Emergence and Breaking of Duality Symmetry in Generalized Fundamental Thermodynamic Relations

Zhiyue Lu^{®*}

Department of Chemistry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3290, USA

Hong Qian^{®†}

Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195-3925, USA

(Received 13 November 2021; revised 22 January 2022; accepted 11 March 2022; published 15 April 2022)

Thermodynamics as limiting behaviors of statistics is generalized to arbitrary systems with probability *a priori* where the thermodynamic infinite-size limit is replaced by a multiple-measurement limit. A duality symmetry between Massieu's and Gibbs's entropy arises in the limit of infinitely repeated observations, yielding the Gibbs equation and Hill-Gibbs-Duhem equation (HGDE) as a dual pair. If a system has a thermodynamic limit satisfying Callen's postulate, entropy being an Eulerian function, the symmetry is lost: the HGDE reduces to the Gibbs-Duhem equation. This theory provides a de-mechanized foundation for classical and nanothermodynamics and offers a framework for distilling emergence from large data, free from underlying details.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.150603

For macroscopic equilibrium systems approaching infinite-size limit, classical thermodynamics emerges systematically in Gibbs's ensemble theory of statistical mechanics [1-3]. It states that equilibrated macroscopic homogeneous systems (i.e., simple systems) can be fully described by a number of extensive quantities S, U, V, N that are related by the fundamental relation U = U(S, V, N) or, equivalently, S = S(U, V, N). All other thermodynamic properties, such as temperature, pressure, heat capacity, and Helmholtz free energy, can be obtained through partial derivatives or Legendre transforms of the fundamental equation. Moreover, there exist a set of universal relations despite the system's specific details entailed by the particular functional form: Based upon the extensivity of S, U, V, N and Euler's theorem for homogeneous degree one functions (1), one obtains the Gibbs-Duhem equation as a differential form (2) of the universal relation [1]. Taking the entropy representation of the fundamental relation in a compact vector representation, the two relations are

$$S = \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{Y},\tag{1}$$

$$0 = \mathbf{Y} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\beta},\tag{2}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (1/T, P/T, -\mu/T)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (U, V, N)$.

For small systems without the thermodynamic limit, in contrast, S(U, V, N) is not a Eulerian function of U, V, N and the Gibbs-Duhem equation is no longer valid. Moreover, proper definitions of U, V, S, N become nontrivial: They become dependent upon the methods for measurements due to fluctuations. To recover thermo-dynamiclike principles for small systems, there have been

two main approaches: One carefully redefines thermodynamic quantities for small systems (e.g., volume) that satisfy relations that preserve the form of macroscopic thermodynamics. The recent works of Seifert [4] and Jarzynski [5] have taken up this bottom-up approach. Another approach developed by Hill, more "phenomenological and thermodynamic" in nature, introduced additive observables of a small system as extensive quantities and presented thermodynamic relations with a finite-size correction term to the entropy function [6–9]. The latter theory evoked a replica averaging technique and obtained a modified Gibbs-Duhem equation through Legendre transform of a non-Eulerian entropy function:

$$S = \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{Y} - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{T},\tag{3}$$

$$d\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{T}\right) = \mathbf{Y} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\beta}.$$
 (4)

The relations (3) and (4) are general; one natural example for \mathcal{E} is the surface-effect correction to bulk thermodynamics; see Ref. [8] for a recent treatment of this problem. In Hill's nanothermodynamics, which was inspired by ideal solution where solutes are replicas of small systems, the thermodynamic limit is replaced by a replica ensemble limit.

Although the mathematical foundation of classical thermodynamics emerging from the macroscopic limit has been extensively studied in statistical mechanics, neither the theoretical nor probabilistic foundation of Hill's nanothermodynamics is well understood. In this

0031-9007/22/128(15)/150603(6)

Letter, we explicitly discuss the concept of the mean values of repeated measurements as mesoscopic thermodynamic quantities and a general framework that includes both Gibbs's ensemble and Hill's replica approaches. We also demonstrate that Hill's replica approach can be extended to arbitrary stochastic systems with certain stationarity, for example, translational invariance in either space or time. This setting allows for applying the large deviation principle from the theory of probability, upon which we derive a set of relationships that captures and generalizes Hill's thermodynamic relations. We find that the generalized fundamental equation obtained through the large deviation approach exhibits a duality symmetry under a full, Legendre-Fenchel transform (LFT). According to the large deviation theory, the LFT based on variational calculus [as in Eq. (8)] rather than the traditional Legendre transform based on the derivative is critical for recognizing this duality, as echoed in a recent study [10]. The duality symmetry is apparently broken in the classical macroscopic thermodynamics theory.

With the generalized thermodynamic framework, when one takes the thermodynamic limit, the symmetry broken can be explicitly illustrated as a subextensive term. Our result shows the large deviation theory as the mathematical foundation to the emergence of universal thermodynamiclike behavior for arbitrary stationary systems with or without detailed balance condition, in terms of generalized physical quantities not limited to the traditional S, U, V, N.

In classical thermodynamics [1] the fundamental relation S = S(U, V, N), e.g., entropy and other thermodynamic potentials as Eulerian degree-one functions of the extensive quantities, is an asymptotic limiting behavior of statistics [11-13]. The mathematical theory of large deviations in probability provides not only powerful tools for statistical mechanics [14–18], but more importantly a novel organizational principle for the theory of thermodynamic behavior itself [19], in particular the origin of the maximum entropy principle [20,21] as a consequence of the contraction principle and Gibbs conditioning [18]. To take advantage of the large deviation principle in an arbitrary stationary system of an arbitrary size, we explicitly discuss the repeated measurement limit inspired by Hill's work [6,22]. Consider a general stochastic system with state space \mathfrak{S} and *a priori* probability density function $f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{S}$, the large deviation theory (LDT) states that for a large number M repeated measurements of an array of Kreal observables $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = (g_1, \dots, g_K)(\mathbf{x})$, one can construct the mean value

$$\bar{\mathbf{g}}_M = \frac{\mathbf{g}^{(1)} + \dots + \mathbf{g}^{(M)}}{M},\tag{5}$$

in which the vector $\mathbf{g}^{(i)}$ is the *i*th measurement outcome and $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_M$ is the mean of the *M* repeated measurements. For a stationary stochastic system, we can treat each $\mathbf{g}^{(i)}$ as

identically distributed random variables. As $M \to \infty$, the law of large number expects $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_M$ converges to the expectation value of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ defined as

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{g}] = \int_{\mathfrak{S}} f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (6)

However, when $M \gg 1$ is large and finite, the LDT predicts that the probability distribution for the measurement mean $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_M$ follows an asymptotic expression,

$$\ln \Pr\{\mathbf{y} < \bar{\mathbf{g}}_M \le \mathbf{y} + d\mathbf{y}\} = M\eta(\mathbf{y}) + o(M), \quad (7)$$

where the negative large deviation rate function $\eta(\mathbf{y})$ is a generalized entropy function, the term o(M) is vanishingly small compared to $M\eta(\mathbf{y})$ in the repeated measurement limit $M \to \infty$. According to Cramér's theorem [14,16,18] for independent $\mathbf{g}^{(i)}$'s, one can also obtain a pair of conjugate functions

$$\eta(\mathbf{y}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \{ \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{y} + \psi(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \},\tag{8}$$

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \ln \int_{\mathfrak{S}} f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) \exp(-\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}, \qquad (9)$$

in which $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, ..., y_K)$ are dummy variables representing the possible values that the *K* measurement means could take, and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_K)$ serves as their *conjugates*. See Ref. [21] for a highly condensed but self-contained tutorial of the large deviation analysis when K = 1. In this Letter we will show that $\eta(\mathbf{y})$ is analogous to Hill's modified thermodynamic fundamental equation S = S(U, V, N) and $\psi(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is a subextensive term that is present for small systems but negligible in the thermodynamic limit. Notice that the *repeated measurement limit*, $M \to \infty$, needs to be distinguished from the thermodynamic limit where a system's size approaches infinity. The repeated measurement limit allows us to apply large deviation theory to systems of arbitrary size.

Reduction to classical thermodynamics and statistical mechanics.-Although the proposed multiple-measurement approach can be applied to arbitrary stationary system, equilibrium or nonequilibrium, or even athermal (e.g., systems very far removed from a molecular understanding and the possibility of a Hamiltonian conception, such as those in ecology), the large deviation theory analysis bears a unmistakable resemblance to those in statistical mechanics. We emphasize that the various ensembles originating from the microcanonical ensemble in statistical thermodynamics are achieved by partially replacing a subset of the components of g by its conjugate in β in Eqs. (8) and (9), which we denote as partial LFTs and will be discussed elsewhere. Here we focus on the full *LFT*, replacing all of y by β . For illustrative purposes, let us identify \mathbf{x} as the phase space point, and equal *a priori* measure for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{S}$, and perform a scalar measurement (K = 1) $g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x})$ as the mechanical energy (Hamiltonian). Then $\bar{g}(\mathbf{x})$ is the statistical sampling mean of internal energy and the integral in Eq. (9) is the canonical partition function. Moreover, $-\beta^{-1}\psi(\beta)$ is the Helmholtz free energy and $k_B\psi(\beta)$ is known as the Massieu-Planck free entropy [23]. More interestingly, noting that $\psi(\beta)$ is a convex function, the LFT in Eq. (8) can be carried out with differentiation:

$$\eta(\mathbf{y}) = \left[-\beta \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \beta}\right) + \psi(\beta)\right]_{\beta: \partial \psi/\partial \beta = -\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\partial [\beta^{-1} \psi(\beta)]}{\partial (1/\beta)}.$$
 (10)

This shows that $k_B \eta(y)$ corresponds to the thermodynamic entropy as a function of internal energy S(U).

To make a more precise analogy to the thermodynamic fundamental relation S = S(U, V, N), let us consider the K = 3 scenario where measurable quantities in g(x)represent the Hamiltonian, volume, and number of particles of an ergodic mechanical system that satisfies detailed balance condition $(\mathbf{y} = (U, V, N))$, then the integral in Eq. (9), as a function of y's conjugate variables $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (k_B T)^{-1}(1, P, -\mu)$, corresponds to a special partition function for the β ensemble. This β ensemble partition function is not frequently discussed in the literature (see Guggenheim's work [24]), but could be related to the full Legendre transform of S(U, V, N): If one performs the full Legendre transform of the fundamental relation S = S(U, V, N) in the classical thermodynamic theory, one apparently expects to obtain 0. However, by performing a statistical mechanical analysis of the β ensemble, the corresponding partition function $\exp[\psi(\beta)]$ becomes the so-called Guggenheim's generalized partition function [24]. For macroscopic thermodynamics, this corresponding thermodynamic potential is ignored because it is subextensive [25]. In nanothermodynamics, however, the free energy like term $-k_B T \psi$ is named the subdivision potential by Hill [22]. Although our approach can be applied to non-detail-balanced systems and the choices of measurable quantities are not limited by thermodynamics (U, V, N), we borrow the names from thermodynamics and name ψ the Massieu-Guggenheim free entropy and, correspondingly, η the Gibbs entropy. For simplicity, we will denote them as free entropy and entropy in the rest of the Letter.

Free entropy–Gibbs entropy duality symmetry.—It is always important to identify a thermodynamic potential function together with its appropriate independent variables in thermodynamics. The mathematics in Eqs. (7)–(10) reproduces some of the key steps associated with the changing from one set of variables (ensemble) to another in statistical mechanics. In thermodynamics, such changes of ensemble are represented by Legendre transformations. More importantly, the large deviation rate function $\eta(\mathbf{y})$ in our general approach is a convex function of \mathbf{y} since it is the LFT of the convex function $\psi(\beta)$ as a function of β , and Eq. (8) has an inverse relation [26]:

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \max_{\mathbf{y}} \{ -\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{y} + \eta(\mathbf{y}) \}.$$
(11)

Even though we first obtain $\psi(\beta)$ from $f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ through the partition function, some detailed information concerning the original system is lost in the statistics of the mean value [27]. Transcending the original stochastic system, the free entropy $\psi(\beta)$ and entropy $\eta(\mathbf{y})$ in Eqs. (8) and (11) now form a dual under LFT: A duality symmetry emerges. This symmetry can be expressed as the generalized Euler's equation with Hill's correction for the entropy or the free entropy:

$$\eta(\mathbf{y}) = \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{y} + \psi(\boldsymbol{\beta}), \qquad (12a)$$

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = -\mathbf{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} + \eta(\mathbf{y}). \tag{12b}$$

where β is the gradient of $\eta(\mathbf{y})$ and \mathbf{y} is the gradient of $\psi(\beta)$. Notice that our Eq. (12a) extends Hill's equation

$$S = \frac{U}{T} + \frac{PV}{T} - \frac{\mu N}{T} - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{T}$$
(13)

to be applicable for arbitrary stationary stochastic systems. One can also express the symmetry in terms of the exact differentials:

$$d\eta(\mathbf{y}) = \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot d\mathbf{y},\tag{14a}$$

$$d\psi(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = -\mathbf{y} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\beta}. \tag{14b}$$

If we again identify **y** as (U, V, N) in statistical mechanics, $k_B \eta(\mathbf{y})$ as entropy S(U, V, N), and, correspondingly, $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (k_B T)^{-1}(1, P, -\mu)$, we see that Eq. (14a) is a generalization of the Gibbs equation,

$$dS = \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)dU + \left(\frac{P}{T}\right)dV - \left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right)dN, \qquad (15a)$$

which holds for both Hill's nanothermodynamics and the classical macroscopic thermodynamics. Moreover, our Eq. (14b) generalizes a key result of Hill's nanothermodynamics [6,28], in which we can find the exact differential of the free entropy:

$$d\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{T}\right) = Ud\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) + Vd\left(\frac{P}{T}\right) - Nd\left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right).$$
(15b)

Bedeaux *et al.* termed the relation in Eq. (15b) the Hill-Gibbs-Duhem equation [9]. We shall follow their terminology to call our Eq. (14b) the generalized Hill-Gibbs-Duhem equation. One specific example of \mathcal{E} is surface energy,

see Refs. [8] and [29] for recent studies of surface and interface nanothermodynamics.

These emerging thermodynamiclike results from the multiple-measurement limit presented so far are generally true for any stochastic systems and can be reduced to the description of nanothermodynamics. The symmetry between the generalized entropy $\eta(\mathbf{y})$ and generalized Massieu-Planck free entropy $\psi(\beta)$, shown by Eqs. (12a), (12b), (14a), and (14b), implies a similar symmetry in Hill's nanothermodynamics: All information about the thermodynamic properties of a given substance, captured by the fundamental equation S = S(U, V, N), is simultaneously contained in Hill's subdivisional function $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(T, P, \mu)$, which is previously understood as a finite-size correction to the macroscopic thermodynamics. Below, we show that in the thermodynamics limit when the system size approaches infinity, such a symmetry can be broken as one neglects the subextensive quantities.

Broken duality symmetry in the classical thermodynamic limit.—According to classical thermodynamics, a macroscopic system in the *thermodynamic limit* is represented by a set of *extensive variables*, which we denote as the vector **g**. We adopt Callen's postulate on classical thermodynamics which states that in the thermodynamic limit, entropy is an Eulerian degree-one homogeneous function of all the extensive variables [1]. Thus for a large system approaching the macroscopic limit, we have $\mathbf{y} \to \infty$ and $\eta(\mathbf{y}) \to \infty$ simultaneously:

$$\eta(\mathbf{y}) = y_1 \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y_1} + \dots + y_K \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y_K} + o(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} + o(\mathbf{y}), \quad (16)$$

in which the notion $o(\mathbf{y})$ represents a subextensive term that scales sublinear with respect to the system's size: $o(\mathbf{y})/\mathbf{y} \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \infty$. Substituting Eq. (16) into Eq. (11), one can show that $\psi(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is purely subextensive and disappears in the thermodynamic limit, and thus Eq. (14b) is the Gibbs-Duhem equation $\mathbf{y} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\beta} = 0$ in the (macroscopic) thermodynamic limit. Similarly, Hill-Gibbs-Duhem equation (15b) reduces to the Gibbs-Duhem equation

$$-SdT + VdP - Nd\mu = 0 \tag{17}$$

as is expected for classical thermodynamics of macroscopic systems. As a consequence, in the thermodynamic limit for extensive large systems, the duality symmetry between Eqs. (14a) and (14b) is apparently lost, if one ignores the subextensive term which becomes negligible compared to the extensive terms. When the subextensive term is ignored, the full Legendre transformation, which serves as a bridge between the entropy and free entropy becomes problematically defined as shown below.

Legendre-Fenchel transformation of a homogeneous function.—A Eulerian homogeneous function of degree 1 cannot be a strictly convex function: If $\eta(\alpha \mathbf{y}) = \alpha \eta(\mathbf{y})$,

where α is any real number, then $\eta(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \eta$, and $\nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \eta = \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \eta + \mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \eta$. This implies

$$\mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \eta = 0. \tag{18}$$

So $\eta(\mathbf{y})$ loses strict convexity along the constant \mathbf{y} direction in which the Hessian matrix $\nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \eta$ is singular. The LFT of such an $\eta(\mathbf{y})$ can exist only if the \mathbf{y} is restricted to a compact and convex domain, and the LFT is non-differentiable on the submanifold defined by Eq. (18) [30]. When the \mathbf{y} is extended to the entire \mathbb{R}^{K} , the domain of its LFT is contracted to a K-1 submanifold which defines the equation of state in classical thermodynamics. Since the thermodynamic limit dictates $\eta(\mathbf{y})$ being a degree 1 homogeneous function of \mathbf{y} [1], it is the mathematical origin of broken duality symmetry in the thermodynamic limit.

Generalized conjugate forces β .—In thermodynamics β 's take the forms of 1/T, p/T, and μ/T and they can be considered as conjugate forces that govern the spontaneous change of energy U, volume V, and number of particles N. We can briefly show that the β derived in the generalized thermodynamics framework can also be considered as a driving force for exchange of the corresponding physical quantities. In the context of a large number, M i.i.d. measurements, it suffices to say that when conditioned on an observation that $\bar{g}_M = y$, there is a posterior distribution $f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})e^{-\beta(y)g(\mathbf{x})}$ among the *M* samples [31]. If one has two sets of samples with $\bar{g}^{(a)} = y_a$ and $\bar{g}^{(b)} = y_b$, then the joint samples that pooled two together will have $\min\{y_a, y_b\} \leq \bar{g}^{(a \cup b)} \leq \max\{y_a, y_b\}. \text{ Since } \beta(y) \text{ is a monotonic function of } y, \text{ this also implies a new } \beta \text{ that is}$ between the β_a and β_b . Thus one can find the spontaneous direction of equilibration using β as it is done in traditional thermodynamics. It has been shown recently that canonical distribution can also be understood as a limit theorem of an infinitesimal portion of a large system [32], which gives the canonical distribution and its β an alternative interpretation.

Integral and differential β 's.—It is worth pointing out that the conjugate force can have two distinct definitions. Consider again K = 1 with both y and β being scalars. Then Eq. (11) immediately suggests that

$$-\frac{d\eta(y)}{dy} + \frac{\eta(y)}{y} = -\beta + \frac{\eta(y)}{y} = \frac{\psi}{y}.$$
 (19)

If we take the thermodynamic limit, both $\eta(y)$ and y are extensive quantities, as both tend to ∞ if their ratio $\eta(y)/y$ exists. Then according to l'Hôspital's rule the $d\eta(y)/dy$ will have the same limit as $y \to \infty$. Thus, in the thermodynamic limit the right-hand side of Eq. (19) vanishes (ψ is subextensive). In other words, the integral and differential definitions of β are equivalent to each other in the thermodynamic limit. In Ref. [22] Hill has introduced a

notation $\eta(y)/y = \hat{\beta}$, called the integral form of β in contrast to the differential $\beta = \partial \eta(y)/\partial y$. Then

$$-\beta + \hat{\beta} = \frac{\psi}{y} = \frac{\eta(y) - y\eta'(y)}{y} = -\frac{d\hat{\beta}}{d\ln y}.$$
 (20)

This is another key result in Hill's thermodynamics of small systems [9,22]. The right-hand side of Eq. (20) has a very clear meaning. To illustrate we take y as the number of particles N, for example, then

$$\frac{\psi}{N} = -N\frac{d}{dN}\left(\frac{\eta}{N}\right),$$

in which η/N is the entropy per particle. Accordingly, $d(\eta/N)/dN$ is its change due to introducing one additional particle, and $Nd(\eta/N)/dN$ is the change in the entire system, of all *N* particles, due to one additional particle. In other words, when introducing one additional particle into a system of *N* particles, the effect is "subdivided" into all *N* particles.

Three entropies and two limits.— In contrast to the classical thermodynamics with one entropy and one limit, the present theory is about three entropies and two limiting processes. In addition to Gibbs entropy η and free entropy ψ , there is a third $\ln \Omega(\mathbf{y})$ corresponding to the prior probability density function for the observable $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$,

$$\Omega(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y} = \Pr\{\mathbf{y} < \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \le \mathbf{y} + d\mathbf{y}\}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbf{y} < \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \le \mathbf{y} + d\mathbf{y}} f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}, \qquad (21)$$

which completely determines the partition function and $\psi(\beta)$,

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \ln \int_{\mathbb{R}^{K}} \Omega(\mathbf{y}) \exp(-\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$
 (22)

 $\Omega(\mathbf{y})$ is the *density of state* in terms of the observable $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$. $\ln \Omega$ can be identified as Boltzmann's microcanonical entropy if $\mathbf{g} = (U, V, N)$. In an essence our theory has a logic flow captured by the following scheme:

$$\ln \Omega(\mathbf{y}) \to \{ \psi(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \leftrightarrow \eta(\mathbf{y}) \}$$
(23a)

$$\rightarrow \left\{ \frac{\psi(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\mathbf{y}} = 0, \frac{\eta(\mathbf{y})}{y_i} = \frac{d\eta}{dy_i} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_i \right\}.$$
 (23b)

If $\ln \Omega(\mathbf{y})$ is convex, then $\ln \Omega(\mathbf{y}) = \eta(\mathbf{y})$. In this case, the microcanonical description in terms of Boltzmann's entropy with \mathbf{y} as independent variables is equivalent to the canonical description in terms of Massieu-Guggenheim entropy with $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ as independent variables [33]. The y_i in (23b) can be any one component of the extensive \mathbf{y} . The derivative is understood as expressing all other components

of **y** normalized by the y_i . The " \rightarrow " in Eq. (23a) represents the repeated-measurement limit; in general there is a loss of information on the small system. The " \leftrightarrow " in Eq. (23a) indicates the emergent duality symmetry. This is the domain of Hill's nanothermodynamics [22]. The \rightarrow in Eq. (23b) then represents the large system, macroscopic thermodynamics limit. It results in a breaking of the duality symmetry.

This work builds on the large deviation theory to construct a unified "probability foundation" of Gibbs's and Hill's theories, and leads to their generalization to systems beyond thermodynamic equilibrium: It is an emergent behavior of "certain quantity" becoming large: be it large measurement time, large number of particles, or large number of replica. This unified understanding generalizes the thermodynamic limit into a measurement limit and is clearly beyond the work of Hill, or Gibbs theory itself. The thermodynamic structure presented in the present work, while assumes a probability distribution a priori, does not require the concept of equilibrium in connection to detailed balance in stochastic dynamics, nor ergodicity. Therefore, it is applicable to measurements on biomarkers from isogenic single living cells [21]. Of course, if a large system consists of many statistically identical but independent smaller parts, then the entire argument based on i.i.d. measurements can be applied to a single measurement of extensive variables of the large system as a whole. This mathematics was precisely in Boltzmann's theory of 1877, with the probabilistic concept of "conditioning on the value y" being replaced by Newtonian "conservation with the value y."

The present result augments the current understanding of the nature of thermodynamic behavior, which so far has been focused on large systems limit and phase transition through symmetry breaking as a key route for emergent phenomena [34,35]. We now see there is actually a *large measurements limit* that generates a different kind of emergent order, a duality symmetry, for any small stochastic systems with stationarity or replica. This symmetry is lost, however, in the large system limit.

Z. L. acknowledges the financial support from the startup fund at UNC-Chapel Hill. H. Q. is grateful to Prof. Lu Yu (ITP, CAS) for advice and the Olga Jung Wan Endowed Professorship. We thank D. Bedeaux, R. Chamberlin, C. Jarzynski, S. Kjelstrup, the members of Summer 2020 Online Club Nanothermodynamica, especially Quanhui Liu and Hong Zhao, for extensive discussions, and E. Smith, A. Szabo, P. Warren for comments. We appreciate the reviewers for constructive suggestions on the presentation of this work.

zhiyuelu@unc.edu

[†]hqian@u.washington.edu

^[1] H. B. Callen, *Thermodynamics and an Introduction to Thermostatistics*, 2nd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1985).

- [2] E. A. Guggenheim, Modern Thermodynamics by the Methods of Willard Gibbs (Methuen & Company Limited, London, 1933).
- [3] E. Fermi, *Thermodynamics* (Dover Publications, New York, 1956).
- [4] U. Seifert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 020601 (2016).
- [5] C. Jarzynski, Phys. Rev. X 7, 011008 (2017).
- [6] T. L. Hill, Nano Lett. 1, 273 (2001).
- [7] S. Kjelstrup, Nanomaterials 11, 584 (2021).
- [8] D. Bedeaux and S. Kjelstrup, Chem. Phys. Lett. 707, 40 (2018).
- [9] D. Bedeaux, S. Kjelstrup, and S. K. Schnell, *Nanothermodynamics: General Theory* (NTNU, Trondheim, Norway, 2020).
- [10] O. Galteland, E. Bering, K. Kristiansen, D. Bedeaux, and S. Kjelstrup, arXiv:2111.15253.
- [11] E. T. Jaynes, Phys. Rev. 108, 171 (1957).
- [12] S. Chibbaro, L. Rondoni, and A. Vulpiani, *Reductionism*, *Emergence and Levels of Reality* (Springer, New York, 2014).
- [13] H. Qian, Y.-C. Cheng, and L. F. Thompson, arXiv:1902.09536.
- [14] R. S. Ellis, Entropy, Large Deviations, and Statistical Mechanics (Springer, Berlin, 1985).
- [15] Y. Oono, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 99, 165 (1989).
- [16] H. Touchette, Phys. Rep. 478, 1 (2009).
- [17] H. Ge and H. Qian, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 26, 1230012 (2012).
- [18] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni, *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*, 2nd ed. (Springer, Berlin, 2010).
- [19] E. Smith, Rep. Prog. Phys. 74, 046601 (2011).
- [20] S. Pressé, K. Ghosh, J. Lee, and K. A. Dill, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 1115 (2013).
- [21] H. Qian and Y.-C. Cheng, Quant. Biol. 8, 172 (2020).

- [22] T. L. Hill, *Thermodynamics of Small Systems* (Dover, New York, 1963).
- [23] M. Planck, *Treatise on Thermodynamics* (Dover, New York, 2010).
- [24] E. A. Guggenheim, J. Chem. Phys. 7, 103 (1939).
- [25] We discover that the spirit of Guggenheim's setup was similar to, J. G. Kirkwood, J. Chem. Phys. 3, 305 (1935).
- [26] R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996).
- [27] One of course could construct mean square value and many other nonlinear statistics to gain additional information. The relationship between such an approach and traditional thermodynamics remains to be elucidated.
- [28] R. V. Chamberlin, Entropy 17, 52 (2015).
- [29] W. Dong, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 118, e2019873118 (2021).
- [30] An illustrative example is $\eta(y_1, y_2) = y_1^2/y_2$ with $y_2 \in [b_1, b_2]$. The corresponding LFT $\psi(\beta_1, \beta_2) = b_1 z$ if $z(\beta_1, \beta_2) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} (\beta_2 \beta_1^2/4) > 0$, and $= b_2 z$ if z < 0. The submanifold is $z(\beta_1, \beta_2) = 0$. When $[b_1, b_2]$ extends to the entire \mathbb{R} , $\psi(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ diverges almost everywhere; whose support contracts to the submanifold.
- [31] J. van Campenhout and T. Cover, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 27, 483 (1981).
- [32] Y.-C. Cheng, H. Qian, and Y. Zhu, Ann. Henri Poincaré 22, 1561 (2021).
- [33] H. Touchette, J. Stat. Phys. 159, 987 (2015).
- [34] P. W. Anderson, Science 177, 393 (1972).
- [35] H. Qian, Y.-C. Cheng, and Y.-J. Yang, Europhys. Lett. 131, 50002 (2020).