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#### Abstract

We present the exact expression for all local conserved quantities of the one-dimensional Hubbard model. We identify the operator basis constructing the local charges and find that nontrivial coefficients appear in the higher-order charges. We derive the recursion equation for these coefficients, and some of them are explicitly given. There are no other local charges independent of those we obtained.
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Introduction.-Quantum integrability and local conservation laws are two sides of the same coin. Quantum integrable systems are exactly solvable many-body systems by the Bethe ansatz [1] and have an extensive number of local conserved quantities $\left\{Q_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 2}$, which is the foundation of their solvability. Recently, quantum integrable systems are becoming an arena for the studies of nonequilibrium quantum dynamics, inspired by their experimental realization with ultracold atoms [2-5], where $Q_{k}$ play a crucial role: their existence leads to the absence of thermalization [6-8] and the conjectured longtime steady state is the generalized Gibbs ensemble [9-11], involving all local (and also quasilocal) conserved quantities as well as Hamiltonian [12-16]. The large-scale nonequilibrium behavior is described by generalized hydrodynamics [17,18], which is based on the local continuity equations of $Q_{k}$. In quantum inverse scattering methods [19,20], the existence of the local conserved quantities is understood from the commutativity of the transfer matrices $[T(\lambda), T(\mu)]=0$ : $Q_{k}$ is obtained from the expansion of $\ln T(\lambda)$ in terms of the spectral parameter $\lambda$, and usually, the leading term $Q_{2}=H$ is Hamiltonian itself. Another way to calculate $Q_{k}$ is the use of the boost operator $B[21-23]$ if it exists: local charges can be calculated recursively by $\left[B, Q_{k}\right]=Q_{k+1}$.

Although a procedure to generate the local conserved quantities $Q_{k}$ has been known, it is still practically difficult to obtain their expressions. This difficulty lies not only in the expensive computational cost for higher-order charges but also in finding a general pattern in the huge amounts of data that emerge out of this calculation [24]. This problem has been investigated particularly for the spin-1/2 $X Y Z$ chain [25-32] and the one-dimensional Hubbard model [33-40]. The former case is now deeply understood: the explicit expressions for the isotropic $X X X$ case are obtained independently in Refs. [41,42]. For the general $X Y Z$ case, Grabowski and Mathieu found the structure of $Q_{k}$ and derived the recursion relations to construct $Q_{k}$ using boost operator [24], and recently, its explicit expression was obtained by Nozawa and Fukai [43] using the doubling-product notation [44], and for the $X X Z$ case,
independently obtained by Nienhuis and Huijgen using the Temperley-Lieb algebra [45].

On the other hand, for the one-dimensional Hubbard model, the structure of the local conserved quantities $Q_{k}$ remains a mystery. The problem is that there was no recursive way to construct them [46], unlike the $X Y Z$ case, because of the absence of the boost operator [24,48]. This comes from the fact that the Hubbard model is not Lorentz invariant due to the separation of spin and charge excitations with different velocities [49-51]. The first three nontrivial charges have been found before: $Q_{3}$ [35,38], $Q_{4}$ [52,53], and $Q_{5}$ [24]. From these expressions, Grabowski and Mathieu conjectured that $Q_{k}$ is constructed of products of local conserved densities of spin- $1 / 2 X X$ chain [24]. However, what kind of products of the $X X$ charges are allowed in $Q_{k}$ was unknown.

In this Letter, we reveal the structure of the local conserved quantities $Q_{k}$ in the one-dimensional Hubbard model and present their exact expressions. We prove $Q_{k}$ is a linear combination of connected diagrams, a notation for the particular kind of products of the $X X$ charges. With this notation, we find the expressions of the higher-order charges $Q_{k \geq 6}$, and nontrivial coefficients appear there. We derive the recursion equation for these coefficients of the connected diagrams in $Q_{k}$. There are no other local charges independent of our $Q_{k}$, and any local charges are written in a linear combination of $Q_{k}$.

Hamiltonian and notations.-The Hamiltonian of the one-dimensional Hubbard model is

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & -2 \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow, \downarrow}\left(c_{j, \sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j+1, \sigma}+\text { H.c. }\right) \\
& +4 U \sum_{j=1}^{L}\left(n_{j, \uparrow}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(n_{j, \downarrow}-\frac{1}{2}\right), \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where the periodic boundary condition is imposed and $n_{j \sigma} \equiv c_{j, \sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j, \sigma}$ and $U$ is the coupling constant.

We denote the $k$ th local conserved quantity in terms of the polynomial of $U$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{j_{f}} U^{j} Q_{k}^{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j_{f}=k-1(k-2)$ for even (odd) $k$ and $Q_{k}^{j}$ is independent of $U . Q_{k}$ is a linear combination of operators that act on at most $k$ adjacent sites. We determine $Q_{k}^{j}$ to satisfy $\left[Q_{k}, H\right]=0$.

We introduce some notations to represent $Q_{k}$. We define a unit of + type starting from $j$ th site by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overbrace{\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc_{\sigma}}^{n}(j):=2\left(c_{j, \sigma} c_{j+n, \sigma}^{\dagger}+(-1)^{n} c_{j, \sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j+n, \sigma}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n(>0)$ is the length of the unit. We define the zerolength unit by $\left.\right|_{\sigma}(j):=2 n_{j, \sigma}-1$, and define its type as - . A unit of - type with nonzero length is defined by $\bigcirc \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\sigma}(j)\left(=\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\sigma}(j)\right):=\mathrm{I}_{\sigma}(j) \times \bigcirc \cdots \bigcirc_{\sigma}(j)$ [54].

A diagram represents a product of units, denoted by $\Psi(i)=\prod_{\alpha=1}^{l_{\psi}} \psi_{\sigma_{\alpha}}^{t_{\alpha}, n_{\alpha}}\left(j_{\alpha, i}\right)$, where $\psi_{\sigma}^{t, n}(j)$ is the unit starting from the $j$ th site with type $t$, length $n$, and $\operatorname{spin} \sigma$, and $j_{\alpha, i} \equiv i+j_{\alpha}, j_{1}=0, j_{\alpha} \leq j_{\alpha+1} . j_{\alpha}$ and $\sigma_{\alpha}$ satisfy if $\sigma_{\alpha}=$ $\sigma_{\beta}(\alpha<\beta)$, then $j_{\alpha}^{\prime}<j_{\beta}\left(j_{\alpha}^{\prime} \equiv j_{\alpha}+n_{\alpha}\right)$ and if $j_{\alpha}=j_{\alpha+1}$, then $\sigma_{\alpha}=\uparrow, \sigma_{\alpha+1}=\downarrow . l_{\Psi}$ is the number of units in $\Psi(i)$. Units in a diagram mutually commute. A diagram $\Psi(i)$ has a graphical representation by a two-row sequence: $\psi_{\sigma_{\alpha}}^{t_{\alpha}, n_{\alpha}}\left(j_{\alpha, i}\right)$ is placed on the upper (lower) row for $\sigma_{\alpha}=\uparrow(\downarrow)$, with $j_{\alpha}$ columns being on its left. Positions without a unit are filled with "I." For example, the diagram $\Psi(i)=\psi_{\uparrow}^{-, 2}(i) \psi_{\downarrow}^{+, 3}(i+1) \psi_{\uparrow}^{-, 1}(i+4)$ is represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{OQIIA}_{(i)}^{\text {OAO }_{\uparrow}(i) \times \mathrm{OOO}_{\downarrow}(i+1) \times \mathrm{O}_{\uparrow}(i+4) .} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that units on the same row are separated by I's. The interaction term is written as $\mid(j)=I_{\uparrow}(j) \times I_{\downarrow}(j)$. A diagram without a site index denotes the site translation summadion, $\Psi:=\sum_{i=1}^{L} \Psi(i)$.

We define some integers for a diagram $\Psi$. First, we define $p_{i}$ by $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{2 l_{\Psi}}\right\}=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l_{\Psi}}, j_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{l_{\Psi}}^{\prime}\right\}$ where $p_{i} \leq p_{i+1}$. Then, we define support by $s_{\Psi}:=$ $j_{l_{\Psi}}+n_{l_{\Psi}}+1$, double by $d_{\Psi}:=\sum_{i=1}^{l_{\Psi}-1}\left(p_{2 i+1}-p_{2 i}\right)$, gap number by $g_{\Psi}:=\sum_{i=1}^{l_{\Psi}-1} \delta_{i}\left(p_{2 i+1}-p_{2 i}\right)$, where $\delta_{i}=1$ for $p_{2 i+1} \in\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l_{\Psi}}\right\}$, and otherwise $0 . s_{\Psi}-1$ corresponds to the total number of columns in the two-row graphical representation of $\Psi$. We refer to a column $\bigcirc\binom{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}}$ as overlap (gap). $g_{\Psi}\left(d_{\Psi}\right)$ corresponds to the total number of columns of gap (gap and overlap). $(s, d)$ diagram is a diagram
(a) overlap (b) adjacent (c) gap sandwich (d) nonconnected


FIG. 1. Examples of connections of units (a)-(c), and the nonconnected diagrams (d). Units on the upper and lower rows of the diagrams in (a)-(c) are connected. The gaps in (c) [(d)] are indicated by the teal [orange] shaded area. The diagram on the bottom right in (d) does not satisfy condition (ii), while the others do not satisfy (i).
satisfying $s_{\Psi}=s$ and $d_{\Psi}=d$. We note that $s_{\Psi}>d_{\Psi} \geq g_{\Psi}$. For the diagram of Eq. (4), the integers are $\left(s_{\Psi}, d_{\Psi}, g_{\Psi}, l_{\Psi}\right)=(6,1,0,3)$.

Two units in a diagram $\Psi$, indexed by $\alpha$ and $\beta(\alpha<\beta)$, are connected if $\sigma_{\alpha} \neq \sigma_{\beta}$ and for any $\gamma(\neq \alpha, \beta)$, either of $j_{\gamma}^{\prime}<j_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ or $j_{\beta}<j_{\gamma}$ holds. This condition can be categorized into three cases, as illustrated in Figs. 1(a)-1(c). A connested diagram is a diagram satisfying (i) for any two units in a diagram, indexed by $\alpha$ and $\beta$, there exists a sequence of indices of unit $\left(\alpha=\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}, \gamma_{N+1}=\beta\right)$, where the $\gamma_{i}$ th and $\gamma_{i+1}$ th units are connected, and (ii) the type of $\alpha$ th unit is $t_{\alpha}=(-)^{C_{\alpha}}$, where $C_{\alpha}$ is the number of units connected with it. The diagrams in Figs. 1(a)-1(c) and Eq. (4) are connected diagrams, and examples of nonconnected diagrams are given in Fig. 1(d). We found that $Q_{k}$ is a linear combination of connected diagrams.

Structure of $Q_{k}$.-We show the explicit form of lower-order charges previously found in terms of connected diagrams: $\quad Q_{2}=H=\underset{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{O}}+\stackrel{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{O}}+U \mid$ and $Q_{3}=\stackrel{\mathrm{OO}}{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{I}+U\left(\mathrm{O}+\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r}\mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{I}\end{array}\right.\right)+\imath$, where $\downarrow$ represents the dagrams with the upper and lower rows reversed, excluding those that remain invariant under this operation. $Q_{4}$ and $Q_{5}$ are written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{4}=\stackrel{\mathrm{OOO}}{\mathrm{I} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{I}}+U(\underset{\mid \mathrm{II}}{\mathrm{OQ}}+\underset{\mathrm{III}}{\mathrm{OO}}+\underset{\mathrm{I} \mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{OO}}+\underset{\mathrm{IO}}{\mathrm{OI}}  \tag{5}\\
& +\mathrm{O}-\stackrel{|\mathrm{I}|}{\mathrm{I} \mid}-\mid)+U^{2} \mathrm{O}-U^{3} \mid+\downarrow \text {, } \\
& Q_{5}=\underset{\mathrm{OOOO}}{\mathrm{I} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{I}}+U(12 \text { terms })+U^{2}(\mathrm{OO}+\underset{\mid \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{OO}}  \tag{6}\\
& +\underset{\mathrm{I}|\mathrm{I}|}{\mathrm{O}}+\mathrm{O} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{I}+\underset{\mathrm{IO}}{\mathrm{OI} \mid})-U^{3}(\underset{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{O}}+\underset{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{O}})+\downarrow,
\end{align*}
$$

where we omit the 12 terms of $Q_{5}^{1}$. We newly obtained the explicit forms of higher-order $Q_{k}$ for $k \geq 6$ and found nontrivial coefficients that are not $\pm 1$ appear; for example,

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{6}^{j=3}=\underset{|\mathrm{I}| \mathrm{I} \mid}{\mathrm{OQ}}-\underset{\mid \mathrm{II}}{\mathrm{OO}}-\underset{\mathrm{I} \mid \mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{OQ}}-\underset{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{OQ}} \mid-\underset{\mathrm{IO}}{\mathrm{OI}}+\underset{\mathrm{O}}{|\mathrm{I}|}  \tag{7}\\
& -2 \mathrm{O}+2{ }_{\mathrm{I} \mid}^{\mathrm{I}}+5 \mid+\downarrow \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

We give the explicit forms of $Q_{6}, Q_{7}, Q_{8}$ as examples of higher-order $Q_{k}$ in Ref. [55].

The diagrams in $Q_{k}^{j}$ are classified as $(s, d)$-connected diagrams as shown in Figs. 2 and 3, where circles represent $(s, d)$-connected diagrams in $Q_{k}^{j}$, and crosses represent diagrams generated by the commutator with the Hamiltonian $H=H_{0}+U H_{1}$, where $H_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{I}}+\uparrow$ and $H_{1}=\mid$. The solid arrow in Figs. 2 and 3 indicates the commutator with $H_{0}$. The vertical dotted arrow in Fig. 3 indicates the commutator with $H_{1}$. The diagrams at the crosses are to be canceled for the conservation law.

We give an example of commutators of units with $H_{0}$ and $H_{1}[56]:\left[\mathrm{OI}_{\mathrm{II}}^{\mathrm{O}}, H_{0}\right]=\underset{\mid \mathrm{II}}{\mathrm{OO}}+2\left|-\underset{\mathrm{I} \mid \mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{OO}}-2_{\mid \mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{II}}+\mathrm{O}\right|-\mathrm{OII}$ and $\left[\mathrm{OI}, H_{1}\right]=\underset{|\mathrm{II}|}{\mathrm{O}}-\mathrm{O}$. The commutator of connected diagrams and $H$ also generates a nonconnected diagram; the details are given in Ref. [55]. We can construct $Q_{k}^{j}$ recursively by calculating the cancellation at the crosses in Fig. 3 from top to bottom.


FIG. 2. Structure of $Q_{k}^{j} . k_{j} \equiv k-j$. Circles at $(s, d)$ represent $(s, d)$-connected diagrams in $Q_{k}^{j}(s>d)$. The commutator of diagrams in the circle at $(s, d)$ with $H_{0}$ generates the diagrams in the crosses at $(s \pm 1, d)$ and $(s, d \pm 1)$, indicated by the solid arrow tip.

Exact expressions.-We define list of diagram $\Psi$, $\lambda_{\Psi}=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l_{\Psi}}\right\} \quad$ by $\lambda_{\alpha}=p_{2 \alpha}-p_{2 \alpha-1}-\eta_{\alpha}$, where $\eta_{\alpha}=0$ for $\alpha \in\left\{1, l_{\Psi}\right\}$ and otherwise $\eta_{\alpha}=1 . \lambda_{i}$ represents the length of a sequence of coast, which we define as consecutive columns of $\ldots \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{O}} \ldots$ or $\cdots \mathrm{I} \mathrm{I} \cdots$, as illustrated:
where $\lambda_{\Psi}=\{2,1,3,2,2,3\}$, and $\Psi$ is the $(24,6)$-connected diagram and $g_{\Psi}=2$ and $l_{\Psi}=6$. The lengths of the coasts are indicated by the arrows, and the gap is indicated by the shaded area.

We show the exact expression of $Q_{k} . Q_{k}^{0}$ is the $(k, 0)$ diagram: $Q_{k}^{0}=\frac{\overbrace{\mathrm{O}}^{-\cdots \mathrm{O}}}{k-1}+\uparrow$. Note that $Q_{k}^{0}$ is the local charge for the $U=0$ case. For $Q_{k}^{j}(j \geq 1)$, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.-For $j \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}^{j}=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq n+d<\left\lceil\frac{k-j}{2}\right\rceil, n, d \geq 0}} \sum_{m=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{j-1}{2}\right\rfloor} \sum_{g=0}^{d}(-1)^{n+m+g} \sum_{\Psi \in \mathcal{S}_{n, d, g}^{k, j, m}} C_{n, d}^{j, m}\left(\lambda_{\Psi}\right) \Psi \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{n, d, g}^{k, j, m}$ is the set of $(k-j-2 n-d, d)$-connected diagrams $\quad \Psi$ with $\quad l_{\Psi}=j+1-2 m \quad$ and $\quad g_{\Psi}=g$. $C_{n, d}^{j, m}\left(\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{l}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is invariant under the permutation of $\lambda_{i}(2 \leq i \leq l-1)$, and the exchange of $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{l}$.

We note that the freedom to add $Q_{k^{\prime}<k}$ to $Q_{k}$ is fixed by the above choice of $Q_{k}^{0}$ and the constraint of $l_{\Psi} \geq 2$ for the diagram $\Psi$ in $Q_{k}^{j}(j \geq 1)$. In this normalization, $Q_{2 k}\left(Q_{2 k+1}\right)$ is even (odd) under mirror reflection. Our normalization is different from some of the previous studies. For example, the fourth charge of Ref. [24] is $Q_{4}+U^{2} H$ in the spin variable notation [54]. $(s, d)$-connected diagrams in $Q_{k}^{j}$ have the same coefficients if the lists are identical up to the permutation explained above. $C_{n, d}^{j, m}\left(\lambda_{\Psi}\right)$ satisfies some other nontrivial identities given in Ref. [55].

Theorem 2. $-C_{n, d}^{j, m}(\lambda)$ is calculated from the following recursion equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{n, d}^{j, m}(\lambda)= & C_{n, d}^{j, m}(\mathcal{T} \lambda)+\sum_{\tilde{n}=0}^{n}(n+1-\tilde{n}) \\
& \times\left(C_{\tilde{n}, n+d-\tilde{n}}^{j-1, m-1}\left(\lambda_{\leftarrow 0}\right)-C_{\tilde{n}, n+d-\tilde{n}}^{j-1, m-1}\left(0_{0 \rightarrow} \mathcal{T} \lambda\right)\right), \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{T} \lambda=\left\{\lambda_{1}-1, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l-1}, \lambda_{l}+1\right\},{ }_{0 \rightarrow} \lambda=\left\{0, \lambda_{1}, \ldots\right\}$, $\lambda_{\leftarrow 0}=\left\{\ldots, \lambda_{l}, 0\right\}, \quad$ and $\quad l \equiv j+1-2 m$. For $\quad \lambda_{1}=-1$
case, we define $C_{n, d}^{j, m}\left(-1, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right) \equiv C_{n, d-1}^{j, m}\left(1, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ for $d>0 \quad$ and $\quad C_{n, d=0}^{j, m}\left(-1, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right) \equiv C_{n-1,1}^{j, m}\left(0, \lambda_{2}-1, \ldots\right)+$ $C_{n, 0}^{j-1, m}\left(\lambda_{2}+1, \ldots\right)$. The initial condition is $C_{n, d}^{j=1, m=0}(\lambda)=1$. $C_{n, d}^{j, m}(\lambda)=0$ if $\lambda_{i}<0 \quad(1<i<l)$ or $n<0$ or $m<0$ or $m \geq\lfloor j / 2\rfloor$.

We obtained the general expressions of $C_{n, d}^{j, m}(\lambda)$ for some cases. For $n=0$ and $m=0$ case, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{n=0, d}^{j, m}(\lambda)=\binom{j-1+d}{m}-\binom{j-1+d}{m-1},  \tag{10}\\
C_{n, d}^{j, m=0}(\lambda)=\sum_{x_{2}=0}^{\lambda_{2}} \cdots \sum_{x_{j}=0}^{\lambda_{j}} \theta\left(n-\sum_{i=2}^{j} x_{i}\right), \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $j>1$ and $\theta(x)=1$ for $x \geq 0$ and $\theta(x)=0$ for $x<0 . C_{n=0, d}^{j, m}(\lambda)$ is independent of $\lambda$ and $C_{n, d}^{j, m=0}(\lambda)$ is independent of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{j+1}, d$. We note that Eq. (10) is the generalized Catalan number [24,42,57]. The expressions are more complicated for the $n, m>0$ case. For $j=3$, $m=1$ case, we have


FIG. 3. Structure of $Q_{k}$ for $k=6$. Each plane represents the structure of $Q_{k}^{j}$ in Fig. 2. The commutator of diagrams in the circle at $(s, d)$ in $Q_{k}^{j-1}$ with $H_{\text {int }}$ generates diagrams in the cross at $(s, d)$ in $Q_{k}^{j}$, indicated by the vertical dotted arrow tip. The diagrams generated in the crosses are to be canceled.

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{n, d}^{j=3, m=1}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)= & \sum_{\eta=\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}} \sum_{x=1}^{\eta}\binom{n+3-x}{3}+2\binom{n+4}{4} \\
& +(d-1)\left\{2\binom{n+3}{3}-\binom{n+2}{2}\right\} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

We also obtained the explicit expression of $Q_{k}^{2}, Q_{k}^{3}$ for all $k$ from Eqs. (11) and (12).

Through the Jordan-Wigner transformation [24], the 1D Hubbard model is mapped to a spin system, resulting in coupled $X X$ chains, and a unit becomes the local conserved density in the $X X$ chain: $\psi_{\mu}^{ \pm, n(>0)}(i) \propto$ $\sigma_{i, \mu}^{x} \sigma_{i+1, \mu}^{z} \cdots \sigma_{i+n-1, \mu}^{z} \sigma_{i+n, \mu}^{\bar{x}}+s \times(x \leftrightarrow y)$ and $\psi_{\mu}^{-, 0}(i)=\sigma_{i, \mu}^{z}$, where $\sigma_{i, \mu}^{\alpha}$ is the Pauli matrix of flavor $\mu$, and $s=\mp(-1)^{n}$ and $\bar{x}=x(y)$ for $s=1(-1)$ [54].

There are no other local conserved quantities independent of $Q_{k}$ [58]. This is shown as follows: if $F_{k}$ is a $k$-support charge, we can prove $F_{k}$ is written as $F_{k}=$ $c_{k} Q_{k}+F_{k-1}$, where $F_{k-1}$ is a less than $(k-1)$-support charge and $c_{k}(\neq 0)$ is some coefficient. Repeating this argument to $F_{k-1}$ and so on, we can see $F_{k}$ is a linear combination of $\left\{Q_{l}\right\}_{l \leq k}$. The details of this proof are given in Ref. [58].

From this completeness of our charges, we can see our $Q_{k}$ is written as a linear combination of local charges obtained from the transfer matrix, and we can confirm the mutual commutativity of our charges, $\left[Q_{k}, Q_{l}\right]=0$, and their $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ symmetry [47]. Our $Q_{k}$ coincides with the transfer matrix charges [53] at least up to $Q_{4}$.

Summary and outlook.-We presented the exact expression for the local charges of the 1D Hubbard model $Q_{k}$. In Theorem 1, we proved $Q_{k}$ is constructed of the connected diagram, which represents the product of units, conserved densities of the $X X$ chain in the spin variable notation, satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii). The diagrams constructing $Q_{k}$ are accompanied by nontrivial coefficients [55] for $k \geq 6$. These coefficients can be calculated by the recursion equation in Theorem 2. Some of them are the generalized Catalan numbers (10), which are also appearing in the local charges of the Heisenberg chain $[24,41,42,57]$. Deriving the general explicit formula for the coefficients is the remaining task, which may be some further generalization of the Catalan number. Our result is valid in both finite systems and the thermodynamic limit.

Our results have several applications: we can study the generalized Gibbs ensemble [12], current mean value formula and the generalized hydrodynamics [59-62], and factorization of correlation functions using local charges [63] in the 1D Hubbard model. A model with fragmented Hilbert space can be derived by considering the strong coupling limit of the local charges in the $X X Z$ chain [64]. It is interesting to see what would happen in our
case. Recently, it has been shown that the quantum manybody scarring model can be constructed using odd-order charges $Q_{2 k+1}$ [65]. We may make an immediate application of our result also for this direction.

To our knowledge, this is the first time revealing the structure of local conserved quantities in an integrable system without the boost operator, i.e., without a recursive way to construct them.
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