

Zwitterions Raise the Dielectric Constant of Soft Materials

Wenwen Mei¹, August J. Rothenberger¹, Joshua E. Bostwick¹, Joshua M. Rinehart¹,
Robert J. Hickey¹, and Ralph H. Colby¹

Materials Science and Engineering, Penn State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA



(Received 29 June 2021; accepted 21 October 2021; published 24 November 2021)

Materials exhibiting high dielectric constants (ϵ_s) are critical for energy storage and actuators. A successful approach to increase ϵ_s is to incorporate polar additives (with high ϵ_s) but controlling the resulting dispersion state is difficult. Here, we show that significant ϵ_s increases are realized by adding zwitterions, which are small molecules with a cation and an anion separated by covalent bonds. The increase in ϵ_s with zwitterion addition is attributed to the large molecular dipole of zwitterions, ranging from 35 to 41 D, as experimentally quantified and confirmed using density functional theory. At elevated zwitterion concentration in an ethylene glycol medium, there is a nonlinear increase of ϵ_s that eventually saturates due to the strong Coulombic interactions between zwitterions. The presented work provides a fundamental molecular understanding of why zwitterions are effective additives in boosting ϵ_s in soft materials.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.228001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.228001)

Materials with high dielectric constants (ϵ_s) are critical in increasing the performance of energy-related devices such as capacitors, solid-state batteries, and biomimetic actuators [1–3]. In the case of polymer electrolytes, tough soft materials that conduct one and only one type of ion are desirable for applications such as Li batteries [4–6] and ionic actuators [7–9]. Such single-ion conductors have been extensively explored in the 21st century but so far the ionic conductivity is always too low [1,4,10,11]. Addition of low volatility polar solvents increases the dielectric constant (softening ionic interactions), to a point (i.e., cyclic carbonates, nitriles, and formamides have dipoles of ~ 5 D), and if the solvent has low glass transition temperature (T_g) the solvent also speeds up ionic motion. However, adding polar solvents leads to the loss of mechanical strength compared to the neat polymer, and high temperature applications are limited due to solvent evaporation at elevated temperatures. The combination of higher dielectric constant and lower T_g allows the conductivity to increase and seems to be the path forward but so far, the conductivity is still not high enough for practical applications [11].







One method that shows potential for creating high-dielectric materials is through the use of zwitterionic additives [9,12]. Zwitterions are small molecules connecting a cation and an anion by covalent bonds. The molecular structure of zwitterions renders them with very large molecular dipoles, and different combinations of anion and cation are possible by different synthetic approaches [13–17]. Though zwitterion additives have shown promise, a fundamental understanding of their role as ϵ_s enhancers from a molecular perspective is not known. Although the ϵ_s

of dilute zwitterion solutions to quantify the molecular dipole of zwitterions has been shown to be possible [18,19], the ϵ_s of neat zwitterions as well as the zwitterion solution ϵ_s over the whole concentration range have not been reported. Understanding the relationship between material ϵ_s with respect to zwitterion addition is critical to determine the effectiveness of zwitterions as nonvolatile extremely polar additives to increase ϵ_s in soft materials.

Here, we show that nonvolatile liquid zwitterions at room temperature exhibit the largest dielectric constant reported for soft materials (e.g., 200–270) due to their static molecular dipole that is in the range of 35–41 D. In their neat liquid state, zwitterions of course have many ionic interactions between neighboring molecules. However, to our surprise, their large dipoles apparently make the medium polar enough to allow the zwitterions to move in response to an applied electric field and realize enormous dielectric constants, both in their neat state and in mixtures with polar solvents. Furthermore, zwitterions in the neat liquid state exhibit collective molecular rotation under an applied electric field, which gives rise to an apparent dc conductivity similar to translational ion diffusion and the temperature dependence of this false apparent conductivity is predicted using Onsager's equation. The work presented here suggests that zwitterions are alternative additives for boosting ϵ_s and have large implications for energy materials.

The molecular structures of the six zwitterions of this study are shown in Table I, each with imidazolium cation separated from sulfonate anion by a three (propyl, P) or four (butyl, B) carbon connector. Dielectric spectroscopy was used to characterize the dielectric constant of these zwitterions in their liquid state and in anhydrous ethylene

TABLE I. Zwitterion dipole moment m , polarizability volume V_p , volume fraction for polarizability volume overlap φ^* , and extrapolated estimates of the zwitterion dielectric constant compared with measured ϵ_s at 303 K.

Zwitterion structure ^a	m_{dilute} and $m_{\text{DFT}}^{\text{b}}$ (D)		ϵ_s^{c}	V_p (nm ³)	$\varphi^{*\text{d}}$	$\epsilon_{\text{zw,LL}}$	$\epsilon_{\text{zw,On}}$
 MeImPS	35.0	33.5	NA	9.73	0.027	2800	376
 MeImBS	41.4	41.0	NA	13.6	0.022	3000	566
 BuImPS	35.2	34.3	230	9.84	0.027	2500	386
 BuImBS	40.0	41.5	270	12.7	0.024	2800	505
 OE ₂ ImPS	36.0	33.7	201	10.2	0.032	2800	326
 OE ₂ ImBS	41.7	41.3	250	13.8	0.025	3500	442

^aMeImPS: 4-(3-methyl-1-imidazolium)-1-propanesulfonate, MeImBS: 4-(3-methyl-1-imidazolium)-1-butanesulfonate, BuImPS: 4-(3-butyl-1-imidazolium)-1-propanesulfonate, BuImBS: 4-(3-butyl-1-imidazolium)-1-butanesulfonate, OE₂ImPS: 4-(3-methoxyethoxyethyl-1-imidazolium)-1-propanesulfonate, OE₂ImBS: 4-(3-methoxyethoxyethyl-1-imidazolium)-1-butanesulfonate.

^bCalculation based on B3LYP/6-31 G++(d,p) with CPCM model (solvent = 1, 2-ethanediol).

^cExperimentally measured ϵ_s at 303 K, the ϵ_s of BuImBS and BuImPS at 303 K is extrapolated based on the linear dependence of ϵ_s over $1/T$ [Fig. 1(a), dashed lines].

^d φ^* is calculated as $\varphi^* = V_m/V_p$, the ratio of zwitterion molecular volume V_m and polarizability volume V_p .

glycol solutions. Dilute solutions are the classical way to quantify the dipole of any polar molecule [20], including zwitterions [18,19]. Table I shows that the dilute solution measured dipoles (m_{dilute}) compare well with those calculated by density functional theory (DFT) methods (m_{DFT} from Gaussian09 with a polarizable continuum at 0 K). The three zwitterions with 3-carbon connectors have dipoles ~ 35 D while the other three with 4-carbon connectors have dipoles ~ 41 D. The dipole moment calculated with Gaussian is larger than the dipole moment estimated with the length of the all trans linear alkane connector, due to the larger distance between the charge centers and the polarization effect from the polarizable continuum.

Based on the measured dipoles in dilute solution, Debye's polarizability volume [21–23] V_p is calculated using Eq. (1) and is listed in Table I,

$$V_p = \frac{m^2}{12\pi\epsilon_0 k_B T}, \quad (1)$$

where m is the molecular dipole moment and ϵ_0 is the vacuum permittivity. The physical significance of this

volume is the region of the sample surrounding a zwitterion dipole where similar dipoles have a dipole-dipole interaction that exceeds the thermal energy $k_B T$. A typical contact ion pair has dipole of 15 D [21,24] with polarizability volume 1.8 nm³. Owing to the semiflexible cation or anion molecular connectors, zwitterions have larger dipoles and since the polarizability volume grows as the square of the dipole moment, the zwitterion polarizability volumes are huge, of order 10 nm³ for the three with propyl connectors and of order 13 nm³ for the three with butyl connectors (Table I). Also shown in Table I is the volume fraction of zwitterions where their polarizability volumes start to overlap [21,25], φ^* , which is of order 2%.

When the polarizability volumes of contact ion pairs in neat single-ion conducting ionomers start to overlap, they aggregate ions and further addition of ionic groups then lowers the dielectric constant [21,25]. In contrast with zwitterions, the dielectric constant continues to increase, albeit not as rapidly as expected by the Onsager equation [Eq. (2)] [26].

$$\frac{p_0 m^2}{9\epsilon_0 k T} = \frac{4\pi}{3} p_0 V_p = \frac{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_\infty)(2\epsilon_s + \epsilon_\infty)}{\epsilon_s(\epsilon_\infty + 2)^2} \cong \frac{2\epsilon_s}{(\epsilon_\infty + 2)^2} \text{ for large } \epsilon_s. \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) expects that for polar liquids, the dielectric constant ϵ_s is proportional to the polarizability volume and both are reciprocally related to absolute temperature due to thermal randomization of dipoles [26]. The dielectric constant should increase proportional to the number density of dipoles p_0 and the square of their dipole moment m . The temperature dependence of the dielectric constant of neat zwitterions in their liquid state is shown to be of the Onsager form of Eq. (2) in Fig. 1(a) as the open symbols. ϵ_∞ is the high frequency dielectric constant due to electronic polarization in the optical frequency range. The high melting temperature ($T_m > 200^\circ\text{C}$) of MeImBS and MeImPS precludes the measurement of ϵ_s in their liquid states, while the BuImBS and BuImPS can be measured at 150–200 °C. The oxyethylene functionalized zwitterions are supercooled liquids at ambient temperature, allowing measurement of ϵ_s over a wide range. The investigated zwitterions show good solubility in ethylene glycol. The polar solvent ethylene glycol also shows nearly Onsager temperature dependence [open black circles and black line in Fig. 1(a); the line is straight but does not have an intercept at 0,0]. The ϵ_s for zwitterion-ethylene glycol solutions can be predicted based on Onsager [Eq. (2)], and a simple additivity of dielectric constant is often assumed [26],

$$\epsilon_s(\varphi) = \varphi\epsilon_{\text{ZW,On}} + (1 - \varphi)\epsilon_{\text{EG}}, \quad (3)$$

for mixtures of zwitterions with dielectric constants $\epsilon_{\text{ZW,On}}$ (with volume fraction φ) and ϵ_{EG} for ethylene glycol (with volume fraction $1-\varphi$). The prediction based on a linear mixing rule was plotted in Fig. 1(a) as solid lines for the OE2ImBS/EG solutions with $\epsilon_{\text{ZW,On}} = 442$ at 30 °C. Good agreement with Eq. (3) was only found for solutions with low zwitterion content ($\varphi < 10$ vol %), while solutions with higher φ show clear deviation from the prediction of Eq. (3). The failure of Onsager's mixing rule is a result of intermolecular interaction between zwitterions dictated by the strong Coulombic force. Consequently, the deviation from Onsager's prediction [Eq. (3)] is more significant at higher φ [Fig. 1(a)].

Figure 1(b) further shows that the proportionality between dielectric constant and number density of zwitterions expected by Eqs. (2) and (3) is valid at low enough concentrations in ethylene glycol solutions. The dashed lines have slopes of 85 L/mol for the butyl connectors and 60 L/mol for the propyl connectors in dilute solutions. At the concentration of neat zwitterionic liquids, the dashed lines would predict the dielectric constant of neat zwitterion liquids $\epsilon_{\text{ZW,On}}$ based on the solution ϵ_s with low zwitterion φ . The $\epsilon_{\text{ZW,On}}$ is ~ 350 for zwitterions with propyl connector and ~ 500 for zwitterions with butyl connector, which are both significantly higher than the actual value of the measured ϵ_s of neat zwitterions, shown as the open symbols in Fig. 1(b). The deviation from the linear mixing rule of Eq. (3) is more significant at higher φ . The neat zwitterion ϵ_s (open symbols) are only $\sim 1/2$ of their




FIG. 1. Dielectric constant ϵ_s , of neat zwitterionic liquids (open-colored symbols), ethylene glycol (open black), and OE2ImBS/EG mixtures (filled colored). (a) Neat zwitterion ϵ_s were fitted with the Onsager equation [Eq. (2)] shown as dashed lines with zero intercept. Solid color lines are the prediction of the zwitterion/EG solution ϵ_s based on the Onsager equation [Eq. (2)] using the linear mixing rule [Eq. (3)] with the ϵ_s for neat EG and expected zwitterion dielectric constant $\epsilon_{\text{ZW,On}} = 442$ at 30 °C. The black dashed line represents the linear fitting of measured ϵ_s for neat EG. (b) Zwitterion number density dependence of dielectric constant in ethylene glycol solutions at 30 °C. Dashed lines are the dilute solution fits to Eq. (3) that determine $\epsilon_{\text{ZW,On}}$ listed in Table I. Open symbols represent the neat zwitterions in the liquid state.

extrapolated $\epsilon_{ZW,On}$ values based on Eq. (3) fit to the dilute data.

The main motivation of determining the $\epsilon_{ZW,On}$ from dilute solution measurements is to predict the solution ϵ_s . As shown in Fig. 1(b), a linear mixing rule with the neat zwitterion ϵ_s would underestimate the solution ϵ_s at low ϕ . Additionally, the measurement of neat zwitterion ϵ_s in their liquid state remains challenging, as most zwitterions reported so far show T_m over 200 °C [13–15]. $\epsilon_{ZW,On}$ can be used to compare the capability of different zwitterions to increase the dielectric constant of any polar medium they are soluble in, and provides reasonable prediction of the solution ϵ_s for different solvents with a simple linear mixing rule [Eq. (3)] up to about 10 vol %. At very high ϕ , the measured ϵ_s reaches a plateau for OE2ImPS and OE2ImBS that coincides with ϵ_s of the neat zwitterions. For the other four crystalline zwitterions, such a high concentration cannot be reached near 30 °C.

The concept of polarizability volume overlap is demonstrated to be useful in Fig. 2(a), as the polarizability volume overlap parameter ϕ/ϕ^* nicely reduces all data for the six different zwitterions in ethylene glycol solutions. There seems to be a linear dependence of dielectric constant on ϕ/ϕ^* that decreases in slope by a factor of 0.6 once the polarizability volumes start to overlap. The continued linear increase of dielectric constant makes zwitterions quite different from contact ion pairs (which start to show ϵ_s decrease for $\phi/\phi^* > 1$) [25], indicating that zwitterion mixtures can realize extremely large dielectric constants with potential uses in boosting the dielectric constant of single-ion conductors and other energy materials. The

macroscopic ϵ_s of mixtures can also be predicted with a 1/3 mixing rule derived by Landau and Lifshitz [27] and used extensively for binary mixtures of polar solvents by Looyenga [28].

$$[\epsilon_s(\phi)]^{1/3} = \phi \epsilon_{zw,LL}^{1/3} + (1 - \phi) \epsilon_{EG}^{1/3}. \quad (4)$$

Equation (4) applies to many mixtures of polar small molecules and to mixtures of single-ion conducting ionomers and polar additives [29,30]. The linearized form [30] of Eq. (4) is plotted in Fig. 2(b), shown as the black line. The dilute data with $\phi < \phi^*$ (beyond the vertical red line) do obey Eq. (4) and are fit to obtain $\epsilon_{ZW,LL}$ listed in Table I and used to construct Fig. 2(b). The other data at higher zwitterion concentrations are below the black line, indicating that these mixtures with overlapping polarizability volumes have lower dielectric constants, owing to interactions. The colored lines are Onsager's prediction with the ϵ_s measured for each neat zwitterionic liquid.

The giant zwitterion molecular dipoles also demonstrate themselves by showing a conductivity plateau in their $\sigma'(\omega)$ spectra shown in Fig. 3(a). The observed apparent dc conductivity is hypothesized to be caused by zwitterion rotation under the alternating ac field. When the external field changes direction at low enough frequency, the zwitterions rotate somewhat to follow that field, which leads to an effective charge displacement similar to translational ion diffusion. The ac field for $\omega < 1/\tau_\sigma$ [shown in Fig. 3(a)] biases the thermally randomized distribution of zwitterion dipoles to preferentially point towards the positively charged electrode. The biasing makes the




FIG. 2. Dielectric constant ϵ_s replotted over reduced concentration and linearized Landau-Lifshitz mixing equation. (a) Data from Fig. 1(b) replotted as a function of polarizability volume overlap parameter, reducing all data and showing two different slopes above and below the polarizability volume overlap concentration, ϕ^* . (b) Data replotted in the form that the Landau and Lifshitz equation [Eq. (4)] expects to be linear (black line), which is only observed below the polarizability volume overlap, denoted by the vertical red line. The expected neat zwitterion dielectric constants $\epsilon_{zw,LL}$ listed in Table I are based on fitting the Landau and Lifshitz equation [Eq. (4)] to each dataset with $\phi < \phi^*$. Colored lines are Onsager predictions based on the measured neat zwitterion dielectric constants at 30 °C (open symbols) with the values for neat BulmPS and BulmBS extrapolated using the Onsager temperature dependence from Fig. 1(a).




FIG. 3. Apparent dc conductivity for the pure zwitterion OE2ImBS. (a) Real parts of conductivity $\sigma'(\omega)$ and permittivity $\epsilon'(\omega)$ spectra for OE2ImBS at 303 K. The red line indicates the determination of ϵ_s , the black line indicates the determination of σ , and the black arrow indicates the reciprocal timescale $1/\tau_\sigma$. (b) The zwitterion conductivity predicted from measured dielectric constant with Eq. (5) is plotted as the dashed curves and compared with the measured temperature dependence of apparent zwitterion conductivity, shown as the open symbols. The true dc conductivity of these zwitterions is unmeasurably tiny.

zwitterionic liquid polarizable, reflected in a large ϵ_s but also contributes to an apparent conductivity σ from the same rotational biasing.

$$\sigma = \frac{p_0 m^2}{\tau_\sigma k T} = \frac{9\epsilon_0(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_\infty)(2\epsilon_s + \epsilon_\infty)}{\epsilon_s(\epsilon_\infty + 2)^2} \frac{1}{\tau_\sigma}. \quad (5)$$

p_0 is the number density of zwitterion molecules. The final form of Eq. (5) was obtained using Eq. (2), and the calculated conductivity is plotted as dashed curves shown in Fig. 3(b) with the measured apparent zwitterion conductivities shown as symbols, with remarkable agreement. This false apparent dc conductivity reinforces the notion that conductivity is not the right metric for ion conducting electrolytes. [25] The enormous dipoles of zwitterions make this false conductivity visible, it really should be present in any system with rotatable dipoles but orders of magnitude smaller for normal dipoles.

Equation (5) is derived based on two assumptions: (i) the timescale of the collective zwitterion rotation is the onset of the dc plateau [τ_σ , see Fig. 3(a)] and (ii) the rotation occurs on the length scale of the zwitterion's molecular dipole (m/e , e is the elementary charge). At lower frequencies than the $\sigma'(\omega)$ and $\epsilon'(\omega)$ plateaus, Fig. 3(a) even shows the usual macroscopic polarization effect caused by the apparent σ . The agreement between the calculated σ and the measured σ in Fig. 3(b) suggests that zwitterion partial rotation accounts for the measured apparent conductivity [$\sim 10^{-8}$ S/cm at 300 K in Fig. 3(a)].

In summary, we study the dielectric constants of zwitterions in their neat states and in their mixtures with ethylene glycol. Using the dielectric constant of dilute

solutions, the dipole moment of the zwitterion can be determined and is found to agree with simple DFT calculations. Since the zwitterion dipoles are 7–8 times larger than the dipoles of the most polar organic solvents and the dipole gets squared when calculating the dielectric constant, these zwitterions are powerful dielectricizers. As the concentration of zwitterion is increased beyond the polarizability volume overlap, zwitterions begin to interact with each other, and this makes the dielectric constant of the mixture lower than expected by simple mixing rules. However, the enabling observation is that the dielectric constant of zwitterion mixtures continues to increase with zwitterion content, and this suggests they may be very useful as polar additives (i.e., dielectricizers) for all sorts of energy materials, including single-ion conductors. Initial studies of such have begun and show promise for ionic actuators [9].

This work was funded through the National Science Foundation (DMR-1807934). The authors thank Dr. Joel Bombile, Dr. Lasse Jensen, Dr. Tatiana Laremore and Dr. Xuekai Zhang for discussions and help with data acquisition and interpretation. Many relevant details are presented in Supporting Information [31].

The authors declare no competing interests.

-
- [1] Q. Zhao, S. Stalin, C.-Z. Zhao, and L. A. Archer, *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **5**, 229 (2020).
 - [2] Q. Zhang, H. Li, M. Poh, F. Xia, Z.-Y. Cheng, H. Xu, and C. Huang, *Nature (London)* **419**, 284 (2002).
 - [3] A. Javey *et al.*, *Nat. Mater.* **1**, 241 (2002).

- [4] R. Bouchet *et al.*, *Nat. Mater.* **12**, 452 (2013).
- [5] H.-D. Nguyen, G.-T. Kim, J. Shi, E. Paillard, P. Judeinstein, S. Lyonnard, D. Bresser, and C. Iojoiu, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **11**, 3298 (2018).
- [6] H. Zhang, C. Li, M. Piszcz, E. Coya, T. Rojo, L. M. Rodriguez-Martinez, M. Armand, and Z. Zhou, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **46**, 797 (2017).
- [7] A. A. Lee, R. H. Colby, and A. A. Kornyshev, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **25**, 082203 (2013).
- [8] M. V. Fedorov and A. A. Kornyshev, *Chem. Rev.* **114**, 2978 (2014).
- [9] O. Kim, H. Kim, U. H. Choi, and M. J. Park, *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 13576 (2016).
- [10] S. Liang, M. V. O'Reilly, U. H. Choi, H.-S. Shiau, J. Bartels, Q. Chen, J. Runt, K. I. Winey, and R. H. Colby, *Macromolecules* **47**, 4428 (2014).
- [11] C. Y. Son and Z.-G. Wang, *J. Chem. Phys.* **153**, 100903 (2020).
- [12] C. Tiyaiboonchaiya, J. M. Pringle, J. Sun, N. Byrne, P. C. Howlett, D. R. MacFarlane, and M. Forsyth, *Nat. Mater.* **3**, 29 (2004).
- [13] H. Ohno, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **79**, 1665 (2006).
- [14] M. Yoshizawa-Fujita, A. Narita, and H. Ohno, in *Electrochemical Aspects of Ionic Liquids*, edited by H. Ohno (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 2011), p. 301.
- [15] H. Ohno, M. Yoshizawa-Fujita, and Y. Kohno, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **92**, 852 (2019).
- [16] M. Yoshizawa-Fujita, T. Tamura, Y. Takeoka, and M. Rikukawa, *Chem. Commun.* **47**, 2345 (2011).
- [17] H. Ohno, M. Yoshizawa-Fujita, and Y. Kohno, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **20**, 10978 (2018).
- [18] M. Galin, A. Chapoton, and J.-C. Galin, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **2**, 545 (1993).
- [19] Y. Ono and T. Shikata, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **110**, 9426 (2006).
- [20] R. M. Meighan and R. H. Cole, *J. Phys. Chem.* **68**, 503 (1964).
- [21] U. H. Choi, A. Mittal, T. L. Price, M. Lee, H. W. Gibson, J. Runt, and R. H. Colby, *Electrochim. Acta* **175**, 55 (2015).
- [22] P. J. W. Debye, *Polar Molecules* (Dover, New York, 1929).
- [23] P. Atkins and P. Julio, *Atkins' Physical Chemistry*, 8th ed. (Oxford University Press, London, 2010).
- [24] U. H. Choi, A. Mittal, T. L. Price, H. W. Gibson, J. Runt, and R. H. Colby, *Macromolecules* **46**, 1175 (2013).
- [25] N. H. LaFemina, Q. Chen, K. T. Mueller, and R. H. Colby, *ACS Energy Lett.* **1**, 1179 (2016).
- [26] L. Onsager, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **58**, 1486 (1936).
- [27] L. D. Landau, J. Bell, M. Kearsley, L. Pitaevskii, E. Lifshitz, and J. Sykes, *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media* (Elsevier, New York, 2013), Vol. 8.
- [28] H. Looyenga, *Mol. Phys.* **9**, 501 (1965).
- [29] Q. Chen, N. Bao, J. H. H. Wang, T. Tunic, S. Liang, and R. H. Colby, *Macromolecules* **48**, 8240 (2015).
- [30] U. H. Choi and R. H. Colby, *Macromolecules* **50**, 5582 (2017).
- [31] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.228001> for the measured zwitterion properties, which includes Refs. [32,33].
- [32] M. D. Lechner and C. Wohlfarth, *Static Dielectric Constants of Pure Liquids and Binary Liquid Mixtures: Supplement to IV/6* (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin Heidelberg, 2008).
- [33] S. Havriliak and S. Negami, *J. Polym. Sci. Part C* **14**, 99 (1966).