## RADIATION-INDUCED INSTABILITY OF ELECTRON PLASMA OSCILLATIONS

D. F. DuBois

Hughes Research Laboratories, Malibu, California

and

M. V. Goldman\*

Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, and Hughes Research Laboratories, Malibu, California (Received 16 February 1965)

It is well known that external radiation of frequency  $\omega_0$  incident upon a plasma is absorbed by inverse bremsstrahlung of electrons in the presence of ions.<sup>1</sup> However, when  $\omega_0$  is close to the plasma frequency,  $\omega_p$ , the radiation energy is preferentially fed into electron plasma oscillations at a rate proportional to  $I_0/nckT$ , where  $I_0$  is the radiation intensity, *n* the density of electrons, *c* the speed of light, and *kT* the electron thermal energy. We wish to point out in this Letter the possibility of electron plasma oscillations becoming unstable and growing in amplitude when they gain energy at a rate faster than they can dissipate it by their dominant damping mechanism; that is, when  $I_0/nckT \cong \gamma_L/\omega_p$ , where  $\gamma_L$  is the plasma damping rate.

Monochromatic coherent external radiation of form  $\frac{1}{2}\vec{\mathbf{E}}_0 \exp[i(\vec{\mathbf{k}}_0\cdot\vec{\mathbf{r}}-\omega_0t)] + \text{c.c.}$ , where  $\vec{\mathbf{k}}_0\cdot\vec{\mathbf{E}}_0$ = 0, will modulate the longitudinal polarization,  $P_L(\vec{\mathbf{k}},\omega)$ , in the plasma at the sum and difference frequencies  $\omega \pm \omega_0$ :

$$P_{L}(\vec{k},\omega) = -E_{L}(\vec{k},\omega) = \chi_{L}(\vec{k},\omega)E_{L}(\vec{k},\omega) + \vec{E}_{0}\cdot\vec{\chi}_{L}^{NL}(\vec{k}_{0},\omega_{0};\vec{k}-\vec{k}_{0},\omega-\omega_{0})E_{L}(\vec{k}-\vec{k}_{0},\omega-\omega_{0}) + \vec{E}_{0}\cdot\vec{\chi}_{L}^{NL}(\vec{k}_{0},\omega_{0};\vec{k}+\vec{k}_{0},\omega+\omega_{0})E_{L}(\vec{k}+\vec{k}_{0},\omega+\omega_{0}).$$
(1)

 $E_L$  is the effective longitudinal field in the plasma  $(=-P_L)$ ,  $\chi_L = \chi_L^e + \chi_L^i$  is the usual equilibrium longitudinal susceptibility with a contribution from the electrons,  $\chi_L^e$ , and a contribution from the ions,  $\chi_L^i$ ,  $\chi_L^{NL}$  is a nonlinear longitudinal susceptibility connecting the polarization to  $\vec{E}_0$  and  $E_L(\omega \pm \omega_0)$ . A similar equation for  $-E_L(\omega - \omega_0)$  follows from (1), which now couples to frequencies  $\omega$  and  $\omega - 2\omega_0$ . In fact, a chain of coupled equations is generated. Assume in the following that  $\omega$  is near the plasma resonance frequency,  $\omega_L = (\omega_p^2 + 3\nu^2 k^2)^{1/2}$ , and  $\omega_0$  slightly is above it. In the chain of equations we can then neglect all fields  $E_L$  propagating at frequencies other than  $\omega$  and  $\omega - \omega_0$  (strongly damped low-frequency ion-acoustic waves can still conceivably respond at the difference frequency  $\omega - \omega_0$ ).<sup>2</sup> This leaves only two coupled homogeneous equations for  $E_L(\vec{k}, \omega)$  and  $E_L(\vec{k}, \omega - \omega_0)$ .<sup>3</sup> The condition for a nontrivial solution is the vanishing of the following function, which is the nonlinear longitudinal dielectric constant  $\epsilon_L^{NL}(\vec{k}, \omega)$ ,

$$\epsilon_L^{NL}(\vec{k},\omega) = \epsilon_L(\vec{k},\omega) - \vec{E}_0 \cdot \vec{\chi}_L^{NL}(\vec{k}_0,\omega_0;\vec{k},\omega-\omega_0) \vec{E}_0 \cdot \vec{\chi}_L^{NL}(-\vec{k}_0,-\omega_0;\vec{k},\omega) [\epsilon_L(\vec{k},\omega-\omega_0)]^{-1},$$
(2)

where  $\epsilon_L(\vec{k},\omega) = 1 + \chi_L(\vec{k},\omega)$  is the usual plasma dielectric constant. If we consider real frequencies,  $\omega$ , only the real part  $\epsilon_L^{NL}(\vec{k},\omega)$  must vanish (this determines the resonant frequency), and the imaginary part will give the effective damping.

Further quantitative discussion requires a knowledge of the susceptibility,  $\mathbf{\tilde{\chi}}_{L}^{NL}$ . This, together with the structure of Eq. (1), may be obtained from Poisson's equation,  $i\mathbf{k}E_{L}(\mathbf{\tilde{k}},\omega) = 4\pi e \langle n(\mathbf{\tilde{k}},\omega) \rangle$ , and an evaluation of the average density response,  $\langle n(\mathbf{\tilde{k}},\omega) \rangle$ , using a suitable

microscopic theory. A Vlasov-type equation for the electron distribution function in an external field is an example. The random-phase approximation gives only the usual  $\chi_L$ , but if second-order terms in the effective field are included, one may pick out the appropriate nonlinear susceptibility. In the language of Feynman diagrams, Fig. 1 shows the coupling we are considering. In a forthcoming paper, we will present a Green's-function derivation. We find  $\chi_L^{NL}$  can be related quite generally



FIG. 1. Feynman diagrams for nonlinear coupling between plasma mode, ion mode, and external field. Wavy lines represent the external transverse field, light lines electrons, and braided lines longitudinal fields.

in the collisionless approximation to the equilibrium longitudinal susceptibility  $\chi_L$  of a nonrelativistic two-component plasma. When one mass,  $m_e$ , is much lighter than the other,  $m_i$ (neglecting terms of order v/c),

$$\vec{\mathbf{E}}_{0} \cdot \vec{\chi}_{L}^{NL} (\vec{\mathbf{k}}_{0}, \omega_{0}; \vec{\mathbf{k}}, \omega - \omega_{0})$$

$$= (-ie\vec{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{E}}_{0} / 2m_{e} \omega_{0}^{2}) [\chi_{L}^{e} (\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \omega - \omega_{0}) - \chi_{L}^{e} (\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \omega)]$$

$$= \vec{\mathbf{E}}_{0} \cdot \vec{\chi}_{L}^{NL} (-\vec{\mathbf{k}}_{0}, -\omega_{0}; \vec{\mathbf{k}}, \omega). \qquad (3)$$

Otherwise there will be another contribution involving  $\chi_L^{i}$ , with  $m_i$  replacing  $m_e$ . For the high-temperature electron-ion plasma with  $\omega$ near the plasma frequency, and  $\omega_0$  slightly above it, the dominant term of Eq. (3) when  $k/k_{\rm D} \ll 1$ is simply

$$\vec{\mathbf{E}}_{0}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{\chi}}_{L}^{NL}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}_{0},\omega_{0};\vec{\mathbf{k}},\omega-\omega_{0}) = \frac{-ie\vec{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{E}}_{0}}{2m_{e}\omega_{0}^{2}}\frac{k_{D}^{2}}{k^{2}}.$$
 (4)

Equation (2) may then be stated simply as

$$\epsilon_{L}^{NL}(\vec{\mathbf{k}},\omega) = \epsilon_{L}(\vec{\mathbf{k}},\omega) - \frac{\Lambda^{2}}{(k^{2}/k_{D}^{2})\epsilon_{L}(\vec{\mathbf{k}},\omega-\omega_{0})}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\Lambda^2 = \frac{1}{4} (\omega_p^4 / \omega_0^4) \cos^2\theta (I_0 / nckT)$ ,  $I_0 = E_0^2 c / 4\pi$ , and  $\theta$  is the angle between  $\tilde{E}_0$  and  $\tilde{k}$ . With  $k \parallel E_0$ ,  $\Lambda^2 \approx I_0 / 4nckT$ . In what follows,  $\Lambda^2$  will always be  $\ll 1$ .

The condition  $\operatorname{Re}_{L}^{NL}(\vec{k}, \omega) = 0$  gives a small shift<sup>4</sup> in the resonant frequency:  $\omega = \omega_{L}[1 + O(\Lambda^{2})]$ . Of greater interest is the damping rate,

$$\frac{\gamma_L}{\omega_p} = \operatorname{Im} \epsilon_L^{NL} (\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \omega_L)$$
$$= \frac{\gamma_L}{\omega_p} - \Lambda^2 \operatorname{Im} \frac{k_D^2}{k^2} \epsilon_L^{-1} (\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \omega_L - \omega_0), \qquad (6)$$

where  $\gamma_L/\omega_p = \text{Im}\epsilon_L(\bar{k}, \omega_L)$  is the damping in the absence of the external field. For  $k \ll k_D$ ,  $\text{Im}(k_D^2/k^2)\epsilon_L^{-1}(\bar{k}, \omega_L - \omega_0) = f(u)$  is a well-known positive function<sup>5</sup> of positive  $u = (\omega_0 - \omega_L)/v_i k$ , where  $v_i = (m_e/m_i)^{1/2}v$  is the thermal velocity. f(u) rises from zero at u = 0, to a maximum of 0.6 at u = 1.7, after which it exponentially approaches zero as  $u \to +\infty$ . It has a half-width of roughly  $\Delta u \simeq 1$ . Hence, the negative damping term in Eq. (6) can be appreciable only when

$$\omega_0 - \omega_L = (1.7 \pm 0.5) v_i k. \tag{7}$$

Physically, this requirement is a frequencymatching condition for the external radiation to excite an electron plasma wave and a strongly damped ion-acoustic wave [whose frequency lies in the range indicated by the right-hand side of (7)]. It is a stringent requirement on the monochromaticity of the external field, the density homogeneity of the plasma,<sup>6</sup> and the wave number, k, of the plasma mode. When  $k_0/k_D \ll k/k_D \ll 1$ , one may show from Eq. (7) that the plasma mode receiving the maximum negative damping,  $-0.6\Lambda^2$ , has a wave number given by

$$\frac{k}{k_{\rm D}} = \left[\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{\omega_0}{\omega_p} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1.7}{3}\right)^2 \frac{m_e}{m_i}\right]^{1/2} - \frac{1.7}{3}\left(\frac{m_e}{m_i}\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (8)

 $\omega_0/\omega_p$  should be chosen small enough so that  $k/k_D \ll 1$  and the plasma mode is weakly damped, but large enough to allow the radiation to penetrate the plasma. Although the laws of reflection and transmission at a sharp boundary do not strictly apply to diffuse plasma boundaries,





they are an indication of the ease of penetration of radiation. The transmission coefficient, given by  $\tau = 4n(\omega_0)/[n(\omega_0) + 1]^2$  [where  $n(\omega_0) = (1 - \omega_p^2/\omega_0^2)^{1/2}$ ] is 80% for  $\omega_0/\omega_p = 1.1$ , corresponding to  $k/k_D \cong \frac{1}{4}$ . Hence, it should be possible to choose a frequency  $\omega_0$  which both penetrates the plasma and stimulates a weakly damped plasma mode. Around the optimum  $k/k_D$  given in Eq. (8) there will be a small range,  $\Delta k/k_D$ , of wave numbers which receive comparable negative damping. When the ion Doppler width  $v_i k$  is greater than  $\gamma_L$ , this range is  $\Delta k/k_D = \frac{1}{3}(m_e/m_i)^{1/2}$ . In Fig. 2 we plot

$$\operatorname{Im}(k_{D}^{2}/k^{2})\epsilon_{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{k},\omega_{L}-\omega_{0})$$

as a function of  $k/k_{\rm D}$  for  $\omega_0/\omega_p = 1.1$  and  $\omega_0/\omega_p = 1.1$  $\omega_b = 1.05$ . We conclude that when  $0.6\Lambda^2 \gtrsim \gamma_L/\omega_b$ , plasma oscillations with wave number in a small range about the  $k/k_{\rm D}$  given by Eq. (8) will begin to go unstable and grow. The damping may be estimated using the collisional conductivity value,  ${}^{1}\gamma_{L}/\omega_{p} = (6\sqrt{2}\pi^{3/2})^{-1}(k_{D}^{3}/n)\ln(kT/\hbar\omega_{p})$ . For  $k/k_{\rm D}$  less than ~0.2 this damping dominates Landau damping. The growth criterion would appear to be met easily with low-density plasmas and microwave radiation of  $kW/cm^2$  intensity. For example, if  $n = 10^{13}$  electrons/cm<sup>3</sup>, and kT = 1 eV,  $\gamma_L / \omega_D \approx 10^{-3}$ , whereas  $\Lambda^2 \cong 10^{-2}$ for 1-kW, 1-cm waves. In the presence of net gain  $(\gamma_L {}^{\dot{N}L} / \omega_b < 0)$ , plasma oscillations spontaneously present due to density fluctuations will be amplified by a gain factor  $\exp(+|\gamma_I^{NL}|t)$ . With the plasma inside a microwave cavity direct detection of these growing waves may be possible.

For very high-density plasmas, such as those produced by a focused ruby laser,<sup>7</sup> the instability might be induced by the laser radiation, although density inhomogeneity and strong damping are obstacles.

In a forthcoming paper, one of us (M.V.G.) will give a fuller derivation of these results as well as the spectral properties of the density fluctuations, using quantum statistical mechanics.

We are pleased to acknowledge helpful conversations with Dr. R. W. Hellwarth and Dr. W. G. Wagner.

<sup>2</sup>Under certain circumstances,  $E_L(\omega - 2\omega_0)$  must be retained too. If  $\omega - \omega_0 = -\omega_i$ , where  $\omega_i$  is a frequency at which the ions respond strongly ( $\omega_i \approx v_i k_i$ ), then  $\omega$  $-2\omega_0 = -\omega - 2\omega_i$ , and if  $2\omega_i \leq \gamma_L$ , the plasma mode at  $-\omega_L$  will participate. In this case, Eq. (1) must include an  $E_0^2$  term coupled to  $E_L(\omega - 2\omega_0)$ , and one has three coupled homogeneous equations to solve. The dielectric constant which must vanish will be more complicated in that case, but the instability is still present, and the order of magnitude of quantities we shall calculate is unchanged.

<sup>3</sup>Once inside the medium,  $\omega_0$  will be related to  $k_0$  by the dispersion relation  $\omega_0^2 = \omega_p^2 + c^2 k_0^2$ . Since  $\omega_0^2 \approx \omega_L^2$ ,  $k_0$  usually will be of O(kv/c). Therefore, in the following discussion we will write k for  $|k-k_0|$ .

<sup>4</sup>To calculate the shift precisely, one must also include an  $E_0^2$  correction to  $\chi_L(\vec{k},\omega)$  in Eq. (1). This will also produce a  $\Lambda^2$  correction to  $\text{Im}\epsilon_L(\vec{k},\omega)$  which turns out to be negligible compared to the  $\Lambda^2$  term in Eq. (5).

 ${}^{5}(k^{2}/k_{D}^{2})\epsilon_{L}(\bar{k},\omega_{L}-\omega_{0}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}Z^{1}(-u/2)$ , where  $Z^{1}$  is a complex function which may be found in B. D. Fried and S. D. Conte, <u>The Plasma Dispersion Function</u> (Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1961).

<sup>6</sup>For example, assuming  $E_0$  is perfectly monochromatic,  $\Delta \omega_p / \omega_p = \Delta n / 2n \cong (m/M)^{1/2} k/k_D$ , where  $(m/M)^{1/2}$  is the electron-to-ion mass ratio.

<sup>7</sup>J. M. Dawson, Phys. Fluids <u>7</u>, 981 (1964); R. G. Meyerand and A. F. Haught, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>13</u>, 7 (1964).

<sup>\*</sup>The support of Hughes and National Science Foundation Doctoral Fellowships during the period of this research is gratefully acknowledged.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>J. Dawson and C. Oberman, Phys. Fluids <u>5</u>, 517 (1962); C. Oberman, A. Ron, and J. Dawson, Phys. Fluids <u>5</u>, 1514 (1962); D. F. DuBois, V. Gilinsky, and M. S. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. 129, 2376 (1963).