Exact Off Shell Sudakov Form Factor in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory

A. V. Belitsky,¹ L. V. Bork,² A. F. Pikelner¹⁰,³ and V. A. Smirnov⁴

¹Department of Physics, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287-1504, USA

²Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 117218 Moscow, Russia

and The Center for Fundamental and Applied Research, 127030 Moscow, Russia

³Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

⁴Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, 119992 Moscow, Russia and Moscow Center for Fundamental and Applied Mathematics, 119992 Moscow, Russia

(Received 2 December 2022; accepted 8 February 2023; published 3 March 2023)

We consider the Sudakov form factor in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in the off shell kinematical regime, which can be achieved by considering the theory on its Coulomb branch. We demonstrate that for up to three loops both the infrared-divergent as well as the finite terms do exponentiate, with the coefficient accompanying $\log^2(m^2)$ determined by the octagon anomalous dimension Γ_{oct} . This behavior is in stark contrast to previous conjectural accounts in the literature. Together with the finite terms we observe that for up to three loops the logarithm of the Sudakov form factor is identical to twice the logarithm of the *null octagon* \mathbb{O}_0 , which was recently introduced within the context of integrability-based approaches to four point correlation functions with infinitely large *R* charges. The *null octagon* \mathbb{O}_0 is known in a closed form for all values of the 't Hooft coupling constant and kinematical parameters. We conjecture that the relation between \mathbb{O}_0 and the off shell Sudakov form factor will hold to all loop orders.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.091605

Introduction.-Gauge theories are the cornerstones of the standard model of particle physics. Its unbroken gauge symmetries yield massless (Abelian) photons and (non-Abelian) gluons. Qualitatively, a highly energetic state, charged under corresponding gauge groups, emits vast amounts of these low-energy bosons as it propagates through the vacuum without experiencing any recoil. This implies that the original bare state is not what is measured by the detector; rather, it is the one dressed by a cloud of soft bremsstrahlung. This compound is the new physical state of the theory. Quantitatively, all scattering amplitudes involving either the aforementioned bare state alone or it being accompanied by a finite number of gauge bosons decay exponentially fast $\exp(-\langle n \rangle/2)$ with the average number of soft-collinear gauge bosons $\langle n \rangle$ diverging double-logarithmically in an infrared cutoff m as $\langle n \rangle = \alpha \log^2 m$, $\alpha > 0$. This is the well-known infrared (IR) catastrophe. A finite result is then obtained provided one takes into account an infinite number of accompanying soft gauge bosons, i.e., for the dressed physical state. The precise cancellation mechanism is governed by the Kinoshita-Lee-Nauenberg theorem. As a result, the dependence on *m* cancels out but a finite remainder is left, so one has to know the precise form of the accompanying coefficient α . This can be done by studying the IR behavior of (virtual) quantum corrections to the scattering amplitude of ℓ bare states on an external source \mathcal{O} . The quantity in question is known as the form factor, and its IR double-logarithmic limit as the Sudakov form factor [1],

$$F = \langle 1, 2, \dots, \ell | \mathcal{O} | 0 \rangle / \langle 1, 2, \dots, \ell | \mathcal{O} | 0 \rangle_{\text{tree}}.$$
 (1)

Apart from being of great interest in its own right, it encodes the IR structure of multiparticle scattering amplitudes ubiquitous to any high-energy scattering calculation.

For Abelian gauge theories, like QED, the Sudakov form factor is known to be one-loop exact, i.e., the average number of soft photon emissions, and thus α , does not receive correction beyond the first loop order. Both off shell [1] and on shell [2] bare states were analyzed, and the difference in the corresponding values of α was found to be two, i.e., $\alpha_{off} = 2\alpha_{on}$. The doubling is a consequence of an additional integration domain [3,4], dubbed the ultrasoft, in loop momenta giving leading contributions on par with soft-collinear regions intrinsic to both.

In non-Abelian theories, such as QCD, the situation is far from being obvious, despite the fact that resummation of leading and subleading logarithms does not deviate from its Abelian counterpart [5,6]. First, the noncommutativity of gauge bosons destroys the Poissonian nature of their emission—they are no longer independent—and the

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

coefficient α receives quantum effects to all orders in the Planck's constant. Second, existing literature [7] suggests that QCD merely echoes the QED story and the off shell-on shell discrepancy is again the very same factor of two. The goal of this study is to demonstrate that this conclusion is precarious and the change requires the introduction of a new function of the coupling rather than just an overall multiplicative constant.

QCD is notoriously hard to solve, even in the quest for reaching sufficiently high orders of its perturbative series. So practitioners in the field often rely on a simpler QCD cousin, the four-dimensional maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory ($\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM). Both theories share similar properties at weak coupling. Folklore has it that for many observables the most complicated portions of QCD results coincide with complete contributions of the latter theory. This is known as the principle of maximal transcendentality [8]. $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is believed to be an integrable theory in the planar limit, so one may hope to find closed-form expressions for matrix elements such as scattering amplitudes or form factors.

The on shell form factors of $SU(N_c)$ singlet operators Oreceived a great deal of attention within $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. starting from Ref. [9] where the $\ell = 2$ case was considered at the second order of perturbation theory and culminating with recent studies that reached two-loop accuracy for fourleg amplitudes [10] and a staggering eight-loop order for a three-particle state [11]. Moreover, form factors with more than two legs are amenable to integrability-based techniques [12] deeply rooted in their duality to periodic null Wilson loops [13]. The IR exponent α of their soft limit is always driven by a universal function known as the cusp anomalous dimension Γ_{cusp} [14]. The latter is known as a solution to a flux-tube integral equation [15] at any value of the gauge coupling in planar limit. It is widely believed that Γ_{cusp} governs the IR behavior of all matrix elements in the theory such as scattering amplitudes or form factors in many kinematical regimes.

The off shell case, on the other hand, received virtually no attention up until very recently, merely being indulged a discussion in passing (see, e.g., Ref. [16]) and mirroring the QCD conjecture alluded to above. Recent results of Ref. [17] however suggest that the actual difference between on shell and off shell matrix elements in $\mathcal{N} =$ 4 SYM is far more involved than previously thought. Reference [17] found that the IR behavior of the four-gluon amplitude in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM on the Coulomb branch (i.e., theory with the spontaneously broken gauge symmetry), which can be considered as the amplitude in the off shell kinematics, is *not* driven by Γ_{cusp} , but rather by a completely different function Γ_{oct} . Two-loop computations of a five-leg amplitude in similar kinematics also point toward the conclusion that the IR asymptotic is controlled by Γ_{oct} [18]. This raises an immediate question: what is the true IR behavior of the off shell Sudakov form factor in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and other gauge theories such as QCD in light of its paramount role in soft-gluon physics?

The main practical aim of this Letter is to report on a calculation of the two-leg off shell Sudakov form factor F to three loops in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. Our findings can be summarized by the following concise formula for log F:

$$\log F = -\frac{\Gamma_{\rm oct}(g)}{2}\log^2(t) - D(g) + \mathcal{O}(m^2), \qquad (2)$$

where the bra-state of the matrix element in its left-hand side depends on the outgoing particles' momenta $p_{1,2}$, obeying the off shell condition $-p_i^2 = m^2$. Momentum conservation reduces the dependence of F only to $q = p_1 + p_2$, which is the momentum incoming to the composite operator \mathcal{O} such that F depends only on the dimensionless variable $t \equiv m^2/Q^2$ with Euclidean $-q^2 = Q^2 > 0$. The asymptotic behavior of the Sudakov form factor in the limit $m^2 \to 0$ is determined by two functions of the 't Hooft coupling $g^2 = g_{YM}^2 N_c/(4\pi)^2$, $\Gamma_{\text{oct}}(g)$, and D(g), which are given by the following elementary functions:

$$\Gamma_{\rm oct}(g) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \log \left[\cosh\left(2\pi g\right)\right],$$
$$D(g) = \frac{1}{4} \log\left(\frac{\sinh(4\pi g)}{4\pi g}\right).$$
(3)

Surprisingly not only the logarithmic term but also the finite function admits a closed-form expression in g and coincide with the corresponding expressions of Refs. [19] obtained for a completely different object in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, which the authors of Ref. [17] conjectured to be dual to off shell scattering amplitudes.

Techniques used.—The starting point of our analysis was Eq. (1) for $\ell = 2$, with \mathcal{O} being the lowest component of the stress-tensor supermultiplet [20–23], in the off shell Euclidean kinematical regime introduced at the end the previous section. All states propagating in quantum loops are strictly massless. To do this in a gauge-invariant and self-consistent manner we relied on the approach advocated by Refs. [17,24], which is based on the observation that the amplitude's integrand on the Coulomb branch of the planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is equivalent to the ones in the maximally supersymmetric theory with unbroken gauge symmetry but in higher dimension, i.e., D > 4 [17,24,25]. The massless D-dimensional momenta are decomposed as $p_i^{(D)} =$ $(p_i^{(4)}, m_i^{(D-4)})$ such that their extradimensional components $m_i^{(D-4)}$ could then be interpreted, from the fourdimensional perspective, as masses [17,24]. Further we adopted yet another observation which states that loop integrands of the two-leg form factor, similarly to four-leg amplitudes considered in Ref. [17], are identical in all even



FIG. 1. Scalar integrals contributing to *F* at one- and two-loop order. Double external lines correspond to the momentum $q = p_1 + p_2$ carried by the operator. Thin external lines correspond to the particles' momenta $p_{1,2}$. All internal lines are massless.

dimensions $D \le 10$, at least for the first few orders of perturbation theory [26].

The last remark allowed us to recycle Feynman graphs of Ref. [26] defining the four-dimensional on shell Sudakov form factor for our D-dimensional integrands with the same topologies and accompanying coefficients. Then we chose D > 4 components of all momenta in the integrand in such a manner that they yield vanishing extradimensional invariants and thus correspond to strictly massless internal lines of scalar integrals in D = 4, while all external lines are kept massive (or, equivalently, they obey the off shell conditions $-p_i^2 = m_i^2 \rightarrow 0$). Practical implementation of this condition from the point of view of momentum conservation in a given graph becomes obvious from the dual coordinates' point of view where $m_i \equiv y_i - y_{i+1}$ with the vectors of (complex-valued) vacuum expectation values y_i being lightlike $y_i^2 = 0$. Having constructed the integrands in this manner, the integrations over loop momenta are then performed strictly in D = 4. This off shell form factor can therefore be interpreted as a form factor of a pair of W bosons in the small mass limit similarly to the point of view of Ref. [17] for the off shell four-leg scattering amplitude.

Up to three loops, the off shell Sudakov form factor is given by the perturbative expansion

$$F = 1 + g^2 F_1 + g^4 F_2 + g^6 F_3 + \mathcal{O}(g^8), \qquad (4)$$

with one- and two-loop corrections given by the scalar integrals

$$F_1 = 2Q^2 T_{1,1}, \qquad F_2 = Q^4 (4T_{2,1} + T_{2,2})$$
 (5)

(see Fig. 1), and the three-loop term being

$$F_{3} = Q^{4} (Q^{2} 8T_{3,1} - 2T_{3,2} + 4T_{3,3} + 4T_{3,4} - 4T_{3,5} - 4T_{3,6} - 4T_{3,7} + 2T_{3,8}),$$
(6)

with $Q^2 = -q^2$ (see Fig. 2). At one and two loops, all integrals can be expressed in terms of the *l*-loop triangle ladder function $\Phi^{(l)}(x, y)$ with equal arguments $\Phi_l \equiv \Phi^{(l)}(t, t)$ [27]:

$$(-Q^2)T_{1,1} = \Phi_1, \quad (-Q^2)^2 T_{2,1} = \Phi_2, \quad (-Q^2)^2 T_{2,2} = \Phi_1^2.$$
(7)

The small-*t* expansion of $\Phi_{1,2}$ admits the following form:

$$\Phi_1 = \log^2(t) + 2\zeta_2 + \mathcal{O}(m^2),$$

$$\Phi_2 = \frac{\log^4(t)}{4} + 3\zeta_2 \log^2(t) + \frac{21\zeta_4}{2} + \mathcal{O}(m^2).$$
(8)

We would like to remind the reader that in contrast to the case of dimensional regularization there is no analog of $\epsilon \times 1/\epsilon$ interference between different order in 't Hooft coupling, and the relations [Eq. (8)] are sufficient to completely determine F_1 and F_2 up to $O(m^2)$ terms. It is also worth pointing out that contrary to the familiar amplitude story, visually nonplanar graphs (see $T_{2,2}$ in Fig. 1 and $T_{3,3}$, $T_{3,4}$, $T_{3,5}$, $T_{3,6}$, $T_{3,8}$ in Fig. 2) contribute on equal footing with planar graphs at leading order in color; see, e.g., Ref. [9]. The reason is that the composite operator \mathcal{O} entering the matrix element [Eq. (1)] is an SU(N_c) singlet thus rerouting the flow of SU(N_c) indices through graphs. In the current analysis, nonplanar graphs do not possess $1/N_c$ power suppressed structures though. The latter emerge starting from four-loop order only.

The three-loop scalar integrals $T_{3,i}$ from Fig. 2 are more involved compared with their one- and two-loop counterparts. These perfectly fit into the set of auxiliary integrals studied in Ref. [28]. We used the package LITERED [29] for their reduction to a set of master integrals calculated in Ref. [28] making use of the method of differential equations [30–32]. The so-determined integrals were in turn



FIG. 2. Scalar integrals contributing to F at three loop level. Arrows and labels p_a , p_b on the lines correspond to the presence of numerator $(p_a + p_b)^2$. All other notations are identical to those of Fig. 1.

expressed in terms of Harmonic Polylogarithms (HPLs) of the single argument t of a weight not greater than 6. After that they were expanded at small values of t using the HPL package [33].

To partially cross check our findings, we calculated the IR divergent, i.e., $\log^2(t)$, partly making use of the strategy of regions [34] (see also Refs. [35,36]), reformulated in the language of the Feynman-parameter representation in Ref. [37]. We relied on their algorithmic determination with the help of the package ASY [38,39] (also available with the FIESTA5 distribution package [40]), which is based on the geometry of polytopes associated with Symanzik polynomials defining corresponding integrands. For each of the integrals involved, the strategy of regions yielded scaleless parametric integrals which were evaluated by using their Mellin-Barnes representation (see, e.g., Chapter 5 of Ref. [36]). We found a perfect agreement between these two approaches. Details regarding these computations will be published elsewhere [41].

Expanding our three-loop results in powers of t we found that logarithmic and constant parts of all $T_{3,i}$ integrals can be cast as the Davydychev-Usyukina functions

$$(-Q^{2})^{3}T_{3,1} = \Phi_{3} + \mathcal{O}(m^{2}),$$

$$(-Q^{2})^{2}T_{3,2} = \Phi_{3} + \mathcal{O}(m^{2}),$$

$$(-Q^{2})^{2}(T_{3,3} - T_{3,5}) = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_{3} - \Phi_{1}\Phi_{2}) + \mathcal{O}(m^{2}),$$

$$(-Q^{2})^{2}(T_{3,4} - T_{3,6}) = -\Phi_{1}\Phi_{2} + \mathcal{O}(m^{2}),$$

$$(-Q^{2})^{2}T_{3,7} = \Phi_{3} + \mathcal{O}(m^{2}),$$

$$(-Q^{2})^{2}T_{3,8} = \Phi_{1}\Phi_{2} + \mathcal{O}(m^{2}),$$
(9)

where Φ_3 develops the expansion as $t \to 0$:

$$\Phi_{3} = \frac{1}{36}\log^{6}(t) + \frac{5\zeta_{2}}{6}\log^{4}(t) + \frac{35\zeta_{4}}{2}\log^{2}(t) + \frac{155\zeta_{6}}{4} + \mathcal{O}(m^{2}).$$
(10)

Expanding $\log F$ in powers of g we have found that, up to the three-loop order, $\log F$ is equal to

$$\log F(t,g) = -\frac{\Gamma_{\rm oct}(g)}{2}\log^2(t) - D(g) + \mathcal{O}(m^2), \quad (11)$$

with

$$\Gamma_{\text{oct}}(g) = 4g^2 - 16\zeta_2 g^4 + 256\zeta_4 g^6 + \cdots,$$

$$D(g) = 4\zeta_2 g^2 - 32\zeta_4 g^4 + \frac{1024\zeta_6}{3} g^6 + \cdots.$$
(12)

This is exactly the logarithm of the *null octagon* [19,42] $\mathbb{O}_0(z, \overline{z})$ multiplied by 2:

$$\log \mathbb{O}_0(z,\bar{z}) = -\frac{\Gamma_{\text{oct}}(g)}{4} \log^2\left(\frac{\bar{z}}{z}\right) - \frac{g^2}{2} \log(z\bar{z}) - \frac{D(g)}{2}, \quad (13)$$

with $z\overline{z} = 1, \overline{z} = \sqrt{t}$. The functions of the 't Hooft coupling $\Gamma_{\text{oct}}(g)$ and D(g) are given to all orders of perturbation theory by the closed formulas [Eq. (3)]. We conjecture that this relation holds for all loops as well:

$$\log F = 2\log \mathbb{O}_0 + O(m^2). \tag{14}$$

Discussion and conclusion.—We observe that the off shell Sudakov form factor in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM reveals an intriguing and unbeknownst to date structure. As was pointed out in the Introduction, there was a conjecture in the literature [16] for an all-order evolution equation that Fis anticipated to obey, namely,

$$\frac{\partial \log F}{\partial \log m^2} = -\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(g) \log m^2 + \Gamma_{\rm col}(g), \qquad (15)$$

where [15]

$$\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(g) = 4g^2 - 8\zeta_2 g^4 + 88\zeta_4 g^6 + \dots$$
 (16)

We conclude that this equation is valid only at the one-loop level and should be replaced with

$$\frac{\partial \log F}{\partial \log m^2} = -\Gamma_{\rm oct}(g) \log m^2. \tag{17}$$

There are two obvious differences: (i) the leading IR function is not Γ_{cusp} , which is thought of as an ultimate IR exponent of all gauge theories, and (ii) the so-called collinear anomalous dimension Γ_{col} is absent in the off shell kinematics, at least to three-loop order. Based on this, we believe that the same disparity persists between the two regimes in QCD as well.

Prior to our current analysis there were earlier studies of form factors on the Coulomb branch where, however, the choice of scalar vacuum expectation values was done in a way such that all external states were massless, but a virtual particle "framing" Feynman graphs was massive [24,43,44]. In this case, the evolution equation was found to coincide with the one in the massless case [Eq. (15)], albeit with $\Gamma_{cusp} \rightarrow \Gamma_{cusp}/2$. Thus, we observe a very subtle, anomalous effect of the noncommutativity of $p_i^2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limits. We can expect that the situation in QCD will be similar. We will address these questions in upcoming publications in full detail.

Another mysterious relation we would like to unravel is how the off shellness relates to the flux-tube origin of the IR exponents Γ_{cusp} and Γ_{oct} in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. It turns out that both of them can be obtained from a single deformed fluxtube integral equation [45], which combines the two describing Γ_{cusp} [15] and Γ_{oct} [19] separately.

Relations (9) and (7) between integrals also deserve a dedicated study. They can be thought of as a manifestation

of the dual conformal symmetry of form factors which was anticipated for quite some time [46,47].

L. B. is grateful to A. I. Onishchenko for useful discussions and to A. V. Bednyakov, N. B. Muzhichkov, and E. S. Sozinov for collaboration at early stages of the project. The work of A. B. was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-2207138. The work of L. B. is supported by the Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics "BASIS." The work of A. P. is supported by Russian Science Foundation under Grant No. 20-12-00205. The work of V. S. was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation as part of the program of the Moscow Center for Fundamental and Applied Mathematics under the Agreement No. 075-15-2019-1621.

- V. V. Sudakov, Vertex parts at very high-energies in quantum electrodynamics, Sov. Phys. JETP 3, 65 (1956), https:// inspirehep.net/literature/9137.
- [2] R. Jackiw, Dynamics at high momentum and the vertex function of spinor electrodynamics, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 48, 292 (1968).
- [3] P. M. Fishbane and J. D. Sullivan, Asymptotic behavior of the vertex function in quantum electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 4, 458 (1971).
- [4] A. H. Mueller, Perturbative QCD at high-energies, Phys. Rep. 73, 237 (1981).
- [5] J. Frenkel and J. C. Taylor, Exponentiation of leading infrared divergences in massless Yang-Mills theories, Nucl. Phys. B116, 185 (1976).
- [6] A. V. Smilga, Next-to-leading logarithms in the high-energy asymptotics of the quark form factor and the jet crosssection, Nucl. Phys. B161, 449 (1979).
- [7] G. P. Korchemsky, Sudakov form-factor in QCD, Phys. Lett. B 220, 629 (1989).
- [8] A. V. Kotikov, L. N. Lipatov, A. I. Onishchenko, and V. N. Velizhanin, Three loop universal anomalous dimension of the Wilson operators in N = 4 SUSY Yang-Mills model, Phys. Lett. B 595, 521 (2004); 632, 754(E) (2006).
- [9] W. L. van Neerven, Infrared behavior of on-shell form-factors in a N = 4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills field theory, Z. Phys. C 30, 595 (1986).
- [10] Y. Guo, L. Wang, and G. Yang, Bootstrapping a Two-Loop Four-Point Form Factor, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 151602 (2021).
- [11] L. J. Dixon, O. Gurdogan, A. J. McLeod, and M. Wilhelm, Bootstrapping a stress-tensor form factor through eight loops, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2022) 153.
- [12] A. Sever, A. G. Tumanov, and M. Wilhelm, Operator Product Expansion for Form Factors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 031602 (2021).
- [13] J. Maldacena and A. Zhiboedov, Form factors at strong coupling via a Y-system, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2010) 104.
- [14] A. M. Polyakov, Gauge fields as rings of glue, Nucl. Phys. B164, 171 (1980).

- [15] N. Beisert, B. Eden, and M. Staudacher, Transcendentality and crossing, J. Stat. Mech. (2007) P01021.
- [16] J. M. Drummond, G. P. Korchemsky, and E. Sokatchev, Conformal properties of four-gluon planar amplitudes and Wilson loops, Nucl. Phys. B795, 385 (2008).
- [17] S. Caron-Huot and F. Coronado, Ten dimensional symmetry of N = 4 SYM correlators, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2022) 151.
- [18] L. V. Bork, N. B. Muzhichkov, and E. S. Sozinov, Infrared properties of five-point massive amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM on the Coulomb branch, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2022) 173.
- [19] A. V. Belitsky and G. P. Korchemsky, Exact null octagon, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2020) 070.
- [20] A. Brandhuber, B. Spence, G. Travaglini, and Gang Yang, Form factors in N = 4 Super Yang-Mills and periodic Wilson loops, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2011) 134.
- [21] L. V. Bork, D. I. Kazakov, and G. S. Vartanov, On form factors in N = 4 SYM, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2011) 063.
- [22] A. Brandhuber, O. Gurdogan, R. Mooney, G. Travaglini, and Gang Yang, Harmony of super form factors, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2011) 046.
- [23] L. V. Bork, D. I. Kazakov, and G. S. Vartanov, On MHV form factors in superspace for N = 4 SYM theory, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2011) 133.
- [24] L. F. Alday, J. M. Henn, J. Plefka, and T. Schuster, Scattering into the fifth dimension of N = 4 super Yang-Mills, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2010) 077.
- [25] N. Craig, H. Elvang, M. Kiermaier, and T. Slatyer, Massive amplitudes on the Coulomb branch of N = 4 SYM, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2011) 097.
- [26] T. Gehrmann, J. M. Henn, and T. Huber, The three-loop form factor in N = 4 super Yang-Mills, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2012) 101.
- [27] N. I. Usyukina and Andrei I. Davydychev, Exact results for three and four point ladder diagrams with an arbitrary number of rungs, Phys. Lett. B 305, 136 (1993).
- [28] A. F. Pikelner, Three-loop vertex integrals at symmetric point, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2021) 083.
- [29] R.N. Lee, Presenting LiteRed: A tool for the Loop InTEgrals REDuction, arXiv:1212.2685.
- [30] A. V. Kotikov, Differential equations method: New technique for massive Feynman diagrams calculation, Phys. Lett. B 254, 158 (1991).
- [31] T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, Differential equations for two loop four point functions, Nucl. Phys. B580, 485 (2000).
- [32] J. M. Henn, Multiloop integrals in dimensional regularization made simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 251601 (2013).
- [33] D. Maitre, HPL, a mathematica implementation of the harmonic polylogarithms, Comput. Phys. Commun. 174, 222 (2006).
- [34] M. Beneke and V. A. Smirnov, Asymptotic expansion of Feynman integrals near threshold, Nucl. Phys. B522, 321 (1998).
- [35] V. A. Smirnov, Applied asymptotic expansions in momenta and masses, Springer Tracts Mod. Phys. 177, 1 (2002).
- [36] V. A. Smirnov, Analytic tools for Feynman integrals, Springer Tracts Mod. Phys. 250, 1 (2012).
- [37] V. A. Smirnov, Problems of the strategy of regions, Phys. Lett. B 465, 226 (1999).

- [38] A. Pak and A. V. Smirnov, Geometric approach to asymptotic expansion of Feynman integrals, Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1626 (2011).
- [39] B. Jantzen, A. V. Smirnov, and V. A. Smirnov, Expansion by regions: Revealing potential and Glauber regions automatically, Eur. Phys. J. C 72, 2139 (2012).
- [40] A. V. Smirnov, N. D. Shapurov, and L. I. Vysotsky, FIESTA5: Numerical high-performance Feynman integral evaluation, Comput. Phys. Commun. 277, 108386 (2022).
- [41] A. V. Belitsky, L. V. Bork, A. F. Pikelner, and V. A. Smirnov, Two-leg off-shell form factor in N = 4 sYM: Three-loops and beyond (to be published).
- [42] F. Coronado, Bootstrapping the Simplest Correlator in Planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory to All Loops, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 171601 (2020).

- [43] J. M. Henn, S. G. Naculich, H. J. Schnitzer, and M. Spradlin, Higgs-regularized three-loop four-gluon amplitude in N = 4 SYM: Exponentiation and Regge limits, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2010) 038.
- [44] J. M. Henn, S. Moch, and S. G. Naculich, Form factors and scattering amplitudes in N = 4 SYM in dimensional and massive regularizations, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2011) 024.
- [45] B. Basso, L. J. Dixon, and G. Papathanasiou, Origin of the Six-Gluon Amplitude in Planar N = 4 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 161603 (2020).
- [46] L. V. Bork, On form factors in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory and polytopes, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2014) 111.
- [47] L. Bianchi, A. Brandhuber, R. Panerai, and G. Travaglini, Dual conformal invariance for form factors, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2019) 134.