is actually not much less than one in magnitude, then CPT symmetry and the $\Delta T \leq \frac{3}{2}$ rule require its phase to be very close to $\pm 90^\circ$. This constraint was not imposed in the analysis made in reference 1, so the fact that their analysis did give a mostly imaginary ratio lends additional credence to the existence of a strong $K_1^0 \rightarrow 3\pi$ mode.³ If this mode does exist, then a refined measurement of the phase of a_1/a_2 can provide a sensitive test of CPT and/or $\Delta T \leq \frac{3}{2}$.

Our remarks are based on the following simple theorem: If A_1 and A_2 are the amplitudes for K_1^0 and K_2^0 decay into any self- CPT -conjugate channel which is an eigenstate of the strong-interaction S matrix [e.g., $(\pi\pi)_{T=0}$], then

$$\frac{2 \operatorname{Re} A_1 A_2^*}{|A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2} = \alpha, \quad (2)$$

with α a number independent of the particular channel being considered.

To prove this result, we recall that if CPT (but not necessarily CP) is conserved, then K_1^0 and K_2^0 are given as linear combinations,²

$$\begin{aligned} K_1^0 &= pK^0 + q\bar{K}^0, \\ K_2^0 &= pK^0 - q\bar{K}^0, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where p and q are in general model-dependent complex numbers satisfying

$$|p|^2 + |q|^2 = 1$$

but subject to no other general conditions. A simple calculation gives

$$\frac{2 \operatorname{Re} A_1 A_2^*}{|A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2} = \frac{|p|^2 |A|^2 - |q|^2 |\bar{A}|^2}{|p|^2 |A|^2 + |q|^2 |\bar{A}|^2}, \quad (4)$$

where A and \bar{A} are the amplitudes for K^0 and \bar{K}^0 decay into the channel. But for a self- CPT -conjugate channel with phase shift δ (and no final-state mixing with other channels), CPT invariance tells us that

$$\bar{A} = \pm e^{2i\delta} A^*, \quad (5)$$

so that $|A| = |\bar{A}|$, and Eq. (3) gives Eq. (2) with α taking the channel-independent value

$$\alpha = |p|^2 - |q|^2. \quad (6)$$

We first apply this result to the 2π channels in order to set an upper limit on α . From the known rates⁴ of $K_1^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$ and $K_2^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^-$, we learn

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/2} A_2^{T=0} + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{1/2} A_2^{T=2} \right| \\ \simeq (1/450) \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/2} |A_1^{T=0}|, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

while the conservative estimate⁵ that $\Gamma(K_2^0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0) < \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(K_2^0 \rightarrow 3\pi^0)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{1/2} A_2^{T=0} - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/2} A_2^{T=2} \right| \\ < (1/55) \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/2} |A_1^{T=0}|. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

From (7) and (8) we conclude that $|A_2^{T=0}| < (1/100) |A_1^{T=0}|$, so (2) tells us that

$$|\alpha| < 1/50. \quad (9)$$

Actually, any reasonable model would require $\Gamma(K_2^0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0) \approx \Gamma(K_2^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^-)$, in which case the actual value of α would be much smaller. For instance, if the $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ rule applies in $K_2^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$ decay (which it well may not), then $|\alpha| \approx 1/225$.

Now consider the decay mode $K_{1,2}^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0$. In general, there would be seven different amplitudes with $T = 0, 1, 2$, and 3 , but centrifugal barriers strongly suppress all but the two completely symmetric amplitudes, with $T = 1$ and $T = 3$ (and also prevent final-state mixing with the other asymmetric $T = 1$ configura-

tions). The $\Delta T \leq \frac{3}{2}$ rule then forbids $T=3$, so the decay amplitudes $a_{1,2}$ are purely symmetric, with $T=1$. Our theorem can therefore be applied, and gives

$$\frac{2 \operatorname{Re} a_1 a_2^*}{|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2} < 1/50.$$

If $|a_1| \approx |a_2|$, then a_1 and a_2 must be relatively imaginary to within about 1° .

We are grateful for conversations with F. S. Crawford, Jr.

*Research supported in part by the U. S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Grant No. AF-AFOSR-232-63.

†Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellow.

¹J. A. Anderson, F. S. Crawford, Jr., R. L. Golden, D. Stern, T. O. Binford, and V. G. Lind, Phys. Rev.

Letters **14**, 475 (1965).

²T. D. Lee, R. Oehme, and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **106**, 340 (1957). We follow the convention of these authors in using K_1^0 and K_2^0 to denote the long- and short-lived components, which in general are not the same as $K^0 \pm \bar{K}^0$.

³The authors of reference 1 have, at our suggestion, reanalyzed their data with the additional constraint $\operatorname{Re}(a_1/a_2) = 0$. They then find $\operatorname{Im}(a_1/a_2) = +0.90 \pm 0.50$. The corresponding intensity ratio is $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 0.81_{-0.65}^{+1.15}$, giving betting odds of 10 to 1 that Γ_1/Γ_2 is less than 2.5, and 100 to 1 that it is less than 5. The effect of the additional constraint is to reduce their upper limit on Γ_1/Γ_2 by a factor of two. They still cannot rule out $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 0$. (Private communication from F. S. Crawford, Jr.)

⁴J. H. Christensen, J. W. Cronin, V. L. Fitch, and R. Turlay, Phys. Rev. Letters **13**, 138 (1964).

⁵F. S. Crawford, Jr., private communication.

MIXING MODEL FOR SCALAR MESONS*

Laurie M. Brown

Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois

(Received 10 March 1965)

Indirect evidence has been presented for two isoscalar mesons of spin and parity 0^+ : the sigma meson¹ of mass $m_\sigma \approx 400$ MeV and the epsilon meson² of mass $m_\epsilon \approx 750$ MeV. This situation is reminiscent of the ω - φ mixing problem and suggests that a similar model may be appropriate. It is well known that if the observed ω and φ mesons are assumed to be given by the orthogonal states

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega\rangle &= \cos\lambda |\omega_0\rangle + \sin\lambda |\varphi_0\rangle, \\ |\varphi\rangle &= \sin\lambda |\omega_0\rangle - \cos\lambda |\varphi_0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where ω_0 and φ_0 are hypothetical pure SU(3) singlet and pure SU(3) octet members, then there exists a "mixing angle" λ such that the decay properties of ω and φ are well explained and φ_0 has a calculated mass which satisfies the Gell-Mann-Okubo relation with the other members, ρ and K^* , of the vector octet.³ We will show that if σ and ϵ are similarly assumed to be mixtures of hypothetical pure unitary singlet and octet isosinglet members σ_0 and ϵ_0 , the $T = \frac{1}{2}$ member of the octet being $\kappa(725)$, then a $T=1$ meson ξ is predicted, decaying predominantly into $\eta + \pi$. The ordering of masses in this scheme is that suggested by various bootstrap calculations.⁴

We shall regard as input data the masses and

widths of σ and κ . One additional datum is needed to completely determine the scheme and, for simplicity, we shall take for the mixing angle λ the value $\sin\lambda = 1/\sqrt{3}$, which will be shown to be reasonably consistent with the not very well-established properties of ϵ .

Noting that the orthogonal matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\lambda & \sin\lambda \\ \sin\lambda & -\cos\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

formed of the eigenvectors (1), brings the mass-square matrix

$$M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & x \\ x & \epsilon_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

to diagonal form (where σ_0 and ϵ_0 are squared masses of the particles and x is a mixing mass), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sqrt{2}(\sigma_0 - \epsilon_0), \\ \sigma &= 2\sigma_0 - \epsilon_0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\epsilon = 2\epsilon_0 - \sigma_0; \quad (4)$$

hence, from the Gell-Mann-Okubo relation,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= 4\kappa - 3\epsilon_0 \\ &= 4\kappa - 2\epsilon - \sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$