Real-Time Nonperturbative Dynamics of Jet Production in Schwinger Model: Quantum Entanglement and Vacuum Modification

Adrien Florio,^{1,*} David Frenklakh,^{2,†} Kazuki Ikeda^(a),^{2,3,‡} Dmitri Kharzeev,^{1,2,3,§} Vladimir Korepin^(a),^{4,||}

Shuzhe Shi⁰,^{5,2,¶} and Kwangmin Yu⁰^{6,*}

¹Department of Physics, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973-5000, USA

²Center for Nuclear Theory, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York 11794-3800, USA

³Co-design Center for Quantum Advantage, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University,

Stony Brook, New York 11794-3800, USA

⁴C.N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York, 11794-3840, USA

⁵Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

⁶Computational Science Initiative, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973-5000, USA

(Received 17 February 2023; revised 1 March 2023; accepted 21 June 2023; published 13 July 2023)

The production of jets should allow testing the real-time response of the QCD vacuum disturbed by the propagation of high-momentum color charges. Addressing this problem theoretically requires a real-time, nonperturbative method. It is well known that the Schwinger model [QED in (1 + 1) dimensions] shares many common properties with QCD, including confinement, chiral symmetry breaking, and the existence of vacuum fermion condensate. As a step in developing such an approach, we report here on fully quantum simulations of a massive Schwinger model coupled to external sources representing quark and antiquark jets as produced in e^+e^- annihilation. We study, for the first time, the modification of the vacuum chiral condensate by the propagating jets and the quantum entanglement between the fragmenting jets. Our results indicate strong entanglement between the fragmentation products of the two jets at rapidity separations $\Delta \eta \leq 2$, which can potentially exist also in QCD and can be studied in experiments.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.021902

Introduction.—The discovery of jets played a crucial role in establishing quantum chromodynamics (QCD) as the theory of strong interactions, see [1,2] for reviews. The production of the initial high momentum partons is a shortdistance process that can be described in perturbative QCD due to asymptotic freedom. However, as the initial partons keep radiating gluons and quark-antiquark pairs as described by QCD evolution equations, the characteristic virtuality decreases, and nonperturbative phenomena should come into play.

In particular, one expects that the propagating color charges will disturb the nonperturbative QCD vacuum, and the corresponding real-time response should contain valuable information about the vacuum structure. Moreover, the initial partons should be entangled by the production process, but whether any trace of this entanglement can be found in fragmenting jets is not clear. The answers to these questions lie outside of the realm of perturbative QCD, and finding them requires a real-time, nonperturbative method. Such an approach is enabled by the advent of quantum simulations. Unfortunately, the case of real (3 + 1) dimensional QCD is still out of reach for the existing quantum hardware, as well as for real-time simulations on classical computers. However, one can start developing real-time nonperturbative methods using simpler models in a lower number of space-time dimensions.

In this respect QED in (1 + 1) dimensions (the Schwinger model [3]) holds a special place: just like QCD, it possesses confinement, chiral symmetry breaking, and fermion condensate [4]. In the massless fermion limit, the theory is exactly solvable by bosonization, and admits a dual description in terms of a free massive scalar theory. In 1974, Casher, Kogut, and Susskind [5] proposed to model quark-antiquark production in e^+e^- annihilation by coupling the Schwinger model to external sources propagating along the light cone.

An explicit analytical solution of this model has been found in [6,7], where this setup was also used to describe jet quenching in heavy ion collisions by introducing inmedium scattering of the sources, and the anomalous enhancement of soft photon production in jet fragmentation [8] observed by the DELPHI Collaboration [9].

A more realistic extension of this approach is based on a massive Schwinger model, which in the bosonized description is dual to an interacting meson theory. In this case, the

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

model is no longer analytically solvable, and so a numerical approach is necessary. The first study of this setup was carried out in [10] using a numerical classical-statistical approach. Coupling the Schwinger model to an external Yukawa theory has also been used to mimic the propagation of jets through a thermal environment [11]. Various other aspects of the Schwinger model have also been addressed using quantum simulations, see [12–20] for examples and [21] for a recent review of quantum simulations.

In this work, using the massive Schwinger model coupled to external sources, we perform the first fully quantum simulation of jet production. In particular, we focus on real-time, nonperturbative effects that have not been studied before: the modification of the vacuum structure and the entanglement between the produced jets.

The model.—We use the massive Schwinger model Hamiltonian in temporal gauge $A_0 = 0$ in the presence of an external current j_{ext}^{μ} describing the produced jets:

$$H^C = H^C_S + H^C_{\text{ext}},\tag{1}$$

$$H_S^C = \int dx \left[\frac{1}{2} E^2 + \bar{\psi} (-i\gamma^1 \partial_1 + g\gamma^1 A_1 + m) \psi \right], \quad (2)$$

$$H_{\rm ext}^C = \int dx j_{\rm ext}^1 A_1, \qquad (3)$$

where A_{μ} is the U(1) gauge potential, $E = -\dot{A}^{1}$ is the corresponding electric field, ψ is a two-component fermionic field, *m* is the fermion mass, and γ^{μ} are two-dimensional γ matrices satisfying Clifford algebra; we use $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ as our metric. The superscript *C* stands for "continuum".

The effect on the theory of the interaction with the external source H_{ext} is to modify Gauss's law to

$$\partial_1 E - j^0 = j^0_{\text{ext}},\tag{4}$$

with $j^0 = g\overline{\psi}\gamma^0\psi$. In other words, the theory is gauge invariant up to the presence of the external charge j_{ext}^0 ; the external current is a "defect" of the U(1) gauge transformation.

To mimic production of a pair of jets in e^+e^- annihilation, we choose the external current to represent charges of opposite sign flying apart along the light cone:

$$j_{\text{ext}}^{0}(x,t) = g[\delta(\Delta x - \Delta t) - \delta(\Delta x + \Delta t)]\theta(\Delta t),$$

$$j_{\text{ext}}^{1}(x,t) = g[\delta(\Delta x - \Delta t) + \delta(\Delta x + \Delta t)]\theta(\Delta t), \quad (5)$$

where (t_0, x_0) is the time and position of a point where the jet pair is produced, and $\Delta x \equiv x - x_0$ and $\Delta t \equiv t - t_0$ are the space and time distance from this position.

Note that in principle one could replace the external probe charges by "hard" dynamical fermions, which can, for instance, be produced by short lived pulses of electric fields. This has been done in [10], where it was found that, at least within the semiclassics, the use of external charges is a very good approximation to a pair of dynamical relativistic "hard" fermions. This motivates us to restrict ourselves to the simpler case of external currents.

Our goal is to study the modification of the vacuum due to the presence of the external sources (5). To this end, we evolve the ground state of the massive Schwinger model with the time-dependent Hamiltonian (1). In order to solve this problem, we need to discretize space-time and approximate the theory by a finite-dimensional Hilbert space.

Lattice model.—We begin by discretizing space in a lattice of *N* points with lattice spacing *a*. We choose to work with staggered fermions χ_n [22,23]. We use a non-compact formulation for the U(1) gauge fields, and introduce a lattice electric field operator $L_n = E(an)/g$, a lattice vector potential $\phi_n = agA_1(an)$, and a link operator $U_n = e^{-iagA_1(an)}$. We further impose openboundary conditions $\chi_{N+1} = L_N = 0$ on the fermion and gauge fields. Using the Dirac matrices $\gamma^0 = \sigma_z \equiv Z$, $\gamma^1 = i\sigma_y \equiv iY$, the Hamiltonian is

$$H^L(t) = H^L_S + H^L_{\text{ext}}(t), \tag{6}$$

$$H_{S}^{L} = -\frac{i}{2a} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} [U_{n}^{\dagger} \chi_{n}^{\dagger} \chi_{n+1} - U_{n} \chi_{n+1}^{\dagger} \chi_{n}] + \frac{ag^{2}}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} L_{n}^{2} + m \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^{n} \chi_{n}^{\dagger} \chi_{n}, \qquad (7)$$

$$H_{\text{ext}}^{L}(t) = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} j_{\text{ext}}^{1}(an, t)\phi_{n},$$
(8)

where the superscript L stands for "lattice." Even in the presence of point charges, Gauss law is well defined when integrated over a lattice spacing and reads

$$L_n - L_{n-1} - Q_n = \frac{1}{g} \int_{(n-1/2)a}^{(n+1/2)a} dx \, j_{\text{ext}}^0(x,t), \qquad (9)$$

with $Q_n = \chi_n^{\dagger} \chi_n [1 - (-1)^n]$ the lattice charge density operator. For the rest of this work, we insert the sources at the center of our lattice, $x_0 = a[(N+1)/2]$, at time $(t_0/a) = 1$.

Before proceeding with the time evolution, we take advantage of the fact that the gauge fields are nondynamical in (1 + 1) dimensions to express them in terms of fermionic operators through Gauss law. This has the advantage of drastically reducing the size of the discrete Hilbert space needed down to 2^N , at the cost of introducing nonlocalities. The former turns out to outweigh the latter for the method we use (direct diagonalization, or "exact diagonalization" of the Hamiltonian), see also Supplemental Material [24].

We then use the remaining freedom to perform a spaceonly dependent gauge transformation to set all gauge links to unity. The explicit gauge transformation which achieves this result is $\Omega_1 = 1$, $\Omega_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} U_i^{\dagger}$ [17]. Note that the existence of such a transformation is a peculiarity of (1 + 1)dimensions and is related to the fact that the gauge field is not dynamical. We then rewrite $L_n = L_{dyn,n} + L_{ext,n}$ and solve Gauss's law (9) as follows:

$$L_{\mathrm{dyn},n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i, \qquad (10)$$

$$L_{\text{ext},n}(t) = -\theta \left(t - t_0 - \left| x - x_0 + \frac{a}{2} \right| \right).$$
(11)

The nonlocality is contained in the dynamical gauge field and the external sources create a chain of electric fluxes between them.

The Hamiltonian (6) is now directly suitable for diagonalization. However, having in mind future quantum computing applications, we have used an equivalent form in terms of Pauli matrices X, Y, Z, or "spin" degrees of freedom. We employ the Jordan-Wigner transformation [25]

$$\chi_n = \frac{X_n - iY_n}{2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (-iZ_j),$$

$$\chi_n^{\dagger} = \frac{X_n + iY_n}{2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (iZ_j),$$
 (12)

to obtain

$$H^{L}(t) = \frac{1}{4a} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (X_{n}X_{n+1} + Y_{n}Y_{n+1}) + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^{n}Z_{n} + \frac{ag^{2}}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} [L_{\text{dyn},n} + L_{\text{ext},n}(t)]^{2}.$$
 (13)

Our simulations then proceed as follows. We start by finding the ground state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ of the usual massive Schwinger model $H^L(0)$. We then compute the state $|\Psi_t\rangle =$ $\mathcal{T}e^{-i\int_0^t H^L(t')dt'}|\Psi_0\rangle$ corresponding to the evolution under the time-dependent Hamiltonian $H^L(t)$, with \mathcal{T} being the time-ordering operator. The system is effectively "quenched" at $(t/a) = (t_0/a) = 1$, when the external sources are introduced. We then compute different timedependent expectation values $\langle O \rangle_t \equiv \langle \Psi_t | O | \Psi_t \rangle$ where Oare the operators corresponding to observables of interest.

Vacuum modification and quantum entanglement between the jets.—We measure the local electric charge density, the total electric charge, the scalar fermion density $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$, the local electric field strength, and the electric field energy, that are given, respectively, by

$$q_{n,t} \equiv \langle \psi^{\dagger}(a\,n)\psi(a\,n)\rangle_t = \frac{\langle Z_n\rangle_t + (-1)^n}{2a}, \quad (14)$$

$$Q_t \equiv \int \langle \psi^{\dagger}(x)\psi(x) \rangle_t dx = a \sum_{n=1}^N q_{n,t}, \qquad (15)$$

$$\nu_{n,t} \equiv \langle \bar{\psi}(a\,n)\psi(a\,n)\rangle_t = \frac{(-1)^n \langle Z_n\rangle_t}{2a},\qquad(16)$$

$$\nu_t \equiv \int \langle \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x) \rangle_t dx = a \sum_{n=1}^N \nu_{n,t}, \qquad (17)$$

$$\Pi_{n,t} \equiv \langle E(a\,n) \rangle_t = g \langle L_n \rangle_t, \tag{18}$$

$$E_{\text{ele},t} = \frac{1}{2} \int \langle E^2(x) \rangle_t dx = \frac{ag^2}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \langle L_n^2 \rangle_t.$$
(19)

The expressions in terms of spin variables are obtained by first staggering the spinors and then using the transformation (12); see, e.g., appendices of [16,26] for more details. We also compute the entanglement entropy between the left- and the right-hand sides of the chain

$$S_{EE}(t) = -\mathrm{Tr}_A(\rho_{t,A}\log\rho_{t,A}), \qquad (20)$$

with $A = \{1, ..., N/2\}$ and $B = \{N/2 + 1, ..., N\}$. The operator $\rho_{t,A} = \text{Tr}_B \rho_t$ is the partial trace of the time dependent density matrix $\rho_t \equiv |\Psi_t\rangle \langle \Psi_t|$ over *B* [see illustration in Fig. 1 (left)].

In Fig. 1, we show the time evolution of local and global observables, respectively, for parameters N = 20, m = 0.25/a, and g = 0.5/a. In the left panel, we show the full-time evolution of our quantum state. We observe that both the gauge fields and the fermion fields are excited by external sources, and their effects are constrained within the light cone spanned by them. We observe a steplike increase in electric field energy in the right panel. The growth of $\nu_t - \nu_0$ shown in Fig. 1 indicates the destruction of the (negative) vacuum chiral condensate ν_0 by the propagating jets [27,28]. This destruction is due to the pair production from the vacuum that also results in the screening of the electric energy which appears smaller than the contribution from external sources. We have also performed a comparison to analytical results in the massless fermion case. The results are reported in Supplemental Material [24].

Since we can access the entire quantum state, we are able to compute also for the first time the entanglement entropy between the jets. The growth of this entanglement entropy (third panel) results from the pair creation. Last, as a



FIG. 1. Left: Time evolution of the local charge density (vertical bars) and of the electric field (arrows), with vacuum expectation values subtracted. Black (white) even (odd) sites correspond to (anti)fermions. The position of the external sources is shown above each configuration. From top to bottom, the rows are for time values (in units of lattice spacing *a*) $t/a = 2^-$ to 10^- , where $n^- \equiv n - \varepsilon$ with ε being an arbitrarily small positive number. Right: From top to bottom, time evolution of electric energy, scalar fermion density, entanglement entropy, and electric charge. Dotted lines in the first panel show the electric energy generated by the external sources. The value of the vacuum fermion condensate integrated over the lattice length is $v_0 = -5.16$.

consistency check, we also show in the lower panel the total electric charge, which remains zero, as expected.

Observing quantum entanglement between the jets.— With an eye toward possible experimental studies of quantum entanglement between the produced jets, we measure the two-point correlation of scalar fermion density operators with the vacuum expectation value subtracted,

$$\langle \Delta \nu_{N/2+\ell} \Delta \nu_{N/2+1-\ell} \rangle,$$
 (21)

where $\Delta \nu_n \equiv \nu_n - \langle \nu_n \rangle_{\text{vac}}$.

The motivation behind this study is the following. In the bosonization dictionary of the massive Schwinger model, the correlation between the scalar fermion densities translates into the correlation among the boson pairs (and higher order correlations). Therefore, we hope that this correlation function may be used to infer information about quantum entanglement between the pion pairs produced in jet fragmentation. A concrete proposal of an observable correlation between pion pairs produced in jet fragmentation has been put forward in [29].

To isolate the effect of entanglement between the jets, we measure the correlation function for the cases of correlated and uncorrelated sources of fermion-antifermion pairs. Because the entanglement should stem from the correlation between the sources, the case of uncorrelated sources provides the classical baseline for the correlation functions. Our method of preparation of two uncorrelated quantum systems is illustrated in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c). In one of these systems, there is only an antifermion source moving to the left while the fermion source sits still at the origin. We denote the quantum state of such a system as $|\psi_L\rangle$. We then define its counterpart, $|\psi_R\rangle$, corresponding to the setup of Fig. 2(c), with fermion source moving to the right and the antifermion source fixed at the origin. The uncorrelated state is defined as the superposition of left and right state with a random phase, $|\psi_{uncorr}\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2})|\psi_L\rangle + (e^{i\varphi}/\sqrt{2})|\psi_R\rangle$, and the expectation value of any observable is obtained by averaging over this random phase, $\langle\langle\psi_{uncorr}|O|\psi_{uncorr}\rangle\rangle = \int \langle\psi_{uncorr}|O|\psi_{uncorr}\rangle(d\varphi/2\pi) = (\langle\psi_L|O|\psi_L\rangle/2) + (\langle\psi_R|O|\psi_R\rangle/2)$. The effect of the uncorrelated sources on local charge density and electric field can be found in Supplemental Material [24].



FIG. 2. Illustration of correlated and uncorrelated measurements of two point correlation functions. The uncorrelated setup is obtained as an uncorrelated linear superposition of jets created by a single (anti)fermion source moving to the (left) right.



FIG. 3. Time evolution of two-point correlation functions with various separations. The upper (lower) panel is for a correlated (uncorrelated) setup. The large difference between the two cases is a signature of quantum entanglement in the produced pairs. Insert: Spatial-rapidity dependence of the two-point correlation at the end of the evolution.

The correlation function (21) is designed to measure the points that are symmetric with respect to the jet production vertex. We measure the two-point correlation function with different separation distances as functions of time, and the results are presented in Fig. 3. We find that the correlation functions measured for the correlated state are an order of magnitude greater than those for the uncorrelated state. Note that it is nonzero in the latter case because of the classical correlation between the particle production in left-and right-moving jets which is similar to the correlation that would be induced by the propagation of sound along the jets' axes.

Meanwhile, for the quantum correlated state, we observe the propagation of a similar pattern for odd ℓ 's and similarly for even ℓ 's, which is driven by the correlated moving sources. After a sufficiently long time, we take a snapshot and present the space dependence of the correlation functions in Fig. 3 (inset), where we have converted the site separation to spatial rapidity separation, $\eta_s \equiv \arctan(x/t) = \arctan[(\ell - 1/2)a/t]$.

One can clearly see a big difference between the strong quantum correlation for the quantum state and the near absence of correlations for the uncorrelated baseline. This difference is especially pronounced for moderate rapidity separations $\Delta \eta_s = 2\eta_s \leq 2$.

Extrapolating our findings to QCD, we propose to look for quantum entanglement among the pions produced in the fragmentation of the two jets at moderate rapidity separation. An observation of correlations among these pion pairs would constitute a direct signature of entanglement between the jets. Specifically, it would be interesting to study the quantum correlations between the "handedness" of the pion pairs produced in the fragmentation of the quark and antiquark jets [29]. Some hints of such correlations had been reported in the data from DELPHI Collaboration [30].

To summarize, we have performed a real-time, nonperturbative study of jet fragmentation using a massive Schwinger model with external sources. Strong distortion of the vacuum chiral condensate by the propagating jets has been observed. We have also found strong quantum entanglement between the fragmenting jets for rapidity separation $\Delta \eta \leq 2$. Our work paves the way for quantum simulations of jet fragmentation using quantum hardware; we plan to address this problem in the near future.

We thank João Barata, Fangcheng He, Yuta Kikuchi, Semeon Valgushev, Tzu-Chieh Wei, and Ismail Zahed for useful discussions and communications. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, National Quantum Information Science Research Centers, Co-design Center for Quantum Advantage (C2QA) under Contract No. DE-SC0012704 (A. F., K. I., D.K., V.K.), the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, Grants No. DE-FG88ER41450 (D.F., D.K., S.S.) and No. DE-SC0012704 (A. F., D. K., K. Y.), and Tsinghua University under Grant No. 53330500923. This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 using NERSC Grant No. NERSC DDR-ERCAP0022229.

aflorio@bnl.gov david.frenklakh@stonybrook.edu kazuki.ikeda@stonybrook.edu dmitri.kharzeev@stonybrook.edu vladimir.korepin@stonybrook.edu shuzhe-shi@tsinghua.edu.cn *kyu@bnl.gov

- G. F. Sterman, QCD and jets, in Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics: Physics in D≥4 (2004), pp. 67–145, https://inspirehep.net/conferences/ 975808?ui-citation-summary=true.
- [2] Y. L. Dokshitzer, QCD and hadron dynamics, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 359, 309 (2001).
- [3] J. S. Schwinger, Gauge invariance and mass. 2, Phys. Rev. 128, 2425 (1962).
- [4] S. R. Coleman, R. Jackiw, and L. Susskind, Charge shielding and quark confinement in the massive Schwinger model, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 93, 267 (1975).
- [5] A. Casher, J. B. Kogut, and L. Susskind, Vacuum polarization and the absence of free quarks, Phys. Rev. D 10, 732 (1974).

- [6] F. Loshaj and D. E. Kharzeev, LPM effect as the origin of the jet fragmentation scaling in heavy ion collisions, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 21, 1250088 (2012).
- [7] D. E. Kharzeev and F. Loshaj, Jet energy loss and fragmentation in heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. D 87, 077501 (2013).
- [8] D. E. Kharzeev and F. Loshaj, Anomalous soft photon production from the induced currents in Dirac sea, Phys. Rev. D 89, 074053 (2014).
- [9] J. Abdallah *et al.* (DELPHI Collaboration), Study of the dependence of direct soft photon production on the jet characteristics in hadronic Z⁰ decays, Eur. Phys. J. C 67, 343 (2010).
- [10] F. Hebenstreit and J. Berges, Connecting real-time properties of the massless Schwinger model to the massive case, Phys. Rev. D 90, 045034 (2014).
- [11] W. A. de Jong, K. Lee, J. Mulligan, M. Płoskoń, F. Ringer, and X. Yao, Quantum simulation of nonequilibrium dynamics and thermalization in the Schwinger model, Phys. Rev. D 106, 054508 (2022).
- [12] N. Klco, E. F. Dumitrescu, A. J. McCaskey, T. D. Morris, R. C. Pooser, M. Sanz, E. Solano, P. Lougovski, and M. J. Savage, Quantum-classical computation of Schwinger model dynamics using quantum computers, Phys. Rev. A 98, 032331 (2018).
- [13] N. Butt, S. Catterall, Y. Meurice, R. Sakai, and J. Unmuth-Yockey, Tensor network formulation of the massless Schwinger model with staggered fermions, Phys. Rev. D 101, 094509 (2020).
- [14] G. Magnifico, M. Dalmonte, P. Facchi, S. Pascazio, F. V. Pepe, and E. Ercolessi, Real time dynamics and confinement in the \mathbb{Z}_n Schwinger-Weyl lattice model for 1 + 1 QED, Quantum 4, 281 (2020).
- [15] A. F. Shaw, P. Lougovski, J. R. Stryker, and N. Wiebe, Quantum algorithms for simulating the lattice Schwinger model, Quantum 4, 306 (2020).
- [16] D. E. Kharzeev and Y. Kikuchi, Real-time chiral dynamics from a digital quantum simulation, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 023342 (2020).
- [17] K. Ikeda, D. E. Kharzeev, and Y. Kikuchi, Real-time dynamics of Chern-Simons fluctuations near a critical point, Phys. Rev. D 103, L071502 (2021).

- [18] M. Rigobello, S. Notarnicola, G. Magnifico, and S. Montangero, Entanglement generation in (1 + 1)D QED scattering processes, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 114501 (2021).
- [19] K. Ikeda, D. E. Kharzeev, R. Meyer, and S. Shi, Detecting the critical point through entanglement in Schwinger model, arXiv:2305.00996.
- [20] K. Ikeda, D. E. Kharzeev, and S. Shi, Non-linear chiral magnetic waves, arXiv:2305.05685.
- [21] C. W. Bauer *et al.*, Quantum simulation for high energy physics, PRX Quantum 4, 027001 (2023).
- [22] J. B. Kogut and L. Susskind, Hamiltonian formulation of Wilson's lattice gauge theories, Phys. Rev. D 11, 395 (1975).
- [23] L. Susskind, Lattice fermions, Phys. Rev. D 16, 3031 (1977).
- [24] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.021902 for detailed analyses of finite size and boundary effects, singleparticle observables under un-correlated sources, and comparison to the analytical results in the massless limit.
- [25] P. Jordan and E. P. Wigner, About the Pauli exclusion principle, Z. Phys. 47, 631 (1928).
- [26] B. Chakraborty, M. Honda, T. Izubuchi, Y. Kikuchi, and A. Tomiya, Classically emulated digital quantum simulation of the Schwinger model with a topological term via adiabatic state preparation, Phys. Rev. D 105, 094503 (2022).
- [27] For the case of static sources, partial destruction of the chiral condensate in Schwinger model was studied in D. E. Kharzeev and F. Loshaj, Partial restoration of chiral symmetry in a confining string, Phys. Rev. D 90, 037501 (2014).
- [28] For N = 20, m = 0.25/a, and g = 0.5/a, the value of the vacuum fermion condensate integrated over the lattice length is $\nu_0 = -5.16$. The corresponding value of the vacuum fermion condensate is $\bar{\psi}\psi = -0.258/a$.
- [29] A. Efremov and D. Kharzeev, *CP* violating effect of QCD vacuum in quark fragmentation, Phys. Lett. B 366, 311 (1996).
- [30] DELPHI Collaboration, A Measurement of Quark Spin Correlations in Hadronic Z Decays, Report No. DELPHI-95-81 Brussels PHYS 516 (1995).