

Spin and Susceptibility Effects of Electromagnetic Self-Force in Effective Field Theory

Gustav Uhre Jakobsen^{*}

*Institut für Physik und IRIS Adlershof, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Zum Großen Windkanal 2, 12489 Berlin, Germany
and Max Planck Institut für Gravitationsphysik (Albert Einstein Institut), Am Mühlenberg 1, 14476 Potsdam, Germany*

 (Received 20 November 2023; revised 6 February 2024; accepted 20 March 2024; published 9 April 2024)

The classic Abraham-Lorentz-Dirac self-force of pointlike particles is generalized within an effective field theory setup to include linear spin and susceptibility effects described perturbatively, in that setup, by effective couplings in the action. Electromagnetic self-interactions of the pointlike particle are integrated out using the in-in supersymmetric worldline quantum field theory formalism. Divergences are regularized with dimensional regularization, and the resulting equations of motion are in terms only of an external electromagnetic field and the particle degrees of freedom.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.151601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.151601)

Self-force describes the fascinating phenomenon of an object being accelerated by a force generated by itself. The well-known Abraham-Lorentz-Dirac (ALD) equation [1–5] describes this effect for the most basic pointlike charged particles, and the resulting backreaction balances radiation of energy described by the Larmor formula. The physical objects of interest generally have finite extent and properties such as angular momentum (spin) and dipole susceptibilities. For spin, adequate generalizations of the Lorentz force and corresponding ALD self-force have been considered by many authors [6–14]. One motivation for this line of work is the classical description of the electron [15] which may, e.g., be modeled as a charged sphere for which several self-force results are known [16,17].

Recently, an analogous problem in gravity of describing the early inspiral of two pointlike compact bodies and their radiation have gained importance for the data analysis of gravitational wave signals observed on Earth [18,19]. Here, one sets up an effective field theory (EFT) capturing the body degrees of freedom by worldline fields with the most basic field given by the worldline parametrization $z^\mu(\tau)$ [20,21]. Spin and finite size effects are then described by effective couplings whose value may in each case be determined from a matching to the physical object of interest. Such a worldline EFT has had great success in describing compact bodies in gravity [22–24], but may also be applied to electromagnetic interactions [17,25–28].

In the same context of gravitational wave physics, quantum field theoretic methods have been used advantageously to describe classical physics [29–32]. In this spirit,

classical dynamics as described by worldline EFT may be considered as the tree-level contributions of a worldline quantum field theory (WQFT) [33–42]. This gives rise to an efficient diagrammatic approach to solving the classical equations of motion (EOMs). In this action-based framework, causal boundary conditions are imposed with the Schwinger-Keldysh in-in prescription [38,43–51]. Several state-of-the-art results in the perturbative expansion of gravitational scattering have been computed with the WQFT [35,37,39,40,42] (see also [28,52–57] for additional work with WQFT).

In worldline EFT, the relativistic angular momentum of the pointlike particle is described by an antisymmetric worldline tensor field $S^{\mu\nu}(\tau)$. Half of its degrees of freedom are constrained by requiring symmetry of the action under small shifts of the worldline trajectory [58] so that the dynamics involves only a spatial spin vector. At the level of the action, one must usually introduce a comoving frame in order to describe the spin kinematics [57,59,60]. This, however, is avoided by expressing the spin tensor in terms of anticommuting Grassmann vectors $\psi^\mu(\tau)$ which, inspired by previous work [12,61–70], was first proposed in this context in the framework of WQFT [35,36]. Here, the worldline shift symmetry becomes a supersymmetry (SUSY).

Self-interaction of pointlike particles generally leads to divergent expressions which, however, from the perspective of EFT is not surprising as the small scale physics has been integrated out. Instead, the EFT must be regularized, and in the present case we will use dimensional regularization. Thus, also in the classical setting, eventual divergences must be absorbed into counterterms of the action [20,71–74].

In this Letter, we compute novel spin and susceptibility corrections to the electromagnetic self-force of pointlike particles described by a worldline EFT. The computational method innovates on earlier work and presents a very

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

streamlined approach for deriving self-force corrections in worldline EFT. In particular, computations are carried out diagrammatically using the in-in SUSY WQFT formalism and reduce to the evaluation of a number of tree-level Feynman diagrams. A major motivation for this innovation is its future generalization and application to the gravitational setting and, in particular, the perturbative self-force expansion of extreme mass ratio binaries [45,75–77].

EFT of pointlike particles.—Our system will be described by the following action S :

$$S = S_{\text{kin}} + S_{\text{int}} + S_{\text{EM}} + S_{\text{ext}}. \quad (1)$$

The first two terms will describe kinematics and electromagnetic (EM) interactions of the pointlike particle. The third term is the kinetic action of the EM potential in Lorenz gauge,

$$S_{\text{EM}} = - \int d^d x \left[\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu}(x) F_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{2} [\partial_\mu A^\mu(x)]^2 \right], \quad (2)$$

with arbitrary dimension d for the use of dimensional regularization and field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}$, where square brackets denote averaged antisymmetrization. We use units such that the speed of light and vacuum permittivity and permeability are all unity, $c = \epsilon_0 = \mu_0 = 1$. Finally, the last term of Eq. (1), S_{ext} , describes external sources of the EM potential. We do not make any assumptions on S_{ext} which could, for example, be given by a second copy of the worldline action in which case we would describe the relativistic EM two-body problem.

Let us first consider the interaction terms of the pointlike particle which we model as follows:

$$S_{\text{int}} = - \int d\tau \left(q\dot{z} \cdot A(z) - \frac{q}{m} \dot{z}_\mu S^{\mu\nu} E_\nu(z) + |\dot{z}|U \right), \quad (3)$$

$$U = \frac{gq}{2m} S \cdot B(z) + \frac{c_B}{2} B^2(z) + \frac{c_E}{2} E^2(z).$$

Here, $z^\mu = z^\mu(\tau)$ is the worldline of the pointlike particle with total charge q and mass m , and we use dots to denote differentiation with respect to τ and the shorthand $|\dot{z}| = \sqrt{\dot{z}^2}$ with factors of $|\dot{z}|$ ensuring explicit time reparametrization invariance. The particle has (intrinsic) relativistic angular momentum $S^{\mu\nu}(\tau)$ with Pauli-Lubanski vector $S^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} S_{\nu\rho} \dot{z}_\sigma / |\dot{z}|$. The electric and magnetic fields $E^\mu(z)$ and $B^\mu(z)$ are defined implicitly by a decomposition of the field strength tensor $F^{\mu\nu}(z)$,

$$F^{\mu\nu}(z) = \frac{1}{|\dot{z}|} \left(2E^{[\mu}(z)\dot{z}^{\nu]} + \epsilon_{\rho\sigma}^{\mu\nu} B^\rho(z)\dot{z}^\sigma \right), \quad (4)$$

where the vectors are assumed to be orthogonal to the body frame ($B \cdot \dot{z} = E \cdot \dot{z} = 0$). Here, and in the following, we often leave time dependence of worldline fields implicit.

In Eq. (3), the spin-induced magnetic field is measured by the g factor g and susceptibility effects by c_B and c_E describing magnetization and electric polarization, respectively. The interactions Eq. (3) are invariant (at leading order in spin and susceptibility) under small shifts of the trajectory δz^μ where the spin tensor transforms as $\delta S^{\mu\nu} = 2m\delta z^{[\mu}\dot{z}^{\nu]} / |\dot{z}|$ and the Pauli-Lubanski vector is invariant. Here, and after, for the use of dimensional regularization, all Levi-Civita symbols may be avoided by working with $S^{\mu\nu}$ and $F^{\mu\nu}$ as discussed explicitly in the Supplemental Material [78].

If one assumes $L \sim q^2/m$ to be the only scale of the pointlike particle, one finds $S^\mu \sim Lm$ and $c_{E/B} \sim L^3$ although, generally, additional intrinsic scales may be relevant. The EFT framework assumes this scale to be small compared with a relevant external scale, and effective couplings are further suppressed by it. The inclusion of higher order spin or susceptibility corrections or other finite size effects in the EFT is an interesting problem with much work done in the gravitational context [60,79–82].

Let us turn to the kinetic action S_{kin} which, as discussed in the Introduction, is conveniently written in terms of anticommuting (Hermitian) Grassmann vectors $\psi^\mu(\tau)$ related to the spin tensor as $S^{\mu\nu} = -im\psi^\mu\psi^\nu$. Using also the Polyakov form of the point mass action, we get [36,39,41]

$$S_{\text{kin}} = -\frac{m}{2} \int d\tau (\dot{z}^2 + i\psi \cdot \dot{\psi}). \quad (5)$$

At this point, the shift symmetry becomes a SUSY with $\delta z^\mu = i\eta\psi^\mu$ and $\delta\psi^\mu = -\eta\dot{z}^\mu$ and global Grassmann parameter η . We will gauge fix the SUSY with the covariant spin supplementary condition $S^{\mu\nu}\dot{z}_\nu = 0$ and time reparametrization invariance with proper time $\dot{z}^2 = 1$ and assume these constraints in the following.

Worldline equations of motion.—The EOMs are derived from the principle of stationary action, and for the trajectory we find the force $f^\sigma = m\ddot{z}^\sigma$ to be

$$f^\mu = qE^\mu(z) + (\eta_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu - \dot{z}^\mu)U - \eta_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left[\left(\frac{(g-2)q}{2m} S + (c_E + c_B)B(z) \right) \times E(z) \right]_\nu. \quad (6)$$

Here, we use a projector $\eta_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - \dot{z}^\mu\dot{z}^\nu$ and note that proper time implies $\dot{z} \cdot f = 0$. We define the body frame cross product of any two vectors u_1^μ and u_2^μ by

$$(u_1 \times u_2)^\mu = \epsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma}^{\mu} u_1^\nu u_2^\rho \dot{z}^\sigma, \quad (7)$$

which implies $\epsilon^{1230} = 1$.

We will focus on the (SUSY invariant) Pauli-Lubanski vector $S^\mu(\tau)$ as the physical spin variable which is given in terms of the Grassmann vectors by $S^\mu = -i(m/2)(\psi \times \psi)^\mu$.

Using the chain rule and principle of stationary action for the Grassmann vectors, one arrives at the following spin precession for S^μ (the BMT equation [8,28]):

$$\eta_{\perp\nu}^\mu \dot{S}^\nu = \mathcal{T}^\mu = \frac{gq}{2m} (S \times B(z))^\mu. \quad (8)$$

Here, we introduced the torque \mathcal{T}^μ and focused only on the spatial components as the time component of \dot{S}^μ in the direction of \dot{z}^μ is straightforwardly determined from differentiation of the constraint $S \cdot \dot{z} = 0$.

Worldline quantum field theory.—The WQFT formalism offers a streamlined diagrammatic approach to solving the classical EOMs (6) and (8) [33–42]. The central idea is that the classical dynamics described by the worldline EFT may be considered as the tree-level contributions ($\hbar \rightarrow 0$) of a quantum field theory defined from the (worldline) action S where both the EM potential and the worldline fields are promoted to quantum fluctuating fields.

For the EM potential, we define the fluctuating field $\Delta A^\mu = A^\mu - A_{\text{ext}}^\mu$ in a background expansion around the external potential $A_{\text{ext}}^\mu(x)$ sourced by the current of S_{ext} such that

$$\partial^2 A_{\text{ext}}^\mu(x) = -\frac{\delta S_{\text{ext}}}{\delta A_\mu(x)}. \quad (9)$$

We collect the worldline fields in a single superfield $Z^\mu = \{z^\mu, \psi^\mu\}$ and expand it around an arbitrary time $\bar{\tau}$,

$$Z^\sigma(\tau) = \{z^\sigma(\bar{\tau}) + (\tau - \bar{\tau})\dot{z}^\sigma(\bar{\tau}), \psi^\sigma(\bar{\tau})\} + \Delta Z^\sigma(\tau), \quad (10)$$

with fluctuation $\Delta Z^\sigma = \{\Delta z^\sigma, \Delta \psi^\sigma\}$ and boundary conditions $\Delta z(\bar{\tau}) = \Delta \dot{z}(\bar{\tau}) = \Delta \psi(\bar{\tau}) = 0$. This expansion will be used at times near to $\bar{\tau}$ and implies no assumptions on the global character of the trajectory.

The key observation of the WQFT formalism is that its one-point functions in the $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ limit are identical to the solutions of the classical EOMs:

$$\Delta A^\mu(k) = \text{wavy line}, \quad \Delta Z^\sigma(\omega) = \text{solid line}. \quad (11)$$

Here, the blobs represent the WQFT one-point functions with wiggly and solid lines identifying photons ΔA^μ and superfields, respectively. Conveniently, we work in momentum and frequency space indicated by k^μ and ω and defined by d -dimensional and one-dimensional Fourier transforms, respectively. In order to consider arbitrary times τ , the corresponding frequency must be kept off shell (the on-shell limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$ is related to the global change in momentum or spin [33,41]).

The WQFT Feynman rules are straightforwardly determined from the action [33,36,41] and have the following

three important properties. First, the background expansion introduces one-point vertices which lead to an infinite series of tree diagrams. Second, the interaction of one-dimensional superfields with d -dimensional photons conserves only one component of the photon momenta, and the unconstrained integration on the remaining (spatial) components leads to looplike integrations within the tree diagrams. Third, in order to arrive at causal dynamics, retarded propagators are used exclusively and all point toward the single outgoing line which, formally, is imposed by the in-in formalism [38].

A simple example of a vertex rule is given by the interaction of a photon with a worldline trajectory fluctuation,

$$\text{wavy line} \frac{\Delta z^\sigma(\omega)}{\Delta A_\mu(-k)} = 4\pi\delta(k \cdot \dot{z} - \omega) e^{ik \cdot (z - \tau \dot{z})} k^{[\sigma} \eta_{\mu]}^{\nu]} \dot{z}_\nu + \dots \Big|_{\tau \rightarrow \bar{\tau}}, \quad (12)$$

with the ellipsis indicating spin and susceptibility corrections. Generally, the vertex rules have up to two photon legs and any number of superfield legs. They conserve energy and depend on the worldline background variables $z^\sigma(\bar{\tau})$, $\dot{z}^\sigma(\bar{\tau})$, and $\psi^\sigma(\bar{\tau})$, on the external EM potential A_{ext}^μ , and on the momenta and frequencies of the incoming and outgoing fields. Because of the background expansion around A_{ext}^μ , the photons $\Delta A^\mu(x)$ interact only with the pointlike particle (and not the external current).

The classical EOMs now take the form of off-shell, recursive Berends-Giele-like relations [40,41,83]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{solid line} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[\text{blob} \left(\text{solid line} \right)_n + \text{blob} \left(\text{wavy line} \right)_n + \frac{1}{2} \text{blob} \left(\text{wavy line} \right)_n \right], \\ \text{wavy line} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[\text{blob} \left(\text{wavy line} \right)_n + \text{blob} \left(\text{solid line} \right)_n \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The first line corresponds to the worldline EOMs, where the first term represents the force (or torque) evaluated on the external EM fields and the next two terms have one or two insertions of the fluctuation $\Delta A^\mu(x)$. This force is expanded in the worldline fluctuations around the background time $\bar{\tau}$, which explains the presence of any number n of fluctuations. When evaluated at the background time itself in time domain, only finitely many terms in the sum on n are nonzero. Such an evaluation at $\bar{\tau}$ will be our goal after integrating out $\Delta A^\mu(x)$ below. The second line of Eq. (13) describes the coupling of ΔA^μ to the current of the pointlike particle.

Integrating out self-interactions.—Self-interactions are now straightforwardly integrated out by eliminating $\Delta A^\mu(x)$ from the system of equations (13) leading to the following regulated EOM:

$$\leftarrow \circ = \sum \left[\frac{1}{n!} \leftarrow \circ \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \end{array} + \frac{1}{n!m!} \leftarrow \circ \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \\ \nearrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \end{array} + \frac{1}{n!m!l!} \leftarrow \circ \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \\ \nearrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \\ \nearrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \end{array} + \frac{1}{2n!m!l!} \leftarrow \circ \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \\ \nearrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \\ \nearrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \\ \nearrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \end{array} + \mathcal{O}(c_{E/B}^2) \right]. \quad (14)$$

Here, the sum extends over all numbers n , m , and l of superfields. The goal will be to evaluate the right-hand side in time domain at the background time $\bar{\tau}$. Its general structure is a sum of $(j+1)$ -point WQFT diagrams connected with j superfields where only photons $\Delta A^\mu(x)$ propagate within the diagrams. The first term corresponds to the force (or torque) evaluated on the external EM fields and the three next terms are self-force corrections.

A generic multipoint WQFT diagram with $(j+1)$ superfield legs takes the schematic form,

$$\begin{array}{c} \Delta Z^{\sigma_1(\omega_1)} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta Z^{\sigma_j(\omega_j)} \\ \leftarrow \bullet \\ \Delta Z^{\sigma_0(-\omega_0)} \end{array} = 2\pi \delta(\omega_0 - \sum_{i=1}^j \omega_i) \mathcal{M}_{\sigma_0 \dots \sigma_j}^{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_j}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_j), \quad (15)$$

with amplitude \mathcal{M} , which depends only on the frequencies and worldline background parameters. Here, the big solid blob signifies any of the multipoint WQFT diagrams of Eq. (14) where we have amputated all (incoming) superfields and external propagators. In order to keep the discussion simple, we ignore the case of the external EM potential A_{ext}^μ in the schematic form, though its inclusion is straightforward.

Let us consider the contribution of the multipoint WQFT diagram Eq. (15) to the regulated EOM Eq. (14) in time domain evaluated at $\bar{\tau}$. We thus integrate the multipoint diagram against j superfield fluctuations and integrate on ω_0 with a Fourier factor $\exp(-i\omega_0\bar{\tau})$ at which point all frequencies become derivatives of the time domain superfields:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma_0 \dots \sigma_j}^{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_j} \left[i \frac{d}{d\tau_1}, \dots, i \frac{d}{d\tau_j} \right] \prod_{i=1}^j \Delta Z_{\sigma_i}(\tau_i) \Big|_{\tau_i \rightarrow \bar{\tau}}. \quad (16)$$

The amplitudes \mathcal{M} may easily be computed and turn out to be polynomial in their arguments and finite in $d=4$. In this case the contribution (16) simply becomes a sum of j superfields $\Delta Z^\sigma(\bar{\tau})$ multiplied together and each differentiated a number (possibly zero) of times. Crucially, since $\Delta z^\sigma(\bar{\tau}) = \Delta \dot{z}^\sigma(\bar{\tau}) = \Delta \psi^\sigma(\bar{\tau}) = 0$, the contribution is non-zero only if each field is differentiated a minimum number of times. Higher derivatives of ΔZ^σ are simply identical to derivatives of Z^σ itself.

We will not carry out power counting of the vertex rules explicitly, but one finds that for a sufficient number j of superfield legs, there are not enough differentiations to make the contribution (16) nonzero. In particular, one needs at most one incoming fluctuation in the first term of Eq. (14) (i.e., $n \leq 1$), at most three fluctuations in the second ($n+m \leq 3$), and at most five fluctuations in the third and fourth ($n+m+l \leq 5$).

At this point we must show only that the amplitudes \mathcal{M} are polynomial in the frequencies and finite in $d=4$. Nontrivial dependence on the frequencies and eventual divergencies can arise only from the looplike integrations on the photon momenta. The relevant integrals factorize into one-loop massive tadpoles:

$$I_{\text{DimReg}}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}(\omega) = \int d^d k \frac{k^{\mu_1} \dots k^{\mu_n}}{(k \cdot \dot{z} + i\epsilon)^2 + k_\mu k_\nu \eta_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}} \delta(k \cdot \dot{z} - \omega). \quad (17)$$

Here, k^μ is the exchanged photon momentum and ω is the total energy flowing in or out of the self-interaction. As dictated by the in-in formalism, the photon propagator is retarded with positive infinitesimal ϵ .

The massive tadpole Eq. (17) is easily computed within dimensional regularization. Importantly, any trace $\eta_{\mu_1 \mu_2} I^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_n}$ is zero because the contraction cancels the denominator and removes any scales of the integral. With this regularization, the integral is finite and assuming all divergences to appear from self-interactions, they have thus been removed. We can then let $d \rightarrow 4$ and work in four spacetime dimensions. The dependence on ω of the tadpole can be determined from dimensional analysis with $I^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} \sim \omega^{n+1}$.

An illustrative example is given by the leading order self-force contribution where, neglecting spin and susceptibility corrections, one gets

$$\begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \circ \\ \Delta z^{\sigma}(-\omega) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \circ \\ \Delta z^{\rho}(\omega') \end{array} = \delta(\omega - \omega') \frac{q^2 \omega^3}{3} [\eta^{\sigma\rho} - \dot{z}^\sigma(\bar{\tau}) \dot{z}^\rho(\bar{\tau})] + \dots \quad (18)$$

When inserted in Eq. (16), the corresponding amplitude gives rise to the ALD self-force.

Self-force equations of motion.—The computation of the regulated EOM Eq. (14) evaluated at $\bar{\tau}$ may now be carried out, and though there are many diagrams, an automatized

evaluation is easily carried out with computer algebra. The regulated EOM results in a regulated force for the worldline trajectory and a regulated torque for the Pauli-Lubanski vector. Because of the arbitrariness of $\bar{\tau}$, we simply replace it by τ .

For the trajectory $z^\mu(\tau)$, we find the schematic form,

$$ma^\mu = f_{\text{ext}}^\mu + \frac{q}{6\pi} \eta_{\perp\nu}^\mu \left[q\dot{a}^\nu + f_M^\nu + c_E f_E^\nu + \frac{c_E q}{6\pi} f_{Eq}^\nu \right] + \dots, \quad (19)$$

with $a^\mu = \ddot{z}^\mu$ and the ellipsis indicating terms of quadratic order in spin and susceptibility effects. Here, the first term f_{ext}^μ is the original force (6) evaluated on the external EM fields and the square brackets give self-force corrections with the ALD force in the first term, spin and magnetization effects in the second, and electric polarization effects in the final two terms. For the self-force corrections we find

$$f_M^\mu = (\dot{a} \times \dot{M})^\mu + \frac{d}{d\tau} \left[\dot{a} \times \left(M - \frac{q}{m} S \right) \right]^\mu, \quad (20a)$$

$$f_E^\mu = \mathcal{E}_{\text{ext}}^\mu(z) + \ddot{a}_\nu \partial^\nu \mathcal{E}_{\text{ext}}^\mu(z) + a^2 \dot{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{ext}}^\mu(z) - \dot{a}^\mu a \cdot E_{\text{ext}}(z) + \frac{d}{d\tau} (3a^\mu a \cdot E_{\text{ext}}(z) + (\dot{a} \times B_{\text{ext}}(z))^\mu), \quad (20b)$$

$$f_{Eq}^\mu = \overset{\dots}{a}^\mu + 2\ddot{a}^\mu a^2 + 8\dot{a}^\mu \dot{a} \cdot a + a^\mu \frac{a^4 + 18a \cdot \ddot{a} + 19\dot{a}^2}{2}, \quad (20c)$$

with the magnetic moment $M^\mu = (gq/2m)S^\mu + c_B B_{\text{ext}}^\mu(z)$. The forces f_M^μ and f_E^μ are due to one exchange of ΔA^μ [second term of Eq. (14)] and f_{Eq}^μ due to two exchanges (third and fourth terms). Thus double radiation magnetization effects are zero at this order. We note that the time derivatives of the cross product in the first and third lines also act on the frame [see Eq. (7)].

For the torque on S^μ we find that the self-force corrections vanish at this order such that $\eta_{\perp\nu}^\mu \dot{S}^\nu$ is given simply by the original torque Eq. (8) evaluated on the external (magnetic) field.

Assuming causal boundary conditions, the regulated EOMs are, to leading order in spin and susceptibility, exact and consistent predictions of the worldline EFT framework and their validity is thus limited only by that of the EFT framework. See also Refs. [84,85] for a discussion of the validity of the ALD equation.

The self-force results Eqs. (20) are to the best of our knowledge new results. In the reviews [11,14], the case of spin is described with worldline EOMs similar to Eqs. (6) and (8) [see, e.g., Eqs. (337) and (338) in [14]], and a radiative propagator prescription is suggested as regularization but not carried out explicitly. In fact, dimensional regularization used here is identical to this prescription as

we show (and define) in the Supplemental Material [78]. This identification provides some intuition of our results: The leading order radiative magnetic field vanishes, $B_{\text{rad}}^\mu = \mathcal{O}(S, c_{E/B})$, which explains the vanishing of double radiation magnetization effects and leading order self-force torque effects.

Let us briefly mention the following nontrivial checks of our results with a more detailed discussion in the Supplemental Material [78]. First, our results are in complete agreement with expressions for the radiative EM fields for a generic dipole moment given in Ref. [9]. Second, we have applied our methodology to the finite size coupling $a \cdot E(z)$ considered in Ref. [17] and reproduce the results therein except for a relative sign. Finally, our results are consistent with the instantaneous radiative loss of four-momentum for spin given in Ref. [10].

Outlook.—We have shown how one may systematically eliminate electromagnetic self-interactions in the worldline EFT of pointlike particles deriving, in particular, novel spin and susceptibility corrections to the ALD self-force. Straightforward generalizations and perspectives include the addition of higher order spin and finite size effects, self-force in arbitrary spacetime dimensions [86–91], and classical non-Abelian self-interaction [14,52,92–95].

Furthermore, it would be of great interest to apply this framework to the gravitational setting where a weak-field expansion would lead to diagrams similar to the electromagnetic ones considered here except for self-interactions in the bulk giving rise to tail effects [71,96]. A generalization to curved space would be equally exciting and allow for applications to the self-force expansion of extreme mass ratio binaries [45,97–100].

I would like to thank Alexander Broll, Gustav Mogull, Jung-Wook Kim, Raj Patil, Jan Plefka, Muddu Saketh, Jan Steinhoff, and Justin Vines for very useful discussions. I am also grateful to Raj Patil, Jan Plefka, and Jan Steinhoff for comments on an earlier draft of this work. I would also like to thank the anonymous referee for useful comments. The research of G. U. J. is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation), Project No. 417533893/GRK2575 “Rethinking Quantum Field Theory”.

*gustav.uhre.jakobsen@physik.hu-berlin.de

- [1] M. Abraham, *Theorie der Elektrizität. Zweiter Band: Elektromagnetische Theorie der Strahlung* (Teubner, Leipzig, 1905).
- [2] H. A. Lorentz, *The Theory of Electrons* (Teubner, Leipzig, 1909).
- [3] Paul A. M. Dirac, Classical theory of radiating electrons, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **167**, 148 (1938).
- [4] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifschits, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, Course of Theoretical Physics Vol. 2 (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1975).

- [5] John David Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (Wiley, New York, 1998).
- [6] J. Frenkel, Die elektrodynamik des rotierenden electrons, *Z. Phys.* **37**, 243 (1926).
- [7] H. J. Bhabha and H. C. Corben, General classical theory of spinning particles in a Maxwell field, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **178**, 273 (1941).
- [8] V. Bargmann, Louis Michel, and V. L. Telegdi, Precession of the polarization of particles moving in a homogeneous electromagnetic field, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2**, 435 (1959).
- [9] J. R. Ellis, Electromagnetic fields of moving dipoles and multipoles, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **7**, 1185 (1966).
- [10] D. Villarroel, Local characterization of massless radiation from point sources, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **113** (1975).
- [11] Claudio Teitelboim, Danilo Villarroel, and Christiaan van Weert, Classical electrodynamics of retarded fields and point particles, *Riv. Nuovo Cimento* **3N9**, 1 (1980).
- [12] J. W. van Holten, On the electrodynamics of spinning particles, *Nucl. Phys.* **B356**, 3 (1991).
- [13] P. O. Kazinski, Radiation reaction for multipole moments, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **105**, 327 (2007).
- [14] B. P. Kosyakov, Self-interaction in classical gauge theories and gravitation, *Phys. Rep.* **812**, 1 (2019).
- [15] Kwang-Je Kim, The equation of motion of an electron: A debate in classical and quantum physics, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **429**, 1 (1999).
- [16] Fritz Rohrlich, The dynamics of a charged sphere and the electron, *Am. J. Phys.* **65**, 1051 (1997).
- [17] Chad R. Galley, Adam K. Leibovich, and Ira Z. Rothstein, Finite size corrections to the radiation reaction force in classical electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 094802 (2010).
- [18] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 061102 (2016).
- [19] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific Collaboration, Virgo Collaboration, and KAGRA Collaboration), GWTC-3: Compact binary coalescences observed by LIGO and Virgo during the second part of the third observing run, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 041039 (2023).
- [20] Walter D. Goldberger and Ira Z. Rothstein, An effective field theory of gravity for extended objects, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 104029 (2006).
- [21] Walter D. Goldberger, Effective field theories of gravity and compact binary dynamics: A Snowmass 2021 whitepaper, [arXiv:2206.14249](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.14249).
- [22] Walter D. Goldberger and Ira Z. Rothstein, Towers of gravitational theories, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **38**, 1537 (2006).
- [23] Rafael A. Porto, The effective field theorist's approach to gravitational dynamics, *Phys. Rep.* **633**, 1 (2016).
- [24] Michèle Levi, Effective field theories of post-Newtonian gravity: A comprehensive review, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **83**, 075901 (2020).
- [25] Ofek Birnholtz, Shahar Hadar, and Barak Kol, Radiation reaction at the level of the action, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **29**, 1450132 (2014).
- [26] Raj Patil, EFT approach to general relativity: Correction to EIH Lagrangian due to electromagnetic charge, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **52**, 95 (2020).
- [27] Arpan Bhattacharyya, Saptaswa Ghosh, and Sounak Pal, Worldline effective field theory of inspiralling black hole binaries in presence of dark photon and axionic dark matter, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2023) 207.
- [28] Jung-Wook Kim and Jan Steinhoff, Spin supplementary condition in quantum field theory: Covariant SSC and physical state projection, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2023) 042.
- [29] Duff Neill and Ira Z. Rothstein, Classical space-times from the S matrix, *Nucl. Phys.* **B877**, 177 (2013).
- [30] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, Poul H. Damgaard, Guido Festuccia, Ludovic Planté, and Pierre Vanhove, General relativity from scattering amplitudes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 171601 (2018).
- [31] Clifford Cheung, Ira Z. Rothstein, and Mikhail P. Solon, From scattering amplitudes to classical potentials in the post-Minkowskian expansion, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 251101 (2018).
- [32] Zvi Bern, Clifford Cheung, Radu Roiban, Chia-Hsien Shen, Mikhail P. Solon, and Mao Zeng, Scattering amplitudes and the conservative Hamiltonian for binary systems at third post-Minkowskian order, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 201603 (2019).
- [33] Gustav Mogull, Jan Plefka, and Jan Steinhoff, Classical black hole scattering from a worldline quantum field theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2021) 048.
- [34] Gustav Uhre Jakobsen, Gustav Mogull, Jan Plefka, and Jan Steinhoff, Classical gravitational bremsstrahlung from a worldline quantum field theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 201103 (2021).
- [35] Gustav Uhre Jakobsen, Gustav Mogull, Jan Plefka, and Jan Steinhoff, Gravitational bremsstrahlung and hidden supersymmetry of spinning bodies, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 011101 (2022).
- [36] Gustav Uhre Jakobsen, Gustav Mogull, Jan Plefka, and Jan Steinhoff, SUSY in the sky with gravitons, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2022) 027.
- [37] Gustav Uhre Jakobsen and Gustav Mogull, Conservative and radiative dynamics of spinning bodies at third post-Minkowskian order using worldline quantum field theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 141102 (2022).
- [38] Gustav Uhre Jakobsen, Gustav Mogull, Jan Plefka, and Benjamin Sauer, All things retarded: Radiation-reaction in worldline quantum field theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2022) 128.
- [39] Gustav Uhre Jakobsen and Gustav Mogull, Linear response, Hamiltonian, and radiative spinning two-body dynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 044033 (2023).
- [40] Gustav Uhre Jakobsen, Gustav Mogull, Jan Plefka, Benjamin Sauer, and Yingxuan Xu, Conservative scattering of spinning black holes at fourth post-Minkowskian order, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 151401 (2023).
- [41] Gustav Uhre Jakobsen, Gravitational scattering of compact bodies from worldline quantum field theory, [arXiv:2308.04388](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.04388).
- [42] Gustav Uhre Jakobsen, Gustav Mogull, Jan Plefka, and Benjamin Sauer, Dissipative scattering of spinning black

- holes at fourth post-Minkowskian order, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 241402 (2023).
- [43] Julian S. Schwinger, Brownian motion of a quantum oscillator, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **2**, 407 (1961).
- [44] L. V. Keldysh, Diagram technique for nonequilibrium processes, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **47**, 1515 (1964).
- [45] Chad R. Galley and B. L. Hu, Self-force on extreme mass ratio inspirals via curved spacetime effective field theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 064002 (2009).
- [46] Chad R. Galley and Manuel Tiglio, Radiation reaction and gravitational waves in the effective field theory approach, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 124027 (2009).
- [47] Chad R. Galley, Classical mechanics of nonconservative systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 174301 (2013).
- [48] Ofek Birnholtz, Shahar Hadar, and Barak Kol, Theory of post-Newtonian radiation and reaction, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 104037 (2013).
- [49] Janos Polonyi, Effective dynamics of a classical point charge, *Ann. Phys. (Amsterdam)* **342**, 239 (2014).
- [50] Gabriel Luz Almeida, Stefano Foffa, and Riccardo Sturani, Gravitational radiation contributions to the two-body scattering angle, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 024020 (2023).
- [51] Gregor Kälin, Jakob Neef, and Rafael A. Porto, Radiation-reaction in the effective field theory approach to post-Minkowskian dynamics, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2023) 140.
- [52] Canxin Shi and Jan Plefka, Classical double copy of worldline quantum field theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 026007 (2022).
- [53] Francesco Comberiati and Canxin Shi, Classical double copy of spinning worldline quantum field theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2023) 008.
- [54] Fiorenzo Bastianelli, Francesco Comberiati, and Leonardo de la Cruz, Light bending from eikonal in worldline quantum field theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2022) 209.
- [55] Francesco Comberiati and Leonardo de la Cruz, Classical off-shell currents, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2023) 068.
- [56] Tianheng Wang, Binary dynamics from worldline QFT for scalar QED, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 085011 (2023).
- [57] Maor Ben-Shahar, Scattering of spinning compact objects from a worldline EFT, [arXiv:2311.01430](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.01430).
- [58] Jan Steinhoff, Spin gauge symmetry in the action principle for classical relativistic particles, [arXiv:1501.04951](https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.04951).
- [59] Rafael A. Porto, Post-Newtonian corrections to the motion of spinning bodies in NRGR, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 104031 (2006).
- [60] Michele Levi and Jan Steinhoff, Spinning gravitating objects in the effective field theory in the post-Newtonian scheme, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2015) 219.
- [61] F. A. Berezin and M. S. Marinov, Particle spin dynamics as the Grassmann variant of classical mechanics, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **104**, 336 (1977).
- [62] L. Brink, Stanley Deser, B. Zumino, P. Di Vecchia, and Paul S. Howe, Local supersymmetry for spinning particles, *Phys. Lett.* **64B**, 435 (1976); **68B**, 488(E) (1977).
- [63] L. Brink, P. Di Vecchia, and Paul S. Howe, A Lagrangian formulation of the classical and quantum dynamics of spinning particles, *Nucl. Phys.* **B118**, 76 (1977).
- [64] A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni, and L. Lusanna, Classical spinning particles interacting with external gravitational fields, *Nucl. Phys.* **B124**, 521 (1977).
- [65] Carlos A. P. Galvao and Claudio Teitelboim, Classical supersymmetric particles, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **21**, 1863 (1980).
- [66] Paul S. Howe, Silvia Penati, Mario Pernici, and Paul K. Townsend, Wave equations for arbitrary spin from quantization of the extended supersymmetric spinning particle, *Phys. Lett. B* **215**, 555 (1988).
- [67] Paul S. Howe, Silvia Penati, Mario Pernici, and Paul K. Townsend, A particle mechanics description of anti-symmetric tensor fields, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **6**, 1125 (1989).
- [68] G. W. Gibbons, R. H. Rietdijk, and J. W. van Holten, SUSY in the sky, *Nucl. Phys.* **B404**, 42 (1993).
- [69] Fiorenzo Bastianelli, Paolo Benincasa, and Simone Giombi, Worldline approach to vector and antisymmetric tensor fields, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2005) 010.
- [70] Fiorenzo Bastianelli, Paolo Benincasa, and Simone Giombi, Worldline approach to vector and antisymmetric tensor fields. II, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2005) 114.
- [71] Chad R. Galley, Adam K. Leibovich, Rafael A. Porto, and Andreas Ross, Tail effect in gravitational radiation reaction: Time nonlocality and renormalization group evolution, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 124010 (2016).
- [72] Gustav Uhre Jakobsen, Schwarzschild-Tangherlini metric from scattering amplitudes, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 104065 (2020).
- [73] Manoj K. Mandal, Pierpaolo Mastrolia, Hector O. Silva, Raj Patil, and Jan Steinhoff, Renormalizing Love: Tidal effects at the third post-Newtonian order, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2024) 188.
- [74] Leor Barack *et al.*, Comparison of post-Minkowskian and self-force expansions: Scattering in a scalar charge toy model, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 024025 (2023).
- [75] Yasushi Mino, Misao Sasaki, and Takahiro Tanaka, Gravitational radiation reaction to a particle motion, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 3457 (1997).
- [76] Eric Poisson, Adam Pound, and Ian Vega, The motion of point particles in curved spacetime, *Living Rev. Relativity* **14**, 7 (2011).
- [77] Leor Barack and Adam Pound, Self-force and radiation reaction in general relativity, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **82**, 016904 (2019).
- [78] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.151601> for more details on dimensional regularization and comparisons of the present results and methodology with the existing literature.
- [79] M. V. S. Saketh and Justin Vines, Scattering of gravitational waves off spinning compact objects with an effective worldline theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 124026 (2022).
- [80] M. V. S. Saketh, Jan Steinhoff, Justin Vines, and Alessandra Buonanno, Modeling horizon absorption in spinning binary black holes using effective worldline theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 084006 (2023).
- [81] Kays Haddad, Recursion in the classical limit and the neutron-star Compton amplitude, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2023) 177.
- [82] Rafael Aoude, Kays Haddad, and Andreas Helset, Classical gravitational scattering amplitude at $\mathcal{O}(G^2 S_1^\infty S_2^\infty)$, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 024050 (2023).

- [83] F. A. Berends and W. T. Giele, Recursive calculations for processes with n gluons, *Nucl. Phys.* **B306**, 759 (1988).
- [84] M. V. S. Saketh, Justin Vines, Jan Steinhoff, and Alessandra Buonanno, Conservative and radiative dynamics in classical relativistic scattering and bound systems, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **4**, 013127 (2022).
- [85] Eric Poisson, An introduction to the Lorentz-Dirac equation, [arXiv:gr-qc/9912045](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9912045).
- [86] D. V. Galtsov, Radiation reaction in various dimensions, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 025016 (2002).
- [87] Abraham I. Harte, Peter Taylor, and Éanna É. Flanagan, Foundations of the self-force problem in arbitrary dimensions, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 124053 (2018).
- [88] D. Galakhov, Self-interaction and regularization of classical electrodynamics in higher dimensions, *JETP Lett.* **87**, 452 (2008).
- [89] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Radiation beyond four space-time dimensions, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **156**, 1209 (2008).
- [90] Vitor Cardoso, Marco Cavaglia, and Jun-Qi Guo, Gravitational Larmor formula in higher dimensions, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 084020 (2007).
- [91] P. O. Kazinski, S. L. Lyakhovich, and A. A. Sharapov, Radiation reaction and renormalization in classical electrodynamics of point particle in any dimension, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 025017 (2002).
- [92] S. K. Wong, Field and particle equations for the classical Yang-Mills field and particles with isotopic spin, *Nuovo Cimento A* **65**, 689 (1970).
- [93] Fiorenzo Bastianelli, Roberto Bonezzi, Olindo Corradini, and Emanuele Latini, Particles with non Abelian charges, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2013) 098.
- [94] Leonardo de la Cruz, Ben Maybee, Donal O'Connell, and Alasdair Ross, Classical Yang-Mills observables from amplitudes, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2020) 076.
- [95] Leonardo de la Cruz, Andres Luna, and Trevor Scheopner, Yang-Mills observables: From KMOC to eikonal through EFT, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2022) 045.
- [96] Alex Edison and Michèle Levi, A tale of tails through generalized unitarity, *Phys. Lett. B* **837**, 137634 (2023).
- [97] Tim Adamo, Andrea Cristofoli, and Anton Ilderton, Classical physics from amplitudes on curved backgrounds, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2022) 281.
- [98] Tim Adamo, Andrea Cristofoli, Anton Ilderton, and Sonja Klisch, Scattering amplitudes for self-force, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **41**, 065006 (2024).
- [99] Clifford Cheung, Julio Parra-Martinez, Ira Z. Rothstein, Nabha Shah, and Jordan Wilson-Gerow, Effective field theory for extreme mass ratios, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 091402 (2024).
- [100] Dimitrios Kosmopoulos and Mikhail P. Solon, Gravitational self force from scattering amplitudes in curved space, [arXiv:2308.15304](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.15304).