managed by W. Neef and A. Waugh. We also wish to acknowledge the continued support of C. M. Van Atta.

*Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

¹Yu. T. Baiborodov, M. S. Ioffe, V. M. Petrov, and R. I. Sobolev, At. Energ. (USSR) <u>14</u>, 443 (1963) [translation: Soviet J. At. Energy <u>14</u>, 459 (1964)].

²W. A. Perkins and W. L. Barr, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 9, 328 (1964).

³J. Berkowitz, H. Grad, and H. Rubin, <u>Proceedings</u> of the Second United Nations International Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, Geneva, 1958 (United Nations, New York, 1958), Vol. 31, p. 177;

J. Berkowitz, K. O. Friedrichs, H. Goertzel, H. Grad,

J. Killeen, and E. Rubin, <u>ibid</u>., Vol. 31, p. 171.
⁴J. B. Taylor, Phys. Fluids <u>7</u>, 767 (1964).
⁵C. C. Damm, J. H. Foote, A. H. Futch, and R. F. Post, Phys. Rev. Letters 10, 323 (1963).

⁶C. C. Damm, A. H. Futch, F. Gordon, A. L. Hunt,

E. C. Popp, R. F. Post, and J. F. Steinhaus, Nucl. Fusion <u>1</u>, 280 (1961).

⁷A. H. Futch and C. C. Damm, Nucl. Fusion <u>3</u>, 124 (1963).

⁸A. B. Mikhailovski, Zh. Eksperim. i. Teor. Fiz. 43, 509 (1962) [translation: Soviet Phys. -JETP 16,

364 (1963)].

⁹R. F. Post, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. <u>8</u>, 166 (1963).

 10 L. G. Kuo, E. G. Murphy, M. Petravić, and D. R. Sweetman, Phys. Fluids 7, 988 (1964).

¹¹E. G. Harris, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>2</u>, 34 (1959).

ULTRASONIC PROPAGATION NEAR THE CRITICAL POINT IN HELIUM

C. E. Chase

National Magnet Laboratory,* Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts

and

R. C. Williamson[†] and Laszlo Tisza Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Received 31 August 1964)

A logarithmic singularity in the specific heat at constant volume C_v at the critical point has recently been reported to occur in argon^1 and $\operatorname{oxy-}$ gen.² Such a result is in direct contradiction to standard theory,^{3,4} according to which C_v must remain finite at the critical point. Reconsideration of the theory in view of these data leads us to new inferences about the critical point. Among these is the prediction that the sound velocity exhibits anomalous behavior, which we have indeed observed.

The situation can be concisely discussed in terms of the stiffness matrix which, starting from the fundamental equation U = U(S, V), can be written⁴

$$\begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial S}\right)_{v} & \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial V}\right)_{s} \\ -\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial S}\right)_{v} & -\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\right)_{s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{T}{C_{v}} & \frac{T}{C_{v}} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_{v} \\ \frac{T}{C_{v}} & \frac{1}{V\kappa_{s}} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(1)

Here κ_s is the adiabatic compressibility. This matrix can be diagonalized by a nonsingular linear transformation of the variables, yielding the diagonal elements

$$\lambda_1 = T/C_v, \quad \lambda_2 = 1/V\kappa_T. \tag{2}$$

According to standard theory, $\lambda_2 = 0$ and $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ at

the critical point, ensuring that C_p , the coefficient of thermal expansion α , and the isothermal compressibility κ_T become infinite there.⁴ The observation that $C_v - \infty$ implies, on the other hand, that at T_c

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0. \tag{3}$$

Note that λ_1 and λ_2 are not eigenvalues, and inverting the order of the variables U = U(V, S) we arrive at

$$\lambda_1' = 1/V\kappa_s, \quad \lambda_2' = T/C_b. \tag{4}$$

Now according to a well-known rule,⁵ the number of positive, negative, and vanishing terms in the diagonalized form must be independent of the particular transformation used. Hence, Eq. (3) implies $\lambda_1' = \lambda_2' = 0$, so that κ_S must have a singularity at the critical point and the sound velocity $u = (\rho \kappa_s)^{-1/2}$ must vanish. Strictly speaking, the sound velocity should be regarded as complex in order to take dissipation into account. The quantity λ_1' , however, is connected only with the real part, and as the critical point is approached the imaginary part will eventually become dominant. Hence the signal will be expected to fade out as the phenomenon of sound propagation becomes purely dissipative. High attenuation has in fact been previously observed in the critical region, e.g., in xenon.⁶

Besides potentially confirming the observed behavior of C_v , sound velocity measurements have several other advantages. The available resolution ($\approx 0.01\%$) is much greater than in specific-heat measurements, and each observation is made at a fixed temperature instead of over a temperature interval. By making measurements along a suitable line in the phase diagram, e.g., an isotherm or an isobar, it is possible to remain in a homogeneous phase at all times, whereas measurements of C_v along an isopycnal are necessarily carried out in the mixed phase below T_{c} . In the latter circumstances small departures of ρ from the critical value may lead to large contributions from the latent heat close to T_c . We have accordingly undertaken a study of the sound velocity at 1 Mc/sec in the critical region of helium ($T_c = 5.1994^{\circ}$ K, $P_c = 1718$ mm Hg). Similar measurements have previously been made in xenon,⁶ but with insufficient resolution to detect the presence of a singularity in the compressibility.

Changes in sound velocity were measured by a phase-sensitive method⁷ which provided a resolution of about 0.01% with the 0.396-cm path length used in the experiment. The absolute value of the velocity was chosen to agree with earlier measurements along the vapor-pressure curve.⁸ The ultrasonic chamber was contained in a vacuumjacketed pressure vessel, the temperature of which was controlled within $\pm 10^{-4}$ °K by an automatic regulator.⁹ This vessel was initially filled with liquid helium from the bath through a needle valve; subsequent changes in density were effected by removing gas or adding it from a roomtemperature reservoir. The pressure was controlled manually, and was measured with a resolution of ±0.1 mm Hg on a Wallace and Tiernan gauge calibrated against a mercury manometer. Absolute values are believed to be accurate to within ±1 mm Hg. All pressures refer to mercury at 0°C, and temperatures are given on the 1958 scale.¹⁰

The following precautions were taken to promote equilibrium: (1) The pressure vessel was made of copper; (2) the fluid was stirred continuously during the course of the experiments; (3) the velocity was observed during the establishment of equilibrium, and measurements were made only after it was essentially steady (this required from 5 minutes to about half an hour close to the critical point); (4) measurements were made with both increasing and decreasing pressure or temperature as a check of reproducibility. Although at no time did we wait several hours for equilibrium (as was done in references 1 and 2), we believe that the above precautions, especially (4), are sufficient to ensure the reliability of the data.

Results of measurements along the isobar $P = 1718 \pm 1 \text{ mm}$ Hg and the isotherm $T = 5.200 \pm 0.002^{\circ}$ K are shown in Fig. 1. The observed rapid fall in sound velocity u as the critical point is approached from any direction is in agree-ment with expectation. Because, as anticipated, the attenuation is high in the critical region, measurements are impossible with the present apparatus over a region of width about $2 \times 10^{-3} ^{\circ}$ K or 2.5 mm Hg; nevertheless, the drop outside this region is so rapid that the vanishing of u at the critical point does not appear unreasonable.

The behavior of the adiabatic compressibility κ_s is more revealing, but its evaluation from u requires knowledge of the density. We have estimated $\rho(P, T)$ from the expansion given by Edwards and Woodbury,¹¹

$$P = -Atv - \frac{1}{3}Bv^{3} - \frac{1}{2}Ctv^{2} - Dt^{2}v + f(t), \qquad (5)$$

where $t = T - T_c$, $v = V - V_c$, and A, B, C, and D are given in reference 11. In order to carry out



FIG. 1. Sound velocity as a function of temperature (a) and pressure (b) in the critical region.



FIG. 2. Adiabatic compressibility (a) as a function of $\log |T-T_c|$ and (b) as a function of $\log |P-P_c|$ in the critical region.

this calculation, f(t) was chosen to give Edward and Woodbury's density values along the vaporpressure curve, and for $T > T_C$ was adjusted (somewhat arbitrarily) so that the maximum in $(\partial V/\partial P)_T$ falls on the extrapolated vapor-pressure curve. The errors involved in this procedure are difficult to estimate, but it is unlikely that they can be so large as to change the qualitative nature of the singularity in κ_s .

Figure 2 shows κ_s along the above isobar and isotherm plotted against $\log |T - T_c|$ or $\log |P - P_c|$. The presence of a logarithmic singularity over $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 2 decades in the liquidlike phase $(T < T_c$ or $P > P_c)$ is clearly indicated. In the gaslike phase the slope is much smaller, but a singularity is still evident. Indeed, along the isotherm the singularity may even be stronger than logarithmic. Although the shape of these curves near the critical point is very sensitive to the precise values chosen for P_c and T_c , no reasonable assignment of these quantities would suggest that κ_s is leveling off to a finite value. We therefore conclude that κ_s exhibits just such a singularity at the critical point as would be expected on the basis of the reported behavior of C_v .

One of us (L.T.) wishes to thank Professor C. N. Yang for correspondence on the implications of the C_v singularity.

*Supported by the U. S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

[†]Supported by the Advanced Research Projects Agency under Contract No. SD-90.

¹M. I. Bagatskii, A. V. Voronel', and V. G. Gusak, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. <u>43</u>, 728 (1962) [translation: Soviet Phys. -JETP 16, 517 (1963)].

²A. V. Voronel', Ya. R. Chashkin, V. A. Popov, and V. G. Simkin, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. <u>45</u>, 828

(1963) [translation: Soviet Phys. - JETP <u>18</u>, 568 (1964)]. ³L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, <u>Statistical Physics</u>

(Pergamon Press, Ltd., London, 1958), pp. 259 ff. ⁴L. Tisza, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) <u>13</u>, 1 (1961).

⁵Sylvester's law of inertia. See, e.g., G. Birkhoff and S. MacLane, <u>A Survey of Modern Algebra</u> (The Macmillan Company, New York, 1953), 2nd ed., p. 235. ⁶A. G. Chynoweth and W. G. Schneider, J. Chem.

Phys. <u>20</u>, 1777 (1952).

⁷C. E. Chase, Phys. Fluids <u>1</u>, 193 (1958).

⁸A. van Itterbeek and G. Forrez, Physica <u>20</u>, 133 (1954).

⁹C. Blake and C. E. Chase, Rev. Sci. Instr. <u>34</u>, 984 (1963).

¹⁰H. van Dijk, M. Durieux, J. R. Clement, and J. K. Logan, Physica, Suppl. <u>24</u>, S129 (1958).

¹¹M. H. Edwards and W. C. Woodbury, Phys. Rev. <u>129</u>, 1911 (1963).