## Efficient First-Principles Methodology for the Calculation of the All-Phonon Inelastic Scattering in Solids

<span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>Marios Zacharias [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9293-1176)<sup>1[,\\*](#page-4-0)</sup> Hélène Seiler <sup>2</sup>, Fabio Caruso <sup>3</sup>, Daniela Zahn <sup>2</sup>, Feliciano Giustino <sup>4,5</sup>

Pantelis C. Kelires  $\mathbf{D}$ ,<sup>1</sup> and Ralph Ernstorfer  $\mathbf{D}^{2,6,\dagger}$  $\mathbf{D}^{2,6,\dagger}$  $\mathbf{D}^{2,6,\dagger}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical and Materials Science Engineering, Cyprus University of Technology,

P.O. Box 50329, 3603 Limassol, Cyprus<br><sup>2</sup> Eritz Haber Institut, Physical Chamistry Department, Berli

 $^{2}$ Fritz-Haber-Institut, Physical Chemistry Department, Berlin 14195, Germany<br><sup>3</sup> Spetitut für Theoretische Physik und Astronbysik Christian Albrechts Universität zu Kiel, D. 240

<sup>3</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik und Astrophysik, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, D-24098 Kiel, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Oden Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, USA

 $^5$ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, USA

 $^6$ Institut für Optik und Atomare Physik, Technische Universität Berlin, 10623 Berlin, Germany

(Received 18 March 2021; revised 9 April 2021; accepted 22 September 2021; published 9 November 2021)

Inelastic scattering experiments are key methods for mapping the full dispersion of fundamental excitations of solids in the ground as well as nonequilibrium states. A quantitative analysis of inelastic scattering in terms of phonon excitations requires identifying the role of multiphonon processes. Here, we develop an efficient first-principles methodology for calculating the *all-phonon* quantum mechanical structure factor of solids. We demonstrate our method by obtaining excellent agreement between measurements and calculations of the diffuse scattering patterns of black phosphorus, showing that multiphonon processes play a substantial role. The present approach constitutes a step towards the interpretation of static and time-resolved electron, x-ray, and neutron inelastic scattering data.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.207401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.207401)

Inelastic scattering experiments in solids have a long history and have been the subject of intensive research for almost a century. Originally employed to understand atomic vibrations [[1\]](#page-4-2), this type of experiment reveals the full dispersion relations of fundamental collective excitations like phonons  $[2-10]$  $[2-10]$  $[2-10]$  $[2-10]$ , plasmons  $[11]$ , and spins [\[12](#page-4-6)[,13\]](#page-4-7), as well as of localized excitations like polarons [\[14](#page-4-8)[,15\]](#page-4-9), or excitons [[16](#page-4-10)]. Since the development of timeresolved diffraction [[17](#page-4-11),[18](#page-4-12)], standard techniques of inelastic scattering have been gradually taken to the ultrafast time domain [\[19](#page-4-13)–[33](#page-5-0)]. In this regime, new scattering signatures emerge, reflecting intriguing nonequilibrium physics that arise from many-body interactions [[34](#page-5-1)]. This wealth of information is obtained by analyzing the inelastic contribution to the total scattering signal. However, scattering patterns are usually dominated by inelastic interactions with phonons, for which a full description, beyond the standard one-phonon structure factor [\[35\]](#page-5-2), is critical to (i) improve the analysis of phonon excitations and (ii) extract other excitation signals with small cross section.

The quantum theory describing inelastic scattering from phonons, also known as thermal diffuse scattering, has been developed by Laval [\[36\]](#page-5-3), Born [[1](#page-4-2)], and James [[37](#page-5-4)] (LBJ) and is included in several solid-state physics textbooks [\[35](#page-5-2)[,38](#page-5-5)–[40\]](#page-5-6). The appealing characteristic of the LBJ theory is that one-phonon and multiphonon processes are treated on the same footing, allowing for the evaluation of the allphonon scattering intensity using a single compact expression. Albeit this theory and the principle of multiphonon scattering [cf. Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-1-0)] are well established for decades [\[41](#page-5-7)–[46\]](#page-5-8), current ab initio calculations of diffuse diffraction  $[13,29,33,47-51]$  $[13,29,33,47-51]$  $[13,29,33,47-51]$  $[13,29,33,47-51]$  $[13,29,33,47-51]$  $[13,29,33,47-51]$  $[13,29,33,47-51]$  $[13,29,33,47-51]$  $[13,29,33,47-51]$  $[13,29,33,47-51]$  $[13,29,33,47-51]$  only account for the onephonon structure factor. This approach becomes problematic whenever scattering wave vectors in high order Brillouin zones and/or high temperatures are of interest. In such cases, multiphonon effects hinder an accurate analysis of experimental data, e.g., for extracting phonon dispersions and nonequilibrium phonon populations.

In this Letter, we develop an efficient first-principles method for the calculation of the one-, multi-, and allphonon scattering in solids, relying on the LBJ formalism. We demonstrate the predictive power of this methodology by performing electron diffraction measurements and calculations of the scattering intensity of black phosphorus (bP). Theory and measurements are in striking agreement, confirming the decisive role of multiphonon interactions in reproducing experiments for a large range of scattering wave vectors. We also evaluate the fraction of the thermal energy transfer due to multiphonon excitations and find up to 30% contribution in the temperature range 100–500 K. The computational approach developed here carries general validity and can be employed to analyze electron, x-ray, and neutron inelastic scattering of any material, as long as the kinematic approximation holds.

To measure the thermal diffraction signals of bP we performed femtosecond electron diffuse scattering (FEDS)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of a multiphonon scattering process.  $\mathbf{K}_i$ and  $\mathbf{K}_f$  denote the beam wave vectors before and after inelastic scattering. The momentum transfer to the crystal is  $\hbar Q =$  $\hbar(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_3)$ , where Q and q are the scattering and reduced phonon wave vectors. (b) Left: raw scattering pattern of bP as collected on the detector. G represents a Bragg peak vector. The Brillouin zone with the  $\Gamma$ , A, and X high-symmetry points together with the armchair and zigzag directions are indicated. Right: difference scattering pattern,  $\Delta I(Q, t = 50 \text{ ps})$ , described in the main text.

[\[25](#page-5-12)[,28](#page-5-13),[33](#page-5-0),[48](#page-5-14)] and focus on the hot, thermalized phonon populations resulting from photoexcitation. The experimental setup is described in the parallel paper, Ref. [\[52\]](#page-5-15). In Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-1-0) we show a typical thermal difference pattern of bP obtained as  $\Delta I(Q, t) = I(Q, t) - I(Q, t')$ , where  $I(Q, t')$ and  $I(\mathbf{Q}, t)$  are the average scattering intensities prior to photoexcitation measured at time  $t'$  and at a pump-probe delay  $t = 50$  ps, at which the bP lattice has acquired a quasithermalized state [[32\]](#page-5-16). Each scattering wave vector Q on this pattern can be generally expressed as  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{G} \pm \sum_i \mathbf{q}_i$ , where G is a Bragg vector and  $\mathbf{q}_i$  are the reduced wave vectors of the phonons involved in the absorption or emission processes [see Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-1-0)]. The negative and positive  $\Delta I(Q)$  are marked in blue and red, respectively. Bragg (elastic) scattering intensity appears as negative owing to the Debye-Waller damping, while diffuse (inelastic) scattering appears as positive due to larger phonon populations at thermalization [\[52](#page-5-15)].

In the framework of the adiabatic LBJ theory, the scattering intensity arising from an instantaneous atomic configuration is given by the amplitude of the total scattering factor, as a consequence of the kinematic limit (or first Born approximation) [\[39](#page-5-17),[53\]](#page-5-18). Formally, this approximation involves truncating the Born expansion of the Lippmann-Schwinger equation [\[54](#page-5-19)] up to the first order in the interaction potential. Physically, this is valid for weak probe-crystal interactions and neglects multiple scattering, i.e., the beam undergoes a single interaction event. Under these conditions, the energy attenuation of the incident beam is due to inelastic scattering from lattice vibrations and the temperature dependence of the collected intensity can be evaluated as a canonical ensemble average with the electrons in their ground state.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>Employing the harmonic approximation, the all-phonon LBJ scattering intensity at temperature  $T$  can be calculated from the following compact expression [\[7](#page-4-14)[,52](#page-5-15)]:

$$
I_{\text{all}}(\mathbf{Q}, T) = N_p \sum_{p} \sum_{\kappa \kappa'} f_{\kappa}(\mathbf{Q}) f_{\kappa'}^*(\mathbf{Q}) e^{i\mathbf{Q} \cdot [\mathbf{R}_p + \tau_{\kappa} - \tau_{\kappa'}]} \\
\times e^{-W_{\kappa}(\mathbf{Q}, T)} e^{-W_{\kappa'}(\mathbf{Q}, T)} e^{P_{p,\kappa \kappa'}(\mathbf{Q}, T)},
$$
\n(1)

where  $\tau_k$  represents the equilibrium positions of atom  $\kappa$  in unit cell p, and  $\mathbf{R}_p$  the position vectors of  $N_p$  unit cells contained in a periodic supercell.  $f_k(\mathbf{Q})$  denotes the atomic scattering amplitude,  $W_k(\mathbf{Q}, T)$  is the exponent of the Debye-Waller factor [\[52\]](#page-5-15), and  $P_{p,K}(Q,T)$  is the exponent of the phononic factor given by [[52](#page-5-15)]

$$
P_{p,\kappa\kappa'}(\mathbf{Q},T)
$$
  
=  $\frac{M_0 N_p^{-1}}{\sqrt{M_\kappa M_{\kappa'}}}\sum_{\mathbf{q}\nu} u_{\mathbf{q}\nu}^2 \text{Re}[\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\kappa,\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\kappa',\nu}^*(\mathbf{q}) e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_p}],$  (2)

where  $M_k$  and  $M_0$  are the atomic and proton masses, and  $\nu$ denotes the phonon branch index. The phonon polarization vectors, associated with phonon frequencies  $\omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu}$ , are denoted by  $e_{k\nu}(q)$  and the mode-resolved mean-square displacements of the atoms are given by  $u_{\mathbf{q}\nu}^2 = \hbar/(2M_0\omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu})[2n_{\mathbf{q}\nu}(T) + 1]$ , where  $n_{\mathbf{q}\nu}(T)$  is the Bose-Einstein distribution. We emphasize that an important step in obtaining Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1) exploits the translational symmetry of the lattice [\[52](#page-5-15)]. Combining this point together with the partitioning of the phonons into two smaller Brillouin zone groups,  $\mathcal A$  and  $\mathcal B$  [\[55\]](#page-5-20), allows for the efficient calculation of the total scattering intensity [\[52\]](#page-5-15). We also note that Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1) and all subsequent expressions do not contain a constant prefactor that depends on the probe-sample interaction [\[35](#page-5-2)[,38](#page-5-5)].

Evaluation of the phononic factor  $e^{P_{p,\text{ext}}}$  accounts for allphonon processes to the scattering intensity, including emission and absorption. Taking now the Taylor expansion of  $e^{P_{p,\text{xx}}t}$  in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1) and retaining the zeroth-order term, we obtain the Bragg diffraction intensity as

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
I_0(\mathbf{Q}, T) = N_P^2 \sum_{\kappa \kappa'} f_{\kappa}(\mathbf{Q}) f_{\kappa'}^*(\mathbf{Q}) \cos[\mathbf{Q} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\kappa} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\kappa'})]
$$
  
 
$$
\times e^{-W_{\kappa}(\mathbf{Q}, T)} e^{-W_{\kappa'}(\mathbf{Q}, T)} \delta_{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{G}},
$$
 (3)

<span id="page-1-3"></span>where we have employed the sum rule  $\sum_{p} \exp(i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{p}) =$  $N_p \delta_{\text{O,G}}$ . In a similar spirit, keeping the first order term yields the one-phonon scattering formula:

$$
I_1(\mathbf{Q}, T) = M_0 N_p \sum_{\kappa \kappa'} f_{\kappa}(\mathbf{Q}) f_{\kappa'}^*(\mathbf{Q}) \frac{e^{-W_{\kappa}(\mathbf{Q}, T)} e^{-W_{\kappa'}(\mathbf{Q}, T)}}{\sqrt{M_{\kappa} M_{\kappa'}}} \times \sum_{\nu} \text{Re} \left[ \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\kappa, \nu}(\mathbf{Q}) \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\kappa', \nu}^*(\mathbf{Q}) e^{i \mathbf{Q} \cdot [\tau_{\kappa'} - \tau_{\kappa}]} \right] u_{\mathbf{Q}\nu}^2.
$$
\n(4)

Subsequent higher-order terms in the expansion of  $e^{P_{p,\text{xx}}/p}$ correspond to inelastic excitations of more than one phonon. Therefore, we write the all-phonon scattering intensity as a summation of the zero-, one-, and multiphonon terms, i.e.,

$$
I_{\text{all}}(\mathbf{Q},T) = I_0(\mathbf{Q},T) + I_1(\mathbf{Q},T) + I_{\text{multi}}(\mathbf{Q},T). \tag{5}
$$

For our calculations we employed the unit cell of bP [\[56\]](#page-5-21) with optimized lattice constants  $a = 4.554$ ,  $b = 3.307$ , and  $c = 11.256$  Å. The evaluation of the full set of phonon polarization vectors and frequencies was performed by means of density-functional perturbation theory (DFPT) [\[57\]](#page-5-22) and Fourier interpolation as implemented in the Quantum ESPRESSO suite [[58](#page-5-23),[59](#page-5-24)]. Using this information we calculate the Debye-Waller and phononic factors to obtain  $I_{\text{all}}$ ,  $I_0$ , and  $I_1$  from Eqs. [\(1\),](#page-1-1) [\(3\)](#page-1-2), and [\(4\),](#page-1-3) respectively. The multiphonon term is obtained as  $I_{\text{multi}} =$  $I_{\text{all}} - I_0 - I_1$ . We remark that two-phonon, three-phonon, and subsequent contributions can be straightforwardly calculated by separating the appropriate order in the Taylor expansion of  $e^{P_{p,\text{xx}}\cdot}$  in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1). The atomic scattering amplitude was evaluated as a sum of Gaussians [[60](#page-5-25)] using the parameters in Ref. [\[61\]](#page-5-26). All patterns were calculated as the average of the scattering intensities in the  $Q_x-Q_y$  planes at  $Q_z = 0$  and  $Q_z = 2\pi/c = 0.56 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ , where  $Q_x$ ,  $Q_y$ , and

 $Q<sub>z</sub>$  are the Cartesian components of Q. The code used for calculating the all-phonon scattering intensity and its various contributions is available at the EPW/ZG tree [\[62](#page-5-27)[,63\]](#page-5-28). Full computational details are given in the parallel paper, Ref. [[52](#page-5-15)].

In Figs.  $2(a)-2(d)$  $2(a)-2(d)$  we present our calculations of the difference scattering patterns of bP considering separate phonon contributions, and compare them with our measurements of the thermalized signals, all obtained as  $\Delta I(Q) = I(Q, 300 \text{ K}) - I(Q, 100 \text{ K})$ . Our results indicate that thermal phonon populations exhibit a high degree of anisotropy, consistent with the phonon band structure along the zigzag  $(\Gamma-A)$  and armchair  $(\Gamma-X)$  directions [\[56,](#page-5-21)[64](#page-5-29)]. This finding reflects, essentially, the structural anisotropy of bP, giving rise to a different in-plane behavior of the thermal [[64](#page-5-29)–[66](#page-5-30)] and electrical conductivities [[67](#page-5-31)–[70\]](#page-5-32). The calculated single-phonon scattering intensity [Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-2-0)] is qualitatively in good agreement with experiment [Fig. [2\(d\)\]](#page-2-0) for  $Q_x$  and  $Q_y$  lying within  $\pm 5 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ . Beyond this range, the one-phonon map underestimates inelastic scattering missing clearly the outermost diamond-like features observed in the experiment. This discrepancy disappears once multiphonon processes [Fig. [2\(b\)\]](#page-2-0) are included as described in the LBJ theory. In fact, the calculated all-phonon scattering

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 2. (a) Zero-plus-one-phonon ( $\Delta I_0 + \Delta I_1$ ), (b) multiphonon ( $\Delta I_{\text{multi}}$ ), and (c) all-phonon ( $\Delta I_{\text{all}}$ ) difference scattering patterns of bulk bP calculated as  $\Delta I(\mathbf{Q}) = I(\mathbf{Q}, 300 \text{ K}) - I(\mathbf{Q}, 100 \text{ K})$  to match the experimental conditions [\[32,](#page-5-16)[33](#page-5-0)]. (d) Experimental difference scattering pattern of bulk bP measured at 50 ps from FEDS. Data are divided by the maximum count due to elastic scattering. (e) All-phonon difference scattering pattern of bulk bP showing three vertical paths P1, P2, and P3 at  $Q_x = 4.83$ , 6.21, and 7.59 Å<sup>-1</sup>. Paths pass through several high-symmetry X points in the zigzag direction. (f)–(h)  $\Delta I(Q)$  as a function of  $Q_v$  along P1, P2, and P3. Zero-plus-one-phonon, all-phonon, and experimental data are represented by green, red, and blue. Vertical dashed lines indicate positions of high-symmetry X points. All calculated intensities were divided by the Bragg intensity at the zone center, i.e.,  $I_0(\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0})$ , and multiplied by the same scaling factor to facilitate comparison. The Brillouin zone was sampled using a  $50 \times 50 \times 50$  q-grid and full patterns were obtained by a fourfold rotation.

intensity [Fig. [2\(c\)\]](#page-2-0) reproduces the measured diffused pattern, suggesting that multiphonon interactions dominate inelastic scattering processes with long wave vectors. Our analysis yields that the major contribution to multiphonon scattering arises from two-phonon processes. We stress that our calculations of the all-phonon LBJ scattering intensity have been verified in a straightforward fashion using Zacharias-Giustino (ZG) theory [[63](#page-5-28),[71](#page-5-33)]. As demonstrated in the parallel paper, Ref. [[52](#page-5-15)], although this method converges slower, ZG displacements provide the scatterers' coordinates that best reproduce Debye-Waller factors and all-phonon inelastic scattering.

Figures  $2(e)-2(h)$  $2(e)-2(h)$  show a quantitative comparison between the theoretical and experimental thermal diffuse scattering intensities along three paths in the zigzag direction, passing through multiple high-symmetry X points. These paths are labeled as P1, P2, and P3 in Fig. [2\(e\)](#page-2-0) and are selected in a way to (i) exclude elastic and thus focus on inelastic scattering, and (ii) explore inelastic scattering maxima in the experimental pattern. In Figs. 2(f)–[2\(h\)](#page-2-0) we compare our calculated  $\Delta I(Q, 300 \text{ K})$ with our measured thermalized scattering intensity for paths P1, P2, and P3, respectively. Red and green curves represent the all-phonon and one-phonon scattering intensities; blue discs represent our measurements. As for Figs.  $2(a) - 2(d)$ , all calculations are scaled by the same constant. The all-phonon scattering intensity is in excellent agreement with the experiment, reproducing all main peak intensities at the  $X$  points. The remaining discrepancies between the two sets of data can be attributed to multiple and Huang scattering, not included in our calculations, as well as the sample purity [\[32,](#page-5-16)[39,](#page-5-17)[72](#page-5-34)]. It is also evident from Figs.  $2(f)$ –[2\(h\)](#page-2-0) that one-phonon processes are not sufficient to explain the all-phonon scattering intensity, especially for relatively large  $|Q|$ . For example, along path P2 onephonon processes contribute 60% and 48% to the main peaks at  $Q_y = 1.9$  and 5.7 Å<sup>-1</sup>. The intensity ratio of these peaks is 54% and 44% for the all-phonon and one-phonon scattering, respectively. This comparison confirms that a single multiplicative factor is not adequate to explain the change in the scattering intensity calculated for the two cases. Furthermore, the one-phonon contribution becomes negligible for  $Q_y > 7 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ . A similar analysis can be applied for paths P1 and P3, demonstrating the significance of multiphonon interactions in reproducing quantitatively and qualitatively the diffuse signals of bP over the full scattering vector range.

To clarify the role of multiphonon interactions in bP we calculate the percentage  $\mathcal{P} = I_{\text{multi}}/(I_1 + I_{\text{multi}})$  across the full pattern for three different temperatures. Figure [3](#page-3-0) shows P as a function of  $Q_x$  and  $Q_y$  extending over 165 Brillouin zones around the zone center calculated for (a) 100, (b) 300, and (c) 500 K. Our results reveal that the onephonon theory can serve as a reliable method to analyze scattering signals from Brillouin zones that exhibit weak

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 3. (a)–(c) Colored maps showing the percentage contribution of multiphonon interactions to thermal diffuse scattering, P, across a wide range in reciprocal space of bP at 100, 300, and 500 K. (d)  $P<sub>E</sub>$  calculated within the Einstein model for thermal diffuse scattering at 300 K. Rectangles represent different Brillouin zones centered at  $\Gamma + G$ .

multiphonon effects. However, even for  $T = 100$  K, multiphonon processes make a clear impact at large  $|Q|$ . As anticipated,  $P$  increases with temperature becoming more pronounced for regions closer to the center. It is also apparent that inelastic scattering around Bragg positions  $(\Gamma + G$  points) mostly originates from single-phonon interactions, even for large  $|G|$  and T. This observation is justified by considering that the low-frequency acoustic phonons dominate inelastic scattering at  $Q \simeq \Gamma + G$ . To further quantify our results we evaluate the fraction of the vibrational energy transfer to the crystal due to multiphonon excitations as

$$
\Delta \mathcal{E}(T) = \frac{\int_{\mathbf{Q}} I_{\text{multi}}(\mathbf{Q}, T) d\mathbf{Q}}{\int_{\mathbf{Q}} I_1(\mathbf{Q}, T) + I_{\text{multi}}(\mathbf{Q}, T) d\mathbf{Q}},\tag{6}
$$

where the integrals are taken over the area of reciprocal space shown in Fig. [3](#page-3-0). We find  $\Delta \mathcal{E}$  to be 10%, 21%, and 29% at 100, 300, and 500 K, respectively.

Now, we provide a metric that practically assesses the effect of multiphonon interactions in any crystal. We employ the Einstein model and replace the phonon frequencies with their mean value  $\omega_E$ , neglecting dispersion, and set the associated eigenvectors to be isotropic [[73\]](#page-5-35). Hence, the metric consists of evaluating the percentage  $P<sub>E</sub>$  and energy transfer  $\Delta \mathcal{E}_E$  using the Einstein model's analogs of  $I_1$ 

and  $I_{\text{multi}}$ . These are obtained from the power series of  $C_{\kappa\kappa'}(\mathbf{Q},T) = \mathbf{Q}^2/(\omega_E\sqrt{M_{\kappa}M_{\kappa'}})[2n_E(T)+1]$  using Eq. (19) of Ref. [[52\]](#page-5-15). Keeping  $|Q|$  and T constants, the multiphonon contribution to inelastic scattering depends on the materialspecific values  $M_k$  and  $\omega_E$ . Figure [3\(d\)](#page-3-0) shows  $\mathcal{P}_E$  calculated for bP at  $T = 300$  K using  $\omega_E = 279.7$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. At variance with the exact result in Fig. [3\(b\),](#page-3-0)  $P_E$  increases smoothly with  $|Q|$  and lacks of any fine structure. Despite this shortcoming, our metric yields  $\Delta \mathcal{E}_E = 14\%$  in good agreement with the actual value of  $\Delta \mathcal{E} = 21\%$ . In the parallel paper, Ref. [[52](#page-5-15)], we show for  $2D$  MoS<sub>2</sub> that, although the mean phonon frequency ( $\omega_E = 287.4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) is similar to that of bP, multiphonon contributions are less pronounced giving  $\Delta \mathcal{E}_F = 10\%$ . Based on our toy model, this difference is attributed to the large atomic mass of molybdenum being about 3 times larger than that of phosphorus.

In conclusion, we have established a new first-principles method for the calculation of the all-phonon inelastic scattering in solids based on the LBJ theory. The present work lays the foundations for developing a reverse engineering approach to extract nonequilibrium phonon populations from time-resolved experiments [[48](#page-5-14)]. Identifying the all-phonon scattering signatures is also critical to apply sophisticated corrections on the experimental data and obtain reliable information of plasmon and magnetic excitations [[11](#page-4-5),[13](#page-4-7)]. Our methodology can be upgraded to investigate polaron features [[14](#page-4-8),[15](#page-4-9)] and point defects [\[74\]](#page-5-36) in diffused signals, study materials exhibiting anharmonic lattice dynamics [\[75](#page-5-37)–[77](#page-5-38)], as well as describe electron energy loss spectroscopy measurements [\[78,](#page-6-0)[79](#page-6-1)]. We stress that the adiabatic approximation employed here performs well in most materials, however, in exceptional cases, such as highly doped semiconductors, nonadiabatic effects cannot be ignored and more sophisticated treatments, beyond standard DFPT, are required [\[80](#page-6-2)–[82](#page-6-3)]. The present approach is suitable for both condensed matter theorists and experimentalists, opening the way for systematic ab initio calculations of phonon-induced inelastic scattering in solids.

Electronic structure calculations performed in this study are available on the NOMAD repository [[83](#page-6-4)].

M. Z. acknowledges financial support from the Research Unit of Nanostructured Materials Systems (RUNMS) and the program METAΔIΔAKTΩP of the Cyprus University of Technology. H. S. was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation under Grant No. P2SKP2\_184100. F. C. acknowledges funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)—Projektnummer 443988403. F. G. was supported by the Computational Materials Sciences Program funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, under Award No. DE-SC0020129. R. E. acknowledges funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Grant

Agreement No. ERC-2015-CoG-682843), by the Max Planck Society, by the Max-Planck-EPFL Center of Molecular Nanoscience and Technology, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), Project No. 182087777 (SFB951, B17). We acknowledge that the results of this research have been achieved using the DECI resource Saniyer at UHeM based in Turkey [\[84](#page-6-5)] with support from the PRACE aisbl and HPC resources from the Texas Advanced Computing Center (TACC) at The University of Texas at Austin [\[85\]](#page-6-6).

<span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>[\\*](#page-0-0) marios.zacharias@cut.ac.cy

- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[†](#page-0-1) ernstorfer@fhi-berlin.mpg.de
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[1] M. Born, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/9/1/319) 9, 294 (1942).
- [2] G. Nilsson and G. Nelin, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.3.364)* 3, 364 (1971).
- [3] M. Holt, Z. Wu, H. Hong, P. Zschack, P. Jemian, J. Tischler, H. Chen, and T.-C. Chiang, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3317) 83, 3317 [\(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3317)
- [4] D. A. Muller, B. Edwards, E. J. Kirkland, and J. Silcox, [Ultramicroscopy](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3991(00)00128-5) 86, 371 (2001).
- [5] A. Shukla et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**[, 095506 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.095506)
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[6] A. Q. R. Baron, H. Uchiyama, Y. Tanaka, S. Tsutsui, D. Ishikawa, S. Lee, R. Heid, K.-P. Bohnen, S. Tajima, and T. Ishikawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92[, 197004 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.197004).
- [7] R. Xu and T. C. Chiang, [Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1524/zkri.2005.220.12.1009) 220, [1009 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1524/zkri.2005.220.12.1009).
- [8] M. d'Astuto, M. Calandra, S. Reich, A. Shukla, M. Lazzeri, F. Mauri, J. Karpinski, N. D. Zhigadlo, A. Bossak, and M. Krisch, Phys. Rev. B 75[, 174508 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.174508)
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[9] D. Reznik et al., Phys. Rev. B **80**[, 214534 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.214534).
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[10] M. L. Tacon, A. Bosak, S. M. Souliou, G. Dellea, T. Loew, R. Heid, K.-P. Bohnen, G. Ghiringhelli, M. Krisch, and B. Keimer, Nat. Phys. 10[, 52 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2805)
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[11] F.J. García de Abajo, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.209) **82**, 209 (2010).
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[12] R. M. Moon, T. Riste, and W. C. Koehler, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.181.920) 181, [920 \(1969\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.181.920).
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[13] D. F. Bowman et al., [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08598-z) 10, 637 (2019).
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[14] C. P. Adams, J. W. Lynn, Y. M. Mukovskii, A. A. Arsenov, and D. A. Shulyatev, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.3954) 85, 3954 (2000).
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[15] P. Dai, J. A. Fernandez-Baca, N. Wakabayashi, E. W. Plummer, Y. Tomioka, and Y. Tokura, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.2553) 85[, 2553 \(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.2553).
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[16] J. Hong, R. Senga, T. Pichler, and K. Suenaga, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.087401) Lett. 124[, 087401 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.087401).
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[17] G. Mourou and S. Williamson, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.93316) 41, 44 [\(1982\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.93316)
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[18] J. Lunney, P. Dobson, J. Hares, S. Tabatabaei, and R. Eason, [Opt. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4018(86)90448-7) 58, 269 (1986).
- [19] A. M. Lindenberg et al., [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.111) 84, 111 (2000).
- [20] A. Cavalleri *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.586) **85**, 586 (2000).
- [21] M. Trigo, J. Chen, V. H. Vishwanath, Y. M. Sheu, T. Graber, R. Henning, and D. A. Reis, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.235205) 82, 235205 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.235205)
- [22] M. Trigo et al., Nat. Phys. 9[, 790 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2788)
- [23] M. Harb, H. Enquist, A. Jurgilaitis, F. T. Tuyakova, A. N. Obraztsov, and J. Larsson, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.104104) 93, 104104 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.104104)
- [24] L. Waldecker, R. Bertoni, R. Ernstorfer, and J. Vorberger, Phys. Rev. X 6[, 021003 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.021003)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[25] L. Waldecker, R. Bertoni, H. Hübener, T. Brumme, T. Vasileiadis, D. Zahn, A. Rubio, and R. Ernstorfer, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.036803) Rev. Lett. 119[, 036803 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.036803)
- [26] C. W. Nicholson, A. Lücke, W. G. Schmidt, M. Puppin, L. Rettig, R. Ernstorfer, and M. Wolf, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar4183) 362, 821 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar4183)
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[27] S. Wall *et al.*, Science 362[, 572 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau3873).
- [28] M. J. Stern, L. P. René de Cotret, M. R. Otto, R. P. Chatelain, J.-P. Boisvert, M. Sutton, and B. J. Siwick, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.165416) 97, [165416 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.165416)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[29] T. Konstantinova et al., Sci. Adv. 4[, eaap7427 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aap7427).
- [30] S. W. Teitelbaum et al., [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.125901) 121, 125901 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.125901)
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>[31] M. X. Na et al., Science 366[, 1231 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw1662)
- [32] D. Zahn, P.-N. Hildebrandt, T. Vasileiadis, Y. W. Windsor, Y. Qi, H. Seiler, and R. Ernstorfer, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c00734) 20, 3728 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c00734)
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[33] H. Seiler, D. Zahn, M. Zacharias, P.-N. Hildebrandt, T. Vasileiadis, Y. W. Windsor, Y. Qi, C. Carbogno, C. Draxl, R. Ernstorfer, and F. Caruso, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c01786) 21, 6171 [\(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c01786).
- <span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>[34] F. Caruso, [J. Phys. Chem. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.0c03616) **12**, 1734 (2021).
- [35] G. Grosso and G. Pastori Parravicini, Solid State Physics, 2nd ed. ( Elsevier, Oxford, 2014).
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[36] J. Laval, Bull. Soc. Franc. Minér. 62, 137 (1939), [https://www](https://www.persee.fr/doc/bulmi_0366-3248_1939_num_62_4_4465) [.persee.fr/doc/bulmi\\_0366-3248\\_1939\\_num\\_62\\_4\\_4465.](https://www.persee.fr/doc/bulmi_0366-3248_1939_num_62_4_4465)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[37] R.W. James, *The Optical Principles of the Diffraction of* X-rays (G. Bell and Sons, London, 1948), Chap. V.
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[38] A. A. Maradudin, E. W. Montroll, and G. H. Weiss, Theory of Lattice Dynamics in the Harmonic Approximation (Academic Press, New York, 1963), p. 240.
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>[39] Z. Lin Wang, Elastic and Inelastic Scattering in Electron Diffraction and Imaging (Plenum Press, New York, 1995).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[40] F. Giustino, Materials Modelling using Density Functional Theory: Properties and Predictions (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014), p. 129.
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[41] A. Sjolander, Arkiv fur Fysik 14, 315 (1958), [https://www.osti](https://www.osti.gov/biblio/4310449-multi-phonon-processes-slow-neutron-scattering-crystals) [.gov/biblio/4310449-multi-phonon-processes-slow-neutron](https://www.osti.gov/biblio/4310449-multi-phonon-processes-slow-neutron-scattering-crystals)[scattering-crystals](https://www.osti.gov/biblio/4310449-multi-phonon-processes-slow-neutron-scattering-crystals).
- [42] J. Dawidowski, F. J. Bermejo, and J. R. Granada, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.58.706) B 58[, 706 \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.58.706).
- [43] A. Q. R. Baron, H. Uchiyama, R. Heid, K. P. Bohnen, Y. Tanaka, S. Tsutsui, D. Ishikawa, S. Lee, and S. Tajima, Phys. Rev. B 75[, 020505\(R\) \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.020505).
- [44] S. Kuroiwa, A. Q. R. Baron, T. Muranaka, R. Heid, K.-P. Bohnen, and J. Akimitsu, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.140503) 77, 140503(R) [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.140503)
- [45] A. Q. R. Baron, High-resolution inelastic x-ray scattering II: Scattering theory, harmonic phonons, and calculations, in Synchrotron Light Sources and Free-Electron Lasers: Accelerator Physics, Instrumentation and Science Applications, edited by E. Jaeschke, S. Khan, J. R. Schneider, and J. B. Hastings (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2014), pp. 1–32.
- <span id="page-5-10"></span><span id="page-5-8"></span>[46] B. Wehinger, A. Mirone, M. Krisch, and A. Bosak, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.035502) Rev. Lett. 118[, 035502 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.035502)
- [47] A. Krishnamoorthy *et al.*, Nano Lett. **19**[, 4981 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b01179).
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[48] L. P. René de Cotret, J.-H. Pöhls, M. J. Stern, M. R. Otto, M. Sutton, and B. J. Siwick, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.214115) 100, 214115 [\(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.214115)
- [49] X. Tong and M. Bernardi, [Phys. Rev. Research](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.023072) 3, 023072 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.023072)
- [50] P. Maldonado et al., [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.100302) 101, 100302(R) [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.100302)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[51] M. R. Otto, J.-H. Pöhls, L. P. R. de Cotret, M. J. Stern, M. Sutton, and B. J. Siwick, Sci. Adv. 7[, eabf2810 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abf2810)
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[52] M. Zacharias, H. Seiler, F. Caruso, D. Zahn, F. Giustino, P. C. Kelires, and R. Ernstorfer, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.205109) 104, 205109 [\(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.205109).
- <span id="page-5-19"></span><span id="page-5-18"></span>[53] L. Van Hove, Phys. Rev. **95**[, 249 \(1954\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.95.249)
- [54] B.A. Lippmann and J. Schwinger, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.79.469) 79, 469 [\(1950\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.79.469)
- <span id="page-5-21"></span><span id="page-5-20"></span>[55] F. Giustino, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.015003) **89**, 015003 (2017).
- [56] H. B. Ribeiro, M. A. Pimenta, and C. J. de Matos, [J. Raman](https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.5238) Spectrosc. 49[, 76 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.5238).
- <span id="page-5-22"></span>[57] S. Baroni, S. de Gironcoli, A. Dal Corso, and P. Giannozzi, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.515) 73, 515 (2001).
- <span id="page-5-23"></span>[58] P. Giannozzi et al., [J. Phys. Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/39/395502) 21, 395502 [\(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/39/395502)
- <span id="page-5-24"></span>[59] P. Giannozzi et al., [J. Phys. Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/aa8f79) 29, 465901 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/aa8f79)
- <span id="page-5-25"></span>[60] V. Vand, P. F. Eiland, and R. Pepinsky, [Acta Crystallogr.](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0365110X57000882) 10, [303 \(1957\)](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0365110X57000882).
- <span id="page-5-26"></span>[61] L.-M. Peng, S. Dudarev, and M. Whelan, *High-Energy* Electron Diffraction and Microscopy (Oxford University Press, New York, 2004).
- <span id="page-5-27"></span>[62] S. Poncé, E. Margine, C. Verdi, and F. Giustino, [Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2016.07.028) [Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2016.07.028) 209, 116 (2016).
- <span id="page-5-28"></span>[63] M. Zacharias and F. Giustino, [Phys. Rev. Research](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.013357) 2, [013357 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.013357)
- <span id="page-5-29"></span>[64] Z. Luo, J. Maassen, Y. Deng, Y. Du, R. P. Garrelts, M. S. Lundstrom, P. D. Ye, and X. Xu, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9572) 6, 8572 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9572)
- <span id="page-5-30"></span>[65] S. Lee *et al.*, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9573) **6**, 8573 (2015).
- [66] H. Jang, J. D. Wood, C. R. Ryder, M. C. Hersam, and D. G. Cahill, Adv. Mater. 27[, 8017 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201503466).
- <span id="page-5-31"></span>[67] J. Qiao, X. Kong, Z.-X. Hu, F. Yang, and W. Ji, [Nat.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5475) Commun. 5[, 4475 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5475)
- [68] F. Xia, H. Wang, and Y. Jia, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5458) 5, 4458 (2014).
- [69] H. Liu, A. T. Neal, Z. Zhu, Z. Luo, X. Xu, D. Tomnek, and P. D. Ye, ACS Nano 8[, 4033 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn501226z)
- <span id="page-5-32"></span>[70] J. He, D. He, Y. Wang, Q. Cui, M. Z. Bellus, H.-Y. Chiu, and H. Zhao, ACS Nano 9[, 6436 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b02104)
- <span id="page-5-33"></span>[71] M. Zacharias and F. Giustino, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075125) 94, 075125 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075125)
- <span id="page-5-34"></span>[72] S. Schafer, W. Liang, and A. H. Zewail, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3663963) 135, [214201 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3663963)
- <span id="page-5-36"></span><span id="page-5-35"></span>[73] C. R. Hall, [Philos. Mag.](https://doi.org/10.1080/14786436508218919) 12, 815 (1965).
- [74] M. A. Krivoglaz, in X-Ray and Neutron Diffraction in Nonideal Crystals (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1996), pp. 241–356.
- <span id="page-5-37"></span>[75] O. Hellman, I. A. Abrikosov, and S. I. Simak, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.180301) 84[, 180301\(R\) \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.180301).
- <span id="page-5-38"></span>[76] I. Errea, M. Calandra, and F. Mauri, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.064302) 89, [064302 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.064302)
- [77] F. Knoop, T. A. R. Purcell, M. Scheffler, and C. Carbogno, [Phys. Rev. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.4.083809) 4, 083809 (2020).
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[78] M. J. Lagos, A. Trügler, U. Hohenester, and P. E. Batson, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21699) 543, 529 (2017).
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[79] F. S. Hage, R. J. Nicholls, J. R. Yates, D. G. McCulloch, T. C. Lovejoy, N. Dellby, O. L. Krivanek, K. Refson, and Q. M. Ramasse, Sci. Adv. 4[, eaar7495 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aar7495)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[80] M. Lazzeri and F. Mauri, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97[, 266407 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.266407)
- [81] M. Calandra, G. Profeta, and F. Mauri, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.165111) 82, [165111 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.165111)
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[82] F. Caruso, M. Hoesch, P. Achatz, J. Serrano, M. Krisch, E. Bustarret, and F. Giustino, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.017001) 119, 017001 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.017001)
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[83] M. Zacharias, NOMAD (2021), [https://dx.doi.org/](https://dx.doi.org/10.17172/NOMAD/2021.07.11-1) [10.17172/NOMAD/2021.07.11-1.](https://dx.doi.org/10.17172/NOMAD/2021.07.11-1)
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[84] [http://en.uhem.itu.edu.tr.](http://en.uhem.itu.edu.tr)
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[85] [http://www.tacc.utexas.edu.](http://www.tacc.utexas.edu)