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#### Abstract

Quantum pseudotelepathy is a strong form of nonlocality. Different from the conventional nonlocal games where quantum strategies win statistically, e.g., the Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt game, quantum pseudotelepathy in principle allows quantum players to with probability 1 . In this Letter, we report a faithful experimental demonstration of quantum pseudotelepathy via playing the nonlocal version of Mermin-Peres magic square game, where Alice and Bob cooperatively fill in a $3 \times 3$ magic square. We adopt the hyperentanglement scheme and prepare photon pairs entangled in both the polarization and the orbital angular momentum degrees of freedom, such that the experiment is carried out in a resourceefficient manner. Under the locality and fair-sampling assumption, our results show that quantum players can simultaneously win all the queries over any classical strategy.
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Quantum advantages have been found in various areas of quantum information processing, from communication and computation to materials and engineering [1-4]. Such advantages come from quantum resources, which could be entanglement, nonlocality, indistinguishability, and so on [5-7]. Quantum games have been widely used to reveal such quantum resources in an operational manner [8-18]: the players equipped with a certain quantum resource can achieve better performance than those with classical ones. To better understand and use quantum advantages, it is important to design and develop experiments to realize such quantum games.

Quantum pseudotelepathy [17] is a special class of quantum games: it allows quantum players to win the game with probability 1 . This distinguishes itself from conventional quantum games, where quantum players win the game statistically. For instance, in the Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt game [9], quantum players win the game best with a probability approximately 0.85 on average [19]. There have been several types of pseudotelepathy games, including the Mermin-Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger game [10,11,20], the Deutsch-Jozsa game [16], and arguably one of the most interesting nonlocal games, the Mermin-Peres game [12,13].

The Mermin-Peres game is of great interest due to its simplicity and significance in quantum foundation. In this game, the players are requested to fill in a $3 \times 3$ magic square with certain constraints (see the detailed description below). It can be played by a single player via sequential filling or by
two separated players via cooperative filling. The singleplayer version of the game reveals the contextuality of quantum theory $[8,14,21,22]$ and has been carried out in various platforms [22-28]. The two-player version of the game, which is the focus of this work, can be used to detect nonlocality. Particularly, the game has also been proven as the simplest for showing quantum pseudotelepathy, in the sense that it involves only two players and the number of required referee's queries is minimized [29]. We note that the deep connection between nonlocality and contextuality has been explored; see, e.g., Refs. [30-36].

In the aspect of nonlocality experiments, the loopholefree Bell tests have been carried out using the single-copy two-qubit states [37-40]. Unfortunately, a faithful realization of the nonlocal Mermin-Peres game is still experimentally challenging and consuming, as it requires one to distribute two two-qubit entangled states and to carry out local measurements on two qubits from different entangled pairs. Meanwhile, the game is in principle designed to be won with unit probability, which can never happen in realistic experiments with errors and noises. One is required to employ high-fidelity state preparation and measurement in experiment to beat the winning threshold. Recently, a scheme based on quantum dots inside optical cavities was also proposed [41].
In this Letter, we faithfully demonstrate the MerminPeres game, and therefore quantum pseudotelepathy, using a full-photonic setup in a resource-efficient manner. We adopt hyperentangled photons and encode two maximally
entangled states on one pair of photons using 2 degrees of freedoms (DoFs), namely, the polarization and orbital angular momentum (OAM) degree. Such an architecture strongly reduces the experimental overheads in preparations, and more importantly increases the coincidence in detection compared with the common four-photon scheme. Furthermore, we use quantum random numbers [42] to select queries, which in principle guarantees intrinsic randomness. By assuming fair sampling and locality, we conclude that the players with hyperentangled states can outperform any classical strategy to win all queries with significant high probabilities.

Mermin-Peres game.-In the Mermin-Peres game, two players, Alice and Bob, are required to independently fill a $3 \times 3$ magic square. As shown in Fig. 1, the referee randomly sends two queries $x, y \in\{0,1,2\}$ to Alice and Bob, respectively. Here, $x$ labels rows and $y$ labels columns. Alice and Bob are required to reply with three numbers with specific conditions. Denote Alice's answers in a row as $\left[a_{0}^{x}, a_{1}^{x}, a_{2}^{x}\right]$ and Bob's answers in a column as $\left[b_{0}^{y}, b_{1}^{y}, b_{2}^{y}\right]^{T}$, where $a_{i}^{x}, b_{j}^{y} \in\{-1,+1\}$ for any $i, j \in\{0,1,2\}$. Alice's answers must satisfy $\prod_{i} a_{i}^{x}=+1$, while Bob's should satisfy $\prod_{j} b_{j}^{y}=-1$ for any $x$ and $y$. During the game, Alice and Bob are forbidden to communicate with each other. They win the game if the overlapped entry of Alice's row and Bob's column is always the same, i.e., $a_{y}^{x}=b_{x}^{y}$ for each $x$ and $y$.

Classical strategies cannot always win the game. One can prove it by contradiction [12,13]. Considering a deterministic classical strategy that gives definite answers when receiving queries $x$ or $y$. Then, if one multiplies all


FIG. 1. The Mermin-Peres magic square game. The referee randomly sends $x$ and $y$ to Alice and Bob, respectively. Alice and Bob reply with three numbers, either +1 or -1 , in a row and in a column, respectively. They win the game if the overlapped entry is the same.

Alice's answers, she would have $\prod_{x, i} a_{i}^{x}=+1$, while if one multiplies all Bob's answers, he would have $\prod_{y, j} b_{j}^{y}=-1$. That is, for any deterministic classical strategy, Alice and Bob cannot successfully fill the same number for all entries in the square. There are nine optimal deterministic strategies, as depicted in Table. I. For each strategy, Alice and Bob win eight out of the total nine query pairs $(x, y)$. A general classical strategy can be viewed as a mixture of deterministic strategies, so one can conclude that any classical strategy cannot simultaneously win each query pair with probabilities higher than $8 / 9$ [43]. We will use this criterion to verify the quantum pseudotelepathy in our experiment. We also refer the classical strategy that wins each query pair with probability $8 / 9$ as the unbiased optimal classical strategy.

Quantum strategies can successfully fill every entry of the square with probability 1 . Suppose that in each round two maximally entangled states $|\Psi\rangle_{A_{1} A_{2} B_{1} B_{2}}=|\psi\rangle_{A_{1} B_{1}} \otimes$ $|\psi\rangle_{A_{2} B_{2}}$ with $|\psi\rangle=(|00\rangle+|11\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$ are distributed to Alice and Bob, where Alice has systems $A_{1} A_{2}$ and Bob has $B_{1} B_{2}$. After the referee sends them queries $x$ and $y$, respectively, they independently perform measurements $M_{A}^{(x, i)}$ and $M_{B}^{(y, j)}$, and obtain answers $a_{i}^{x}$ and $b_{j}^{y}$. The measurement strategy is given in Table II. In this table, the measurements in each row or in each column are pairwise commutative such that they can be jointly measured [44]. It can be verified that for all $x$ and $y$, the product of Alice's output is always +1 , as $\prod_{i} M_{A}^{(x, i)}=I$, while the product of Bob's output is always -1 , as $\prod_{j} M_{B}^{(y, j)}=-I$. For the game, Alice and Bob will always win each entry since $\langle\Psi| M_{A}^{(x, y)} \otimes M_{B}^{(y, x)}|\Psi\rangle=1 \forall x, y$, i.e., they have same value $a_{y}^{x}=b_{x}^{y}$, which exhibits quantum pseudotelepathy. As a comparison, recall that for the usual nonlocal game (e.g., the Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt game), quantum strategies cannot win each query with probability 1. In this sense, quantum pseudotelepathy is a stronger form of nonlocality.

Experimental implementation.-The crucial part for our experiment is the generation of hyperentangled state. Note that, if one prepares the entangled states individually, say, two entangled photon pairs, and perform four-photon coincidence in the measurement, not only the setup can be complicated, but also the detection efficiency will significantly drop down. Instead, we seek a hyperentangled photon pair and encode two entangled states into 2 DoFs, i.e., polarization and OAM. We use $|H\rangle,|V\rangle,|r\rangle$, and $|l\rangle$ to represent the horizontal polarization, vertical polarization, right-handed OAM of $+\hbar$, and left-handed OAM of $-\hbar$, respectively. The prepared state is in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi\rangle=|\psi\rangle_{\mathrm{pol}} \otimes|\psi\rangle_{\mathrm{oam}}=\frac{|H H\rangle+|V V\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \frac{|r l\rangle+|l r\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE I. Nine deterministic optimal classical strategies. Here, $\#=+1$ for Alice and $\#=-1$ for Bob. When receiving queries $x$ and $y$, Alice and Bob select one table via preshared randomness and reply with the $x$ th row and $y$ th column, respectively. If they uniformly select tables, they would have on average a winning probability $8 / 9$ for each query.


To produce the hyperentangled photon pairs, two pieces of 0.6 mm thick, identically cut type-I $\beta$-barium borates (BBO) crystals with optic axes in perpendicular planes are glued together for spontaneous parametric down-conversion $[45,46]$ and pumped by ultrafast laser pulses with central wavelength of 390 nm , pulse duration of 150 fs , average power of 40 mW , and repetition rates of 80 MHz . The spatial and temporal walk-offs are compensated by birefringence crystals. When a hyperentangled photon pair is created, one photon is sent to Alice and the other one is sent to Bob. At the same time, the referee employs a series of quantum random numbers [42] and distributes random numbers 0,1 , or 2 as queries to Alice and Bob.

Followed by the creation of the hyperentangled state, one needs to jointly measure the results of each of the rows and columns of Table II. Notice that the observables in each of the rows or columns are mutually commuting, which means

TABLE II. Optimal quantum strategy. The $X, Y$, and $Z$ are three Pauli matrices. When receiving queries $x$ and $y$, Alice and Bob select the $x$ th row ( $y$ th column) of observables to measure their systems. They win all queries with probability 1.

they have the common eigenstates [47]. For Alice, we choose $Z Z$ basis for the first row, $X X$ basis for the second, and graph state basis [44] for the last. As for Bob, we choose $X Z$ basis for the first column, $Z X$ basis for the second, and Bell state basis [44] for the last. Obtaining the expectation values of all nine observables requires $3 \times 3=9$ settings. We therefore design the measurement setup to implement nine settings, as shown in Fig. 2. As a faithful demonstration of the game, the measurement settings are freely switchable. To achieve this, we insert half-wave plates (HWPs) before the polarization interferometric prisms (PIPs), as shown in Fig. 2(b), and use stepper motors to independently drive HWPs $1-8$ to $0^{\circ}$ and $22.5^{\circ}$, depending on the received random number.

As an illustration of how the measurement settings are implemented, here we consider the case of $(x, y)=(0,2)$. When random numbers $(x, y)=(0,2)$ are generated and sent to Alice and Bob, respectively, their stepper motors are triggered such that $Z Z$ is measured at Alice's side while Bell state measurement is measured at Bob's side. For the measurement $Z Z$, a readout of qubits encoded in polarization and OAM is performed as follows. Polarization qubit is first read out with a standard polarization analyzer, which consists of one HWP, one polarizing beam splitter (PBS). After the PBS, the two paths, transmission and reflection of photons, correspond to two outcomes [as shown in Fig. 2(c)]. While for qubits encoded in OAM, a swap gate is then used to transfer the OAM information to polarization, followed by another polarization analyzer [48].

For the measurement under Bell basis, an additional polarization-OAM CNOT gate is employed. The CNOT gate is implemented with a PIP [49], where two prisms are glued to each other with PBS coating inside [as shown in


FIG. 2. Experimental setup to play quantum magic square game. (a) The generation of two-photon Polarization- and OAM hyperentangled state. (b) Polarization interferometric prism (PIP). Implement a Polarization-OAM Controlled-NOT (CNOT) gate for single photons with the polarization acting as the control qubit and the OAM as the target qubit. The HWP with a WiFi sign represents HWP that changes angle according to QRN (quantum random number). (c) Polarization measurement (d) Dual-channel OAM readout by coherently convert OAM to the polarization by a swap gate (inset). (e) Quantum random number sends 0,1 and 2 to Alice and Bob, where 0,1 and 2 denote the first, second and third row or column in the game, respectively.

Fig. 2(b)]. In this way, $|H\rangle$ and $|V\rangle$ components of the input photon are split into different prisms, and then recombined after different-parity number reflections. The topological charge of an OAM state is kept after an even number of reflections and inverted after an odd number of reflections. Thus, at the output of PIP, $X$ or $I$ can be performed on the OAM qubit according to whether the polarization is $|V\rangle$ or not, such that the CNOT gate is realized. (Please refer to Supplemental Material [44] for details about other measurements.)

Results.-To ensure that the hyperentangled state in Eq. (1) is successfully generated in the experiment, we measure the fidelity of the prepared state. The density matrix $\rho=|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$ can be expressed as
$\rho=\frac{1}{16}(I I+X X-Y Y+Z Z) \otimes(I I+X X+Y Y-Z Z)$,
where $X, Y$, and $Z$ are three Pauli operators and $I$ is the identity matrix. We thus measure the expectation values of all involved nine observables, i.e., $X X X X, X X Y Y, \ldots$, $Z Z Y Y$, and ZZZZ. This is done by reading out all 16 outcome combinations of each four-qubit observable. The fidelity of our prepared state is $F=0.928(1)$ with respect to the ideal state in Eq. (2) [44].

In the experiment, every coincidence event represents a round of the game. As a result, we totally play 1075930
rounds of the game during which 1009610 rounds are won. The average winning probability is $0.9384(2)$, which is more than 247 standard deviations. The precise winning probability for each query pair $(x, y)$ is shown in Fig. 3. Compared to classical bound $8 / 9$ ( $\approx 88.89 \%$ ) for each query pair, we observe that the quantum theory enables players to win all the cases with probabilities over $91 \%$. This implies that the quantum strategies can outperform any classical strategy (e.g., the unbiased classical optimal strategy). Because of the noise from the multiphoton emission of parametric down-conversion and the imperfection of the optical interferometers, the ideal $100 \%$ winning probabilities cannot be achieved in a real experiment. Nevertheless, one can conclude that no classical strategy can outperform the experimental result in Fig. 3, since any mixture of deterministic classical strategies (as shown in Table I) win all nine queries with probabilities higher than $8 / 9$ simultaneously. We remark that the average winning probability is slightly larger than the fidelity of the hyperentangled state because the polarization entangled qubits and the OAM entangled qubits involve different types of noises.

Conclusion.-Quantum pseudotelepathy has been shown to have applications for quantum communication complexity. We experimentally demonstrated the quantum pseudotelepathy by playing the Mermin-Peres game. We adopted multi-DoF encoding of hyperentangled photon


FIG. 3. Summary of results. The winning probability for each query pair $(x, y)$ is calculated from experimental data. The standard deviation is less than $0.01 \%$, which is negligible in the histogram. The dotted blue line represents the unbiased classical bound $8 / 9$.
pairs to reduce the experimental overheads, and prepared hyperentangled state with high fidelity. Quantum random numbers are exploited to generate the referee's queries. The experiment shows that, under an assumption of locality and fair sampling, the quantum players can fill the magic square more successfully than any classical strategy. To close all loopholes in this experiment, not only Alice and Bob should satisfy spacelike separation during the game, but also the overall detection efficiency should be higher than $87.5 \%$ [44], which is much more difficult than the loop-hole-free Bell test. Additionally, the Mermin-Peres game is extensively used as a certification of quantumness of devices in recent research. It is an important open question to find many practically useful tasks for this game. Our result will explicitly stimulate the design and investigation of novel protocols based on known quantum games, especially for quantum communication [50] and randomness expansion [51].
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