## Structure-Imposed Electronic Topology in Cove-Edged Graphene Nanoribbons

Florian M. Arnold  $\bullet$ ,<sup>[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2379-6251)</sup> Tsai-Jung Liu  $\bullet$ ,<sup>1</sup> Agnieszka Kuc  $\bullet$ ,<sup>2</sup> and Thomas Heine  $\bullet$ <sup>1,2,3[,\\*](#page-4-0)</sup>

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>1</sup>Faculty of Chemistry and Food Chemistry, TU Dresden, Bergstrasse 66c, 01069 Dresden, Germany<br><sup>2</sup>Helmheltz Zentrum Dresden Bessenderf, Institute of Bessures Feeleev, Bermacerstrasse 15, 04318 Leipzig, 1

 $H$ elmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Institute of Resource Ecology, Permoserstrasse 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany

 ${}^{5}$ Department of Chemistry, Yonsei University and IBS center for nanomedicine, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 120-749, Republic of Korea

(Received 31 May 2022; accepted 20 October 2022; published 15 November 2022)

In cove-edged zigzag graphene nanoribbons (ZGNR-Cs), one terminal CH group per length unit is removed on each zigzag edge, forming a regular pattern of coves that controls their electronic structure. Based on three structural parameters that unambiguously characterize the atomistic structure of ZGNR-Cs, we present a scheme that classifies their electronic state (i.e., if they are metallic, topological insulators, or trivial semiconductors) for all possible widths  $N$ , unit lengths  $a$ , and cove position offsets at both edges  $b$ , thus showing the direct structure-electronic structure relation. We further present an empirical formula to estimate the band gap of the semiconducting ribbons from  $N$ ,  $a$ , and  $b$ . Finally, we identify all geometrically possible ribbon terminations and provide rules to construct ZGNR-Cs with a well-defined electronic structure.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.216401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.216401)

With the emergence of precision chemistry, the atomically precise synthesis of graphene nanoribbons (GNRs) with well-defined widths, edge structures, and terminations has become possible [[1](#page-4-1)–[4\]](#page-4-2). Besides GNRs with zigzag or armchair edge termination, more complex edges have also been realized [[2](#page-4-3)–[13\]](#page-4-4). The edges have a determinant impact on the electronic structure of the GNRs. In their seminal work, Lee et al. [[14](#page-4-5)] have demonstrated topologically nontrivial cove-edged zigzag GNRs (ZGNR-Cs) and the influence of cove placement and ribbon width. The introduction of coves—missing CH groups at both edges of an inherently metallic zigzag GNR, forming a superlattice—opens a band gap. ZGNR-Cs have been realized experimentally [\[7](#page-4-6)[,13\]](#page-4-4); but, up to now, their topological states have been predicted only by theory [\[15\]](#page-4-7). Although many of the ZGNR-Cs have been studied to date, there is not yet any rule established that connects their structural topology with their electronic state. Such a connection is well known and extremely useful for carbon nanotubes, where the chirality indexes  $(m, n)$  define the tube's electronic state, being either metallic if  $(m - n)/3$  is an integer or semiconducting otherwise [[16](#page-4-8)–[18](#page-4-9)]. For armchair GNR (AGNR), the dependence of the topological invariant on the width and unit cell is known [[19](#page-4-10)]. Here, we report the direct relation between three structural parameters that identify ZGNR-Cs (see Fig. [1\)](#page-0-0) and the electronic state, and we discuss the impact of termination effects.

Unambiguous labeling of cove-edged ZGNRs requires three parameters: the width  $N$ , counted as the number of zigzag rows of carbon atoms  $(N \in \mathbb{N}, N \ge 3)$ ; the distance between coves on the same edge  $a$  in units of hexagonal rings  $(a \in \mathbb{N}, a \ge 2)$ , which also gives the unit cell length; and the shortest offset between adjacent coves on opposite

edges  $b$  ( $b \in [0, a/2]$ ). The N-ZGNR-C $(a, b)$ , that is, the ZGNR with width  $N$ , edge length  $a$  and offset  $b$  as illustrated in Figs. [1\(a\)](#page-0-0) and [1\(b\)](#page-0-0), labels all structurally possible regular ZGNR-Cs and is equivalent to the

<span id="page-0-0"></span>

FIG. 1. (a) Visualization of parameters  $N$ ,  $a$ , and  $b$  in  $N$ -ZGNR-C $(a, b)$ . (b) Schematic representation of parameters and positions of reference points  $S$  and  $L$  relative to cove positions. (c) Termination types (red and blue) at boundary of unit cell (orange) for different values of  $\alpha$  in 5-ZGNR-C(3, $\frac{1}{2}$ ).

 $N$ -CZGNR- $(m, n)$  introduced by Wang et al. with  $a = m + 1$  [\[7](#page-4-6)]. For even N, b is an integer; whereas for odd N, b is a half-integer. These parameters directly re-late to the Frieze groups [[20](#page-4-11)] as  $p2mm$  for  $b = 0$ ,  $p2mq$ for  $b = a/2$ , and p211 otherwise. This is shown for exemplary structures in Fig. S1 in the Supplemental Material (SM) [[21](#page-4-12)].

For a given ZGNR-C with  $b \neq a/2$ , there are two distinct inversion centers in the unit cell, henceforth called reference points  $S$  (denoting the inversion center at the shorter offset between the coves) and  $L$  (located at the longer offset), as shown in Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-0-0).  $S$  and  $L$  are shifted by half a lattice vector along the ribbon with respect to one another. For  $b = a/2$ , S and  $L$  are equivalent. In general, the position of the unit cell of any structure is arbitrary. Here, we concentrate on GNRs as obtained in bottom-up synthesis from well-defined monomers. Typically, either one centrosymmetric or two identical building blocks are used [\[2](#page-4-3)]. As a consequence, the unit cell becomes centrosymmetric and has inversion centers at the boundaries. Illustrative examples can be found in published bottom-up syntheses of ZGNR-Cs [[7](#page-4-6),[13](#page-4-4)]. The molecular building blocks determine not only the ribbon geometry but also its terminal ends. Therefore, cell angles of  $\alpha = 60, 90$ , and 120° are chosen because they coincide with the carboncarbon bond orientation. The unit cell boundary then cuts through the ribbon in different ways, creating either an armchair, zigzag, or bearded termination type [see Fig. [1\(c\)](#page-0-0)].

The tight-binding (TB) method is applied to calculate electronic properties and topological invariants with the PythTB package [\[22\]](#page-4-13) (see SM Sec. S1 for details [[21](#page-4-12)]). By considering one  $\pi$  electron per carbon atom, the TB model Hamiltonian with only first-neighbor interactions  $t_1 = -1$ and on-site energies  $\varepsilon_i$  is

$$
H = \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i} + \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} t_{1} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{j}.
$$
 (1)

To characterize the topological properties, the Zak phase [\[23\]](#page-4-14) was calculated as an integral of the Berry connection  $i\langle u_{nk}|\nabla_k u_{nk}\rangle$  over the Brillouin zone (BZ) summed over all occupied states,

$$
\gamma = i \sum_{n=1}^{\text{occ.}} \int_C \langle u_{nk} | \nabla_k u_{nk} \rangle \cdot d\mathbf{k}, \tag{2}
$$

where  $C$  is an open path over the BZ. This can be divided into two parts: 1) integrating within the first BZ, and 2) crossing the boundary from the last point of the cell to the first point of the next periodic cell.  $\gamma$  is then calculated numerically as in [\[24\]](#page-4-15) using the gauge-periodic-boundary condition,

$$
u_{nk_{\text{final}}} = e^{-i\mathbf{G}\mathbf{r}} u_{nk_{\text{initial}}},\tag{3}
$$

with reciprocal-lattice vector  $G$ . For systems with inversion or mirror symmetries in the unit cell, the Zak phase is quantized to zero or  $\pi$  when one of the inversion centers coincides with the real-space coordinate origin [\[25\]](#page-4-16) (as it is the case for all systems studied here), and the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  topological invariant is obtained from

$$
\mathbb{Z}_2 = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi}\right) \text{ mod } 2. \tag{4}
$$

Following Fu and Kane [[26](#page-4-17)],  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  values for systems with inversion symmetry can also be obtained from the parity of occupied states at time-reversal invariant momentum (TRIM) points as

$$
(-1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \prod_m \prod_n^{\text{occ.}} \xi(\psi_n),\tag{5}
$$

where *m* are the TRIM points, and  $\xi(\psi_n)$  is the parity of the nth occupied band.

The topological signatures obtained by the Zak phase and parity calculations agree for all our systems. For systems without inversion symmetry, alternative methods are available [\[27,](#page-4-18)[28\]](#page-4-19). However, motivated by the available experiments, we restrict our analyses to symmetric ZGNR-Cs.

By sampling the configuration space of cove-edged ZGNRs, we find that the structural parameters  $N$ ,  $a$ , and b determine the ribbon's electronic state to be either metallic or semiconducting, as well as their  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  invariant. Using a classification based on the reference points  $S$  and  $L$ at the unit cell boundary, we observe that  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is uniquely given by the structural parameters, with a  $4p$  periodicity in  $N (p = 1, 2, ...)$  as shown for small a in Figs. 2(a)–[2\(d\)](#page-2-0) and extended to large  $a$  in Fig. S2 [\[21\]](#page-4-12). A classification scheme of the electronic character of cove-edged ZGNR structures is given in Fig. [2\(e\).](#page-2-0)

For even N, the topological properties only depend on the reference point at the unit cell boundary, independent of a and b, with the exception of the metallic ZGNR-C with  $b = a/2$  [Figs. [2\(a\)](#page-2-0) and [2\(b\)](#page-2-0)]. The  $N = 4p(p = 1, 2, ...)$ ZGNR-C with S and the  $N = 4p + 2$  ZGNR-C with L at the boundary are topologically nontrivial, whereas the others are trivial semiconductors. This can be reflected in the expression  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = [(N/2) + 1] \text{ mod } 2$  for S and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = (N/2) \mod 2$  for L. For odd N, the same values of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  are obtained for  $N = 4p + 1$  and  $N = 4p + 3$  with the same reference point. The topological properties become independent of  $a$  and only change with the value of b as  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = (b - \frac{1}{2}) \mod 2$  for reference point S [Fig. [2\(c\)\]](#page-2-0). For L, the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  invariant interchanges with both a and *b* because  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = (a + b + \frac{1}{2}) \text{ mod } 2$  in a checkerboard pattern [Fig. [2\(d\)](#page-2-0)]. These rules can be generalized by a single function for  $\mathbb{Z}_2^S$  as the value of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  for reference point S, which is given by

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{Z}_2^S = (N+1) \mod 2 \cdot \left(\frac{N}{2} + 1\right) \mod 2
$$
  
+ (N) mod 2 \cdot \left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right) mod 2, (6)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 2.  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  invariant for ZGNR-C in (a)  $N = 4p$  ( $p = 1, 2, ...$ ) with S or  $N = 4p + 2$  with L at the boundary, (b)  $N = 4p$  with L or  $N = 4p + 2$  with S at the boundary, (c)  $N = 4p + 1$  and  $N = 4p + 3$  with S, and (d)  $N = 4p + 1$  and  $N = 4p + 3$  with L at the boundary. Structural parameters a and b are varied in rows and columns, respectively. Topological insulators ( $\mathbb{Z}_2 = 1$ ) are marked in red, trivial semiconductors ( $\mathbb{Z}_2 = 0$ ) in blue, and metallic ribbons (marked "m") in yellow. (e) Generalized scheme to derive  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  for a given set of structural parameters  $N$ ,  $a$ , and  $b$ .

<span id="page-2-2"></span>and a function relating  $\mathbb{Z}_2^S$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_2^L$  ( $\mathbb{Z}_2$  for reference point *L*), which is given by

$$
\mathbb{Z}_2^L = |(Na+1) \text{ mod } 2 - \mathbb{Z}_2^S|.
$$
 (7)

The value of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  does not depend on the termination type of the unit cell; however, the positions of  $S$  and  $L$  (Fig. [1\)](#page-0-0) can affect  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . As shown in Fig. [3,](#page-2-1) for the unit cells of a given N-ZGNR-C $(a, b)$  having the same reference point at the boundary, the same value of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is obtained. It is independent of the realized termination type being an armchair, a zigzag, or bearded.

The change of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  upon exchange of S and L at the unit cell boundary is apparent from the parity of the electronic states. In the TB formalism, the eigenstate  $\psi_n$  is expressed as

$$
\psi_n = \sum_i c_{n,i} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}_i} |\phi_i\rangle = \sum_i \tilde{c}_{n,i} |\phi_i\rangle,\tag{8}
$$

where  $c_{n,i}$  are the components i of eigenvector  $c_n$  to the basis functions  $\phi_i$ , and r is the position of site i. When treated as a periodic infinite system, the same ZGNR-C will always give the same set of eigenvectors  $c_n$ . The parity is only affected by the structure of the ribbon itself and by the

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

FIG. 3. Possible primitive unit cells for 5-ZGNR-C $(2, \frac{1}{2})$ ribbons with reference points  $S$  (orange) and  $L$  (blue) at the boundary. Unit cells with  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$ ,  $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ , and  $\alpha = 120^{\circ}$  are shown; and the termination type is indicated.

choice of its terminal position  $(S \text{ or } L$  at the boundary). When switching the boundary between reference points S and  $L$ , the unit cell is shifted by half a lattice vector. At  $k = 0$ , this transformation has no effect because  $e^{ikr_i} = 1$ . On the other hand, at  $k = \pi$ , half of the elements in  $\tilde{c}_{n,i}$  are multiplied by −1, and parity switches the sign for all states at  $k = \pi$ . As the number of occupied states in a ZGNR-C is  $Na - 1$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  interchanges when the boundary of the unit cell is shifted between  $S$  and  $L$  for all structures, with an odd number of occupied states as their parity product changes sign at  $k = \pi$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  stays the same when switching between  $S$  and  $L$  only for ZGNR-Cs with both odd  $N$  and odd  $a$  at the same time due to an even number of occupied states. Similar parity-based arguments rationalize why the cutting angle  $\alpha$ , and thus the structure of the terminals (zigzag, armchair, or bearded), does not affect the value of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

The parameters  $N$ ,  $a$ , and  $b$  also define the character and size of the band gap  $\Delta_q$ . All semiconducting ZGNR-Cs have a direct band gap at  $k = 0$  for odd a and at  $k = \pi$  for even  $a$ , independent of  $N$  and  $b$  (see Fig. S3 for examples [\[21\]](#page-4-12)). Quantum confinement gives the largest  $\Delta_q$  for small N, and  $\Delta_q$  decreases exponentially with N [see Fig. [4\(a\)](#page-3-0)]. This was also reported for other GNR types [[29](#page-4-20)]. We also observe exponential decay with increasing a because the cove is the structural element opening the band gap in zigzag nanoribbons. The only exception is found for  $a = 2$ , where the proximity of the coves dominates the electronic structure. Because of this, the corresponding data points in Fig. [4\(a\)](#page-3-0) are colored in gray.

The dependence of  $\Delta_q$  on offset b, expressed relative to a, is shown in Fig. [4\(b\)](#page-3-0). The largest  $\Delta_g$  values for a given a  $(\Delta_{\text{max}})$  are found at  $b = 0$  for even N and at  $b = a/2$  for odd  $N$ . As a consequence, ZGNR-Cs with even  $N$  are metallic for  $b = a/2$ . However, geometric out-of-plane distortion (e.g., by H-H repulsion across the cove) reduces the  $p2mg$  symmetry and opens a band gap [\[7](#page-4-6),[30](#page-4-21)]. These

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 4. (a)  $\Delta_{\text{max}}$  in units of  $|t_1|$ . (b)  $\Delta_q$  relative to the maximum value for a given a as a function of  $b/a$  for (left) odd and (right) even N. Data points correspond to calculated structures, and continuous red lines correspond to the fit of Eq. [\(9\).](#page-3-3)

results show that ZGNR-Cs with large band gaps are obtained for thin ribbons (small  $N$ ), for high cove density (small a), and for b being close to zero for even N or to  $a/2$ for odd N.

<span id="page-3-3"></span>The relative band gap as a function of  $b/a$  converges toward a cosine function [see Fig. [4\(b\)](#page-3-0)]. The deviations evident for  $a = 3$  quickly decrease with increasing a. Thus, a good estimate for  $\Delta_q$  for all ZGNR-Cs is

$$
\Delta_{g} = \Delta_{\text{max}} \cdot \cos\left[\left(\frac{b}{a} + \frac{N \text{mod } 2}{2}\right)\pi\right],\tag{9}
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>where  $\Delta_{\text{max}}$  can be fitted as function of N and  $a$  ( $a \ge 3$ ):

$$
\Delta_{\text{max}} = Ae^{-B\cdot N} + Ce^{-(D+E\cdot a)\cdot N}.\tag{10}
$$

Close agreement with explicit TB calculations ( $R^2 > 0.99$ ) is obtained for  $A = 3.04$ ,  $B = 1.42$ ,  $C = 1.30$ ,  $D = -0.35$ , and  $E = 0.17$  {see Fig. S4(a) [[21](#page-4-12)]}, parameterized for data with  $3 \le N \le 10$  and  $3 \le a \le 11$ . For large values of N with  $a = 3$ , the convergence of  $\Delta_q$  toward zero is slower than predicted by Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-1) because of short-range effects [see Fig.  $S4(b)$ ].

A junction state occurs when a topologically trivial and a topologically nontrivial GNR fuse. This requires commensurable terminal ends, which can be expressed by commensurable unit cell boundaries. The termination types in ZGNR-Cs can be described by simple rules, as shown in Fig. [5](#page-3-2) for reference point  $S$  and in Fig. S5 [\[21\]](#page-4-12) for both  $S$ and L with an extended dataset. A schematic representation similar to Fig. [2\(e\)](#page-2-0) is shown in Fig. S6.

All rectangular unit cells have armchair terminations. However, for even  $N$ , the symmetry along the ribbon axis is broken in finite ribbons due to atoms at the boundary of the cell.

In ZGNR-Cs with even  $N$ , either zigzag or bearded termination is realized for both  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$  and  $\alpha = 120^{\circ}$ . The

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

FIG. 5. Realized termination types for ZGNR-C with S at the unit cell boundary: (a)  $N = 4p$  and (b)  $N = 4p + 2$  show the same termination types for both  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$  and  $\alpha = 120^{\circ}$ . (c) Termination types in  $N = 4p + 1$ ,  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$  and  $N = 4p + 3$ ,  $\alpha = 120^{\circ}$ ; and (d) termination types in  $N = 4p + 1$ ,  $\alpha = 120^{\circ}$  and  $N = 4p + 3$ ,  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$ . Zigzag terminations are indicated by "zz" in orange, and bearded terminations are indicated by "bd" in blue.

influence of b on the termination type is shown in Figs.  $5(a)$ and  $5(b)$  for S and in Figs. S5(e) and S5(f) [\[21\]](#page-4-12) for L. For S, a bearded termination is found for  $b = 2k$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$ ) and a zigzag termination is found for  $b = 1 + 2k$  at  $N = 4p$ . This interchanges for  $N = 4p + 2$ . For L, the zigzag and bearded terminations alternate with both  $a$  and  $b$ for both  $N = 4p$  and  $N = 4p + 2$ .

In ZGNR-Cs with odd N, both zigzag and bearded terminations are realized by choosing either  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$  or  $\alpha = 120^{\circ}$ , as shown in Figs. [5\(c\)](#page-3-2) and [5\(d\)](#page-3-2) and Figs. S5(c)–  $S5(f)$  [\[21\]](#page-4-12). With S at the unit cell boundary, the termination types in ZGNR-Cs with odd  $N$  are independent of  $a$  and alternate with b. Zigzag terminations are realized by  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$  at even  $b - \frac{1}{2}$  with  $N = 4p + 1$  and at odd  $b - \frac{1}{2}$ with  $N = 4p + 3$ . In cells with  $\alpha = 120^{\circ}$ , zigzag and bearded terminations are interchanged. With  $L$  at the unit cell boundary, the realized termination type alternates with

<span id="page-3-4"></span>

FIG. 6. Topological junction state between 4-ZGNR-C(3,0) with reference point L at the boundary of the unit cell ( $\mathbb{Z}_2 = 0$ ) and pristine 9-AGNR of the zigzag cell type ( $\mathbb{Z}_2 = 1$ ). The ribbons are connected at a zigzag termination (red line), and the primitive unit cells adjacent to the heterojunction are indicated.

both a and b. Detailed information on this is given in Figs.  $S5(e)$  and  $S5(f)$  [\[21\]](#page-4-12).

Together with the rules on the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  invariant, the band gap, and finite ZGNR-C structures, we have now developed the rule set for the construction of GNRs with junction states and sizeable large band gaps. This is demonstrated for an exemplary system in Fig. [6.](#page-3-4)

In conclusion, we predicted the electronic structure, including band gap character and size, and the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  invariant for all geometrically possible cove-edged zigzag graphene nanoribbons, depending on their characteristic structural variables, the width  $N$ , the distance between coves  $a$ , and the cove offset b. The topological properties are impacted by the termination of the ribbons and reflected by the positions of the inversion centers  $S$  and  $L$  in the unit cell. Equations [\(6\)](#page-1-0) and [\(7\)](#page-2-2) provide the topological properties, whereas Eq. [\(9\)](#page-3-3) gives an approximation of the band gap. We further give rules for constructing GNR junctions with topological edge states. We thus demonstrate the direct relation between structural and electronic topology in these systems, and we provide simple rules for the design of cove-edged nanoribbons of rich electronic variety, including metals and semiconductors: the latter with a large variation of band gap and with or without topological edge states. We are confident that similar rules are applicable to other GNR types.

All calculated data are available at the zenodo repository [\[31\]](#page-4-22).

This project has been funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft within the Priority Program PP 2244 "2DMP" and CRC 1415. The authors would like to thank Dr. Ji Ma, Dr. Yubin Fu, and Professor Dr. Xinliang Feng for introducing us to the intriguing field of cove-edged zigzag graphene nanoribbons. Dr. Thomas Brumme is thanked for helpful discussions.

F. M. A. and T.-J. L. contributed equally to this work.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>[\\*](#page-0-1) thomas.heine@tu-dresden.de

- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[1] P. Ruffieux, S. Wang, B. Yang, C. Sánchez-Sánchez, J. Liu, T. Dienel, L. Talirz, P. Shinde, C. A. Pignedoli, D. Passerone et al., [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17151) 531, 489 (2016).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[2] R. K. Houtsma, J. de la Rie, and M. Stöhr, [Chem. Soc. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CS01541E) 50[, 6541 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CS01541E).
- [3] K.-Y. Yoon and G. Dong, [Mater. Chem. Front.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9QM00519F) 4, 29 (2020).
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[4] A. Narita, Z. Chen, Q. Chen, and K. Müllen, [Chem. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8SC03780A) 10, [964 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8SC03780A).
- [5] O. Gröning, S. Wang, X. Yao, C. A. Pignedoli, G. Borin Barin, C. Daniels, A. Cupo, V. Meunier, X. Feng, A. Narita et al., [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0375-9) 560, 209 (2018).
- [6] D. J. Rizzo, G. Veber, T. Cao, C. Bronner, T. Chen, F. Zhao, H. Rodriguez, S. G. Louie, M. F. Crommie, and F. R. Fischer, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0376-8) 560, 204 (2018).
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[7] X. Wang, J. Ma, W. Zheng, S. Osella, N. Arisnabarreta, J. Droste, G. Serra, O. Ivasenko, A. Lucotti, D. Beljonne et al., [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c09000) 144, 228 (2021).
- [8] P. P. Shinde, J. Liu, T. Dienel, O. Gröning, T. Dumslaff, M. Mühlinghaus, A. Narita, K. Müllen, C. A. Pignedoli, R. Fasel et al., Carbon 175[, 50 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2020.12.069).
- [9] X. Yao, W. Zheng, S. Osella, Z. Qiu, S. Fu, D. Schollmeyer, B. Müller, D. Beljonne, M. Bonn, H. I. Wang et al., [J. Am.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c01882) Chem. Soc. 143[, 5654 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c01882).
- [10] J. Li, S. Sanz, N. Merino-Díez, M. Vilas-Varela, A. Garcia-Lekue, M. Corso, D. G. de Oteyza, T. Frederiksen, D. Peña, and J. I. Pascual, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20314-w) 12, 1 (2021).
- [11] Y. Hu, P. Xie, M. De Corato, A. Ruini, S. Zhao, F. Meggendorfer, L. A. Straasø, L. Rondin, P. Simon, J. Li et al., [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b02209) 140, 7803 (2018).
- [12] J. Cai, C. A. Pignedoli, L. Talirz, P. Ruffieux, H. Söde, L. Liang, V. Meunier, R. Berger, R. Li, X. Feng et al., [Nat.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2014.184) [Nanotechnol.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2014.184) 9, 896 (2014).
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[13] J. Liu, B.-W. Li, Y.-Z. Tan, A. Giannakopoulos, C. Sanchez-Sanchez, D. Beljonne, P. Ruffieux, R. Fasel, X. Feng, and K. Müllen, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b03017) 137, 6097 (2015).
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[14] Y.-L. Lee, F. Zhao, T. Cao, J. Ihm, and S. G. Louie, [Nano](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b03416) Lett. 18[, 7247 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b03416).
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[15] D.-X. Liu, X.-F. Li, X.-H. Zhang, X. Cao, X.-J. Zhu, and D. Shi, [J. Phys. Chem. C](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c04152) 124, 15448 (2020).
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[16] N. Hamada, S.-i. Sawada, and A. Oshiyama, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.68.1579) Lett. 68[, 1579 \(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.68.1579).
- [17] R. Saito, M. Fujita, G. Dresselhaus, and M. S. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. B 46[, 1804 \(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.1804).
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[18] R. Saito, M. Fujita, G. Dresselhaus, and M. S. Dresselhaus, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.107080) 60, 2204 (1992).
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[19] T. Cao, F. Zhao, and S. G. Louie, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.076401) 119, [076401 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.076401)
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[20] The 7 Frieze Groups, in *International Tables for Crystal*lography, edited by H. Fuess, T. Hahn, H. Wondratschek, U. Mller, U. Shmueli, E. Prince, A. Authier, V. Kopsk, D. Litvin, M. Rossmann, E. Arnold, S. Hall, B. McMahon, V. Kopsk, and D. Litvin (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2010), Chap. 2.1, p. 31.
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[21] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.216401) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.216401](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.216401) for details on examples of ZGNR-Cs nomenclature, band structure, estimated band gaps, as well as extended datasets for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and realized termination of ZGNR-Cs (a scheme is included for realized termination of ZGNR-Cs).
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[22] S. Coh and D. Vanderbilt, PythTB 1.7.2, [http://www.physics](http://www.physics.rutgers.edu/pythtb/) [.rutgers.edu/pythtb/](http://www.physics.rutgers.edu/pythtb/) (2016) (accessed 29 May 2020).
- <span id="page-4-15"></span><span id="page-4-14"></span>[23] J. Zak, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.2747) **62**, 2747 (1989).
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[24] R. Resta, [J. Phys. Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/12/9/201) 12, R107 (2000).
- [25] J.-W. Rhim, J. Behrends, and J. H. Bardarson, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.035421) 95[, 035421 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.035421)
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>[26] L. Fu and C. L. Kane, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.045302) 76, 045302 [\(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.045302)
- <span id="page-4-19"></span><span id="page-4-18"></span>[27] K.-S. Lin and M.-Y. Chou, Nano Lett. **18**[, 7254 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b03417).
- <span id="page-4-20"></span>[28] J. Jiang and S. G. Louie, Nano Lett. 21[, 197 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c03503)
- [29] V. Saroka, K. Batrakov, and L. Chernozatonskii, [Solid State](https://doi.org/10.1134/S106378341410028X) Phys. 56[, 2135 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1134/S106378341410028X)
- <span id="page-4-21"></span>[30] Y.-W. Son, M. L. Cohen, and S. G. Louie, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.216803) 97[, 216803 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.216803)
- <span id="page-4-22"></span>[31] F. Arnold, T.-J. Liu, A. Kuc, and T. Heine, Structureimposed electronic topology in cove-edged graphene nanoribbons (2022), [10.5281/zenodo.7254203.](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7254203)