Self-Interacting Dark Matter Solves the Final Parsec Problem of Supermassive Black Hole Mergers

Gonzalo Alonso-Álvarez $\mathbb{Q}^{1,2,*}$ $\mathbb{Q}^{1,2,*}$ $\mathbb{Q}^{1,2,*}$ James M. Cline $\mathbb{Q}^{2,3,*}$ $\mathbb{Q}^{2,3,*}$ $\mathbb{Q}^{2,3,*}$ and Caitlyn Dewar $\mathbb{Q}^{2,*}$

¹ Department of Physics, [University of Toronto](https://ror.org/03dbr7087), Toronto, ON M5S 1A7, Canada²
² Department of Physics, *A. Trottier Space Institute McCill University* 3600 Pue University Mentréal

 2 Department of Physics & Trottier Space Institute, [McGill University,](https://ror.org/01pxwe438) 3600 Rue University, Montréal, QC H3A 2T8, Canada

 3 Theoretical Physics Department, [CERN](https://ror.org/01ggx4157), Geneva, Switzerland

(Received 7 February 2024; revised 11 April 2024; accepted 23 May 2024; published 9 July 2024)

Evidence for a stochastic gravitational wave (GW) background, plausibly originating from the merger of supermassive black holes (SMBHs), is accumulating with observations from pulsar timing arrays. An outstanding question is how inspiraling SMBHs get past the "final parsec" of separation, where they have a tendency to stall before GW emission alone can make the binary coalesce. We argue that dynamical friction from the dark matter (DM) spike surrounding the black holes is sufficient to resolve this puzzle, if the DM has a self-interaction cross section of order cm^2/g . The same effect leads to a softening of the GW spectrum at low frequencies as suggested by the current data. For collisionless cold DM, the friction deposits so much energy that the spike is disrupted and cannot bridge the final parsec, while for self-interacting DM, the isothermal core of the halo can act as a reservoir for the energy liberated from the SMBH orbits. A realistic velocity dependence, such as generated by the exchange of a massive mediator like a dark photon, is favored to give a good fit to the GW spectrum while providing a large enough core. A similar velocity dependence has been advocated for solving the small-scale structure problems of cold DM.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.021401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.021401)

Introduction.—Nearly 40 yr after its theoretical basis was established [[1](#page-5-3)], gravitational wave astronomy has entered a new era with the advent of pulsar timing arrays. Differential time delays of pulsar signals, consistent with a stochastic gravitational wave (GW) background at nanoHertz frequencies, were detected in 2021 by NANOGrav [[2](#page-5-4)], the Parkes pulsar timing array (PPTA) [\[3\]](#page-5-5) and the European pulsar timing array (EPTA) [\[4\]](#page-5-6). The GW interpretation has been reinforced by the ∼3σ evidence for Hellings-Downs correlations in the NANOGrav's recent 15-year data analysis [\[5](#page-5-7)], and the compatible measurements by PPTA [[6](#page-5-8)], EPTA [[7](#page-5-9)], and the Chinese pulsar timing array [[8](#page-5-10)]. A plausible origin for the signal are the mergers of supermassive black holes (SMBHs) [[9](#page-5-11)–[12\]](#page-5-12) across cosmic time.

One challenge to the SMBH interpretation of the nHz GW background is that the simplest models (assuming GW emission is the only source of energy loss) predict that the timescale for merging once the SMBH separation is of order 1 pc is larger than a Hubble time; this "final parsec" problem suggests that the SMBHs would never merge [[13](#page-5-13)]. At larger distances, three-body interactions with stars allow the SMBH pair to lose energy, "hardening" the binary and driving the inspiral. It was suggested that axisymmetry of the galactic halo profile is sufficient to overcome this problem [\[14\]](#page-5-14), but this has been debated [\[15\]](#page-5-15). Another possibility is that interactions of the SMBHs with an accretion disk accelerate the infall [[16](#page-5-16)–[18\]](#page-5-17). The simulations in [[19](#page-5-18)] show that these astrophysical mechanisms are generally ineffective to reduce the inspiral time below several Gyr, adding motivation to look for others.

A less-explored mechanism for accelerating the infall is the dynamical friction (DF) [\[20\]](#page-5-19) experienced by the SMBH pair as it rotates through the surrounding dark matter (DM) halo. This effect has been studied for ultralight DM [\[21](#page-5-20)–[27\]](#page-6-0) and in the context of intermediate- or stellar-mass BH binaries [[28](#page-6-1)–[32](#page-6-2)]. Black holes accumulate surrounding DM overdensities, known as "spikes" [\[33\]](#page-6-3), which can exceed the galactic DM halo density and enhance the DF damping the BH orbital motion.

Some effects of collisionless cold dark matter (CDM) friction were recently considered for SMBH contributions to PTA signals in Ref. [\[34\]](#page-6-4). It was shown that the lowfrequency turnover in the spectrum, suggested by the data, can be ascribed to DM frictional energy loss, which dominates over GW losses at intermediate BH separations. The effect of eccentricity of the SMBH orbits was studied in Ref. [\[35\]](#page-6-5). The impact on the final parsec problem has, however, not yet been addressed. Here we show that DM friction drives the binary infall at intermediate separations (see Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0) and can reduce the inspiral timescale to

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Open access publication funded by CERN.

FIG. 1. Agents driving the SMBH binary hardening versus separation (bottom axis) or gravitational wave emission frequency (top axis), compared to the bandwidth of current pulsar timing arrays. Regions correspond to a typical merger of two $3 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ SMBHs at $z = 0$ within an SIDM spike.

 ≤ 1 Gyr, provided that the DM spike is able to absorb the frictional energy without being disrupted. We argue that this is possible for self-interacting dark matter (SIDM), but not for standard CDM.

Self-interacting dark matter (SIDM) has been proposed to address discrepancies between the predictions of CDM and observations of galactic structure on small scales, notably the core versus cusp problem (see Ref. [[36](#page-6-6)] for a review). We consider a range of velocity-dependent scattering cross sections, motivated by evidence for scale dependence of halo cores [\[37\]](#page-6-7), finding that simple power laws do not optimally fit the GW signal. However, a broken power law, as results from a realistic massive force carrier like a dark photon, is able to reproduce the observations, including the hint of reduced power at low frequencies. Our preferred cross section values are compatible with those favored by small-scale structure.

DM density profiles.—The GW signal from merging SMBHs depends upon their masses M_1 and M_2 , parametrized by $q \equiv M_2/M_1 \leq 1$, with final SMBH mass $M_{\bullet} = M_1(1+q)$. For a given M, at redshift z, we determine the mean values of the Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) [\[38\]](#page-6-8) halo parameters of the DM density profile following [[39](#page-6-9)–[41\]](#page-6-10), as described in the Supplemental Material [\[42\]](#page-6-11). This provides a starting point for determining the DM spike around the SMBH.

For CDM, the NFW profile is superseded by the DM spike contribution at radii $r < r_{sp}$, with spike radius $r_{sp} \approx 0.2r_{2M}$, where r_{2M} is the radius at which the mass enclosed by the NFW profile is $2M$. [\[46,](#page-6-12)[47\]](#page-6-13). For an NFW halo, $r_{2M}^2 \cong M_{\bullet}/\pi \rho_s r_s$, assuming $r_{2M} \ll r_s$. The spike profile has the form

$$
\rho_{\rm sp}(r) = \rho_{\rm sp}(r_{\rm sp}/r)^{\gamma}, \tag{1}
$$

where $\rho_{sp} = \rho_{NFW}(r_{sp})$, and the exponent γ is subject to astrophysical uncertainties [[48](#page-6-14)–[50\]](#page-6-15), including evolution during the merger [\[51\]](#page-6-16). We thus consider γ as a free parameter, with physically motivated values varying between $7/3$ for an adiabatically grown spike [\[33\]](#page-6-3) and $1/2$ for a spike formed right after a galaxy merger [\[48\]](#page-6-14). The spike can be tapered off by DM annihilations [[52](#page-6-17)[,53\]](#page-6-18), but this will not play a role here.

For SIDM, the galactic halo is flattened within a distance r_1 , the radius of the core, due to SI-driven thermalization [\[37\]](#page-6-7). The interaction cross section σ determines r_1 by demanding at least one scattering per DM particle for $r <$ r_1 during the age of the core,

$$
\frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{m} \rho_{\text{NFW}}(r_1) t_{\text{age}} \sim 1. \tag{2}
$$

For $r < r_1$, the NFW profile is replaced by an isothermal one, which satisfies the Poisson equation $v_0^2 \nabla^2 \rho = -4\pi G \rho$, with one boundary condition (b.c.) being regularity at the origin [\[37\]](#page-6-7). Here, v_0^2 is the DM velocity dispersion, which is constant in the isothermal region [[54](#page-6-19)]. For the other b.c. of the Poisson equation, we follow Ref. [\[37\]](#page-6-7), choosing $\rho'(r_1)$ such that the mass enclosed within r_1 is the same as in the original NFW profile. Satisfying both b.c.'s fixes the value of v_0^2 . Technical details about this procedure are given in the Supplemental Material [[42](#page-6-11)].

As Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1) shows, the self-interaction cross section enters our results only in the combination $\langle \sigma v \rangle t_{\text{age}}$. Since t_{age} includes the unknown time that the binary takes to reach a $~\sim$ 10 pc separation through interactions with the baryonic environment, we consider it as a nuisance parameter in our analysis, normalizing to $t_{\text{age}} = 1$ Gyr. It is straightforward to rescale our results to other values of t_{age} , which could be predicted in a more detailed analysis including the effects of stars and gas.

The SIDM halo can be matched to the spike similarly to CDM. Reference [[55](#page-6-20)] considered several dependences of the SI cross section on the DM relative velocity v ,

$$
\langle \sigma_i(v)v \rangle = \sigma_0 v_0 (v_{\text{ref}}/v_0)^a = \sigma_0 v_{\text{ref}} (v_{\text{ref}}/v_0)^{a-1}, \quad (3)
$$

with $a = 0, 1, \ldots, 4$. For example, $a = 4$ corresponds to Coulomb scattering, such as mediated by a massless force carrier, while $a = 0$ represents isotropic scattering, resulting from a contact interaction. The choice of v_{ref} in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-2) is arbitrary; here we take $v_{ref} = 100$ km/s, and quote values of σ_0/m , as is standard in the literature.

Reference [\[55\]](#page-6-20) identifies the spike radius with the radius of influence of the final BH: $r_{sp} = GM_{\bullet}/v_0^2$, so that setting $\rho_{sp} = \rho_0$ in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-3) gives the SIDM spike profile. The mass of the spike is typically comparable to that of the SMBH host. The spike density exponent depends on a as $\gamma = (3 + a)/4$. We only consider values of σ_0/m large enough so that $r_{sp} \le r_1$. The resulting $a = 0$ and $a = 4$ profiles are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) For $a = 0$, the isothermal core is larger and has a larger $v_0 \approx 500 \text{ km/s}$ than for $a = 4$, for which $v_0 \approx 220$ km/s. Thus, the spike is steeper and more extended for a massless mediator.

FIG. 2. Spike profiles around a SMBH with $M_{\bullet} = 6 \times 10^{9} M_{\odot}$ at $z = 0$. NFW parameters of the host galaxy are $r_s \approx 2$ Mpc, $\rho_s \simeq 3 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} / \text{Mpc}^3$, and $M_{200} \simeq 2 \times 10^{16} M_{\odot}$. A range of possible CDM spikes is shaded in gray. For SIDM, the blue (green) line corresponds to a contact interaction (massless mediator) with $\sigma_0/m = 3 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ (30 cm²/g). The black line represents a massive mediator with $\sigma_0/m = 3 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ and a transition velocity $v_t = 500 \text{ km/s}$. The age of the core is 100 Myr. Vertical red lines delimit the range for which GW emission is detectable at current PTAs. The profiles are cut off at twice the Schwarzschild radius of the BH [[55](#page-6-20)].

A more realistic cross section need not have such simple behavior. Interactions mediated by a massive force carrier, such as dark photons of mass m_{γ} , behave as $a = 0$ for $v < v_t$ and as $a = 4$ for $v > v_t$, with a transition velocity $v_t \sim cm_y/m$. Although the DM velocity dispersion in the core is constant, it starts to rise as $v/v_0 \sim 4/11(r_{sp}/r)^{1/2}$ within the spike [\[55\]](#page-6-20) and can enter the $a = 4$ regime, thereby increasing γ . We model the spike profile as Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-3) with $\gamma = 3/4$ in the outer region and $\gamma = 7/4$ in the inner region where $v > v_t$ [[56](#page-6-21)]. These two regimes meet at the transition radius r_t at which $v = v_t$ [[57](#page-6-22)]. If $v_t < v_0$, the $a = 4$ regime is applicable throughout the whole core and spike. An example is shown in Fig. [2](#page-2-0), where it is clear that the massive mediator interpolates between $a = 0$ at large radii and $a = 4$ in the inner region.

In summary, for either CDM or SIDM, the outer NFW halo parameters are determined by the BH mass M_{\bullet} , while the details of the BH spike depend on additional parameters: γ for CDM; $\sigma_0 v_{\text{ref}}/m$ and a (or v_t for a massive mediator) for SIDM.

SMBH merger dynamics.—For simplicity, we assume the SMBHs to be in a circular orbit with separation *, angular* frequency $\omega = (GM_{\bullet}/R^3)^{1/2}$, and that for separations \lesssim 10 pc the orbit decays solely due to GW emission and dynamical friction with the DM. The power in GWs is given by $P_{\text{sw}} = (32/5)q^2(1+q)G^4/c^5(M_1/R)^5$ [[58](#page-6-23)], while the frictional power loss in the common-envelope spike is (see Supplemental Material [\[42\]](#page-6-11))

$$
P_{\text{df}} = 12\pi q^2 \sqrt{1 + q} (GM_1)^{3/2} R^{1/2}
$$

$$
\times \left[\frac{N_1(q)}{q^3} \rho_{\text{sp}} \left(\frac{qR}{1+q} \right) + N_2(q) \rho_{\text{sp}} \left(\frac{R}{1+q} \right) \right], \tag{4}
$$

where $N_{1,2} = 1$ for CDM and $N_1 = N_2 \approx 0.2$ for SIDM and $q = 1$. $R(t)$ is fixed by equating $P_{df} + P_{gw}$ to the rate of change of the orbital energy, $E_{orb} = qGM_1^2 \dot{R}/(2R^2)$.

Simple analytic solutions for $R(t)$ exist when either of the two loss terms dominate. Since the evolution due to GW emission is well known, we focus on the dynamical friction. Defining the characteristic timescale $t_{sp} = (r_{sp}^3/GM_1)^{1/2}$ and dimensionless time and radial variables $\tau = t/t_{\rm sp}$, $x =$ $R/(2r_{\rm{sp}})$, the equation of motion takes the form $dx/d\tau$ = $-Bx^p$, where $p = 5/2 - \gamma$ and $B = f(q, \gamma)\rho_{sp}r_{sp}^3/M_1$ with $f(q, \gamma) = 96\pi q [(1+q)/2]^{\gamma+1/2} (N_2 + N_1 q^{-3-\gamma}).$

The timescale for hardening due to DF is then

$$
t_{\rm df} \equiv \partial t / \partial \ln R|_{\rm df} = (t_{\rm sp}/B) x_{\rm crit}^{1-p},\tag{5}
$$

with critical separation x_{crit} where DF is weakest within the DM spike. If $\gamma \geq 3/2$, this occurs at the outer edge, $x_{\text{crit}} = R_{\star}/(2r_{\text{sp}})$, where R_{\star} is the separation beyond which hardening by interactions with stars and gas is efficient; we conservatively take $R_{\star} = 10$ pc. For shallow spikes with γ < 3/2, DF weakens at small separations; then $x_{\text{crit}}=R_{\text{gw}}/(2r_{\text{sp}})$, where R_{gw} is the separation at which GW emission becomes sufficiently strong to complete the merger. In the marginal case $\gamma = 3/2$, $x_{\text{crit}}^{1-p} \rightarrow \ln(R_*/R_{\text{gw}})$. For SIDM with a massive mediator, x_{crit} must be evaluated at the intermediate separation r_t at which DM particles have velocity v_t (see Supplemental Material [[42](#page-6-11)].) Using the GW hardening timescale [\[58\]](#page-6-23)

$$
t_{\rm gw} \equiv \frac{\partial t}{\partial \ln R}\bigg|_{\rm gw} = \frac{5c^5}{64G^3} \frac{(2R_{\rm gw})^4}{M_1^3 q (1+q)},\tag{6}
$$

we find that $R_{\text{gw}} = 0.1{\text{-}}0.2 \text{ pc}$ for binaries with M_1 = $3 \times 10^{9} M_{\odot}$ to merge within 0.1–1 Gyr. We conservatively set $R_{\rm gw} = 0.1$ pc for our numerical evaluations.

For CDM, $12\pi\rho_{sp}r_{sp}^3/M_1 \simeq (1+q)/2$ and thus $B = \mathcal{O}(1)$, since $q \approx 1$ for SMBH mergers contributing most strongly to the stochastic GW background. For binaries with $M_1 \gtrsim 10^9 M_{\odot}$, one finds $t_{sp} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-3}$ Gyr. Since for a shallow spike $x_{\text{crit}} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$, it follows that $t_{\text{df}} \lesssim 1$ Gyr as long as $\gamma \gtrsim 0.7$. One would then conclude that CDM is able to solve the final parsec problem; however, the backreaction of the black hole motion on the spike must be taken into account [[59](#page-6-24)].

The energy lost by the SMBH binary when its orbit shrinks from R_* to $R_{\rm gw}$ is

$$
\Delta E_{\rm orb} = qGM_1^2 (R_{\rm gw}^{-1} - R_*^{-1})/2. \tag{7}
$$

FIG. 3. Upper: Time for SIDM dynamical friction to bring the SMBH separation below 0.1 pc where GW emission dominates, versus SI cross section. Lower: Ratio of orbital energy transmitted by the binary to the DM spike via dynamical friction, to the gravitational binding energy of the SIDM isothermal core. Colors identify different velocity dependence of $\sigma(v)$, the black line corresponding to a massive mediator with $v_t = 500 \text{ km/s}.$ Shaded regions are disfavored and dotted lines delineate the viable range for the massive mediator.

This energy heats the DM particles and should be compared to the gravitational binding energy of the spike, U, to estimate whether it can absorb that much energy:

$$
\frac{U}{G} = M \cdot \int d^3x \frac{\rho_{sp}(\vec{x})}{|\vec{x}|} + \int d^3x_1 d^3x_2 \frac{\rho_{sp}(\vec{x}_1)\rho_{sp}(\vec{x}_1)}{2|\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2|} \n= 4\pi M \cdot \rho_{sp} r_{sp}^2 \left(\frac{1 - \epsilon^{2-\gamma_{sp}}}{2 - \gamma_{sp}}\right) + 4\pi^2 \rho_{sp}^2 r_{sp}^5 g(\gamma),
$$
\n(8)

where ϵ is the ratio of the Schwarzschild radius to the spike radius, and $g(\gamma \lesssim 2) \sim 3$, growing to $g(7/3) \sim 20$. Because the mass of the spike is of order M_{\bullet} , the two contributions are comparable, and they are 4–5 orders of magnitude smaller than $\Delta E_{\rm orb}$ for typical $M_{\bullet} \sim 6 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ contributing to the GW signal. Hence the CDM spike is completely disrupted by the DF energy deposited.

This obstacle can be overcome if DM has self-interactions that thermalize and replenish the spike sufficiently fast. The SIDM dynamical friction timescale follows from Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-1) with $r_{sp} = GM_{\bullet}/v_0^2$, which depends indirectly upon σ_0/m . For our numerical evaluations, rather than the timescale we use the inspiral duration resulting from integrating the equation of motion from R_{\star} to $R_{\rm gw}$, see the Supplemental Material [\[42\]](#page-6-11) for details. Figure [3](#page-3-0) (upper) shows t_{df} versus σ_0/m for $a = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ and for a massive mediator, for a representative SMBH binary with the same combined mass and thus the same host DM halo NFW parameters used in Fig. [2](#page-2-0). Larger values of a produce more pronounced spikes that exert more DF. SIDM yields sub-Gyr inspiral times for values of σ_0/m below an adependent threshold. As σ_0/m grows, the isothermal core becomes larger and less dense, resulting in a weaker spike that applies less DF on the SMBHs.

The energy injected in the SIDM spike by the BHs heats and disperses the DM in it, but at the same time the selfinteractions repopulate the spike with DM from the isothermal core. If this occurs fast enough, the spike survives the backreaction and continues to harden the binary. Since we take the spike to be in equilibrium, t_{df} should be larger than the SIDM core relaxation timescale $t_r \simeq [\rho_c(\sigma/m) v_0]^{-1}$ (the mean time between particle collisions), which coincides with t_{age} in Eq. [\(2\).](#page-1-1) Thus, for our approximations to be self-consistent, we demand that $t_{\text{df}} = t_{\text{age}}$, although this technical assumption could be relaxed in a more general approach.

If large enough, the isothermal core acts as a reservoir whose total binding energy can be sufficient to absorb the orbital energy lost by the binary. This puts an a-dependent lower limit on σ_0/m , shown in Fig. [3](#page-3-0) (lower). Lower values of a are favored since they produce larger cores. Combined with the upper limit from solving the final parsec problem, there is a range of viable σ_0/m values that are listed in the Supplemental Material [\[42\]](#page-6-11). The best-performing model is the massive mediator, which combines a large core in the $a = 0$ regime with a steep spike in the $a = 4$ phase (see Fig. [2](#page-2-0)), and prefers $\sigma_0/m \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{cm}^2/\text{g})$, as highlighted by the dotted vertical lines in Fig. [3.](#page-3-0) The preferred range of SI cross sections, which is compatible with small-scale structure constraints [[36](#page-6-6)], can be tightened by studying how GW emission from the SMBH binaries is modified by the DM.

GW spectrum.—To calculate the total GW energy emitted by a single binary at each frequency, recall that the frequency of GWs at the source is twice the orbital frequency,

$$
f_s = \omega/\pi = (2\pi t_{sp})^{-1}\sqrt{(1+q)/2}x^{-3/2},\qquad(9)
$$

using the characteristic timescale and dimensionless separation $x = R/(2r_{sp})$ defined in the previous section. The differential GW energy spectrum is (see Supplemental Material [\[42](#page-6-11)])

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\rm gw}}{\mathrm{d}f_s} = \frac{q}{6f_s x} \frac{GM_1^2}{r_{\rm sp}} \frac{P_{\rm gw}}{P_{\rm gw} + P_{\rm df}},\tag{10}
$$

where x is understood to be a function of f_s via Eq. [\(9\).](#page-3-1) GW emission finishes when the two BH horizons merge at a separation $R_{\min} = 2GM_1(1+q)$, resulting in a sharp cutoff of the spectrum at high frequency. The GW signal produced by a population of cosmological SMHB mergers is described by the characteristic strain [\[60,](#page-6-25)[61](#page-6-26)]

$$
h_c^2(f) = \frac{4G}{\pi c^2 f} \int dz dM dq \frac{d^3 n}{dz dM dq} \frac{dE_{\rm gw}}{df_s},
$$
 (11)

where $f = f_s/(1 + z)$ is the frequency of the GW at the detection point.

The NANOGrav analysis [[10](#page-5-21)] includes a phenomenological parameter for the total hardening timescale. Its posterior distribution is peaked at the lower bound of the range considered, 0.1 Gyr, signaling a preference for fast coalescence, with a 1σ region extending to a few Gyr. We therefore approximate the inspiral as being instantaneous compared to the Hubble time, and explore values of t_{age} in the 10 Myr–1 Gyr range. This is consistent with Fig. [3](#page-3-0), which shows that DF from the DM spike can yield a sub-Gyr merger time for typical SMBHs from separations as large as 10 pc, beyond which interactions with stars and gas are assumed to be effective. We neglect possible effects of ambient stars or gas on the GW waveform within the PTA frequency range, to clearly illustrate the effects of DM.

The parametrization of d^3n , described in the Supplemental Material [\[42\]](#page-6-11), is based on Ref. [\[62](#page-6-27)], used by NANOGrav [\[10](#page-5-21)] and EPTA [\[11\]](#page-5-22). We expect the astrophysical parameters determining it to be largely unchanged by DM effects, except for the overall normalization of the signal that is sensitive to the hardening timescale. We therefore fix them to the best-fit values found by Ref. [\[10\]](#page-5-21) and allow only the normalization parameter ψ_0 to vary.

We extract central h_c values and upper and lower error bars for the first five NANOGrav [\[5\]](#page-5-7), ten PPTA [[6\]](#page-5-8), and six EPTA [[11](#page-5-22)] frequency bins, by fitting two one-sided Gaussians to the probability distributions represented by the violin plots; see the Supplemental Material [[42](#page-6-11)]. From these, χ^2 values are calculated for model predictions using Eq. [\(11\).](#page-3-2) The characteristic strain spectrum for the bestfitting model in each category is shown in Fig. [4.](#page-4-0) The best fits among them give $\chi^2 \lesssim 15$, which is lower than the expected ∼21 due to correlations between the different frequency bins in the data [\[63\]](#page-6-28). The normalization is treated as a nuisance parameter, and for our best-fitting models is ψ_0 ~ −2.5. This is significantly smaller than that found in the fiducial NANOGrav analysis [[10](#page-5-21)] and better matches astrophysical expectations [\[62,](#page-6-27)[64](#page-6-29)], but the conclusion may vary when the finite duration of the mergers is taken into account.

As is visible in Fig. [4](#page-4-0), the presence of DM improves the fit by softening the gravitational wave spectrum at low frequencies, where energy is being lost to DF rather than emitted in GWs. Although a CDM spike with $\gamma \simeq 1.5$ appears to give a good fit, the destructive backreaction undermines this result. SIDM with a single power-law velocity dependent cross section can only produce a moderate softening due to the requirement of having a large enough isothermal core to survive backreaction. The minimum χ^2 value within the viable σ_0/m range can be found in the Supplemental Material [[42](#page-6-11)] for these models.

FIG. 4. Selected characteristic strain spectra compared to PTA data. The SIDM model corresponds to the black star in Fig. [5,](#page-4-1) for which $t_{\text{df}} = 100$ Myr.

SIDM with a massive mediator is the only model among the ones studied that can simultaneously absorb the DF heat and produce a noticeable softening in the GW spectrum. As shown in Fig. [5](#page-4-1) as a function of the two free parameters of the model, we find good fits to the PTA data, compatible with merging well within 0.1 Gyr, for $v_t \sim 300-600$ km/s and $\sigma_0/m \sim 2.5$ –25 cm²/g (100 Myr/ t_{age}). Recall that we impose $t_{\text{age}} = t_{\text{df}}$ as given by the colored dashed contours in Fig. [5](#page-4-1).

FIG. 5. Contours of χ^2 from the fit to the characteristic strain spectra of NANOGrav [[10](#page-5-21)], PPTA [\[6](#page-5-8)], and EPTA [[11](#page-5-22)], for a SIDM model with a massive mediator, in the plane of v_t (velocity at which the SI transitions from contactlike to long-range) versus interaction cross section per DM mass at 100 km/s . In the gray shaded region, the orbital energy lost by SMBHs with equal $3 \times$ $10^{9}M_{\odot}$ masses at $z = 0$ is larger than the gravitational binding energy of the SIDM core. Dashed lines show contours of the DF timescale, assuming $t_{\text{age}} = t_{\text{df}}$.

Conclusions.—Despite astrophysical uncertainties about their detailed nature, there is no doubt that dark matter spikes exist around supermassive black hole binaries, and thus contribute to the dynamical friction accelerating the decay of their orbit. We have shown that well-motivated models of velocity-dependent self-interacting dark matter have two correlated and desirable effects: robustly resolving the long-standing final parsec problem, thus allowing GW emission to finish the inspiral process, and softening the GW strain spectrum at low frequencies, matching the feature hinted at by PTA data. In contrast, collisionless CDM spikes are incapable of absorbing the frictional heat and are destroyed by the merger.

It is encouraging that the properties of the self-interaction cross section favored by the PTA signal is compatible with what was already proposed to solve the small-scale structure problems of CDM. In particular, the required magnitude and broken power law velocity dependence can reconcile the different cross section values needed to explain observations of galaxy and galactic cluster core sizes [\[37\]](#page-6-7).

Our preliminary study opens the way to using gravitational wave signals from supermassive black hole mergers as a probe of dark matter microphysics. Ways to sharpen the predictions include accounting for the finite duration of the inspiral in an improved statistical analysis, using a more realistic velocity dependence for the SIDM scattering cross section, and performing numerical simulations to validate the analytical calculations for the backreaction on the DM profile.

We thank A. Benson, L. Combi, D. Curtin, C. Mingarelli, M. Reina-Campos, J. Sievers, S. Tulin, and G.-W. Yuan for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada.

[*](#page-0-0) gonzalo.alonso@utoronto.ca

[†](#page-0-0) jcline@physics.mcgill.ca

[‡](#page-0-0) caitlyn.dewar@mail.mcgill.ca

- [1] R. Hellings and G. Downs, Upper limits on the isotropic gravitational radiation background from pulsar timing analysis, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1086/183954) 265, L39 (1983).
- [2] K. Aggarwal et al., The NANOGrav 11-year data set: Limits on gravitational waves from individual supermassive black hole binaries, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab2236) 880, 116 (2019).
- [3] B. Goncharov et al., On the evidence for a commonspectrum process in the search for the nanohertz gravitational-wave background with the Parkes Pulsar Timing Array, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac17f4) 917, L19 (2021).
- [4] S. Chen et al. (EPTA Collaboration), Common-red-signal analysis with 24-yr high-precision timing of the European Pulsar Timing Array: Inferences in the stochastic gravitational-wave background search, [Mon. Not. R. As](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab2833)tron. Soc. 508[, 4970 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab2833)
- [5] G. Agazie et al. (NANOGrav Collaboration), The NANO-Grav 15 yr data set: Evidence for a gravitational-wave background, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acdac6) 951, L8 (2023).
- [6] D. J. Reardon et al., Search for an isotropic gravitationalwave background with the Parkes Pulsar Timing Array, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acdd02) 951, L6 (2023).
- [7] J. Antoniadis et al. (EPTA and InPTA Collaborations), The second data release from the European Pulsar Timing Array —III. Search for gravitational wave signals, [Astron. As](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346844)trophys. 678[, A50 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346844)
- [8] H. Xu et al., Searching for the nano-hertz stochastic gravitational wave background with the Chinese Pulsar Timing Array data release I, [Res. Astron. Astrophys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/acdfa5) 23, 075024 (2023).
- [9] M. C. Begelman, R. D. Blandford, and M. J. Rees, Massive black hole binaries in active galactic nuclei, [Nature \(Lon](https://doi.org/10.1038/287307a0)don) 287[, 307 \(1980\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/287307a0).
- [10] G. Agazie et al. (NANOGrav Collaboration), The NANO-Grav 15 yr data set: Constraints on supermassive black hole binaries from the gravitational-wave background, [Astro](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ace18b)[phys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ace18b) 952, L37 (2023).
- [11] J. Antoniadis et al. (EPTA Collaboration), The second data release from the European Pulsar Timing Array: V. Implications for massive black holes, dark matter and the early Universe, [arXiv:2306.16227](https://arXiv.org/abs/2306.16227).
- [12] J. Ellis, M. Fairbairn, G. Hütsi, J. Raidal, J. Urrutia, V. Vaskonen, and H. Veermäe, Gravitational waves from supermassive black hole binaries in light of the NANOGrav 15-year data, Phys. Rev. D 109[, L021302 \(2024\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.L021302).
- [13] M. Milosavljevic and D. Merritt, Long term evolution of massive black hole binaries, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/378086) ⁵⁹⁶, 860 (2003).
- [14] F. M. Khan, K. Holley-Bockelmann, P. Berczik, and A. Just, Supermassive black hole binary evolution in axisymmetric galaxies: The final parsec problem is not a problem, [As](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/773/2/100)trophys. J. 773[, 100 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/773/2/100)
- [15] E. Vasiliev, F. Antonini, and D. Merritt, The final-parsec problem in nonspherical galaxies revisited, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/785/2/163) 785[, 163 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/785/2/163).
- [16] B. Kocsis and A. Sesana, Gas driven massive black hole binaries: Signatures in the nHz gravitational wave background, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17782.x) 411, 1467 (2011).
- [17] F. G. Goicovic, A. Sesana, J. Cuadra, and F. Stasyszyn, Infalling clouds on to supermassive black hole binaries—II. Binary evolution and the final parsec problem, [Mon. Not. R.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1996) Astron. Soc. 472[, 514 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1996)
- [18] F. G. Goicovic, C. Maureira-Fredes, A. Sesana, P. Amaro-Seoane, and J. Cuadra, Accretion of clumpy cold gas onto massive black hole binaries: A possible fast route to binary coalescence, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1709) 479, 3438 (2018).
- [19] L. Z. Kelley, L. Blecha, and L. Hernquist, Massive black hole binary mergers in dynamical galactic environments, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2452) 464, 3131 (2017).
- [20] S. Chandrasekhar, Dynamical friction. I. General considerations: The coefficient of dynamical friction, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/144517) 97[, 255 \(1943\).](https://doi.org/10.1086/144517)
- [21] R. Vicente and V. Cardoso, Dynamical friction of black holes in ultralight dark matter, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.083008) 105, 083008 [\(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.083008)
- [22] B. C. Bromley, P. Sandick, and B. Shams Es Haghi, Supermassive black hole binaries in ultralight dark matter, [arXiv:2311.18013.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2311.18013)
- [23] L. Berezhiani, G. Cintia, V. De Luca, and J. Khoury, Dynamical friction in dark matter superfluids: The evolution of black hole binaries, [arXiv:2311.07672.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2311.07672)
- [24] A. Boudon, P. Brax, and P. Valageas, Supersonic friction of a black hole traversing a self-interacting scalar dark matter cloud, Phys. Rev. D 108[, 103517 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.103517)
- [25] M. H. Chan and C. M. Lee, Indirect evidence for dark matter density spikes around stellar-mass black holes, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acaafa) Lett. 943[, L11 \(2023\)](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acaafa).
- [26] S. Mitra, S. Chakraborty, R. Vicente, and J.C. Feng, Probing the quantum nature of black holes with ultra-light boson environments, [arXiv:2312.06783](https://arXiv.org/abs/2312.06783).
- [27] J. C. Aurrekoetxea, K. Clough, J. Bamber, and P. G. Ferreira, The effect of wave dark matter on equal mass black hole mergers, [arXiv:2311.18156.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2311.18156)
- [28] K. Eda, Y. Itoh, S. Kuroyanagi, and J. Silk, Gravitational waves as a probe of dark matter minispikes, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.044045) 91[, 044045 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.044045)
- [29] M. Tang and J. Wang, The eccentricity enhancement effect of intermediate-mass-ratio-inspirals: Dark matter and black hole mass, Chin. Phys. C 45[, 015110 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/abc680)
- [30] B. J. Kavanagh, D. A. Nichols, G. Bertone, and D. Gaggero, Detecting dark matter around black holes with gravitational waves: Effects of dark-matter dynamics on the gravitational waveform, Phys. Rev. D 102[, 083006 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.083006)
- [31] A. Coogan, G. Bertone, D. Gaggero, B. J. Kavanagh, and D. A. Nichols, Measuring the dark matter environments of black hole binaries with gravitational waves, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.043009) 105[, 043009 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.043009)
- [32] K. Kadota, J. H. Kim, P. Ko, and X.-Y. Yang, Gravitational wave probes on self-interacting dark matter surrounding an intermediate mass black hole, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.015022) 109, 015022 [\(2024\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.015022)
- [33] P. Gondolo and J. Silk, Dark matter annihilation at the Galactic Center, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.1719) 83, 1719 (1999).
- [34] Z.-Q. Shen, G.-W. Yuan, Y.-Y. Wang, and Y.-Z. Wang, Dark matter spike surrounding supermassive black holes binary and the nanohertz stochastic gravitational wave background, [arXiv:2306.17143.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2306.17143)
- [35] L. Hu, R.-G. Cai, and S.-J. Wang, Distinctive GWBs from eccentric inspiraling SMBH binaries with a DM spike, [arXiv:2312.14041.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2312.14041)
- [36] S. Tulin and H.-B. Yu, Dark matter self-interactions and small scale structure, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2017.11.004) **730**, 1 (2018).
- [37] M. Kaplinghat, S. Tulin, and H.-B. Yu, Dark matter halos as particle colliders: Unified solution to small-scale structure puzzles from dwarfs to clusters, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.041302) 116, [041302 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.041302)
- [38] J. F. Navarro, C. S. Frenk, and S. D. M. White, The structure of cold dark matter halos, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/177173) 462, 563 (1996).
- [39] G. Girelli, L. Pozzetti, M. Bolzonella, C. Giocoli, F. Marulli, and M. Baldi, The stellar-to-halo mass relation over the past 12 Gyr: I. Standard ^ΛCDM model, [Astron. Astrophys.](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936329) 634, [A135 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936329).
- [40] J. Kormendy and L. C. Ho, Coevolution (or not) of supermassive black holes and host galaxies, [Annu. Rev. Astron.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-082708-101811) Astrophys. 51[, 511 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-082708-101811).
- [41] A. Klypin, G. Yepes, S. Gottlober, F. Prada, and S. Hess, MultiDark simulations: The story of dark matter halo concentrations and density profiles, [Mon. Not. R. Astron.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw248) Soc. 457[, 4340 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw248).
[42] See Supplemental
- Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.021401) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.021401](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.021401) for details

on the derivations not included in the main text, which includes Refs. [43–45].

- [43] P. Behroozi, R. H. Wechsler, A. P. Hearin, and C. Conroy, UniverseMachine: The correlation between galaxy growth and dark matter halo assembly from $z = 0-10$, [Mon. Not. R.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1182) Astron. Soc. 488[, 3143 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1182).
- [44] A. Gualandris and D. Merritt, Ejection of supermassive black holes from galaxy cores, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/586877) 678, 780 (2008).
- [45] N. Aghanim et al. (Planck Collaboration), Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, [Astron. Astrophys.](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833910) 641[, A6 \(2020\);](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833910) 652[, C4\(E\) \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833910e).
- [46] D. Merritt, Single and binary black holes and their influence on nuclear structure, in Carnegie Observatories Centennial Symposium. 1. Coevolution of Black Holes and Galaxies (2003), [arXiv:astro-ph/0301257](https://arXiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0301257).
- [47] D. Merritt, Evolution of the dark matter distribution at the Galactic Center, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92[, 201304 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.201304).
- [48] P. Ullio, H. Zhao, and M. Kamionkowski, A dark matter spike at the Galactic Center?, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.043504) 64, 043504 [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.043504).
- [49] D. Merritt, M. Milosavljevic, L. Verde, and R. Jimenez, Dark matter spikes and annihilation radiation from the galactic center, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88[, 191301 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.191301)
- [50] O. Y. Gnedin and J. R. Primack, Dark matter profile in the Galactic Center, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93[, 061302 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.061302).
- [51] M. Milosavljevic and D. Merritt, Formation of galactic nuclei, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/323830) 563, 34 (2001).
- [52] E. Vasiliev, Dark matter annihilation near a black hole: Plateau vs. weak cusp, Phys. Rev. D 76[, 103532 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.103532)
- [53] S. L. Shapiro and J. Shelton, Weak annihilation cusp inside the dark matter spike about a black hole, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.123510) 93, [123510 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.123510)
- [54] There can be a transition region between the NFW and the isothermal profiles where v_0 varies, which for simplicity we do not attempt to capture here.
- [55] S.L. Shapiro and V. Paschalidis, Self-interacting dark matter cusps around massive black holes, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.023506)
- **89**[, 023506 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.023506)
[56] In the inner region, $\rho_{sp}(r) = \rho_{NFW}(r_{sp}) (r_{sp}/r_t)^{3/4} (r_t/r)^{7/4}$.
- [57] Assuming that $r_t < r_{\text{sp}}$; otherwise one should set $r_t = r_1$ since the entire core and spike is governed by $a = 4$.
- [58] P. C. Peters, Gravitational radiation and the motion of two point masses, Phys. Rev. 136[, B1224 \(1964\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.136.B1224)
- [59] We thank J. Sievers for suggesting to check the energetics.
- [60] E. S. Phinney, A practical theorem on gravitational wave backgrounds, [arXiv:astro-ph/0108028](https://arXiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0108028).
- [61] S. Chen, A. Sesana, and W. Del Pozzo, Efficient computation of the gravitational wave spectrum emitted by eccentric massive black hole binaries in stellar environments, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1093) 470, 1738 (2017).
- [62] S. Chen, A. Sesana, and C. J. Conselice, Constraining astrophysical observables of galaxy and supermassive black hole binary mergers using Pulsar Timing Arrays, [Mon. Not.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1722) [R. Astron. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1722) 488, 401 (2019).
- [63] Taking into account the correlations between different energy bins and/or experiments in our statistical treatment is beyond the scope of this work.
- [64] C. J. Conselice, A. Wilkinson, K. Duncan, and A. Mortlock, The evolution of galaxy number density at $z < 8$ and its implications, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/830/2/83) 830, 83 (2016).