Hosing of a Long Relativistic Particle Bunch in Plasma

T. Nechaeva⁽¹⁾,^{1,*} L. Verra,² J. Pucek,¹ L. Ranc,¹ M. Bergamaschi,¹ G. Zevi Della Porta,^{1,2} P. Muggli,¹

(AWAKE Collaboration)

R. Agnello,³ C. C. Ahdida,² C. Amoedo,² Y. Andrebe,³ O. Apsimon,^{4,5} R. Apsimon,^{5,6} J. M. Arnesano,² V. Bencini,^{2,7}
P. Blanchard,³ P. N. Burrows,⁷ B. Buttenschön,⁸ A. Caldwell,¹ M. Chung,⁹ D. A. Cooke,¹⁰ C. Davut,^{4,5} G. Demeter,¹¹
A. C. Dexter,^{5,6} S. Doebert,² J. Farmer,¹ A. Fasoli,³ R. Fonseca,^{12,13} I. Furno,³ E. Granados,² M. Granetzny,¹⁴ T. Graubner,¹⁵
O. Grulke,^{8,16} E. Gschwendtner,² E. Guran,² J. Henderson,^{5,17} M. Á. Kedves,¹¹ S.-Y. Kim,^{9,2} F. Kraus,¹⁵ M. Krupa,² T. Lefevre,² L. Liang,^{4,5} S. Liu,¹⁸ N. Lopes,¹³ K. Lotov,^{19,20} M. Martinez Calderon,² S. Mazzoni,² K. Moon,⁹
P. I. Morales Guzmán,¹ M. Moreira,¹³ N. Okhotnikov,^{19,20} C. Pakuza,⁷ F. Pannell,¹⁰ A. Pardons,² K. Pepitone,²¹ E. Poimenidou,² A. Pukhov,^{22,7} S. Rey,² R. Rossel,² H. Saberi,^{4,5} O. Schmitz,¹⁴ E. Senes,² F. Silva,²³ L. Silva,¹³ B. Spear,⁷
C. Stollberg,³ A. Sublet,² C. Swain,^{5,24} A. Topaloudis,² N. Torrado,^{13,2} M. Turner,² F. Velotti,² V. Verzilov,¹⁸ J. Vieira,¹³
C. Welsch,^{5,24} M. Wendt,² M. Wing,¹⁰ J. Wolfenden,^{5,24} B. Woolley,² G. Xia,^{5,4} V. Yarygova,^{19,20} and M. Zepp¹⁴ ¹Max Planck Institute for Physics, 80805 Munich, Germany ²CERN, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland ³Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne (EPFL), Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland ⁴University of Manchester M13 9PL, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom ⁵Cockcroft Institute, Warrington WA4 4AD, United Kingdom ⁶Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YB, United Kingdom ⁷John Adams Institute, Oxford University, Oxford OX1 3RH, United Kingdom ⁸Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, 17491 Greifswald, Germany ⁹UNIST, Ulsan 44919, Republic of Korea ¹⁰UCL, London WC1 6BT, United Kingdom ¹¹Wigner Research Centre for Physics, 1121 Budapest, Hungary ¹²ISCTE - Instituto Universitéario de Lisboa, 1049-001 Lisbon, Portugal ¹³GoLP/Instituto de Plasmas e Fusáo Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, 1049-001 Lisbon, Portugal ¹⁴University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

¹⁵Philipps-Universität Marburg, 35032 Marburg, Germany

¹⁶Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

¹⁷STFC/ASTeC, Daresbury Laboratory, Warrington WA4 4AD, United Kingdom

¹⁸TRIUMF, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 2A3, Canada

¹⁹Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia ²⁰Novosibirsk State University, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

²¹Angstrom Laboratory, Department of Physics and Astronomy, 752 37 Uppsala, Sweden

²²Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

²³INESC-ID, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, 1049-001 Lisbon, Portugal

²⁴University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZE, United Kingdom

(Received 8 September 2023; accepted 16 January 2024; published 13 February 2024)

Experimental results show that hosing of a long particle bunch in plasma can be induced by wakefields driven by a short, misaligned preceding bunch. Hosing develops in the plane of misalignment, selfmodulation in the perpendicular plane, at frequencies close to the plasma electron frequency, and are reproducible. Development of hosing depends on misalignment direction, its growth on misalignment extent and on proton bunch charge. Results have the main characteristics of a theoretical model, are relevant to other plasma-based accelerators and represent the first characterization of hosing.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.075001

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Open access publication funded by CERN.

Introduction.-Hosing of a charged particle bunch in plasma is a fundamental mode of interaction and instability of such a system [1]. Studying hosing is important because it could impose a limit on the distance a bunch can propagate in plasma. This is the case, e.g., when using a preformed plasma to guide a beam through the atmosphere ([2], resistive hosing). Hosing is posited to be a limit for propagation of both witness [3] and drive bunches [4,5] in plasma-based accelerators. These accelerators are of interest and importance because they can operate at much higher accelerating gradients (1-100 GeV/m [6,7]) than conventional, rf-based accelerators (< 1 GeV/m [8,9]). Development of hosing would disrupt driving wakefields and the acceleration process, thus, the quality of the witness bunch. Choosing accelerator parameters to avoid hosing might limit the efficiency of the energy transfer process [3], a crucial parameter, e.g., for collider applications. The disruptive nature of hosing motivates studying its mitigation [5.10–12].

Hosing of charged particle bunches propagating in plasma is well described by theory [1,2,13–15] and numerical simulations [4]. While we focus on the case of particle bunches, laser pulses propagating in plasma are also subject to this instability [16,17], though the underlying physics is quite different.

Hosing occurs when the centroid position of a particle bunch couples to that of the focusing force (i.e., position where the axisymmetric force is zero) exerted by plasma [1], or to that of the wakefields [10]. With a long bunch, the axisymmetric wakefields force leads to self-modulation (SM), which transforms the bunch into a train of microbunches [18,19]. Nonaxisymmetric coupling results in transverse oscillation of the two centroid positions. When viewed in the laboratory reference frame, growth occurs because the displacement of each successive transverse slice of the bunch depends on that of all previous slices, through the focusing force sustained by oscillating plasma electrons. Growth thus occurs along the bunch and plasma. Hosing can initiate from global misalignment of the bunch with respect to a pre-existing focusing structure, notably in the case of a preformed focusing channel [1]. Alternatively, this process could start from variations in the local bunch centroid position, e.g., when the focusing force is driven by the bunch itself [12,15].

Transverse displacement of the centroid position of an electron bunch or a laser pulse was observed in a number of plasma wakefield experiments and was attributed to the occurrence of hosing [20,21]. However, very few fundamental characteristics of hosing (other than its possible occurrence) were deduced.

In this Letter, we show that hosing of a long, relativistic proton bunch propagating in an overdense plasma can be induced, and thus observed in a reproducible way. Hosing is induced by relative misalignment between the trajectory of a short electron bunch, hence the wakefields it drives,

and that of the trailing proton bunch [22]. Hosing and SM develop simultaneously, in perpendicular planes. With no electron bunch, SM instability without hosing occurs [23], showing that wakefields induce hosing. The electron bunch drives wakefields at the plasma electron frequency $f_{pe} =$ $\omega_{\rm pe}/2\pi$ [24], therefore the frequency of hosing is close to that of SM, both close to f_{pe} . The development of hosing depends on the direction of misalignment. For misalignment extents $\Delta x > 0.5 c/\omega_{pe}$ (c/ω_{pe} —cold plasma skin depth), the amplitude of hosing decreases with increasing Δx . When $\Delta x > 2.5 c/\omega_{\rm pe}$, SM develops as an instability without hosing. The amplitude of hosing increases with larger proton bunch charge Q_p (fixed Δx). We find good general agreement between hosing observed and a theoretical model [15], despite differences between assumptions of the model and the experimental conditions. Finally, we note that SM grows because each microbunch drives its own wakefields, which, in the plane of SM, add to those driven by previous microbunches. These microbunches therefore drive wakefields in the plane of hosing as well, i.e., their off-axis wakefields add to those of the previous off-axis microbunches and thus lead to the growth of hosing. The x_c oscillation we observe is therefore unambiguous evidence of the growth of hosing, as opposed to a betatron oscillation in the growing fields of SM.

The results were obtained in the AWAKE experiment [25]. Previously, hosing was observed only at low plasma densities ($< 0.5 \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻³) when SM was not seeded [26], and has not been a limitation for acceleration experiments performed at higher densities. Numerical simulation results show that seeding SM with a relativistic ionization front suppresses hosing [10]. However, seeding of SM with an electron bunch may be required in future experiments using, e.g., a preformed plasma [27]. The results of the study presented here are thus an essential first step in identifying mechanisms that can seed hosing, and in understanding its development. Further studies will have to determine tolerances in parameter space for SM seeded by the electron bunch to dominate over hosing.

We note that since the bunch density is lower than the plasma electron density, and the wakefields' amplitude never reaches the wave-breaking amplitude, one can expect the evolution of hosing and SM to be essentially independent of the charge sign of the long bunch. In addition, misalignment between drive and witness bunches in plasma-based accelerators using short drivers is also a seed for hosing.

Experimental setup.—The proton bunch from the CERN Super Proton Synchrotron has an energy of 400 GeV per particle and an approximately Gaussian temporal distribution with root mean square (rms) duration $\sigma_t \approx 220$ ps. It has a round Gaussian rms transverse waist size $\sigma_{r0} \approx$ 0.2 mm at the entrance of a 10 m-long vapor source [28] (Fig. 1). The source contains rubidium vapor with uniform temperature, and therefore density [29] $n_{\rm Rb}$,



FIG. 1. Schematic of the experimental setup (not to scale) showing main components used for the measurements. Inset: sketch of the images recorded—time-integrated (x, y), time-resolved (x, t), (y, t) images when hosing and SM develop simultaneously.

adjustable in the $(0.5 - 10) \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻³ range. The vapor, and, indirectly, the plasma density is measured to better than 0.5% accuracy [30]. A Ti:Sapphire laser generates a ~120 fs-long, ~110 mJ pulse. This pulse provides full, single ionization of the rubidium vapor, creating a plasma column of ~1 mm radius.

The laser pulse propagates 620 ps ($\sim 2.8\sigma_t$) ahead of the proton bunch longitudinal center and is aligned on its axis; thus, it does not seed SM [23] or induce hosing. A 18.9 MeV, ~ 225 pC, ~ 4 ps-long electron bunch [31,32] placed 600 ps ahead of the proton bunch center, i.e., in plasma, 20 ps behind the laser pulse, drives seed wakefields.

Protons pass through an aluminum-coated silicon wafer located 3.5 m downstream of the plasma exit, where they emit optical transition radiation (OTR). The OTR is transported, split, and imaged onto the entrance slit of two streak cameras providing time-resolved images of the bunch charge density distribution [33]. A 90° spatial rotation is applied to one of the OTR signals; thus the images are in perpendicular planes (x, t) and (y, t) (inset, Fig. 1). A CMOS camera yields time-integrated bunch charge distribution on the same OTR screen. This setup is crucial for detecting simultaneous occurrence of hosing and SM. The spatial resolution of the optical system is ~0.18 mm [34]. The temporal resolution of the streak cameras is ~1 ps [34,35], sufficient for the measurements presented here.

To circumvent the 5 ps rms jitter of the triggering system, thus, to determine the precise timing of hosing and SM along the bunch, we use a bleed-through of the ionizing laser pulse from a mirror. This pulse is synchronized with the main pulse and with the electron bunch. It is imaged onto the streak cameras and serves as a timing reference that allows temporal alignment of images at the sub-ps level [36]. This is essential to demonstrate the reproducibility of the observed phenomena, as shown later.

Experimental results.—We first introduce experimental observation of hosing and SM occurring simultaneously. The proton bunch has a charge $Q_p = (14.9 \pm 0.1)$ nC and initially (in vacuum) a continuous charge density distribution. In order to induce hosing, we misalign the trajectory of the preceding electron bunch by $\Delta x = (0.95 \pm 0.16) c/\omega_{pe}$ (at $n_{pe} = 0.96 \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻³, i.e., $c/\omega_{pe} = 0.52$ mm) with respect



FIG. 2. Time-resolved images of the proton bunch $(n_{pe}=0.96\times10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}, Q_p=(14.9\pm0.1) \text{ nC}, \Delta x = (0.95\pm0.16) c/\omega_{pe}$). (a) x plane, hosing; (b) y plane, SM. Images—averages of ten consecutive single events recorded simultaneously, same color scale. Inset (a): single-event 3σ contours of time-integrated proton bunch charge distribution for the same ten events. Dashed red lines: position of the slit of the streak cameras. Elongation of the distribution indicates the plane of hosing. (c) Centroid position along the bunch in vacuum (gray line), undergoing SM (solid green line) and hosing (dashed black line).

to the proton bunch propagation axis, in the x direction of the streak camera coordinate system. The Δx is an average misalignment extent value with error bar representing the position jitter of both bunches summed in quadrature. Timeresolved images (Fig. 2) show typical distributions corresponding to the simultaneous occurrence of hosing in the plane of misalignment [x, Fig. 2(a)] and of SM in the perpendicular plane [y, Fig. 2(b)]. We define t = 0 as 279 ps $(\sim 1.3\sigma_i)$ ahead of the longitudinal center of the proton bunch, propagating to the right. Images aligned in time are averaged over ten consecutive events. The average images show clear ps-scale features of hosing, i.e., oscillation of centroid position, and of SM, i.e., microbunches [23,32]. This indicates that both processes are reproducible, and thus confirms that both are induced by the initial wakefields of the electron bunch [23,32].

The centroid position of the bunch in the SM plane [Fig. 2(c), y_c , solid green line], and when propagating in vacuum (gray line, image not shown), remains close to the bunch axis (within ± 0.05 mm) and does not exhibit any periodic pattern. On the contrary, it clearly oscillates with growing amplitude (up to 0.28 mm) in the plane where hosing occurs (x_c , dashed black line). A 1.2×0.07 [ps, mm] median filter was applied to the time-resolved data to obtain smoother curves.

We determine the frequencies of hosing (f_H) and SM (f_{SM}) by performing a discrete Fourier transform of $x_c(t)$ for hosing and of the on-axis longitudinal (time) profile obtained within the proton bunch core radius for SM (not shown) [33]. With $n_{pe}=0.96 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Fig. 2), i.e., $f_{pe}=(87.99\pm0.18)$ GHz,



FIG. 3. Time-resolved images $(n_{\rm pe} = 0.96 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}, Q_p = (14.9 \pm 0.1) \text{ nC}).$ (a) $\Delta x = (0.95 \pm 0.16)c/\omega_{\rm pe}.$ (b) $\Delta x = (-0.93 \pm 0.18)c/\omega_{\rm pe}.$ Images have the same color scale. Reflection of x_c oscillation visible, e.g., at $t \approx 124$ ps (dashed red line). (c) x_c oscillation curves: dashed black line, top image; solid blue line, bottom image. Insets (a),(b): single-event 3σ contours of time-integrated bunch charge distribution.

we obtain $f_H = (86.76 \pm 1.53)$ GHz and $f_{\rm SM} = (86.27 \pm 1.54)$ GHz, with $n_{\rm pe} = 2.03 \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻³ (curves not shown), i.e., $f_{\rm pe} = (127.80 \pm 0.26)$ GHz, $f_H = (125.31 \pm 1.56)$ GHz and $f_{\rm SM} = (125.41 \pm 1.26)$ GHz. At both densities $f_H \approx f_{\rm SM} \approx f_{\rm pe}$. This is expected, as the electron bunch drives initial wakefields at $f_{\rm pe}$.

Figure 3 shows that, when reversing the misalignment direction $(+\Delta x \rightarrow -\Delta x)$, hence, the direction of the non-axisymmetric wakefield force acting on each slice of the bunch, the x_c oscillation is reflected with respect to the bunch propagation axis. This reflection is clearly visible in Figs. 3(a) [same as Fig. 2(a)], (b), and on the corresponding $x_c(t)$ curves [Fig. 3(c), e.g., at $t \approx 124$ ps, dashed red line).

Alignment in position and angle between the two particle beams with significantly different parameters is the main challenge in these experiments. Time-integrated images of the proton bunch transverse charge distribution are shown in insets of Fig. 2(a) and Figs. 3(a), 3(b) as 3σ contours of the distribution of single events. These contours exhibit the elongation expected when hosing occurs. They show that the direction of elongation is in the general direction of misalignment, i.e., x direction, but with an angle of $\sim 27.5^{\circ}$ with respect to it. When $+\Delta x \rightarrow -\Delta x$, the angle is reflected from $+27.5^{\circ}$ to $180^{\circ} - 26.2^{\circ}$. This indicates a possible angular misalignment between the trajectories of the two bunches. This misalignment might also be the cause of the different amplitudes of the x_c oscillation curves of Fig. 3, since the amplitude depends on Δx (see below). The accuracy of the available diagnostics was not sufficient to correct this misalignment. Simulation results [26] indicate that time-resolved images nevertheless retain the main characteristics of both SM and hosing (as in Fig. 2), even when the observation plane is different from



FIG. 4. Proton bunch centroid position $x_c(t)$ ($n_{\rm pe} = 0.96 \times 10^{14} {\rm cm}^{-3}$). (a) $Q_p = (14.9 \pm 0.1) {\rm nC}$. $\Delta x = (0.53 \pm 0.15)c/\omega_{\rm pe}$, green line; $\Delta x = (0.95 \pm 0.16)c/\omega_{\rm pe}$, black line; $\Delta x = (1.47 \pm 0.16)c/\omega_{\rm pe}$, red line. (b) $\Delta x \approx 1c/\omega_{\rm pe}$. $Q_p = (46.5 \pm 0.6) {\rm nC}$ ($n_{b0} = (7.0 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{12} {\rm cm}^{-3}$), red line; $Q_p = (14.9 \pm 0.1) {\rm nC}$ ($n_{b0} = (4.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{12} {\rm cm}^{-3}$), black line. (c) Same as red line of (b) with rms variation of the data —red bars and result of the fit of Eq. (1), blue line. $R^2 = 0.84$.

the misalignment plane by angles similar to those observed in the experiment.

Numerical simulation results [37] show that the amplitude of the wakefields, driven by an electron bunch with parameters similar to those of the experiment, as a function of distance from the bunch axis peaks before $\Delta x = 0.5c/\omega_{pe}$ and then monotonically decreases. The effect of these wakefields on the proton bunch centroid therefore depends on Δx (other parameters kept constant). Figure 4(a) shows that, as expected, the amplitude of x_c oscillation decreases as Δx increases. The amplitude, measured at $t \approx 163$ ps, is $x_c[\Delta x \approx 0.5c/\omega_{pe}] \approx 0.198$ mm (green line), $x_c[\Delta x \approx 1.0c/\omega_{pe}] \approx 0.173$ mm (black line), and $x_c[\Delta x \approx$ $1.5c/\omega_{pe}] \approx 0.108$ mm (red line). When Δx is sufficiently large (> $2.5c/\omega_{pe}$, not shown) the wakefields' effect is not strong enough to seed either hosing, which is not observed, or SM, which develops as an instability.

Theory (e.g., [15]) suggests that the number of exponentiations of hosing N_h , or the growth rate, increases with bunch density n_{b0} , i.e., with Q_p [see Eq. (2) below]. Results show that, with $Q_p \approx 46.5$ nC ($n_{b0} \approx 7 \times 10^{12}$ cm⁻³), the amplitude of hosing is on average 2.1 times higher [Fig. 4(b), red line] than with $Q_p \approx 14.9$ nC ($n_{b0} \approx 4.3 \times 10^{12}$ cm⁻³, black line). We note that n_{b0} varies less than Q_p due to the change in the transverse emittance and hence transverse size of the bunch at the plasma entrance. Also, the measurement of x_c is performed not in plasma (as in [15]), but after 3.5 m of propagation from the plasma exit to the OTR screen. Therefore the values of x_c and N_h that we calculate overestimate those in plasma.

The development of hosing, with or without presence of SM, in the long-beam early-time regime was considered theoretically [15]. In that study, the growth of the two

processes starts from imposed initial centroid position (hosing) and radius (SM) perturbations of the bunch. Other assumptions are made for the derivation (see Supplemental Material [38]).

The asymptotic solution for the centroid position x_c of the bunch with nonevolving radius is given by Eq. (10) in [15]. We convert the comoving variable ζ of [15] to time *t* for the analysis of the experimental results. We additionally introduce t_0 as the time when the amplitude growth starts ($t_0 = 0$ in [15]), since it is unknown in the experiment. We rewrite Eqs. (10) and (11) of [15] as

$$x_c = \delta_c \left[\frac{3^{1/4}}{(8\pi^{1/2})} \right] \frac{e^{N_h}}{N_h^{1/2}} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{12} - \omega_{\rm pe}(t - t_0) - \frac{N_h}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \quad (1)$$

and

$$N_{h} = \frac{3^{3/2}}{4} \left[\mu \frac{m_{e}}{m_{b}} \frac{n_{b0}}{n_{pe}} \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left(\frac{\omega_{pe}}{c} \right)^{3} c(t - t_{0}) z^{2} \right]^{1/3}.$$
 (2)

Here, μ represents the plasma return current, c the speed of light, m_b , γ the mass and relativistic factor of the proton, and z the distance along the plasma. The evolution of the amplitude of x_c oscillation along the bunch is determined by N_h as a function of $(t - t_0)$ at a given z through the $(e^{N_h}/N_h^{1/2})$ term. The growth of oscillation starts from the initial periodic perturbation with amplitude δ_c (at z = 0).

Some of the assumptions used to obtain Eqs. (1) and (2) are not verified in the experiments (see Supplemental Material [38]). Nevertheless, as the derivation was performed for similar conditions, we use Eq. (1) to determine whether the experimental results retain some of the characteristics highlighted in [15]. We perform a nonlinear least squares fit of this equation to the experimental x_c curves, with initial free parameters t_0 and δ_c . Figure 4(c) shows that the data of Fig. 4(b) ($Q_p \approx 46.5$ nC, red line) preserves the main characteristics of the model (blue line, fit, goodness $R^2 = 0.84$), i.e., x_c oscillation at $f_H \approx f_{pe}$ growing along the bunch. The result of the fit is well within the rms variations observed over ten events.

We determine the growth of the amplitude of hosing from the experimental value of x_c oscillation peak at $t \approx$ 163 ps and δ_c obtained from the fit. With $x_c[t \approx 163 \text{ ps}] \approx$ 0.306 mm and $\delta_c \approx 11.6 \text{ µm}$ [Fig. 4(c)], $x_c[t \approx$ 163 ps]/ $\delta_c \approx 26.3$ ($N_h = 5.46$). Similar growth of the wakefields' amplitude in case of SM was shown in [40]. This is expected, since theory suggests that hosing and SM have similar growth rates (e.g., [15]). The results of the fit to all the data shown here and more detailed analysis can be found in the Supplemental Material [38].

While all data presented show the main features of hosing, when increasing Q_p , we observe a clear asymmetry of the x_c oscillation with respect to the bunch axis [Fig. 4(b), red line]. Reference [15] shows that coupling

between hosing and SM developing simultaneously (as in the experiment) generates such an asymmetry. The strength of the coupling and the resulting asymmetry depends on, e.g., initial seed amplitudes for hosing and SM. These parameters are not measured in the experiment and differ (wakefields driven by the electron bunch) from the ones in the theory (initial centroid and envelope perturbations). Quantitative comparison is therefore elusive.

Summary.—We observe hosing of a long proton bunch induced by the misalignment of the initial wakefields driven by a short electron bunch. Experimental results show a clear periodic centroid position oscillation that grows along the bunch and plasma, typical of hosing. Hosing occurs in the plane of misalignment, SM simultaneously in the perpendicular plane. Hosing and SM are induced by the same initial wakefields, therefore both processes are reproducible. Their frequencies are close to the plasma electron frequency. When reversing the misalignment direction, the centroid position oscillation is reflected with respect to the bunch propagation axis. Its amplitude increases with proton bunch charge and tends to decrease with larger misalignment extents, as expected from theory and simulations findings. For misalignment extent larger than $2.5c/\omega_{\rm pe}$ no hosing is observed, and SM develops as an instability. The observed centroid position oscillation follows a theoretical model [15].

Results show that misalignment of the initial wakefields induces hosing and has to be avoided in plasma-based accelerators. Studies of tolerance of the system to misalignment have to be conducted, especially when seeding SM with an electron bunch [32].

This work was supported in parts by STFC (AWAKE-UK, Cockcroft Institute core, John Adams Institute core, and UCL consolidated grants), United Kingdom, the National Research Foundation of Korea (Grants NRF-2016R1A5A1013277 No. NRF-No. and 2020R1A2C1010835). M.W. acknowledges the support of DESY, Hamburg. Support of the Wigner Datacenter Cloud facility through the Awakelaser project is acknowledged. TRIUMF contribution is supported by NSERC of Canada. UW Madison acknowledges support by NSF Grant No. PHY-1903316. The AWAKE collaboration acknowledges the SPS team for their excellent proton delivery.

^{*}Corresponding author: tnechaev@mpp.mpg.de

- D. H. Whittum, W. M. Sharp, S. S. Yu, M. Lampe, and G. Joyce, Electron-hose instability in the ion-focused regime, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 991 (1991).
- [2] E. P. Lee, Resistive hose instability of a beam with the Bennett profile, Phys. Fluids **21**, 1327 (1978).
- [3] V. Lebedev, A. Burov, and S. Nagaitsev, Efficiency versus instability in plasma accelerators, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 20, 121301 (2017).

- [4] C. Huang, W. Lu, M. Zhou, C. E. Clayton, C. Joshi, W. B. Mori *et al.*, Hosing instability in the blow-out regime for plasma-wakefield acceleration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 255001 (2007).
- [5] T. J. Mehrling, C. Benedetti, C. B. Schroeder, E. Esarey, and W. P. Leemans, Suppression of beam hosing in plasma accelerators with ion motion, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 264802 (2018).
- [6] I. Blumenfeld *et al.*, Energy doubling of 42 GeV electrons in a metre-scale plasma wakefield accelerator, Nature (London) 445, 741 (2007).
- [7] A. J. Gonsalves, K. Nakamura, J. Daniels, C. Benedetti, C. Pieronek, T. C. H. de Raadt *et al.*, Petawatt laser guiding and electron beam acceleration to 8 GeV in a laser-heated capillary discharge waveguide, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 084801 (2019).
- [8] H. H. Braun, S. Döbert, I. Wilson, and W. Wuensch, Frequency and temperature dependence of electrical breakdown at 21, 30, and 39 GHz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 224801 (2003).
- [9] M. Dal Forno, V. Dolgashev, G. Bowden, C. Clarke, M. Hogan, D. McCormick, A. Novokhatski, B. Spataro, S. Weathersby, and S. G. Tantawi, RF breakdown tests of mmwave metallic accelerating structures, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 19, 011301 (2016).
- [10] J. Vieira, W. B. Mori, and P. Muggli, Hosing instability suppression in self-modulated plasma wakefields, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 205001 (2014).
- [11] G. Loisch, M. Gross, C. Koschitzki, O. Lishilin, A. M. de la Ossa, J. Osterhoff, and F. Stephan, Towards experimental investigation of hosing instability mitigation at the PITZ facility, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **1596**, 012003 (2020).
- [12] M. Moreira, P. Muggli, and J. Vieira, Mitigation of the onset of hosing in the linear regime through plasma frequency detuning, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 115001 (2023).
- [13] K. J. O'Brien, Theory of ionhose instability, J. Appl. Phys. 65, 9 (1989).
- [14] D. H. Whittum, Transverse two-stream instability of a beam with a Bennett profile, Phys. Plasmas 4, 1154 (1997).
- [15] C. B. Schroeder, C. Benedetti, E. Esarey, F. J. Grüner, and W. P. Leemans, Coupled beam hose and self-modulation instabilities in overdense plasma, Phys. Rev. E 86, 026402 (2012).
- [16] P. Sprangle, J. Krall, and E. Esarey, Hose-modulation instability of laser pulses in plasmas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3544 (1994).
- [17] G. Shvets and J. S. Wurtele, Instabilities of short-pulse laser propagation through plasma channels, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3540 (1994).
- [18] N. Kumar, A. Pukhov, and K. Lotov, Self-modulation instability of a long proton bunch in plasmas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 255003 (2010).
- [19] AWAKE Collaboration, Experimental observation of proton bunch modulation in a plasma at varying plasma densities, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 054802 (2019).
- [20] A. Del Dotto *et al.*, Experimental observation of the transition between hose and self-modulation instability regimes, Phys. Plasmas **29**, 100701 (2022).

- [21] M.C. Kaluza *et al.*, Observation of a long-wavelength hosing modulation of a high-intensity laser pulse in underdense plasma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 095003 (2010).
- [22] T. Nechaeva and P. Muggli, Hosing of a long proton bunch induced by an electron bunch, in *Proceedings of the 48th EPS Conference on Plasma Physics* (European Physical Society, 2022), Vol. 46A.
- [23] F. Batsch *et al.* (AWAKE Collaboration), Transition between instability and seeded self-modulation of a relativistic particle bunch in plasma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 164802 (2021).
- [24] $\omega_{\rm pe} = \sqrt{(n_{\rm pe}e^2/\epsilon_0 m_e)}$, where $n_{\rm pe}$ is the plasma density, e the electron charge, ϵ_0 the vacuum permittivity, m_e the electron mass.
- [25] P. Muggli *et al.* (AWAKE Collaboration), AWAKE readiness for the study of the seeded self-modulation of a 400 gev proton bunch, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 60, 014046 (2017).
- [26] M. J. Hüther, Direct observation of the hosing instability of a long relativistic proton bunch in the AWAKE experiment, Ph.D. Thesis, Technical University Munich, 2020.
- [27] P. Muggli *et al.* (AWAKE Collaboration), Physics to plan AWAKE Run 2, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **1596**, 012008 (2020).
- [28] G. Plyushchev, R. Kersevan, A. Petrenko, and P. Muggli, A rubidium vapor source for a plasma source for AWAKE, J. Phys. D 51, 025203 (2017).
- [29] E. Öz, F. Batsch, and P. Muggli, An accurate Rb density measurement method for a plasma wakefield accelerator experiment using a novel Rb reservoir, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 829, 321 (2016).
- [30] F. Batsch, M. Martyanov, E. Öz, J. Moody, E. Gschwendtner, A. Caldwell, and P. Muggli, Interferometer-based high-accuracy white light measurement of neutral rubidium density and gradient at AWAKE, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **909**, 359 (2018).
- [31] K. Pepitone *et al.*, The electron accelerators for the AWAKE experiment at CERN—Baseline and future developments, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **909**, 102 (2018).
- [32] L. Verra *et al.* (AWAKE Collaboration), Controlled growth of the self-modulation of a relativistic proton bunch in plasma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 024802 (2022).
- [33] K. Rieger, A. Caldwell, O. Reimann, and P. Muggli, GHz modulation detection using a streak camera: Suitability of streak cameras in the AWAKE experiment, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 88, 025110 (2017).
- [34] T. Nechaeva, L. Verra, G. Zevi Della Porta, and P. Muggli, A method for obtaining 3d charge density distribution of a self-modulated proton bunch, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 2420, 012063 (2023).
- [35] A.-M. Bachmann and P. Muggli, Determination of the charge per micro-bunch of a self-modulated proton bunch using a streak camera, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1596, 012005 (2020).
- [36] F. Batsch, Setup and characteristics of a timing reference signal with sub-ps accuracy for AWAKE, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1596, 012006 (2020).
- [37] K.-J. Moon, P. Muggli, and M. Chung, Dominance of the tightly-focused electron seed bunch over the long proton bunch modulation in an over-dense plasma, in *Proceedings* of the Advanced Accelerator Concepts Workshop (Long Island, New York, 2022) (unpublished).

- [38] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.075001 for a detailed comparison between the theoretical and experimental conditions and for the results of the fit to all the data presented in this work (see also Ref. [39] therein).
- [39] K.-J. Moon and M. Chung, Determination of the phase of wakefields driven by a self-modulated proton bunch in

plasma, in *Proceedings of the IPAC'21, International Particle Accelerator Conference, TUPAB138* (Campinas, SP, Brazil, 2021) 10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2021-TUPAB138.

[40] M. Turner *et al.* (AWAKE Collaboration), Experimental observation of plasma wakefield growth driven by the seeded self-modulation of a proton bunch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 054801 (2019).