Fragile 3D Order in $V_{1-x}Mo_xO_2$

Matthew A. Davenport¹, Matthew J. Krogstad¹, Logan M. Whitt, Chaowei Hu, Tyra C. Douglas, Ni Ni¹, Ni

Stephan Rosenkranz⁽⁶⁾,² Raymond Osborn,² and Jared M. Allred⁽⁶⁾,^{*}

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35487, USA

²Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, USA

³Department of Physics and Astronomy and California NanoSystems Institute, University of California,

Los Angeles, Los Angeles California 90095, USA

(Received 16 December 2019; revised 8 June 2021; accepted 21 July 2021; published 15 September 2021)

The metal-to-insulator transition in rutile VO₂ has proven uniquely difficult to characterize because of the complex interplay between electron correlations and atomic structure. Here, we report the discovery of the sudden collapse of three-dimensional order in the low-temperature phase of $V_{1-x}Mo_xO_2$ at x = 0.17and the emergence of a novel frustrated two-dimensional order at x = 0.19, with only a slight change in electronic properties. Single crystal diffuse x-ray scattering reveals that this transition from the 3D *M*1 phase to a 2D variant of the *M*2 phase results in long-range structural correlations along symmetryequivalent (11L) planes of the tetragonal rutile structure, yet extremely short-range correlations transverse to these planes. These findings suggest that this two dimensionality results from a novel form of geometric frustration that is essentially structural in origin.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.125501

In VO₂, the V⁴⁺ d^1 electrons are metallic at high temperature (HT), but localize into a paramagnetic semiconducting state at low temperature (LT), below a firstorder structural phase transition at 340 K. Electron-electron correlations are considered by many to be responsible for this transition, possibly in combination with a Peierls instability [1–6]. Others still favor the primacy of a purely structural instability, suggesting previous calculations underestimated the effect of bond covalency, entropy, and/or orbital ordering [7–10]. Sixty years after the discovery of this metal-insulator transition [11], there is no consensus concerning its origin.

Whatever the role of electronic correlations, the structural instability itself is more complex than first perceived. The rutile structure of metallic $VO_2(R)$ has two chains (A and B) of edge-sharing octahedra along the c axis, which is shown viewed across and down the chains in Figs. 1(a)and 1(b), respectively. Also shown are the LT M1 and M2phases. In the M1 phase of pure VO₂, every metal atom dimerizes below the MIT, causing the neighboring chains to buckle in orthogonal directions: the A chain, along [1,1,0] and the B chain, along $[1,\overline{1},0]$. The M2 phase is similar to the M1 phase, except that only half the metal atoms dimerize. That is, if the A chain dimerizes, only the B chain buckles [9,12,13]. Simple Coulombic arguments can explain the connection between dimerization and buckling, illustrated in Fig. 1(c). The c-axis displacements inherent to M-M bond formation in one chain couple to the abdisplacement of the metal at $[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ in the next chain through the shared oxygen atom [Fig. 1(c)]. A 2D network propagates in the (110) planes, with two types of V atom displacements in the A and B chains, respectively. The M1 phase can be seen as a superposition of two orthogonal M2 networks. The fact that the M2 phase is semiconducting, even though only half the V ions dimerize, has been interpreted as evidence that the MIT is not a pure Peierls instability [4]. The M2 phase has been observed in pure VO_2 when strained or in a thin film [14,15], but not in the bulk material [16] unless substituted with an ion such as Cr^{3+} [13]. Additionally, the electronic transition in VO₂ thin films can be decoupled from the structural one according to a number of recent reports [17–20]. These thin films and heavily strained crystals were described as having a "monocliniclike metallic" state at low temperature, since a detailed structural characterization was not possible and the symmetry can only be inferred.

Several attempts have been made to more fully describe the connection between the M1 and M2 phases in tandem [5,21]. A phenomenological approach was taken by Lovorn and Sarker, who used the Ashkin-Teller model using displacements *in lieu* of spins [21]. They found that the 2D displacement network shown in Fig. 1(c) can only become fully three dimensional by including second-order terms to the Hamiltonian arising from weak cooperative displacements in the $\langle 100 \rangle$ directions. In other words, the structure with only nearest-neighbor interactions is geometrically frustrated, and 3D order is only stabilized by next-nearest-neighbor interactions. This model would be generalizable to any dimerized rutile system, making it relevant to any detailed structural study.

0031-9007/21/127(12)/125501(6)



FIG. 1. (a) $[010]_R$ projection of the *R*, *M*1, and *M*2 structures. The same three structures are shown in (b) from the $[001]_R$ projection. Boxes are drawn around $\{110\}_R$ distortion planes. Oxygen atoms are omitted from (a) and (b) for clarity. (c) Stepwise schematic showing the conventional understanding of dimer formation driving the long-range distortion in two dimensions within $\{110\}_R$. The distortion occurs along one set of planes in *M*2 and two sets of superposed planes in *M*1.

This work aims to better understand the structural instability in VO_2 by perturbing the bulk transition. We have found a new manifestation of short-range ordering that emerges when the M1 phase is suppressed, providing strong experimental support for the Lovorn-Sarker model. The substitution of $d^2 \text{ Mo}^{4+}$ ions into VO₂ is known to suppress the MIT monotonically until $\approx 30\%$ Mo, while also enhancing the metallicity of the LT phase [3]. Here, we show that between x = 0.17 and x = 0.19 the LT structure associated with the electronic transition instead transforms from a fully 3D-ordered M1 phase into a short-rangeordered M2 phase, which has extended two-dimensional correlations within (110) planes and much weaker transverse correlations, together indicating a high degree of geometric frustration. Our transport measurements show that the electronic transitions into the new "2D-M2" phase at x = 0.19 and the regular M1 phase at x = 0.17 are virtually indistinguishable, in spite of the differences in the structural transitions, which suggests a connection to previous measurements on thin films and strained crystals in the parent VO_2 phase.

This 2D-M2 ordering was revealed using threedimensional difference pair distribution functions (3D- ΔPDF). 3D- ΔPDF is a recently developed method that builds substantially on the powder-PDF method [22,23]. Large 3D volumes of single crystal x-ray scattering data are transformed into reciprocal space coordinates, after which the Bragg peaks can be removed using the "punch-and-fill" method [24], leaving only diffuse scattering. A Fourier transformation of this diffuse scattering gives a PDF map that only contains information about the difference (hence the Δ) between short-range and long-range ordering. Positive (negative) peaks in real space occur at interatomic vectors that correspond to increased (decreased) electron density compared to the average long-range structure. From this, features such as atomic displacements are visually apparent.

Total x-ray scattering was measured on individual, single crystals from $V_{1-x}Mo_xO_2$ [25] rotated over 360°, and then used to reconstruct 3D data in reciprocal space coordinates. Cuts from such reconstructions are shown on a log scale in Fig. 2. Intense, sharp rods of scattering were observed below 150 K in x = 0.19 (19% Mo) at L = half-integer planes. The high resolution of the measurement resolves subtle features such as a mild off-axis curvature in the scattering rods. The rods also show a consistent profile and intensity across the full **Q** range measured, indicating that the correlations are very strong even down to a fraction of an atomic radius. Figures 2(a)–2(c) show the *T* dependence



FIG. 2. Reciprocal lattice slices from $V_{1-x}Mo_xO_2$. (a)–(c) The L = 0.5 slices of 19% Mo, at T = 120, 150, and 160 K, respectively. (d)–(f) Composition dependence of the L = 1.5 LT structures at 17%, 19%, and 27%. All coordinates are given in reciprocal lattice vectors of the parent *R* phase.

of the $(HK\frac{1}{2})^*$ plane in 19% Mo through the structural transition at T = 150 K. Compositional dependence is shown in Figs. 2(d) and 2(e) for Mo compositions of 17%, 19%, and 27%, respectively. The 17% Mo crystal exhibits the normal structural phase transition to the *M*1 phase at 168 K, although very weak rods can be observed between the supercell Bragg peaks. The 27% Mo sample shows the same network of rods as the 19% one, except that the higher composition shows a drastically reduced intensity and increased peak width.

The cross section of the scattering rods in the $[HH0]^*$ and $[00L]^*$ axes can be fit to a pseudo-Voigt function. The correlation length ξ_i was calculated from the Lorentzian component using the expression $\xi = (1/\Gamma_i)$ where Γ_i is the Lorentzian full width at half maximum in reciprocal space coordinates (Å⁻¹) and *i* is the axis of the cut. Fitting the *T* dependence of ξ to a power law $\xi = A[1 - (T/T_s)]^{\beta}$ gives a transition temperature of 151.0(3) K and a critical exponent of $\beta = 0.109(18)$ according to the $[HH0]^*$ cross section. The resulting ξ_{xx} and ξ_z are plotted in Fig. 3(a) as red and blue circles, respectively. The power law behavior suggests that this first-order phase transition is quasi-2D, since hysteresis and volume discontinuities rule out a secondorder phase transition. The maximum intensity of the scattering rods occurs around 120 K. The structural properties are compared to the electronic ones using electronic transport, which is shown for the x = 0.17 and 0.19 in



FIG. 3. (a) $\xi(T)$ in 19% Mo as determined from fitting the scattering rod width (circles) and from the 3D- Δ PDF (triangles). Red and blue symbols are for the [x, x, 0] and [0, 0, z] directions, respectively. (b) $\rho(T)$ of selected orientations from 17% and 19% Mo crystals.

Fig. 3(b). These two compounds are metallic in both HT and LT phases with the resistivity, ρ in the milliohmcentimeter range. The sudden increase in $\rho(T)$ by a factor of 3 to 8 at the structural transition suggests the formation of charge gaps, and is reminiscent of a charge density wave metal.

Cuts from the 3D- Δ PDF are shown in Figs. 4(a)–4(d). The 17% Mo phase again serves as a standard for usual 3D *M*1 ordering [Fig. 4(a)], with long-range correlations along all crystallographic axes. This is in contrast to the unusual two dimensionality observed in 19% Mo [Fig. 4(b)]. In the latter, the strongest correlations fall along (110) and (110) planes, as expected from the scattering rod orientations. The two crossed planes each come from different nanoscale domains that chose different ordering axes.

Despite the complex pattern in the PDF, the simple structure of undistorted rutile allows an unambiguous assignment of most of the observed features [Figs. 4(c) and 4(d)]. The pattern of positive and negative peaks at



FIG. 4. Symmetric log plots of the 3D- Δ PDF correlation maps from (a) 17% Mo and (b) 19% Mo at 115 and 120 K, respectively, parallel to the (001) plane at z = 0. A slice from the 19% Mo (110) plane is shown in (c) and (d). Blue and orange circles show the intratomic vectors of metal sites relative to a dimerized or buckled site at the origin, respectively. The green circles show the same in the undistorted rutile structure. All axes are reported in lattice units (l.u.).

integer points, [u, u, w], indicates the local unit cell is doubled in this plane. The quadrupolar features centered at [(u/2), (u/2), (u/2)] represent interchain metal-metal correlations. Weaker dipolar features are observed around the points [(u/3), (u/3), w], [-(u/3), -(u/3), w], $[u + \frac{1}{6}, u + \frac{1}{6}, (w/2)]$, and $[u - \frac{1}{6}, u - \frac{1}{6}, (w/2)]$, corresponding to correlations involving oxygen atoms. Also, the same pattern is repeated in weaker planes of correlations beyond the principal {110} plane.

The (110) cut of the 3D- Δ PDF map is equivalent to a 2D cut of the M2 phase on the $(110)_R$ [Fig. 1(c)] and is inconsistent with the M1 structure (see Supplemental Material [25] for more details). The correlations are very strong in the actual compound, and remain measurable in the $3D-\Delta PDF$ at least to 25 unit cell diagonals (about 20 nm), but die off within just a few unit cells (≈ 1 nm) in the orthogonal axis. This structural model will be referred to as the 2D-M2 model to emphasize the 2D nature of the coherent displacements. The 3D- Δ PDF map was used as an alternate method for estimating ξ , by fitting the PDF amplitudes to a back-toback exponential decay function [32]. The results are plotted in Fig. 3(a) (triangular symbols) along with the fits from scattering rod widths. Notably, the [x, x, 0] length scale agrees very closely for both methods, while the [0, 0, z]seems to be underestimated by the pseudo-Voigt fits.

In order to test the 2D-*M*2 interpretation, metal-only 2D-*M*2 models were constructed for both the x-ray scattering and 3D- Δ PDF [33–35]. Figure 5 compares the results of these simulations to observations, which match closely. It is clear that the constructed 2D-*M*2 model naturally conforms to observation, even down to the relative intensities of the scattering rods. Note that one extra parameter, ferrodistortive correlations along the [100] and [010] axes, was required in the diffuse scattering rods. The weak ferrodistortive correlations are frustrated by the main in-plane correlation, which is discussed further below. The calculated 3D- Δ PDF map reproduces both the integer and half-integer lattice vector features. The weaker features attributed to oxygen atoms are missing, as expected.

The constructed models validate the 2D-*M*2 interpretation of the data. Combined with the other measurements we made, this shows that the 2D-*M*2 is a different thermodynamic phase from both the long-range *M*1 and *R* phases. The transition from 2D-*M*2 to *R* is a first-order, metal-tometal phase transition. Compositionally, the structural instability in V_{1-x}Mo_xO₂ changes from *M*1 to 2D-*M*2 ordering quite discontinuously between 0.17 < $x \le 0.188$. The change is very similar to the observed V_{1-x}Cr_xO₂ phase behavior, except that the Cr *M*2 analog has always been reported to be ordered in three dimensions (3D-*M*2). Another difference is that Cr substitution immediately ($\approx 1.5\%$) suppresses *M*1 in favor of 3D-*M*2.

All of this is reminiscent of the "embedded 2D crystal model" proposed by Lovorn and Sarker [21]. In accordance



FIG. 5. (a) Comparison of observed diffuse scattering from various slices, on the left, to the simulated scattering model on the right. (b) Comparison of observed $3D-\Delta PDF$, on the left, to the calculated disorder model, on the right.

with the Lovorn-Sarker model, the critical composition near 19% Mo is when the orthogonal, interpenetrating order parameters become inequivalent ($m_A \neq 0 \neq m_B$). Geometric frustration between the $\langle 110 \rangle$ and $\langle 100 \rangle$ directions are then strong enough to prevent ordering along both directions simultaneously. Instead, regions with strongly coupled displacements in either (110) or (110) planes form, as observed here. Unexpectedly, the inclusion of the frustrated $\langle 100 \rangle$ correlations was required to explain the wavy component in the scattering rods in the present diffraction data, making the frustration a necessary part of the model. To our knowledge, geometric frustration of displacements inhibiting ordering in a single dimension has never been observed experimentally in rutile or any other extended solid.

We now consider the broader implications of these observations on the structural instability in rutile. VO₂ and MoO₂ show structurally identical HT and LT phases, though MoO₂ has a double bond between metals instead of a single one, and T_s increases from 340 to 1400 K, both

likely owing to the extra d electron. In this light, the substitution of Mo into VO₂ ought to enhance T_s , in contrast to the observed rapid suppression. The addition of geometric frustration to this picture resolves this inconsistency, wherein relative strengthening of certain interactions enhances frustration and suppresses 3D ordering [21]. That is, VO_2 itself is already strongly frustrated, the T_{MIT} is already suppressed, and the M1 structure is on the brink of collapse [9]. From an electronic perspective, metallicity is retained in the LT structures of $V_{1-x}Mo_xO_2$ near the critical composition x = 0.19 for both the M1 phase in x = 0.17 and the 2D-M2 phase in x = 0.19[Fig. 3(b)], which suggests that the electronic ordering is not fundamentally altered between M1 and 2D-M2. It is only the long-range structural manifestation of those electronic effects that changes.

These considerations are reminiscent of strained crystals or thin films of VO_2 , where electronic ordering is decoupled from the structural changes. Mo's larger 4dorbitals may initially enhance frustration via additional overlap along the $\langle 100 \rangle$ axes. We suggest that geometric frustration is similarly in pure VO₂ when strained or in thin films, where 2D confinement and loss of cohesive forces affect bond geometries [14,17–19,36]. In fact, among the various reported monocliniclike metallic phases, one was recently observed in VO₂ thin films that featured stripe formation oriented along the $[110]_R$ axis [20], which are all features consistent with the 2D-M2 phase characterized here. A direct relationship has not yet been established, but if the 2D-M2 and monocliniclike metallic states are indeed the same phases, then the present study would be the first detailed structural characterization, owing to its formation in a bulk single crystal under ambient pressure. The precise structural details reported enabled the first experimental verification of geometric frustration in VO₂ transition.

A new type of ground state in $V_{1-x}Mo_xO_2$, a 2D-M2 phase, governed by largely 2D ordering of atomic displacements, was discovered using the new 3D- Δ PDF method. We have shown that the structure is driven by geometric frustration that naturally emerges from the rutile structure. The fact that only half the vanadium ions dimerize may indicate the importance of Mott physics, but a full electronic structure treatment of the 2D-M2 phase remains to be determined. The extremely sharp diffraction features indicate that most of the correlations derive from static order, but there may be a dynamic component, which could be revealed using inelastic x-ray or neutron scattering. The 2D-M2 phase weakens with increasing Mo content, but exists at least up to $x \approx 0.3$. A systematic study of the structural parameters in detail over a wide compositional range will reveal how the fundamental order parameters evolve, which may help explain what conditions are required for the 2D-M2 state to manifest. This could result in a more complete physical model for VO_2 and the underlying physics universal to *d*-shell-active rutile phases.

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Neutron Scattering Sciences, and EPSCoR under Award No. DE-SC0018174. Work at the Materials Science Division at Argonne National Laboratory was supported by the U.S. DOE, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division. Use of the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. Electronic transport work at U. C. L. A. was supported by NSF DMREF program under the award NSF DMREF project DMREF-1629457. During the diffraction experiments, sample environment maintenance and data collection were both aided by Doug Robinson. The authors thank Patrick LeClair and Sanjoy Sarker for helpful discussions.

*jmallred@ua.edu

- M. W. Haverkort, Z. Hu, A. Tanaka, W. Reichelt, S. V. Streltsov, M. A. Korotin, V. I. Anisimov, H. H. Hsieh, H.-J. Lin, C. T. Chen *et al.*, Orbital-Assisted Metal-Insulator Transition in VO₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 196404 (2005).
- [2] C. Weber, D. D. O'Regan, N. D. M. Hine, M. C. Payne, G. Kotliar, and P. B. Littlewood, Vanadium Dioxide: A Peierls-Mott Insulator Stable against Disorder, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 256402 (2012).
- [3] K. L. Holman, T. M. McQueen, A. J. Williams, T. Klimczuk, P. W. Stephens, H. W. Zandbergen, Q. Xu, F. Ronning, and R. J. Cava, Insulator to correlated metal transition in V_{1-x}MO_xO₂, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 245114 (2009).
- [4] T. M. Rice, H. Launois, and J. P. Pouget, Comment on "VO₂: Peierls or Mott-Hubbard? A View from Band Theory", Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3042 (1994).
- [5] W. H. Brito, M. C. O. Aguiar, K. Haule, and G. Kotliar, Metal-Insulator Transition in VO₂: A DFT + DFMT Perspective, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 056402 (2016).
- [6] T. J. Huffman, C. Hendriks, E. J. Walter, J. Yoon, H. Ju, R. Smith, G. L. Carr, H. Krakauer, and M. M. Qazilbash, Insulating phases of vanadium dioxide are Mott-Hubbard insulators, Phys. Rev. B 95, 075125 (2017).
- [7] J. D. AU Budai, J. Hong, M. E. Manley, E. D. Specht, C. W. Li, J. Z. Tischler, D. L. Abernathy, A. H. Said, B. M. Leu, L. A. Boatner *et al.*, Metallization of vanadium dioxide driven by large phonon entropy, Nature (London) **515**, 535 (2014).
- [8] S. Wall, S. Yang, L. Vidas, M. Chollet, J. M. Glownia, M. Kozina, T. Katayama, T. Henighan, M. Jiang, T. A. Miller *et al.*, Ultrafast disordering of vanadium dimers in photo-excited VO₂, Science **362**, 572 (2018).
- [9] Z. Hiroi, Structural instability of the rutile compounds and its relevance to the metal–insulator transition of VO₂, Prog. Solid State Chem. 43, 47 (2015).
- [10] S. Xu, X. Shen, K. A. Hallman, R. F. Haglund, and S. T. Pantelides, Unified band-theoretic description of structural,

electronic, and magnetic properties of vanadium dioxide phases, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 125105 (2017).

- [11] F. J. Morin, Oxides Which Show a Metal-to-Insulator Transition at the Neel Temperature, Phys. Rev. Lett. 3, 34 (1959).
- [12] J. P. DHaenens, D. Kaplan, and P. Merenda, Electron spin resonance in V_{1-x}Cr_xO₂, J. Phys. C 8, 2267 (1975).
- [13] G. Villeneuve, M. Drillon, and P. Hagenmuller, Contribution a l'etude structurale des phases $V_{1-x}Cr_xO_2$, Mater. Res. Bull. **8**, 1111 (1973).
- [14] H. Kim, T. V. Slusar, D. Wulferding, I. Yang, J.-C. Cho, M. Lee, H. C. Choi, Y. H. Jeong, H.-T. Kim, and J. Kim, Direct observation of the M2 phase with its Mott transition in a VO₂ film, Appl. Phys. Lett. **109**, 233104 (2016).
- [15] K. Okimura, T. Watanabe, and J. Sakai, Stress-induced VO₂ films with M2 monoclinic phase stable at room temperature grown by inductively coupled plasma-assisted reactive sputtering, J. Appl. Phys. **111**, 073514 (2012).
- [16] S. A. Corr, D. P. Shoemaker, B. C. Melot, and R. Seshadri, Real-Space Investigation of Structural Changes at the Metal-Insulator Transition in VO₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 056404 (2010).
- [17] M. Yang, Y. Yang, B. Hong, L. Wang, K. Hu, Y. Dong, H. Xu, H. Huang, J. Zhao, H. Chen *et al.*, Suppression of structural phase transition in VO₂ by epitaxial strain in vicinity of metal-insulator transition, Sci. Rep. 6, 23119 (2016).
- [18] D. Lee, B. Chung, Y. Shi, G. Y. Kim, N. Campbell, F. Xue, K. Song, S. Y. Choi, J. P. Podkaminer, T. H. Kim *et al.*, Isostructural metal-insulator transition in VO₂, Science **362**, 1037 (2018).
- [19] Y. Ji, L. Cheng, N. Li, Y. Yuan, W. Liang, and H. Yang, Decoupling between metal-insulator transition and structural phase transition in an interface-engineered VO₂, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **33**, 105603 (2021).
- [20] J. Laverock, S. Kittiwatanakul, A. A. Zakharov, Y. R. Niu, B. Chen, S. A. Wolf, J. W. Lu, and K. E. Smith, Direct Observation of Decoupled Structural and Electronic Transitions and an Ambient Pressure Monocliniclike Metallic Phase of VO₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 216402 (2014).
- [21] T. Lovorn and S. K. Sarker, Complex Quasi-Two-Dimensional Crystalline Order Embedded in VO₂ and Other Crystals, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 045501 (2017).

- [22] S. J. Billinge, Nanoscale structural order from the atomic pair distribution function (PDF): There's plenty of room in the middle, J. Solid State Chem. 181, 1695 (2008).
- [23] T. R. Welberry and T. Weber, One hundred years of diffuse scattering, Crystallography Reviews **22**, 2 (2016).
- [24] T. Weber and A. Simonov, The three-dimensional pair distribution function analysis of disordered single crystals: basic concepts, Z. Kristallographie Cryst. Mater. 227, 238 (2012).
- [25] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.125501 for additional information about sample preparation and characterization, which also includes Refs. [26–31].
- [26] M. A. Davenport, M. P. Confer, T. C. Douglas, T. B. Rawot Chhetri, and J. M. Allred, Large Single Crystals of V_{1-x}Mo_xO₂ from a Two-Step Chemical Vapor Transport Synthesis, Cryst. Growth Des. 20, 3635 (2020).
- [27] L. J. Farrugia, WinGX and ORTEP for Windows: an update, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 45, 849 (2012).
- [28] G. M. Sheldrick, Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C 71, 3 (2015).
- [29] B. H. Toby, EXPGUI, a graphical user interface for GSAS, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 34, 210 (2001).
- [30] Isotropy software suite, available at https://iso.byu.edu.
- [31] The astropy project, available at http://www.astropy.org/.
- [32] M. J. Krogstad, S. Rosenkranz, J. M. Wozniak, G. Jennings, J. P. C. Ruff, J. T. Vaughey, and R. Osborn, Reciprocal space imaging of ionic correlations in intercalation compounds, Nat. Mater. 19, 63 (2020).
- [33] J. A. M. Paddison, Ultrafast calculation of diffuse scattering from atomistic models, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 75, 14 (2019).
- [34] A. Simonov, T. Weber, and W. Steurer, Yell: a computer program for diffuse scattering analysis via threedimensional delta pair distribution function refinement, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 47, 1146 (2014).
- [35] T. Proffen and R. B. Neder, DISCUS : a program for diffuse scattering and defect-structure simulation, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 30, 171 (1997).
- [36] E. Arcangeletti, L. Baldassarre, D. Di Castro, S. Lupi, L. Malavasi, C. Marini, A. Perucchi, and P. Postorino, Evidence of a Pressure-Induced Metallization Process in Monoclinic VO₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 196406 (2007).