

**Erratum: Ultraslow Settling Kinetics of Frictional Cohesive Powders  
[Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 166102 (2023)]**Kai Nan and Robert S. Hoy 

(Received 26 July 2024; published 11 September 2024)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.119901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.119901)

We recently discovered two errors in the discrete element method simulations reported in this Letter:

1. Because we used LAMMPS' [1] “fix npt” command (rather than “fix npt/sphere,”) all particle rotations were frozen.
2. The sliding-, rolling-, and twisting-frictional forces were proportional to the *total* elastic intergrain force, not to its repulsive component as was stated in the Supplemental Material [2].

These errors did not affect any results for the frictionless models (1 and 3). For the frictional models (2 and 4), correcting error No. 2 produces an additional, roughly logarithmic increase in  $\phi(t)$  at long times  $t \gtrsim \tau_{\text{ramp}}$ , making an unambiguous definition of  $\phi_{\text{settled}}$  more challenging. Using the definition employed in our study [ $\phi_{\text{settled}} = \phi(\tau_{\text{ramp}} + 10^5)$ ], errors No. 1 and No. 2, respectively, tended to decrease and increase  $\phi_{\text{settled}}(\tau_{\text{ramp}})$ . The overall effect was to increase  $\phi_{\text{settled}}(\tau_{\text{ramp}})$  above the values obtained from correctly executed simulations. Since the lower  $\phi_{\text{settled}}(\tau_{\text{ramp}})$  in the correctly executed simulations produced structural void percolation for model 4, the *number* of structural voids in this model's final settled states no longer increases rapidly with increasing  $\tau_{\text{ramp}}$ .

Otherwise these errors did not qualitatively alter any of the main trends reported in, or conclusions of, this Letter.

*Acknowledgments*—We thank Joseph M. Monti and Gary S. Grest for pointing out these errors, and for useful discussions.

- [1] A. P. Thompson *et al.*, Lammmps-a flexible simulation tool for particle-based materials modeling at the atomic, meso, and continuum scales, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **271**, 108171 (2022).
- [2] Kai Nan and R. S. Hoy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 166102 (2023), See Supplemental Material at <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.166102>.