s^{\pm} -Wave Pairing and the Destructive Role of Apical-Oxygen Deficiencies in La₃Ni₂O₇ under Pressure

Yu-Bo Liu,¹ Jia-Wei Mei,^{2,3,*} Fei Ye,^{2,3} Wei-Qiang Chen,^{2,3,†} and Fan Yang^{1,‡} ¹School of Physics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

²Department of Physics, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China

³Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Advanced Quantum Functional Materials and Devices, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China

(Received 14 August 2023; revised 20 October 2023; accepted 2 November 2023;

published 5 December 2023; corrected 28 March 2024)

Recently, the bilayer perovskite nickelate $La_3Ni_2O_7$ has been reported to show evidence of hightemperature superconductivity (SC) under a moderate pressure of about 14 GPa. To investigate the superconducting mechanism, pairing symmetry, and the role of apical-oxygen deficiencies in this material, we perform a random-phase approximation based study on a bilayer model consisting of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3r^2-r^2}$ orbitals of Ni atoms in both the pristine crystal and the crystal with apical-oxygen deficiencies. Our analysis reveals an s^{\pm} -wave pairing symmetry driven by spin fluctuations. The crucial role of pressure lies in that it induces the emergence of the γ pocket, which is involved in the strongest Fermi-surface nesting. We further found the emergence of local moments in the vicinity of apical-oxygen deficiencies, which significantly suppresses the T_c . Therefore, it is possible to significantly enhance the T_c by eliminating oxygen deficiencies during the synthesis of the samples.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.236002

Introduction.-The recent discovery of evidence showcasing superconductivity (SC) with a critical temperature $T_c \approx 80$ K in the Ruddlesden-Popper bilayer perovskite nickelate La3Ni2O7 under a moderate pressure of 14-43.5 GPa [1] has captured considerable research attention [2–20]. If verified, these findings could introduce a new family of superconductors with T_c surpassing the boiling point of liquid nitrogen under moderate pressure, distinct from the cuprates [21-26].

Similar to previously synthesized infinite-layer nickelates [27–33], La₃Ni₂O₇ exhibits a layered structure, wherein the conducting NiO₂ layer shares structural similarities with the CuO₂ layer found in the cuprates. In the crystal structure of La₃Ni₂O₇, each unit cell comprises two NiO₂ layers interconnected by the Ni–O–Ni σ bond. This bonding occurs through the participation of an intermediate oxygen atom originating from the intercalating LaO layer. Note that although $La_3Ni_2O_7$ is an established material [34–50], its properties are fundamentally changed under pressure as it has induced a structural transition leading to a change in the bonding angle along the c axis from 168° to 180° [1]. This transition also prompts a shift in the crystal's orthorhombic space group from Amam to Fmmm. First-principles calculations indicate that this structural transformation results in the metallization of the σ bonding and a concurrent alteration of the band structure from weakly insulating to metallic behavior [1].

In the experiment conducted by Sun et al. [1], it is noteworthy that not all samples of nickelates exhibited SC or even a metallic behavior under pressure. The resistivity is very sensitive to the oxygen content. Certain samples remained insulating and could not transition to a superconducting state. This behavior could be attributed to the presence of slightly oxygen-deficient compositions, specifically in La₃Ni₂O_{7- δ}. Previous experimental investigations [51,52] have demonstrated that when the oxygen deficiency, denoted as δ , exceeds 0.08, the material transforms into a weak insulator even under ambient pressure. These experimental observations underscore the importance of apical-oxygen deficiency as a non-negligible factor, even in superconducting samples.

In this Letter, we aim at providing answers for the following three urgent questions about the pairing nature of the pressurized La₃Ni₂O₇. (i) What is the pairing mechanism and resultant pairing symmetry? (ii) What is the role of pressure in realizing the high- T_c SC? (iii) How do the apicaloxygen deficiencies affect the T_c ? To initiate this inquiry, we adopt a bilayer tight-binding (TB) model involving solely $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals of nickel atoms [5], derived from density-functional-theory (DFT) calculations. This model is supplemented with a standard multiorbital Hubbard interaction. We first conduct a conventional random-phaseapproximation (RPA) calculation in k-space for the pristine crystal. This analysis reveals an s^{\pm} -wave pairing symmetry driven by spin fluctuations. The crucial role of pressure lies in that it induces the γ pocket, as the nesting between this pocket and the existing β pocket is the strongest. Subsequently, we explore the impact of apical-oxygen deficiencies using a



FIG. 1. (a) Band structure along the high symmetry lines. (b) FS in the first Brillouin zone. The three pockets are labeled by α , β , and γ , respectively. The colors in (a) and (b) indicate the orbital component.

real-space RPA calculation. Our results suggest that in the vicinity of the apical-oxygen deficiencies, due to reduction in the strength of the magnetic critical interaction, magnetic local moments emerge, which strongly suppress the T_c . This observation underscores the necessity of eliminating apical-oxygen deficiencies to attain high- T_c SC in pressurized La₃Ni₂O₇.

Model and approach.—Our two-orbital TB model on a bilayer square lattice reads

$$H_0 = \sum_{i\mu,j\nu,\sigma} t^{\mu\nu}_{ij} c^{\dagger}_{i\mu\sigma} c_{j\nu\sigma}.$$
 (1)

Here, i/j labels sites, σ labels spin, and μ/ν labels orbital $(d_{x^2-y^2} \text{ or } d_{3z^2-r^2})$. The hopping integral $t_{ij}^{\mu\nu}$ is obtained through DFT calculations [5]. The obtained band structure is depicted in Fig. 1(a) wherein the Fermi level intersects only three out of the four bands, giving rise to three Fermi pockets as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). Among these pockets, the one labeled as α represents an electron pocket, whereas the β and γ pockets are hole pockets. Notably, the α and β pockets exhibit close proximity to each other. These two pockets display significant hybridization between the two orbitals, whereas the γ pocket predominantly comprises the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital. Importantly, DFT calculations reveal that the γ pocket emerges only under pressure, coinciding with the existence of SC under pressure. This suggests that the γ pocket may play a crucial role in the SC of the system.

We adopt the following multiorbital Hubbard interaction,

$$H_{I} = U \sum_{i\mu} n_{i\mu\uparrow} n_{i\mu\downarrow} + V \sum_{i\sigma\sigma'} n_{i1\sigma} n_{i2\sigma'} + J_{H} \bigg[\sum_{i\sigma\sigma'} c^{\dagger}_{i1\sigma} c^{\dagger}_{i2\sigma'} c_{i1\sigma'} c_{i2\sigma} + \sum_{i} c^{\dagger}_{i1\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{i1\downarrow} c_{i2\downarrow} c_{i2\uparrow} + \text{H.c.} \bigg].$$
(2)

Here, the U(V) term represents the intra (inter)-orbital Hubbard repulsion, and the J_H term represents the Hund's rule coupling and pair hopping. The Kanamori relation [53] is adopted, which sets $U = V + 2J_H$, and for the subsequent calculations, we fix $J_H = U/6$.

Our study employs the multiorbital RPA method, utilizing both the traditional k-space formalism for pristine crystals [54-61] and the newly developed real-space formalism [62] for systems with randomly distributed apical-oxygen deficiencies. In both cases, we need first to calculate the bare susceptibilities, then proceed to determine the renormalized spin and charge susceptibilities at the RPA level. There exist critical interaction strengths for both charge and spin, denoted by $U_c^{(c)}$ and $U_c^{(s)}$, respectively. If U exceeds these critical values, the charge or spin susceptibility diverges accordingly, and further RPA treatment becomes invalid. Subsequently, a charge-density wave (CDW) or spin-density wave (SDW) will develop. In general, $U_c^{(s)} < U_c^{(c)}$. Therefore, when we increase the repulsive Hubbard U, it is more likely for an SDW to form first. When U is smaller than $U_c^{(s)}$, the short-range spin fluctuation may act as a "glue" that facilitates the formation of Cooper pairs. This effective attraction can be approximated using the mean-field (MF) treatment, and by considering this, we can derive a linearized gap equation in the vicinity of T_c . By discretizing this gap equation, we can transform it into an eigenvalue problem associated with the interaction matrix. The largest eigenvalue, denoted as λ , determines the critical temperature T_c via $T_c \sim e^{-\lambda^{-1}}$. Additionally, the corresponding eigenvector determines the pairing gap function and the pairing symmetry.

The s^{\pm} -wave pairing.—The critical interaction strength, denoted as $U_c^{(s)}$, at which the spin susceptibility diverges, is approximately 1.26 eV following the RPA calculation for the model in consideration. We choose the value of the Hubbard interaction U to be 1.16 eV, in order to match the experimentally measured critical temperature T_c of approximately 80 K. Note that for the simplified two-orbital model adopted here, this U can be different from the bare U employed in the DFT calculations [45]. Figure 2(a) depicts



FIG. 2. (a) The distribution of the largest eigenvalue $\chi(\mathbf{q})$ of the RPA-renormalized spin susceptibility matrix in the Brillouin zone. This distribution peaks at three unequivalent momenta, which are marked as \mathbf{Q}_1 , \mathbf{Q}_2 , and \mathbf{Q}_3 , respectively. (b) The FS-nesting with the nesting vectors \mathbf{Q}_1 , \mathbf{Q}_2 , and \mathbf{Q}_3 marked.



FIG. 3. (a) The largest pairing eigenvalue λ of the various pairing symmetries as function of the interaction strength U with fixed $J_H = U/6$. (b)–(c) The distributions of the leading *s* and *d*-wave pairing gap functions on the FS for U = 1.16 eV.

the distribution of the RPA-renormalized spin susceptibility, represented by $\chi(\mathbf{q})$ the largest eigenvalue of the spin susceptibility matrix, across the Brillouin zone. Notably, the distribution exhibits peaks at three unequivalent momenta, which we have labeled as \mathbf{Q}_1 , \mathbf{Q}_2 , and \mathbf{Q}_3 . These momenta precisely correspond to the three Fermi surface (FS) nesting vectors, as illustrated in Fig. 2(b), and the vector \mathbf{Q}_1 is associated with the highest intensity of spin susceptibility.

Figure 3(a) illustrates the dependence of the largest pairing eigenvalue, denoted as λ , on the interaction strength U for different potential pairing symmetries. The D_{4h} point group of La₃Ni₂O₇ allows for several possible pairing symmetries, including nondegenerate s-wave, d-wave, gwave, and degenerate (p_x, p_y) -wave pairings. However, for clarity, we focus on the three dominant pairing symmetries in this analysis. It is evident that for U < 1 eV, the s-wave and *d*-wave pairings exhibit similar magnitudes, suggesting a competition between these two symmetries. On the other hand, for U > 1 eV, the s-wave pairing becomes dominant. Notably, the (p_x, p_y) -wave pairing is consistently suppressed across the entire range of U values considered. To further analyze the pairing pattern, we examine the distribution of the leading s-wave gap function on the FS, as depicted in Fig. 3(b). Here, we observe that the gap functions on the α and γ pockets of the FS are negative, while that on the β pocket is positive. This characteristic, known as the s^{\pm} -wave pairing, also verified by the functional renormalization group based studies [7,10], bears resemblance to the pairing pattern observed in Febased superconductors [63–66]. Additionally, Fig. 3(c) shows the distribution of the dominant *d*-wave pairing gap function on the FS, specifically a d_{xy} -wave pairing symmetry. These findings provide important insights into the favored pairing symmetries and shed light on the nature of *unconventional* superconductivity in La₃Ni₂O₇.

The role of the emergent γ -pocket under pressure for SC can be elucidated in three aspects. First, the Cooper pairing in this system is mediated by spin fluctuations that peak at momentum Q_1 , as depicted in Fig. 2(a). These spin fluctuations arise from the FS nesting, which connects the β pocket and the emergent γ pocket, as illustrated in Fig. 2(b). Second, the emergence of the γ pocket is beneficial for energy gain in the superconducting state. Figures 3(b) and 3(c) demonstrate that for both the s^{\pm} - and d_{xy} -wave pairings, the gap functions $\Delta(\mathbf{k})$ on FS patches connected by the nesting vector Q_1 possess opposite signs. Such a sign distribution of $\Delta(\mathbf{k})$ maximizes the energy gain, similar to the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave pairing in the cuprates and the s^{\pm} -wave pairing in the Fe-based superconductors. The s^{\pm} wave pairing obtained here can be verified by combined phase sensitive experiments [67] and the sharp neutron resonance peak at Q_1 . Third, the orbital component of the dominant s^{\pm} -wave pairing crucially relies on the presence of the γ pocket. Specifically, considering the pairing between interlayer (intralayer) $d_{3r^2-r^2}$ orbitals as Δ_1^z (Δ_0^z) , and the pairing between $d_{x^2-v^2}$ orbitals as Δ_1^x (Δ_0^x) , our findings indicate $\Delta_1^x/\Delta_1^z = 0.59$, $\Delta_0^x/\Delta_1^z = -0.18$, and $\Delta_0^z/\Delta_1^z = 0.17$. These results highlight that the strongest pairing is between the interlayer $d_{3r^2-r^2}$ orbitals. Importantly, Fig. 1(b) shows that the $d_{3r^2-r^2}$ orbital component is predominantly distributed on the γ pocket.

Role of apical oxygen deficiencies.—Previously, it was known that the reduction of the oxygen atoms number within a unit cell from 7 to 6.5 causes the metal-insulator transition [45]. Here we examine the impact of a slight concentration of apical-oxygen deficiencies on the system. We introduce a simulation considering the oxygen atom located between two layers of Ni atoms, known as the apical oxygen. During sample preparation under insufficient oxygen pressure, a certain ratio of apical oxygen may be deficient, as illustrated in Fig. 4(a). The absence of apical-oxygen atoms disrupt the electrons hopping between the Ni-atoms locating on different layers within a unit cell. To mimic this phenomenon, we randomly select some unit cells in which the hopping parameters t_{\perp}^{z} and t_{\perp}^{x} in Eq. (1) are set to zero. Since these apical-oxygen defects introduce randomness and break the translation symmetry, it is necessary to employ a real-space RPA approach to investigate the problem, see the Supplemental Material [68] for details.

We first calculate $U_c^{(s)}$ for each configuration of the apical-oxygen distribution. Then we compute the statistical



FIG. 4. (a) Schematic lattice structure with the apical-oxygen deficiency represented by the dotted circle in the black box. (b) The phase diagram with respect to U and the oxygen-deficiency concentration δ . SC(LMP) is short for superconductivity (local moment phase). For each data point, we generate one hundred random deficiency configurations to calculate the statistical expectation value of $U_c^{(s)}$, i.e., $\bar{U}_c^{(s)}$ and mark the error bar. (c) The t_{\perp} dependence of $U_c^{(s)}$ in the uniform system. (d) The FS of the model with t_{\perp} set to zero.

expectation value, denoted as $\bar{U}_c^{(s)}$, by averaging $U_c^{(s)}$ over a large number of configurations. Figure 4(b) presents the dependence of $\bar{U}_c^{(s)}$ on the deficiency concentration, δ , represented by the black line, where the statistical error associated with $\bar{U}_c^{(s)}$ is also shown. It is evident that $\bar{U}_c^{(s)}$ decreases rapidly with δ . In the context of our specific chosen interaction strength of U = 1.16 eV, indicated by the red dotted line in Fig. 4(b), the system transits from the superconducting phase to the magnetic phase when δ exceeds approximately 0.02.

In order to reveal the mechanism behind the suppression of $\bar{U}_c^{(s)}$ due to apical-oxygen deficiencies, we investigate the dependence of the critical strength $U_c^{(s)}$ on the interlayer hopping parameter t_{\perp} in a uniform system. Figure 4(c) displays the results of this analysis, clearly demonstrating that $U_c^{(s)}$ is significantly suppressed as t_{\perp} is reduced. The underlying reason for this lies in the improved FS nesting that arises with the reduction of t_{\perp} , as detailed in the Supplemental Material [68]. Figure 4(d) visually showcases the nearly perfect FS nesting at $t_{\perp} = 0$, leading to an impressive 50% reduction in $U_c^{(s)}$.



FIG. 5. (a)–(c) Real-space distribution of the magnetic moment for the apical-oxygen deficiency concentration $\delta = 7\%$, specifically focusing on the dominant $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital in the top layer, and that in the bottom layer is oppositely oriented. (a) Unique configuration with segregated regions of high deficiency concentrations. (b) Zooming in the region delineated by white dashed lines in (a) to highlight the magnetic pattern. The arrow indicates the orientation of the magnetic moment. (c) Representative pattern with randomly distributed clusters of deficiencies of varying sizes and shapes. Regions with abundant deficiencies are marked by red boxes. In (a) and (c), the color scale represents the magnitude of the magnetic moment. (d) The δ dependence of T_c .

However, the suppression of $\bar{U}_c^{(s)}$ by apical-oxygen deficiencies is not solely attributed to the reduction in averaged t_{\perp} . The deficiencies also promote the formation of local moments. This can be observed in Fig. 5(a) where the apical-oxygen deficiencies are segregated into distinct regions enclosed within red dotted boxes. Consequently, the magnetic moment localizes within or near these regions. Focusing in on the area delineated by the white dashed line in Fig. 5(a), which includes a representative region with significant deficiencies, our real-space RPA calculation yields that, due to reduction of local U_c in this region, magnetic moments emerge, distributed with the pattern shown in Fig. 5(b). This pattern reveals a localized antiferromagnetic (AFM) arrangement that diminishes away from the center of the deficiency. Such localized AFM can cause reduction of the uniform spin susceptibility below the Neel temperature, which can be correlated with previous measurements of magnetic susceptibility in $La_3Ni_2O_{6.92}$ [51]. These measurements revealed a slight decrease in magnetic susceptibility between 100-300 K. In Fig. 5(c), we show another typical pattern with randomly distributed clusters of oxygen deficiencies with varying sizes and shapes. Interference occurs between adjacent clusters, resulting in regions without deficiencies exhibiting significant magnetic moments.

The randomly distributed magnetic moment shown in Figs. 5(a)–5(c) can significantly harm SC. The δ dependence of T_c is estimated as follows. For an arbitrary deficiency configuration, if the obtained $U_c < U$, the magnetic moment emerges for that configuration, under which we set $T_c = 0$ as an approximation. If $U_c > U$, we adopt the real-space RPA approach provided in the Supplemental Material [68] to calculate the T_c for this configuration. For our specifically chosen U = 1.16 eV, the averaged T_c over a large number of deficiency configurations is shown in Fig. 5(d) as function of δ , together with the associated error bar. Remarkably, the T_c is significantly suppressed by the deficiency, and the SC nearly vanishes when δ surpasses 3%. In comparison with the pressurized hydrides whose SC is driven by electronphonon interaction [69,70], the oxygen deficiencies here play a more destructive role on the T_c due to the pairbreaking effect of the local moment.

Conclusion.—We have investigated the pairing mechanism and pairing symmetry of pressurized La₃Ni₂O₇ using the RPA approach. Our findings indicate that the pairing symmetry is s^{\pm} , with the gap sign of the α and γ pockets opposite to that of the β pocket. The dominant pairing is between the interlayer $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals. The presence of the emergent γ pocket under pressure plays a crucial role in the pairing mechanism as it is involved in the strongest FS nesting.

Furthermore, we have explored the impact of apicaloxygen deficiencies using the real-space RPA approach. Our results show that regions with abundant deficiencies exhibit local magnetic moment, which significantly suppresses the SC. Therefore, maintaining sufficient oxygen pressure during the synthesis of La₃Ni₂O₇ is necessary to enhance the T_c of the sample.

This work is supported by National Key R&D Program of China (2022YFA1403700) and the NSFC under Grants No. 12074031, No. 12234016, No. 11674025, No. 11774143, No. 11861161001. W.-Q. C. and J.-W. M. are supported by the Science, Technology and Innovation Commission of Shenzhen Municipality (No. ZDSYS20190902092905285), Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation under Grant No. 2020B1515120100 and Center for Computational Science and Engineering of Southern University of Science and Technology. J.-W. M. is also supported by the program for Guangdong Introducing Innovative and Entrepreneurial Teams (Grant No. 2017ZT07C062) and Shenzhen Fundamental Research Program (Grant No. JCYJ20220818100405013).

*meijw@sustech.edu.cn †chenwq@sustech.edu.cn *yangfan_blg@bit.edu.cn

- [1] H. Sun, M. Huo, X. Hu, J. Li, Y. Han, L. Tang, Z. Mao, P. Yang, B. Wang, J. Cheng, D.-X. Yao, G.-M. Zhang, and M. Wang, Nature (London) **621**, 493 (2023).
- [2] Z. Liu, M. Huo, J. Li, Q. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Dai, X. Zhou, J. Hao, Y. Lu, M. Wang, and H.-H. Wen, arXiv:2307.02950.
- [3] J. Hou, P. T. Yang, Z. Y. Liu, J. Y. Li, P. F. Shan, L. Ma, G. Wang, N. N. Wang, H. Z. Guo, J. P. Sun, Y. Uwatoko, M. Wang, G. M. Zhang, B. S. Wang, and J. G. Cheng, arXiv:2307.09865.
- [4] Y. Zhang, D. Su, Y. Huang, H. Sun, M. Huo, Z. Shan, K. Ye, Z. Yang, R. Li, M. Smidman, M. Wang, L. Jiao, and H. Yuan, arXiv:2307.14819.
- [5] Z. H. Luo, X. W. Hu, M. Wang, W. Wu, and D. X. Yao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 126001 (2023).
- [6] Y. Zhang, L. F. Lin, Adriana Moreo, and Elbio Dagotto, arXiv:2306.03231.
- [7] Q. G. Yang, H. Y. Liu, D. Wang, and Q. H. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 108, L140505 (2023).
- [8] F. Lechermann, J. Gondolf, S. Btzel, and I. M. Eremin, arXiv:2306.05121.
- [9] H. Sakakibara, N. Kitamine, M. Ochi, and K. Kuroki, arXiv:2306.06039.
- [10] Y. H. Gu, C. C. Le, Z. S. Yang, X. X. Wu, and J. P. Hu, arXiv:2306.07275.
- [11] V. Christiansson, F. Petocchi, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 206501 (2023).
- [12] D. Shilenko and I. Leonov, Phys. Rev. B 108, 125105 (2023).
- [13] X. Chen, P. Jiang, J. Li, Z. Zhong, and Y. Lu, arXiv:2307 .07154.
- [14] Y. Shen, M. P. Qin, and G. M. Zhang, arXiv:2306.07837.
- [15] W. Wu, Z. Luo, D.-X. Yao, and M. Wang, arXiv:2307 .05662.
- [16] Y. Cao and Y.-F. Yang, arXiv:2307.06806.
- [17] C. Lu, Z. Pan, F. Yang, and C. Wu, arXiv:2307.14965.
- [18] H. Oh and Y.-H. Zhang, arXiv:2307.15706.
- [19] X.-Z. Qu, D.-W. Qu, J. Chen, C. Wu, F. Yang, W. Li, and G. Su, arXiv:2307.16873.
- [20] Y.-F. Yang, G.-M. Zhang, and F.-C. Zhang, arXiv:2308 .01176.
- [21] J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Muller, Z. Phys. B Condens. Matter 64, 189 (1986).
- [22] P. W. Anderson, Science 235, 1196 (1987).
- [23] P. A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X.-G. Wen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 17 (2006).
- [24] B. Keimer, S. A. Kivelson, M. R. Norman, S. Uchida, and J. Zaanen, Nature (London) 518, 179 (2015).
- [25] H. Sakakibara, K. Suzuki, H. Usui, S. Miyao, I. Maruyama, K. Kusakabe, R. Arita, H. Aoki, and K. Kuroki, Phys. Rev. B 89, 224505 (2014).
- [26] W. Li, J. Zhao, L. Cao, Z. Hu, Q. Huang, X. Wang, Y. Liu, G. Zhao, J. Zhang, Q. Liu *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **116**, 12156 (2019).
- [27] D. Li, K. Lee, B. Y. Wang, M. Osada, S. Crossley, H. R. Lee, Y. Cui, Y. Hikita, and H. Y. Hwang, Nature (London) 572, 624 (2019).

- [28] D. Li, B. Y. Wang, K. Lee, S. P. Harvey, M. Osada, B. H. Goodge, L. F. Kourkoutis, and H. Y. Hwang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 027001 (2020).
- [29] S. Zeng, C. S. Tang, X. Yin, C. Li, M. Li, Z. Huang, J. Hu, W. Liu, G. J. Omar, H. Jani, Z. S. Lim, K. Han, D. Wan, P. Yang, S. J. Pennycook, A. T. S. Wee, and A. Ariando, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 147003 (2020).
- [30] M. Osada, B. Y. Wang, B. H. Goodge, K. Lee, H. Yoon, K. Sakuma, D. Li, M. Miura, L. F. Kourkoutis, and H. Y. Hwang, Nano Lett. 20, 5735 (2020).
- [31] M. Osada, B. Y. Wang, B. H. Goodge, S. P. Harvey, K. Lee, D. Li, L. F. Kourkoutis, and H. Y. Hwang, Adv. Mater. 33, 2104083 (2021).
- [32] G. A. Pan et al., Nat. Mater. 21, 160 (2021).
- [33] S. Zeng, C. Li, L. E. Chow, Y. Cao, Z. Zhang, C. S. Tang, X. Yin, Z. S. Lim, J. Hu, P. Yang, and A. Ariando, Sci. Adv. 8, eabl9927 (2022).
- [34] T. Satoshi, N. Takashi, Y. Yukio, K. Yoshiaki, T. Jun, S. Shin-ichi, and S. Masatoshi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 1644 (1995).
- [35] D. K. Seo, W. Liang, M. H. Whangbo, Z. Zhang, and M. Greenblatt, Inorg. Chem. 35, 6396 (1996).
- [36] Y. Kobayashi, S. Taniguchi, M. Kasai, M. Sato, T. Nishioka, and M. Kontani, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 3978 (1996).
- [37] M. Greenblatt, Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci. 2, 174 (1997).
- [38] M. Greenblatt, Z. Zhang, and M. H. Whangbo, Synth. Met. 85, 1451 (1997).
- [39] C. D. Ling, D. N. Argyriou, G. Wu, and J. Neumeier, J. Solid State Chem. 152, 517 (2000).
- [40] G. Wu, J. J. Neumeier, and M. F. Hundley, Phys. Rev. B 63, 245120 (2001).
- [41] T. Fukamachi, Y. Kobayashi, T. Miyashita, and M. Sato, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 62, 195 (2001).
- [42] V. I. Voronin, I. F. Berger, V. A. Cherepanov, L. Ya. Gavrilova, A. N. Petrov, A. I. Ancharov, B. P. Tolochko, and S. G. Nikitenko, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 470, 202 (2001).
- [43] D. O. Bannikov, A. P. Safronov, and V. A. Cherepanov, Thermochim. Acta 451, 22 (2006).
- [44] T. Hosoya, K. Igawa Y. Takeuchi, K. Yoshida, T. Uryu, H. Hirabayashi, and H. Takahashi, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 121, 052013 (2008).
- [45] V. Pardo and W. E. Pickett, Phys. Rev. B 83, 245128 (2011).
- [46] M. Nakata, D. Ogura, H. Usui, and K. Kuroki, Phys. Rev. B 95, 214509 (2017).
- [47] Y. Mochizuki, H. Akamatsu, Y. Kumagai, and F. Oba, Phys. Rev. Mater. 2, 125001 (2018).
- [48] Z. Li, W. Guo, T. T. Zhang, J. H. Song, T. Y. Gao, Z. B. Gu, and Y. F. Nie, APL Mater. 9, 021118 (2020).

- [49] J. Song, D. Ning, B. Boukamp, J.-M. Bassatd, and H. J. M. Bouwmeester, J. Mater. Chem. A 8, 22206 (2020).
- [50] Z. Liu, H. Sun, M. Huo, X. Ma, Y. Ji, E. Yi, L. Li, H. Liu, J. Yu, Z. Zhang, Z. Chen, F. Liang, H. Dong, H. Guo, D. Zhong, B. Shen, S. Li, and M. Wang, Sci. China-Phys. Mech. Astron. 66, 217411 (2023).
- [51] Z. Zhang, M. Greenblatt, and J. B. Goodenough, J. Solid State Chem. Solids. 108, 402 (1994).
- [52] S. Taniguchi, T. Nishikawa, Y. Yasui, Y. Kobayashi, J. Takeda, S.-i. Shamoto, and M. Sato, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 1644 (1995).
- [53] C. Castellani, C. R. Natoli, and J. Ranninger, Phys. Rev. B 18, 4945 (1978).
- [54] T. Takimoto, T. Hotta, and K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. B 69, 104504 (2004).
- [55] K. Yada and H. Kontani, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 74, 2161 (2005).
- [56] K. Kubo, Phys. Rev. B 75, 224509 (2007).
- [57] K. Kuroki, S. Onari, R. Arita, H. Usui, Y. Tanaka, H. Kontani, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 087004 (2008).
- [58] S. Graser, T. A. Maier, P. J. Hirschfeld, and D. J. Scalapino, New J. Phys. **11**, 025016 (2009).
- [59] F. Liu, C.-C. Liu, K. Wu, F. Yang, and Y. Yao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 066804 (2013).
- [60] X. Wu, J. Yuan, Y. Liang, H. Fan, and J. Hu, Europhys. Lett. 108, 27006 (2014).
- [61] T. Ma, F. Yang, H. Yao, and H. Q. Lin, Phys. Rev. B 90, 245114 (2014).
- [62] Y. Cao, Y. Zhang, Y.-B. Liu, C. C. Liu, W. Q. Chen, and F. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 017002 (2020).
- [63] S. Raghu, X.-L. Qi, C.-X. Liu, D. J. Scalapino, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 77, 220503(R) (2008).
- [64] A. V. Chubukov, D. V. Efremov, and I. Eremin, Phys. Rev. B 78, 134512 (2008).
- [65] H. Eschrig and K. Koepernik, Phys. Rev. B 80, 104503 (2009).
- [66] M. Daghofer, A. Nicholson, A. Moreo, and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. B 81, 014511 (2010).
- [67] Van Harlingen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 67, 515 (1995).
- [68] See the Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.236002 for the real-space RPA approach and the evolution of the FS with t_{\perp} .
- [69] Y. Li, J. Hao, H. Liu, Y. Li, and Y. M. Ma, J. Chem. Phys. 140, 174712 (2014).
- [70] D. Duan, Y. Liu, F. Tian, D. Li, X. Huang, Z. Zhao, H. Yu,
 B. Liu, W. Tian, and T. Cui, Sci. Rep. 4, 6968 (2014).

Correction: Typographical errors in Eq. (2) have been fixed.