## Self-Heterodyne Diffractive Imaging of Ultrafast Electron Dynamics Monitored by Single-Electron Pulses

<span id="page-0-3"></span>Shichao Sun (孙世超)<sup>n[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5860-4259)1,2</sup> Haiwang Yong (雍海望)<sup>n,1,[2,\\*](#page-0-0)</sup> Vladimir Y. Chernyak,<sup>3,4,[†](#page-0-1)</sup> and Shaul Mukamel<sup>1,2,[‡](#page-0-2)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, [University of California, Irvine](https://ror.org/04gyf1771), California 92614, USA<br><sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, California 92614

 $^{2}$ Department of Physics and Astronomy, [University of California, Irvine,](https://ror.org/04gyf1771) California 92614, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, [Wayne State University](https://ror.org/01070mq45), 5101 Cass Avenue, Detroit, Michigan 48202, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, [Wayne State University,](https://ror.org/01070mq45) 656 West Kirby, Detroit, Michigan 48202, USA

(Received 9 November 2023; revised 8 February 2024; accepted 18 July 2024; published 27 August 2024)

The direct imaging of time-evolving molecular charge densities on atomistic scale and at femtosecond resolution has long been an elusive task. In this theoretical study, we propose a self-heterodyne electron diffraction technique based on single electron pulses. The electron is split into two beams, one passes through the sample and its interference with the second beam produces a heterodyne diffraction signal that images the charge density. Application to probing the ultrafast electronic dynamics in Mg-phthalocyanine demonstrates its potential for imaging chemical dynamics.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.093001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.093001)

Ultrafast electron diffraction (UED) has been widely employed to probe molecular structural dynamics in space and time  $[1-12]$  $[1-12]$  $[1-12]$  $[1-12]$ . A pump optical laser pulse initiates an excited state dynamics and is followed by a probe electron pulse whose diffraction pattern reveals the time-evolving molecular charge density. The recent development of megaelectron-volt radio-frequency (rf) electron guns has enabled UED to monitor femtosecond molecular dynamics with atomistic spatial resolution [\[13](#page-5-1)–[17\]](#page-5-2). Elaborate computational methods are required for retrieving the molecular charge density  $\langle \hat{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$  from UED signals. This is because standard homodyne detection gives the expectation values of products of charge-density operators  $\langle \hat{\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{\sigma} \rangle$ ,[[18](#page-5-3)] while obtaining a real-space image requires the charge-density matrix itself in momentum space, including the phase, which goes beyond the expectation value of the charge density  $\langle \hat{\sigma} \rangle$  alone [[19](#page-5-4)]. Heterodyne-detected UED requires an additional reference wave that interferes with the scattered wave and can directly measure the time-evolving molecular charge density  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  itself. It has been recently shown that the purely nuclear charge density can be singled out by subtracting the heterodyne x-ray scattering signal that images the electron density from heterodyne electron scattering signal [[20](#page-5-5),[21](#page-5-6)]. Other diffraction and imaging techniques have been developed recently. Electron microscopies that utilize near field electron diffraction and

<span id="page-0-1"></span>[†](#page-0-3) Contact author: chernyak@chem.wayne.edu

homodyne detection can image the system with high space-time resolution [\[22,](#page-5-7)[23](#page-5-8)]. Homodyne-detected x-ray diffraction probes the modulus square of electron charge density in momentum space [[24](#page-5-9),[25](#page-5-10)]. Electron holography, a transmission electron microscopy method that records the interference of reference electron wave and an imaging wave, utilizes heterodyne-detected near field electron diffraction to image nanostructures [[26](#page-5-11)–[35](#page-5-12)]. Heterodynedetected electron diffraction, as a far field electron diffraction, can achieve better spatial resolution down to picometer and can image the total electronic and nuclear charge density. However, the experimental implementation of heterodyne-detected UED remains a challenge.

Here, we introduce a novel self-heterodyned UED technique for imaging ultrafast molecular dynamics (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0), which employs a 1 fs single-electron pulse [\[36](#page-5-13)– [40\]](#page-6-0) followed by an electron biprism, which splits the beam into two [[41](#page-6-1)–[43](#page-6-2)]. The electron wave packet generated in one path interacts with the photo-excited molecular sample (signal path) and exchange momentum, while the other (reference path) traveling parallel to the signal path does not interact with the sample. A second electron biprism is finally used to combine the two paths, and the phase information of the scattered electron in the signal path can be reconstructed from the interference pattern. A detector monitors the electron and records the diffraction pattern, thereby measuring the interference of the two paths. The time-resolved signal is recorded by varying the time delay between optical pump pulse and single-electron probe pulse. In analogy to photon self-interference as explained by Dirac [\[44\]](#page-6-3), the electron of the single-electron pulse interferes with itself. Since the relative phase of the two electron beam paths must be controlled in order to recover the phase of the signal, single-electron pulses that exclude

<span id="page-0-0"></span>[<sup>\\*</sup>](#page-0-3) Contact author: hyong@ucsd.edu

Present address: Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA.

<span id="page-0-2"></span>[<sup>‡</sup>](#page-0-3) Contact author: smukamel@uci.edu

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Top: the self-heterodyned UED setup. The molecular sample is excited by an optical pump pulse at time 0. The electron source ejects a single electron, going through a biprism and separated into two replicas. One replica is scattered by the sample at time  $\tau$  and changes its wave vector from  $k_1$  to  $k_1 + q$ . The other replica has wave vector  $\mathbf{k}_2$ . The two interfere at the detector. Bottom: the single electron pulse at the reference time  $t_0$ .

the interference of different electrons and remain coherent with themselves are most suitable for the proposed setup. In contrast, for multielectron pulses, when the beam electrons are incoherent, the interaction of incoherent electrons cannot recover the phase information. Besides the wellcontrolled phase information, single-electron pulses also overcome the space charge problem that limits the temporal duration of multielectron pulses [\[36](#page-5-13)–[40](#page-6-0),[45](#page-6-4)]: due to Coulomb repulsion, multielectron pulses become longer during propagation from the source to the sample. Space charge is absent in pulses containing a single electron at a time. Femtosecond single-electron pulses with several keV electrons have been applied recently [\[46](#page-6-5)–[48](#page-6-6)] to ultrafast microscopy and diffraction [\[45](#page-6-4)[,49](#page-6-7)[,50\]](#page-6-8), demonstrating the feasibility of the proposed technique. Self-heterodyne diffraction can be alternatively performed with coherent multielectron pulses, where the pulse electrons remain coherent within the relevant spatiotemporal window [\[73](#page-6-9)[,74\]](#page-6-10), i.e., retain their phases. Measurements of electron diffraction with such multielectron pulses have improved the femtosecond temporal resolution required for measuring ultrafast electronic dynamics in molecular systems. Single-electron pulses are thus ideal for ensuring coherence of the electron beam and for ultrashort time resolution. Heterodyne-detected diffraction can image the charge

data collection. However, multielectron pulses do not have

density on atomistic scale and with femtosecond time resolution. We demonstrate this by simulating this signal for Mg-phthalocyanine (MgPc) electronic dynamics with frozen nuclei. The signal probes the charge density temporal evolution in the current migration process, where the coherent ring currents created by an optical pump pulse redistribute among different locations within the MgPc molecule [[75](#page-7-0)]. Similar to charge migration [\[76](#page-7-1)–[84](#page-7-2)], current migration is caused by the time evolution of a coherent superposition of excited states.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>In the proposed pump-probe setup, as illustrated in Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) an optical pump at time 0, first launches the electronic dynamics. The sample molecule excited by the optical pump pulse evolves according to the timedependent Schrödinger equation

$$
i\frac{\partial |\psi(t)\rangle}{\partial t} = [H_{\text{mol}} - \mu \cdot \mathcal{E}(t)] |\psi(t)\rangle, \tag{1}
$$

where  $\mathcal{E}(t)$  is the optical pump electric field,  $\psi(t)$  is the electronic wave function that may be expanded as  $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{A} c_A(t) |\psi_A\rangle$ , and A runs over ground state and excited states. The charge-density operator in real space is given by

$$
\hat{\sigma}(r) = e\left(\sum_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha} \delta(r - R_{\alpha}) - \sum_{i} \delta(r - r_{i})\right), \qquad (2)
$$

<span id="page-1-3"></span>Here,  $Z_{\alpha}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha}$  are nuclear charges and coordinates,  $\alpha$  runs over the nuclei,  $r_i$  is the coordinate of electron i, and e is unit charge. The real-space charge density at time  $t$  is given by  $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t) = \langle \psi(t) | \hat{\sigma}(r) | \psi(t) \rangle$ , or in momentum space,

$$
\tilde{\sigma}(q,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dr e^{-iqr} \sigma(r,t).
$$
 (3)

<span id="page-1-2"></span>The total time-dependent charge density can be decomposed as

$$
\tilde{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{q},t) = \sum_{A \in g \cup \text{ex}} \rho_{AA}(t) \tilde{\sigma}_{AA}(\boldsymbol{q}) + \sum_{A,B \in g \cup \text{ex}, A \neq B} \rho_{AB}(t) \tilde{\sigma}_{AB}(\boldsymbol{q}),\tag{4}
$$

where A, B denote the electronic states,  $\rho_{AB}(t) =$  $c_A^*(t)c_B(t)$  is the density-matrix element.  $\rho_{AA}(t)$  is the population of state A, while  $\rho_{AB}(t)$  is the coherence between states  $A$ ,  $B$ . The optical pump brings the system from the ground state to a superposition of excited states via the electric dipole interaction, Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1). When the pump pulse is over, the populations are stationary, while the electronic coherences  $\rho_{AB}$  oscillates with a period  $[h/(E_A - E_B)]$ , reflecting the difference of phase evolution of states A and B. The time-dependent charge density is thus solely attributed to electronic coherences.

The electron source ejects the probe electron, which is then split by an electron biprism into two beams. At a reference time  $t_0$  before the probe electron interacts with the sample, the probe electron density matrix is  $\rho_f(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2; t_0)$  [or  $\rho_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}(t_0)$  in momentum space]. Starting at time  $t_0$ , the probe electron  $\rho_{k_1,k_2}(t_0)$  propagates in the two beams. Electron beam 1 propagates according to the Coulomb potential created by the sample charge density,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
u(r,\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dr_{\mu} \frac{\langle \sigma(r_{\mu},\tau) \rangle}{|r - r_{\mu}|}, \qquad \tilde{u}(q,\tau) = 4\pi \frac{\langle \tilde{\sigma}(q,\tau) \rangle}{q^2},
$$
\n(5)

scatters off the sample at time  $\tau$ , changing its wave vector from  $k_1$  to  $k_1 + q$ . Beam 2 propagates freely with a fixed wave vector  $k_2$ . The magnitude distribution of the  $k_2$  is narrow, however its direction distribution should be wide enough to cover the range of  $q$ . This interaction is described in Supplemental Material [[50](#page-6-8)], Fig. S1. Treating the Coulomb potential Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-0) perturbatively, the probe electron density matrix at a later time t,  $\rho_{k_1+q,k_2}(t)$  is calculated in Liouville space, as explained in Supplemental Material. Finally, the electron counting detector captures the probe electron from the two beams and measures the electron flux. Truncating the perturbative expansion of the density matrix to first order in the electron-sample interaction, we obtain the electron density matrix at time  $t$ , given as Eq. (S16) in Supplemental Material. By integrating the current density on surface  $A$  on the detector to calculate the electron flux, we obtain the expression for the electron diffraction signal at time  $t_D$ ,

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
S_0(\mathcal{A}; \boldsymbol{q}; t_D) = \frac{ie}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} d\tau \frac{\langle \tilde{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{q}, \tau) \rangle}{q^2} W_0(\mathcal{A}; t_D, \boldsymbol{q}, \tau) + \text{c.c.},
$$
\n(6)

where  $W_0$  is the window function defined in Eq. (S22). Details of the window function are discussed in Sec. S2 of Supplemental Material.

The signal that reveals the time-dependent charge density in q space convoluted with the window function depends on the detection time  $t_D$ , the wave vector  $q$ , and the detector area A. Here, the single electron pulse diffraction signal is derived by neglecting the Coulombic interaction between the electrons in the pulse. This derivation also holds for multielectron pulses provided the number of electrons in the pulse is low and their Coulombic interaction can be neglected.

In the interaction of the probe electron and the sample, we neglected the contribution of inelastic scattering that involves vibrational state changes. We have also neglected the free-free transition where an electron passing close to an ion accelerates, and the laser assisted electron scattering where the probing electron is scattered by neutral matter in the process of laser, since the electron and the laser pulse have no temporal overlap in this proposed experiment.

The present self-heterodyne electron diffraction technique can be applied to single molecule or molecules aligned in periodic structure, preferably on a single layer of molecular film on lattice position, since the random orientation or position of molecules will make the selfheterodyne signal vanish. For a periodic sample, the diffraction signal Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-1) becomes a function of discrete value of  $q \in L_B$ , where  $L_B$  is the periodic structure's corresponding Bragg lattice [Eqs. (S17),(S18)]. When the focal point of the electron beam is at the molecular location that only covers a single molecule, the measurement of a periodic system is equivalent to single molecule diffraction.

To obtain an analytical form for the window function, we assume that the probe electron has a Gaussian profile around the reference time  $t_0$ . The heterodyne electron diffraction of the single electron pulse should be measured repeatedly to accumulate enough signal strength for the detection of the diffraction pattern [\[39\]](#page-5-14). For each single electron pulse, the position of the Gaussian center  $r_0$  has a random variation [\[39\]](#page-5-14). We thus describe the probe electron as an ensemble of single electron pulses described by a mixed-state density matrix at time  $t_0$ ,

$$
\rho(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\text{pulse}}}\int d\mathbf{r}_0 \exp\left[-\frac{(\mathbf{r}_0 - \mathbf{R})^2}{2\sigma_{\text{pulse}}^2}\right] \rho^{\text{pure}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2).
$$
\n(7)

This contains the pure state density matrix  $(\rho^{\text{pure}})$  of Gaussian wave packet centered at  $r_0$ , whose wave function in real space is

$$
\phi(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-ik_0 r} \exp\left[-\frac{(r - r_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right],\tag{8}
$$

while its center  $r_0$  is distributed around **R** with a Gaussian weight factor  $\exp\left\{-[(r_0 - R)^2/2\sigma_{\text{pulse}}^2]\right\}$ , as depicted in the lower panel of Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) Here,  $k_0$  is the average electron wave vector,  $\sigma$  denotes the spatial width of single electron at the reference time,  $\sigma_{\text{pulse}}$  is the width of the Gaussian wave center  $r_0$  distribution. We use the same width in the longitudinal and transverse directions. We further used an electron average energy of  $E_0 = 100 \text{ keV}$ ,  $|\mathbf{k}_0| = 85.7$   $(\hbar/a_0)$ , where  $a_0$  is Bohr radius, c is the speed of light and  $m_0$  is electron mass. Its De Broglie wavelength 3.88 pm, determines the spatial resolution, i.e., the upper

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 2. Top row: real space charge density at time  $\tau$  minus the charge density at the initial time step,  $[\sigma(\mathbf{r}, \tau) - \sigma(\mathbf{r}, -3.9 \text{ fs})]$ . Second row: the real part of charge density in q-space,  $(\Re[\tilde{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}, \tau) - \tilde{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}, -3.9 \text{ fs})])$ . Analytical integral Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-3) is evaluated with the algorithm of Ref. [\[92\]](#page-7-8). Third row: the diffraction signal  $[\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbf{q}; t_D) - \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbf{q}; -3.9 \text{ fs})]$ . The first, second, and third columns are at times 2.1 fs, 8.1 fs, 17.1 fs, respectively.

limit of  $|q|$  in the diffraction pattern. **R** is set at the origin, while  $\sigma = 22.066$  Å,  $\sigma_{\text{pulse}} = 1103.3$  Å. The probe pulse duration 1.18 fs is the pulse length ( $2\sigma_{\text{pulse}}$ ) divided by electron velocity. The electron coherence time is given by its coherence length ( $2\sigma$ ) divided by its velocity, 0.047 fs. The window function is Eq. (S42) in Supplemental Material. Using Eq. [\(6\),](#page-2-1) we define the signal as

$$
\mathcal{S}'_0(\boldsymbol{q}, \mathcal{A}; t_D) = \boldsymbol{q}^2 \mathcal{S}_0(\boldsymbol{q}, \mathcal{A}; t_D). \tag{9}
$$

We have applied this technique to probe the electronic dynamics in Mg-phthalocyanine (MgPc) initiated by a circularly polarized light pump pulse. Details of the dynamics are discussed in Supplemental Material and in Refs. [\[50](#page-6-8)[,75\]](#page-7-0). Phthalocyanines have many applications in, e.g., dye-sensitized solar cells [[85](#page-7-3),[86](#page-7-4)] and as photosensitizers for cancer photodynamic therapy [\[87](#page-7-5)]. MgPc has a rigid structure, which remains stable upon electronic excitation [[88](#page-7-6)[,89\]](#page-7-7). It is a planar molecule consisting of a center porphyrin ring and four corner benzene rings (see Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). Its high density of excited states in the 2 to 4 eV regime, facilitates the coherent excitation of multiple excited states by a broadband pump pulse [[75](#page-7-0)]. The circularly polarized pump drives the electron in the conjugated  $\pi$  bond moving in a circle, resulting in ring currents proportional to the electronic state coherence,  $j(t) =$  $\sum_{A,B,A\neq B} \rho_{AB} \mathbf{j}_{AB}$ , where  $\mathbf{j}_{AB}$  is transition current density, analogous to the coherent charge density in Eq. [\(4\).](#page-1-2) These coherent ring currents vary with time due to the superposition of multiple electronic states. Since different coherent currents have distinct spatial profiles, some concentrated in the central ring while others reside in the corner rings, the ring currents redistribute among different regions of the molecule with time, resulting in a current migration process between the central and the corner rings [\[75\]](#page-7-0). Similar to current migration, the interplay of coherent charge-density oscillations induced by multiple pairs of coherences causes the dynamics of charge density, i.e., the charge density redistributes among the central porphyrin and the corner benzene rings, as depicted in the first row of Fig. [2](#page-3-0) and Fig. S2 in Supplemental Material. The charge density is more pronounced on the central ring and left and right rings, and moves to the top and bottom rings, and back. Such change can also be reflected in the real part of  $q$ -space charge density (second row of Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0) and the diffraction pattern (third row of Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). Although electron diffraction does not directly image the current density, it images the charge density, originating from the same electronic coherence  $\rho_{AB}$ . However, the continuity relation  $-(\partial \rho/\partial t) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}$  connects the time evolution of the charge density to the divergence of the current density [\[90](#page-7-9)[,91\]](#page-7-10).

Figures S2 and S5 display the charge density in real space and the diffraction pattern of the system prior to the pump pulse where no current density exists. First row of Fig. [2](#page-3-0) depicts the charge-density difference  $[\sigma(\mathbf{r}, \tau) - \sigma(\mathbf{r}, -3.9 \text{ fs})]$ , where the charge density at time −3.9 fs before the pump is subtracted from the charge density at time  $\tau$ . The second row displays the charge density difference in q-space  $\tilde{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}, \tau) - \tilde{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}, -3.9 \text{ fs})$ , while the third row depicts the diffraction signal difference  $S'_0(q, A; t_D) - S'_0(q, A; -3.9 \text{ fs})$ . The diffraction signal is simulated by convoluting the charge density and the instrumental window function. The window function has both real and imaginary parts. Once integrated over the charge density, both parts contribute to the diffraction pattern. The real (imaginary) part of the charge density is an even (odd) function of  $q$  [see Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-3)]. We find that the resulting diffraction pattern is dominated by the stronger real part.

During the optical pump, the excited state population  $\rho_{AA}$ increases, inducing a population ring current [\[75,](#page-7-0)[93\]](#page-7-11) and charge density [first term in Eq. [\(4\)\]](#page-1-2). Since the electronic state population is time-independent once the pump pulse is over, the time dependence of the signal after the optical pump pulse only comes from electronic coherences. If the pump has a narrow bandwidth that only covers one pair of excited state and one coherent ring current like in Refs. [[93](#page-7-11)–[95\]](#page-7-12), the corresponding coherent ring current and coherent charge density oscillate with the coherence, i.e., the coherent current density reverses direction while the coherent charge density changes sign at the frequency of coherence. For a broad band pump, the superposition of multiple pairs of coherence causes current migration and a redistribution of charge density among different regions of the molecule, as seen in the diffraction signal Fig. [2](#page-3-0) and Supplemental Material Fig. S5. The electronic dynamics involves excited states of irreducible representation  $E_u$ . Since  $E<sub>u</sub>$  in D<sub>4h</sub> point group has character −2 with respect to inversion, the charge-density change is symmetric for inversion. For this reason, the charge-density change of the electronic dynamics in real space has a central symmetry. The diffraction signal integrates the window function and the momentum-space charge density. The real (imaginary) part of  $\tilde{\sigma}(q, \tau)$  is central symmetric (antisymmetric). The resulting diffraction pattern has central symmetry, as the real part of window function dominates. The diffraction signal changes mostly occur within  $-2$  to 2  $\AA^{-1}$ , since the

window function decays rapidly for larger  $|q|$ . The diffraction signal is thus only clear when the scattering wave vector  $|q|$  is smaller than some threshold. To measure the signal in a broader range of  $q$ , higher energy single-electron pulses should be employed.

In a future study, we will apply relativistic theory for describing higher energy probing electrons and simulating their self-heterodyne diffraction signal. Reconstruction of the real-space charge density from the diffraction signal requires the inverse Fourier transform of the threedimensional momentum-space charge density  $\tilde{\sigma}(q, \tau)$ . The diffraction signal integrates the window function with  $\tilde{\sigma}(q, \tau)$ , thus mixing its real and imaginary parts. Obtaining  $\tilde{\sigma}(q, \tau)$  requires: (1) measuring the diffraction signal at various  $q_z$ ; (2) performing phase-shifting [\[43](#page-6-2)[,96](#page-7-13)– [98\]](#page-7-14) measurement, i.e., varying the relative phase of the signal and reference electron beam to separately obtain real and imaginary parts of the complex valued function; (3) deconvoluting the window function.

In summary, we have proposed a self-heterodyne electron diffraction method for imaging the molecular charge density in real time and space. A single probe electron is split into two beams, where only one travels through the sample. The diffraction signal is obtained by the interference of these two paths. This ultrafast imaging of the charge density in q space can monitor electron dynamics in real time. It is demonstrated by simulating the timeresolved signal of MgPc electronic dynamics initiated by a UV-visible circularly polarized light pulse. The proposed technique is not limited to probing electronic dynamics like current and charge migration, but can be also applied to the imaging of various light-induced ultrafast chemical processes.

Acknowledgments—V. Y. C. gratefully acknowledges the support of the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences Award No. DESC0022134. S. S. was supported by the National Science Foundation through Grant No. CHE-2246379. S. M. was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Award No. DE-FG02-04ER15571.

- [3] C. J. Hensley, J. Yang, and M. Centurion, Imaging of isolated molecules with ultrafast electron pulses, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.133202) Rev. Lett. 109[, 133202 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.133202)
- [4] J. Yang, J. Beck, C. J. Uiterwaal, and M. Centurion, Imaging of alignment and structural changes of carbon disulfide molecules using ultrafast electron diffraction, [Nat.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9172) Commun. 6[, 8172 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9172)

<span id="page-4-0"></span><sup>[1]</sup> A. H. Zewail, 4d ultrafast electron diffraction, crystallography, and microscopy, [Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physchem.57.032905.104748) 57, 65 [\(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physchem.57.032905.104748)

<sup>[2]</sup> W. E. King, G. H. Campbell, A. Frank, B. Reed, J. F. Schmerge, B. J. Siwick, B. C. Stuart, and P. M. Weber, Ultrafast electron microscopy in materials science, biology, and chemistry, J. Appl. Phys. 97[, 111101 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1927699).

- [5] A. A. Ischenko, P. M. Weber, and R. D. Miller, Capturing chemistry in action with electrons: Realization of atomically resolved reaction dynamics, Chem. Rev. 117[, 11066 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00770)
- [6] J. Yang et al., Imaging  $cf_3i$  conical intersection and photodissociation dynamics with ultrafast electron diffraction, Science 361[, 64 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat0049).
- [7] Y. Xiong, K. J. Wilkin, and M. Centurion, High-resolution movies of molecular rotational dynamics captured with ultrafast electron diffraction, [Phys. Rev. Res.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043064) 2, 043064 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043064)
- [8] J. Yang, X. Zhu, J. P. F. Nunes, J. K. Yu, R. M. Parrish, T. J. A. Wolf, M. Centurion, M. Gühr, R. Li, Y. Liu, B. Moore, M. Niebuhr, S. Park, X. Shen, S. Weathersby, T. Weinacht, T. J. Martinez, and X. Wang, Simultaneous observation of nuclear and electronic dynamics by ultrafast electron diffraction, Science 368[, 885 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb2235)
- [9] K. J. Wilkin, Y. Xiong, H. Zhao, S. B. Muvva, S. K. Saha, and M. Centurion, Ultrafast electron diffraction from transiently aligned asymmetric top molecules: Rotational dynamics and structure retrieval, [Struct. Dyn.](https://doi.org/10.1063/4.0000163) 9, 054303 [\(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/4.0000163)
- [10] D. Filippetto, P. Musumeci, R. K. Li, B. J. Siwick, M. R. Otto, M. Centurion, and J. P. F. Nunes, Ultrafast electron diffraction: Visualizing dynamic states of matter, [Rev. Mod.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.94.045004) Phys. 94[, 045004 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.94.045004).
- [11] Y. Wang, S. K. Saha, T. Li, Y. Xiong, K. Wilkin, A. Adhikari, M. Loes, J. Abourahma, X. Hong, S. Adenwalla, A. Sinitskii, and M. Centurion, Ultrafast electron diffraction instrument for gas and condensed matter samples, [Rev. Sci. Instrum.](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0146577) 94, 053001 (2023).
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[12] E. G. Champenois et al., Femtosecond electronic and hydrogen structural dynamics in ammonia imaged with ultrafast electron diffraction, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.143001) 131, 143001 [\(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.143001)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[13] J. B. Hastings, F. M. Rudakov, D. H. Dowell, J. F. Schmerge, J. D. Cardoza, J. M. Castro, S. M. Gierman, H. Loos, and P. M. Weber, Ultrafast time-resolved electron diffraction with megavolt electron beams, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2372697) 89[, 184109 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2372697)
- [14] H.-C. Shao and A.F. Starace, Imaging coherent electronic motion in atoms by ultrafast electron diffraction, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.88.062711) A 88[, 062711 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.88.062711).
- [15] J. Yang et al., Femtosecond gas phase electron diffraction with MeV electrons, [Faraday Discuss.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6FD00071A) 194, 563 (2016).
- [16] X. Shen, J. P. F. Nunes, J. Yang, R. K. Jobe, R. K. Li, M.-F. Lin, B. Moore, M. Niebuhr, S. P. Weathersby, T. J. A. Wolf, C. Yoneda, M. Guehr, M. Centurion, and X. J. Wang, Femtosecond gas-phase mega-electron-volt ultrafast electron diffraction, Struct. Dyn. 6[, 054305 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5120864)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[17] M. Centurion, T. J. Wolf, and J. Yang, Ultrafast imaging of molecules with electron diffraction, [Annu. Rev. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physchem-082720-010539) Chem. 73[, 21 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physchem-082720-010539).
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[18] J.R. Rouxel, D. Keefer, and S. Mukamel, Signatures of electronic and nuclear coherences in ultrafast molecular X-ray and electron diffraction, [Struct. Dyn.](https://doi.org/10.1063/4.0000043) 8, 014101 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/4.0000043)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[19] K. Bennett, M. Kowalewski, J. R. Rouxel, and S. Mukamel, Monitoring molecular nonadiabatic dynamics with femtosecond X-ray diffraction, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1805335115) U.S.A. 115[, 6538 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1805335115)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[20] H. Yong, D. Keefer, and S. Mukamel, Imaging purely nuclear quantum dynamics in molecules by combined X-ray and electron diffraction, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c01311) 144, 7796 [\(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c01311)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[21] H. Yong, D. Keefer, and S. Mukamel, Novel ultrafast molecular imaging based on the combination of X-ray and electron diffraction, [J. Phys. Chem. A](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.2c08024) 127, 835 (2023).
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[22] Y. Morimoto and P. Baum, Diffraction and microscopy with attosecond electron pulse trains, Nat. Phys. 14[, 252 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-017-0007-6)
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[23] J. H. Gaida, H. Lourenço-Martins, M. Sivis, T. Rittmann, A. Feist, F. J. García de Abajo, and C. Ropers, Attosecond electron microscopy by free-electron homodyne detection, [Nat. Photonics](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-024-01380-8) 18, 509 (2024).
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[24] H. J. Suominen and A. Kirrander, How to observe coherent electron dynamics directly, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.043002) 112, 043002 [\(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.043002)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[25] V. S. Yakovlev, M. I. Stockman, F. Krausz, and P. Baum, Atomic-scale diffractive imaging of sub-cycle electron dynamics in condensed matter, [Sci. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14581) 5, 14581 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14581)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[26] K. Harada, Interference and interferometry in electron holography, [Microscopy](https://doi.org/10.1093/jmicro/dfaa033) 70, 3 (2021).
- [27] H. Lichte and M. Lehmann, Electron holography—basics and applications, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/71/1/016102) 71, 016102 (2007).
- [28] D. Gabor, A new microscopi prinnciple, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/161777a0) 161[, 777 \(1948\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/161777a0).
- [29] D. Gabor and W. L. Bragg, Microscopy by reconstructed wave-fronts, [Proc. R. Soc. A](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1949.0075) 197, 454 (1949).
- [30] R. E. Dunin-Borkowski, A. Kovács, T. Kasama, M. R. McCartney, and D. J. Smith, Electron holography, in Springer Handbook of Microscopy, edited by P. W. Hawkes and J. C. H. Spence (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019), pp. 767–818.
- [31] F. Houdellier, G. M. Caruso, S. Weber, M. Hÿtch, C. Gatel, and A. Arbouet, Optimization of off-axis electron holography performed with femtosecond electron pulses, [Ultramicroscopy](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultramic.2019.03.016) 202, 26 (2019).
- [32] H. Lichte, Electron holography approaching atomic resolution, [Ultramicroscopy](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3991(86)90193-2) 20, 293 (1986).
- [33] A. Tonomura, T. Matsuda, J. Endo, T. Arii, and K. Mihama, Direct observation of fine structure of magnetic domain walls by electron holography, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.44.1430) 44, 1430 [\(1980\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.44.1430)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[34] A. Tonomura, Applications of electron holography, [Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.59.639) Mod. Phys. 59[, 639 \(1987\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.59.639).
- [35] H. Lichte, Electron holography: Phases matter, [Microscopy](https://doi.org/10.1093/jmicro/dft009) 62[, S17 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1093/jmicro/dft009).
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[36] B. J. Siwick, J. R. Dwyer, R. E. Jordan, and R. D. Miller, Ultrafast electron optics: Propagation dynamics of femtosecond electron packets, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1487437) 92, 1643 (2002).
- [37] S. Collin, M. Merano, M. Gatri, S. Sonderegger, P. Renucci, J.-D. Ganière, and B. Deveaud, Transverse and longitudinal space-charge-induced broadenings of ultrafast electron packets, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2128494) 98 (2005).
- [38] A. Gliserin, A. Apolonski, F. Krausz, and P. Baum, Compression of single-electron pulses with a microwave cavity, New J. Phys. 14[, 073055 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/7/073055).
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[39] P. Baum, On the physics of ultrashort single-electron pulses for time-resolved microscopy and diffraction, [Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphys.2013.06.012) 423[, 55 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphys.2013.06.012)
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[40] S. Tsesses, R. Dahan, K. Wang, T. Bucher, K. Cohen, O. Reinhardt, G. Bartal, and I. Kaminer, Tunable photoninduced spatial modulation of free electrons, [Nat. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-022-01449-1) 22[, 345 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-022-01449-1)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[41] G. Möllenstedt and H. Düker, Fresnelscher interferenzversuch mit einem biprisma für elektronenwellen, [Naturwis](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00621530)senschaften 42[, 41 \(1955\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00621530).
- [42] K. Harada, H. Endoh, and R. Shimizu, Anti-contamination electron biprism for electron holography, [J. Electron](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jmicro.a050684) Microsc. 37[, 199 \(1988\)](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jmicro.a050684).
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[43] Z. Chen, B. Zhang, Y. Pan, and M. Krüger, Quantum wave function reconstruction by free-electron spectral shearing interferometry, Sci. Adv. 9[, eadg8516 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adg8516)
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[44] P.A.M. Dirac, The Principles of Quantum Mechanics (Oxford University Press, New York, 1981) "Each photon then interferes only with itself. Interference between two different photons never occurs".
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[45] M. Hassan, Electron microscopy for attosecond science, Phys. Today 77[, No. 6, 38 \(2024\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/pt.owxp.nqan)
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[46] B. Barwick, D. J. Flannigan, and A. H. Zewail, Photoninduced near-field electron microscopy, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08662) 462[, 902 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08662).
- [47] L. Kasmi, D. Kreier, M. Bradler, E. Riedle, and P. Baum, Femtosecond single-electron pulses generated by twophoton photoemission close to the work function, [New J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/3/033008) Phys. 17[, 033008 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/3/033008).
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[48] L. Waldecker, R. Bertoni, and R. Ernstorfer, Compact femtosecond electron diffractometer with 100 keV electron bunches approaching the single-electron pulse duration limit, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4906786) 117 (2015).
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[49] S. Asban, D. Keefer, V. Y. Chernyak, and S. Mukamel, Sensing ultrashort electronic coherent beating at conical intersections by single-electron pulses, [Proc. Natl. Acad.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2205510119) Sci. U.S.A. 119[, e2205510119 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2205510119).
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>[50] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.093001) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.093001](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.093001), which includes Refs. [46–48,51–66], for comparison of photoninduced near field electron microscopy with self-heterodyne electron diffraction and includes [67–72], for the density functional theory and time-dependent density functional theory simulation details.
- [51] F. G. de Abajo and M. Kociak, Electron energy-gain spectroscopy, New J. Phys. 10[, 073035 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/10/7/073035)
- [52] F. J. García de Abajo, A. Asenjo-Garcia, and M. Kociak, Multiphoton absorption and emission by interaction of swift electrons with evanescent light fields, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl100613s) 10, 1859 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl100613s)
- [53] A. Howie, Photon interactions for electron microscopy applications, [Euro. Phys. J.-Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1051/epjap/2010100353) 54, 33502 (2011).
- [54] M. Aidelsburger, F. O. Kirchner, F. Krausz, and P. Baum, Single-electron pulses for ultrafast diffraction, [Proc. Natl.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010165107) [Acad. Sci. U.S.A.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010165107) 107, 19714 (2010).
- [55] S. T. Park, M. Lin, and A. H. Zewail, Photon-induced nearfield electron microscopy (PINEM): Theoretical and experimental, New J. Phys. 12[, 123028 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/12/123028).
- [56] S. T. Park and A. H. Zewail, Photon-induced near field electron microscopy, in Ultrafast Imaging and Spectroscopy, Vol. 8845, edited by Z. Liu, International Society for Optics and Photonics (SPIE, 2013), p. 884506, [10.1117/12.2023082.](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2023082)
- [57] S. T. Park and A. H. Zewail, Photon-induced near-field electron microscopy: Mathematical formulation of the relation between the experimental observables and the optically driven charge density of nanoparticles, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.013851) Rev. A 89[, 013851 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.013851).
- [58] S. T. Park and A. H. Zewail, Relativistic effects in photoninduced near field electron microscopy, [J. Phys. Chem. A](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp304534n) 116[, 11128 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp304534n)
- [59] M. T. Hassan, Attomicroscopy: From femtosecond to attosecond electron microscopy, [J. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/aaa183) 51, 032005 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/aaa183)
- [60] Y. Pan, B. Zhang, and A. Gover, Anomalous photoninduced near-field electron microscopy, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.183204) 122[, 183204 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.183204)
- [61] K. Wang, R. Dahan, M. Shentcis, Y. Kauffmann, A. Ben Hayun, O. Reinhardt, S. Tsesses, and I. Kaminer, Coherent interaction between free electrons and a photonic cavity, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2321-x) 582, 50 (2020).
- [62] O. Reinhardt and I. Kaminer, Theory of shaping electron wavepackets with light, [ACS Photonics](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.0c01133) 7, 2859 (2020).
- [63] Y. Kurman, R. Dahan, H. H. Sheinfux, K. Wang, M. Yannai, Y. Adiv, O. Reinhardt, L. H. G. Tizei, S. Y. Woo, J. Li, J. H. Edgar, M. Kociak, F. H. L. Koppens, and I. Kaminer, Spatiotemporal imaging of 2d polariton wave packet dynamics using free electrons, Science 372[, 1181 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abg9015)
- [64] D. J. Flannigan, B. Barwick, and A. H. Zewail, Biological imaging with 4d ultrafast electron microscopy, [Proc. Natl.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005653107) [Acad. Sci. U.S.A.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005653107) 107, 9933 (2010).
- [65] M. Kaplan, B.-K. Yoo, J. Tang, T. E. Karam, B. Liao, D. Majumdar, D. Baltimore, G. J. Jensen, and A. H. Zewail, Photon-induced near-field electron microscopy of eukaryotic cells, Angew. Chem. 129[, 11656 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.201706120)
- [66] X. Fu, Z. Sun, S. Ji, F. Liu, M. Feng, B.-K. Yoo, and Y. Zhu, Nanoscale-femtosecond imaging of evanescent surface plasmons on silver film by photon-induced near-field electron microscopy, Nano Lett. 22[, 2009 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c04774)
- [67] A. D. Becke, Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic behavior, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.38.3098) 38, [3098 \(1988\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.38.3098).
- [68] A. D. Becke, Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.464913) 98, 5648 (1993).
- [69] C. Lee, W. Yang, and R. G. Parr, Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.785) 37, 785 (1988).
- [70] B. Miehlich, A. Savin, H. Stoll, and H. Preuss, Results obtained with the correlation energy density functionals of becke and Lee, Yang and Parr, [Chem. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(89)87234-3) 157, 200 [\(1989\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(89)87234-3)
- [71] M. J. Frisch et al., Gaussian 16 Revision A.03, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2016.
- [72] D. B. Williams-Young, A. Petrone, S. Sun, T. F. Stetina, P. Lestrange, C. E. Hoyer, D. R. Nascimento, L. Koulias, A. Wildman, J. Kasper, J. J. Goings, F. Ding, A. E. DePrince III, E. F. Valeev, and X. Li, The Chronus Quantum (ChronusQ) Software Package, [WIREs Comput. Mol.](https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.1436) Sci. 10[, e1436 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.1436).
- <span id="page-6-10"></span><span id="page-6-9"></span>[73] T. Kodama, N. Osakabe, and A. Tonomura, Correlation in a coherent electron beam, Phys. Rev. A 83[, 063616 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.063616)
- [74] D. Ehberger, J. Hammer, M. Eisele, M. Krüger, J. Noe, A. Högele, and P. Hommelhoff, Highly coherent electron beam

from a laser-triggered tungsten needle tip, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.227601) 114[, 227601 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.227601)

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[75] S. Sun, H. Yong, F. Chen, and S. Mukamel, Coherent ringcurrent migration of mg-phthalocyanine probed by timeresolved X-ray circular dichroism, [Chem. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2SC02768B) 13, 10327 [\(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2SC02768B)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[76] M. F. Kling and M. J. Vrakking, Attosecond electron dynamics, [Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physchem.59.032607.093532) 59, 463 (2008).
- [77] M. Nisoli, P. Decleva, F. Calegari, A. Palacios, and F. Martín, Attosecond electron dynamics in molecules, [Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00453) Rev. 117[, 10760 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00453).
- [78] H. J. Wörner, C. A. Arrell, N. Banerji, A. Cannizzo, M. Chergui, A. K. Das, P. Hamm, U. Keller, P. M. Kraus, E. Liberatore et al., Charge migration and charge transfer in molecular systems, Struct. Dyn. 4[, 061508 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4996505)
- [79] L. S. Cederbaum and J. Zobeley, Ultrafast charge migration by electron correlation, [Chem. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(99)00508-4) 307, 205 (1999).
- [80] A. I. Kuleff, J. Breidbach, and L. S. Cederbaum, Multielectron wave-packet propagation: General theory and application, J. Chem. Phys. 123[, 044111 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1961341).
- [81] A. J. Jenkins, M. Vacher, R. M. Twidale, M. J. Bearpark, and M. A. Robb, Charge migration in polycyclic norbornadiene cations: Winning the race against decoherence, [J. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4965436) Phys. 145[, 164103 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4965436)
- [82] F. Mauger, P. M. Abanador, A. Bruner, A. Sissay, M. B. Gaarde, K. Lopata, and K. J. Schafer, Signature of charge migration in modulations of double ionization, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.043407) 97[, 043407 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.043407)
- [83] A. S. Folorunso, A. Bruner, F. Mauger, K. A. Hamer, S. Hernandez, R. R. Jones, L. F. DiMauro, M. B. Gaarde, K. J. Schafer, and K. Lopata, Molecular modes of attosecond charge migration, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.133002) 126, 133002 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.133002)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[84] Y. Kobayashi, D. M. Neumark, and S. R. Leone, Theoretical analysis of the role of complex transition dipole phase in XUV transient-absorption probing of charge migration, [Opt.](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.451129) Express 30[, 5673 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.451129)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[85] M. G. Walter, A. B. Rudine, and C. C. Wamser, Porphyrins and phthalocyanines in solar photovoltaic cells, [J. Porphyr](https://doi.org/10.1142/S1088424610002689)[ins Phthalocyanines](https://doi.org/10.1142/S1088424610002689) 14, 759 (2010).
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[86] M. Urbani, M.-E. Ragoussi, M. K. Nazeeruddin, and T. Torres, Phthalocyanines for dye-sensitized solar cells, [Coord. Chem. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2018.10.007) 381, 1 (2019).
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[87] Z. Jiang, J. Shao, T. Yang, J. Wang, and L. Jia, Pharmaceutical development, composition and quantitative analysis

of phthalocyanine as the photosensitizer for cancer photodynamic therapy, [J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2013.05.014) 87, 98 (2014).

- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[88] J. Mi, L. Guo, Y. Liu, W. Liu, G. You, and S. Qian, Excitedstate dynamics of magnesium phthalocyanine thin film, [Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(03)00458-4) 310, 486 (2003).
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[89] D. Potamianos, M. Nuber, A. Schletter, M. Schnitzenbaumer, M. Haimerl, P. Scigalla, M. Wörle, L. I. Wagner, R. Kienberger, and H. Iglev, Full dynamics description of Mg phthalocyanine crystalline and amorphous semiconductor systems, [J. Phys. Chem. C](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c04698) 125, 18279 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c04698)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>[90] A. Moreno Carrascosa, M. Yang, H. Yong, L. Ma, A. Kirrander, P. M. Weber, and K. Lopata, Mapping static coreholes and ring-currents with X-ray scattering, [Faraday](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0FD00124D) Discuss. 228[, 60 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0FD00124D)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>[91] G. Hermann, V. Pohl, G. Dixit, and J. C. Tremblay, Probing electronic fluxes via time-resolved X-ray scattering, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.013002) Rev. Lett. 124[, 013002 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.013002)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[92] S. Sun, D. Williams-Young, T. F. Stetina, and X. Li, Generalized Hartree-Fock with non-perturbative treatment of strong magnetic field: Application to molecular spin phase transition, [J. Chem. Theory Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.8b01140) 15, 348 [\(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.8b01140)
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>[93] I. Barth, J. Manz, Y. Shigeta, and K. Yagi, Unidirectional electronic ring current driven by a few cycle circularly polarized laser pulse: Quantum model simulations for Mg- porphyrin, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja057197l) 128, 7043 (2006).
- [94] I. Barth and J. Manz, Periodic electron circulation induced by circularly polarized laser pulses: Quantum model simulations for Mg porphyrin, [Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200504147) 45, 2962 [\(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200504147)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>[95] Y. Nam, J. R. Rouxel, J. Y. Lee, and S. Mukamel, Monitoring aromatic ring-currents in Mg-porphyrin by timeresolved circular dichroism, [Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CP04815A) 22[, 26605 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CP04815A).
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>[96] I. Yamaguchi and T. Zhang, Phase-shifting digital holography, Opt. Lett. 22[, 1268 \(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.22.001268).
- [97] T. Zhang and I. Yamaguchi, Three-dimensional microscopy with phase-shifting digital holography, [Opt. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.23.001221) 23, 1221 [\(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.23.001221)
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>[98] I. Yamaguchi, Phase-shifting digital holography, in Digital Holography and Three-Dimensional Display: Principles and Applications, edited by T.-C. Poon (Springer US, Boston, MA, 2006), pp. 145–171.