Oscillations Modulating Power Law Exponents in Isotropic Turbulence: Comparison of Experiments with Simulations

Kartik P. Iyer^{®, 1,2} Gregory P. Bewley,³ Luca Biferale,⁴ Katepalli R. Sreenivasan®,^{2,5[,*](#page-3-0)} and P. K. Yeung⁶

¹Department of Physics, Department of Mechanical Engineering-Engineering Mechanics,

Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan 49931, USA ²

 P^2 Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, New York University, New York, New York 11201, USA

³Sibley School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA 4 Denartment of Physics and INEN, University of Pome Tor Vergata 00133 Pome Italy

⁴Department of Physics and INFN, University of Rome Tor Vergata, 00133 Rome, Italy

 5 Department of Physics and the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, New York 11201, USA ⁶Schools of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, USA

> (Received 13 April 2020; revised 13 May 2021; accepted 21 May 2021; published 22 June 2021) \bigcirc

> Inertial-range features of turbulence are investigated using data from experimental measurements of grid turbulence and direct numerical simulations of isotropic turbulence simulated in a periodic box, both at the Taylor-scale Reynolds number $R_{\lambda} \sim 1000$. In particular, oscillations modulating the power-law scaling in the inertial range are examined for structure functions up to sixth-order moments. The oscillations in exponent ratios decrease with increasing sample size in simulations, although in experiments they survive at a low value of 4 parts in 1000 even after massive averaging. The two datasets are consistent in their intermittent character but differ in small but observable respects. Neither the scaling exponents themselves nor all the viscous effects are consistently reproduced by existing models of intermittency.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.254501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.254501)

Turbulent fluctuations on scales intermediate between the small scale η and the large scale L , the so-called inertial range, are thought to conform to power laws [1–[4\].](#page-3-1) In particular, one writes

$$
S_m(r) \equiv \langle [\delta_r u]^m \rangle \sim r^{\zeta_m}, \qquad \eta \ll r \ll L, \qquad (1)
$$

where $\delta_r u = [u(r+x) - u(x)] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}$ is the longitudinal velocity increment, m is the moment order, $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ is a unit vector along vector **r**, r denotes magnitude of **r**, and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes a suitable average. Although the theoretical basis for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) exists only for $m = 3$ [\[5\]](#page-3-2), it is empirically regarded as viable for other orders as well [\[6\].](#page-3-3) The power-law scaling of Eq. (1) , apart from offering the allure of ubiquity $[7-10]$ $[7-10]$, often allows a simplification of subsequent analysis (e.g., see [\[11\]](#page-3-5)).

Recently, extensively sampled data from grid turbulence [\[12\]](#page-3-6) have shown an interesting feature with respect to Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) (see Fig. [1](#page-1-0)) that power law exponents may be modulated by undulations that are only partly explained by existing intermittency models [\[13\]](#page-3-7). These oscillations become explicit when the exponent ratios ζ_4/ζ_2 in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) are examined, as the authors of [\[12\]](#page-3-6) showed (see Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). The oscillations decrease with Reynolds number. Here, by examining even more extensive data from the same experiment [\[12\]](#page-3-6) at one Reynolds number, along with those from direct numerical simulations [\[14](#page-3-8)–16] at a comparable Reynolds number, we show that inertial range undulations observed in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) manifest in exponent ratios such as ζ_4/ζ_2 , in both experiments (EXP) and simulations (DNS), but diminish in the limit of massive averaging; they seem to disappear in simulations to yield pure power-law scaling [Eq. [\(1\)\]](#page-0-0) but settle down to very small root-mean-square magnitudes (rms) of the order of 4 parts in 1000 in the experiment. The situation at higher R_{λ} is unknown at present. Both EXP and DNS show that the ratios ζ_4/ζ_2 and ζ_6/ζ_2 differ from the classical Kolmogorov phenomenology [\[1\]](#page-3-1) but differ in small but observable respects between them. We comment on their possible origin.

Table [I](#page-1-1) reports a few important parameters in EXP and DNS. The Reynolds numbers (R_{λ}) in both EXP and DNS are sufficiently high and comparable to each other. The experiments were run for an unprecedented duration and averages performed over more than $10⁵$ independent turnover times of the turbulence, but the averaging is performed for only one component of the velocity and does not take account of possible residual anisotropies; the DNS data, on the other hand, although extensive, do not correspond to a similarly large number of independent realizations but perform spherical averaging over the solid angle to obtain the isotropic sector [\[17,18\]](#page-3-9) by the method given in [\[19\]](#page-4-0) and eliminate residual anisotropy effects. To measure exponents ζ_m in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) we consider the logarithmic local slopes of velocity difference moments $S_m(r)$ of order m,

$$
\zeta_m(r) \equiv \frac{d \log S_m(r)}{d \log(r)}.\tag{2}
$$

0031-9007/21/126(25)/254501(5) 254501-1 © 2021 American Physical Society

FIG. 1. Replot of data from [\[12\]](#page-3-6) of the ratio of logarithmic local slopes of fourth-order longitudinal velocity structure function (S_4) to the corresponding quantity for the second order (S_2) vs spatial separation r normalized by Kolmogorov scale (η) ; see Eq. [\(2\).](#page-0-1) The two thinner curves were computed from datasets about 10 times shorter than the others, as explained in [\[12\]](#page-3-6). The legend shows the microscale Reynolds numbers R_{λ} .

If the moments $S_m(r)$ exhibit proper inertial range scaling according to Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) then $\zeta_m(r)$ are constants in the inertial range $\eta \ll r \ll L$. In Fig. [2](#page-1-2) we compare the ratio of local slopes $\zeta_{4,2} \equiv d[\log S_4]/d[\log S_2] = \zeta_4(r)/\zeta_2(r)$ from EXP and DNS. This is the first nontrivial ratio between exponents of analytic functions that involve no modulus and is less affected by strong cancellations (as can happen for odd orders) and poor statistics (as can happen for higher-order moments) [\[20,21\]](#page-4-1). The point of [\[12\]](#page-3-6) was this ratio had an undulating character in the inertial range, albeit of decreasing magnitude with increasing Reynolds numbers.

TABLE I. Parameters of the data from the experiment and direct numerical simulation. $N³$ is the number of collocation points for DNS in a periodic cube of fixed size L_0 . L/η is the ratio of the integral scale L ($L/L_0 \approx 0.2$ for DNS) to the Kolmogorov scale $\eta \equiv (\nu^3/\langle \epsilon \rangle)^{1/4}$, where ν is the kinematic viscosity and $\langle \epsilon \rangle$ the mean dissipation rate; ns is the total number of samples in space and/or time (but the meaning of *ns* in EXP and DNS is different because the ratio of the number of independent samples to *ns* differ between EXP and DNS); R_{λ} is the Taylor microscale Reynolds number.

	N^3	L/n	ns	R_{λ}
EXP	.	2567	10^{10}	1030
DNS	8192 ³	2514	10^{15}	1300

FIG. 2. Ratio of logarithmic local slopes of S_4 and S_2 , $\zeta_{4,2}(r) \equiv d[\log S_4(r)]/d[\log S_2(r)]$, vs spatial separation r normalized by Kolmogorov scale η . (a) Data from EXP at $R_{\lambda} = 1030$ (open circles) are from [\[12\]](#page-3-6); the isotropic sector from DNS at $R_{\lambda} = 1300$ (solid line) [\[16\]](#page-3-10) are compared with the p model (dash-dot line) of Meneveau and Sreenivasan [\[22\]](#page-4-3), She-Leveque model (dashed line) [\[23\]](#page-4-4), and that by Yakhot (dotted line) [\[24\].](#page-4-5) Horizontal line at $\zeta_{4,2} = 2$ corresponds to nonintermittent scaling [\[5\].](#page-3-2) Error bars indicate the standard error obtained from temporal fluctuations of local slopes. (b) Isotropic DNS data at different Reynolds numbers: $R_{\lambda} = 240$ (dotted line), 650 (dash-dot line), and 1300 (solid line) are plotted to show that the viscous bottleneck around $r/\eta = 80$ decreases in amplitude with increasing R_{λ} in the direction shown. (c) Data from EXP (open circles), compared with the DNS data for the isotropic sector (solid line) and the one-dimensional cuts in DNS along the three Cartesian directions: $\hat{\mathbf{r}} = (1, 0, 0)$ (dotted line), $\hat{\mathbf{r}} = (0, 1, 0)$ (dashed line), and $\hat{\mathbf{r}} = (0, 0, 1)$ (dash-dot line). The latter three illustrate that the inertial range value of $\zeta_{4,2}(r)$ can be affected by nonuniversal large-scale effects when not projecting onto the isotropic sector.

Figure [2\(a\)](#page-1-2) shows that the general trend in EXP (circles) and the isotropic DNS data (solid line) is very similar with a conspicuous R_λ-dependent viscous dip around $r/\eta \sim 10$ predicted by the multifractal model in both Eulerian and Lagrangian frameworks [\[12,13,25,26\]](#page-3-6). With increasing scale size a crossover "bottleneck" between the viscous and inertial regimes around $r/\eta=80$ is seen in both EXP and DNS. This is not predicted by any existing models. This viscous bottleneck (which lies outside the inertial range) decreases in amplitude with increasing R_{λ} , as shown in Fig. [2\(b\)](#page-1-2) in the DNS (see also Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). The relation between this physical space bottleneck and that in the Fourier space [\[27,28\]](#page-4-2) remains to be understood properly.

It is evident from Fig. $2(a)$ that inside the inertial range $\eta \ll r \ll L$, which is roughly estimated to be in the range $r/\eta \in (100, 1000)$ [\[16\]](#page-3-10), $\zeta_{4,2}$ from both EXP and the isotropic sector of DNS differ from the self-similar value of $\zeta_{4,2} = 2$, indicating that higher- R_λ turbulence is indeed intermittent with a definite departure from the K41 similarity [\[5\].](#page-3-2) One can also see that EXP and isotropic DNS data differ from one another and both show some

r-dependent undulations instead of the r-independent constant [see Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0)]. The finite (and small) mismatch in $\zeta_{4,2}$ between EXP and the isotropic sector from DNS could arise from the fact that the former is not projected onto the isotropic sector. Indeed, similar one-dimensional cuts in DNS shown in Fig. [2\(c\)](#page-1-2) behave differently along different directions beyond $r/\eta = 100$, with that along $\hat{\mathbf{r}} =$ $(0, 0, 1)$ matching the EXP data closely in the inertial range. Another possible reason for the mismatch might be that EXP relies on Taylor's frozen flow hypothesis [\[29\]](#page-4-6) while the DNS data do not. Finally, one cannot exclude nonuniversal and Reynolds number–independent effects induced by different forcing mechanisms [\[30\].](#page-4-7)

We compare $\zeta_{4,2}$ in Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-1-2) with three different phenomenological models. While the p model of Meneveau and Sreenivasan with the parameter p set to their value of 0.7 [\[22\]](#page-4-3) compares favorably with EXP, the model by Yakhot [\[24,31\]](#page-4-5) closely matches DNS. The She-Leveque prediction [\[23\]](#page-4-4) lies in between EXP and DNS, being closer to the latter than the former. A similar comparison of $\zeta_{4,2}$ from EXP and DNS with other inertial range models [\[32](#page-4-8)–34], although not shown here, reveals that the agreement is qualitatively similar to those shown in Fig. [2.](#page-1-2)

We now assess in Fig. [2](#page-1-2) the *r*-dependent inertial range oscillations modulating the power-law expectations of

FIG. 3. Log-log plot of the standard deviation of the oscillation amplitude in $d[log(S_4)]/d[log(S_2)]$ from its mean value in the range $r/\eta \in (100, 1000)$ in Fig. [2](#page-1-2) as function of sample size n for (a) the experiments and (b) the isotropic sector from DNS. Error bars indicate the variation obtained by changing the interval $r/\eta \in [100, 1000]$ by 10% on either side. We include an analysis of a second EXP dataset (green squares) acquired at $R_1 = 961$ to illustrate typical variation in large n behavior. The standard deviation in the experiment is approximately constant for about two orders of magnitude for $n > 10^8$, or equivalently for more than 10⁵ turnover times, while that in DNS shows a -0.31 ± 0.04 power-law decay for $n > 3 \times 10^{12}$, as indicated by the solid line. The sample size in DNS cannot be easily translated to turnover timescales. The dashed line in (a) corresponds to the $-1/2$ scaling of random noise.

Eq. [\(1\),](#page-0-0) which is the feature to which Ref. [\[12\]](#page-3-6) drew attention. Noting that the power-law behavior of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is expected to truly hold only at sufficiently large R_{λ} and as sample size $n \to \infty$, and that real systems such as the ones examined here are obviously at finite Reynolds numbers, we measure the oscillation amplitudes for EXP and DNS and plot their standard deviations as functions of their respective sample size n in Fig. [3](#page-2-0). EXP and DNS show different behaviors, with EXP suggesting a saturation at a small but finite value at even the large sample sizes considered here, while DNS exhibit a power-law decay with the sample size, even though care must be taken because of the short scaling range. Furthermore, the departure from a pure power law can have different origins depending on whether we are close to the viscous scale or to the integral scale. For example, while viscous effects are much less affected by statistical sampling, large-scale properties can be less stable because of lack of statistics in DNS and some sustained low-level forcing of a different kind in EXP. The differences in the data might also result from differences in the averaging procedures in the two instances or to differences in the extent of the two datasets. We recall that the standard deviation for random noise varies as $n^{-1/2}$ with sample size.

The differences that exist between EXP and DNS in the inertial range in Fig. [2](#page-1-2) persist at higher orders. Figure [4](#page-2-1) shows $\zeta_{6,2} \equiv d[\log S_6] / d[\log S_2] = \zeta_6 / \zeta_2$ as a function of

FIG. 4. Ratio of logarithmic local slopes of S_6 and S_2 , $\zeta_{6,2}(r) \equiv$ $d[\log S_6(r)]/d[\log S_2(r)]$ vs spatial separation r normalized by Kolmogorov scale η . Error bars indicate standard error obtained from temporal fluctuations of local slopes. Data from experiment (open circles) and the isotropic sector of DNS (solid line) are compared with the p model (dash-dot line) of Meneveau and Sreenivasan [\[22\],](#page-4-3) She-Leveque model (dashed line) [\[23\],](#page-4-4) and that by Yakhot (dotted line) [\[24\]](#page-4-5). Horizontal line at $\zeta_{6,2} = 3$ corresponds to self-similar nonintermittent scaling [\[5\]](#page-3-2). Inset shows a blowup of the inertial range and to either side of it to highlight differences between EXP and DNS.

scale r with a focus in the inertial range, $r/\eta \in (100, 1000)$. K41 similarity [\[5\]](#page-3-2) would correspond to $\zeta_{6,2} = 3$. Both EXP and isotropic DNS show roughly double the deviation from K41 similarity at the level of $\zeta_{6,2}$ than that at $\zeta_{4,2}$ (the increased departure being a characteristic of intermittency), and exhibit qualitatively similar behavior including the persistence of differences between EXP and DNS (see inset of Fig. [4\)](#page-2-1). In the case of $\zeta_{6,2}$ the She-Leveque model [\[23\]](#page-4-4) seems to agree somewhat better with EXP than the p model, which underpredicts the level of intermittency. All three models appear to underpredict the inertial range intermittency of the DNS for $\zeta_{6,2}$.

In summary, we have examined the commonly held belief that nonuniversal large-scale and viscous effects are forgotten to yield universal statistics well inside the inertial range. In particular, we compared turbulence data from simulations and experiments at similar Reynolds numbers and examined if power-law scaling prevails in the inertial range and, if it does, to what extent the assumed universality [\[35,36\]](#page-4-9) holds for structure functions. We confirmed that the scaling exponent ratios depart considerably from the K41 prediction [\[1,2\]](#page-3-1) and that existing phenomenological models do not account for all the observed small-scale nonuniversalities. We found that viscous effects in the exponent ratios persist up to at least $r/n \sim 80$ (although this precise number may vary with the context). For larger scale separations and up to $r/\eta \sim 1000$ scaling properties are close to a pure power law with superposed small oscillations whose amplitudes decrease with increasing scale and Reynolds number; they decay continually with sample size in DNS but have a sustained presence of about 4 parts in 1000 even for very large sample sizes in EXP. A small but detectable mismatch between DNS and EXP data is further measured at all scales. Both these effects may be due to Reynolds number–independent breaking of universality, which would call to question the past 80 years of turbulence theory, or to some subtle and long-lived largescale effects, or something else. These important findings, although subtle, have been possible because of the unprecedented combination of high sample sizes and high Reynolds numbers in both DNS and EXP. We are entering an era in which scaling laws in turbulent flows can be assessed to within a few percent accuracy and over two or more decades of scale-by-scale comparisons.

The experiments were performed at the Max Planck Institute with M. Sinhuber and E. Bodenschatz, to whom we are grateful for the possibility to use the data. We thank D. Buaria for his contributions to the simulations. This work is partially supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF), via Grant No. ACI-1640771 at the Georgia Institute of Technology. This work used the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. ACI-1548562, on Stampede2 provided through Allocation TG-MCA99S022. The computations were performed using supercomputing resources through the Blue Waters Project at the National Center for Supercomputing Applications at the University of Illinois (Urbana-Champaign). This work received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No. 882340).

[*](#page-0-2) krs3@nyu.edu

- [1] A. S. Monin and A. M. Yaglom, Statistical Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 2 (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1975).
- [2] U. Frisch, Turbulence—The Legacy of A. N. Kolmogorov (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1995).
- [3] K. R. Sreenivasan and R. A. Antonia, The phenomenology of small-scale turbulence, [Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.fluid.29.1.435) 29, 435 [\(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.fluid.29.1.435)
- [4] T. Ishihara, T. Gotoh, and Y. Kaneda, Study of high-Reynolds number isotropic turbulence by direct numerical simulation, [Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.fluid.010908.165203) 41, 165 (2009).
- [5] A. N. Kolmogorov, Dissipation of energy in locally isotropic turbulence, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 32, 16 (1941).
- [6] K. R. Sreenivasan and B. Dhruva, Is there scaling in high-Reynolds-number turbulence?, [Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.130.103) 130[, 103 \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.130.103).
- [7] N. Goldenfeld, Lectures on Phase Transitions and the Renormalization Group (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1992), 1st ed., [https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429493492.](https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429493492)
- [8] A. Barabási, The origin of bursts and heavy tails in human dynamics, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03459) 435, 207 (2005).
- [9] D. W. Sims, E. J. Southall, N. E. Humphries, G. C. Hays, C. J. A. Bradshaw, J. W. Pitchford, A. James, M. Z. Ahmed, A. S. Brierley, M. A. Hindell, D. Morritt, M. K. Musyl, D. Righton, E. L. C. Shepard, V. J. Wearmouth, R. P. Wilson, M. J. Witt, and J. D. Metcalfe, Scaling laws of marine predator search behaviour, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06518) 451, 1098 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06518)
- [10] M. P. H. Stumpf and M. A. Porter, Critical truths about power laws, Science 335[, 665 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1216142)
- [11] G. L. Eyink, Besov spaces and the multifractal hypothesis, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02183353) 78, 353 (1995).
- [12] M. Sinhuber, G. P. Bewley, and E. Bodenschatz, Dissipative Effects on Inertial-Range Statistics at High Reynolds Numbers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119[, 134502 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.134502).
- [13] C. Meneveau, Transition between viscous and inertial-range scaling of turbulence structure functions, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.54.3657) 54, [3657 \(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.54.3657).
- [14] P. K. Yeung, X. M. Zhai, and K. R. Sreenivasan, Extreme events in computational turbulence, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1517368112) U.S.A. 112[, 12633 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1517368112).
- [15] K. P. Iyer, K. R. Sreenivasan, and P. K. Yeung, Reynolds number scaling of velocity increments in isotropic turbulence, Phys. Rev. E 95[, 021101\(R\) \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.95.021101).
- [16] K. P. Iyer, K. R. Sreenivasan, and P. K. Yeung, Scaling exponents saturate in three-dimensional isotropic turbulence, [Phys. Rev. Fluids](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.5.054605) 5, 054605 (2020).
- [17] S. Kurien and K. R. Sreenivasan, Measures of anisotropy and the universal properties of turbulence, in New Trends in Turbulence Turbulence: Nouveaux Aspects edited by

M. Lesieur, A. Yaglom, and F. David, Les Houches - Ecole d'Ete de Physique Theorique, Vol. 74 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001), pp. 53–111, [https://doi.org/10.1007/3-](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45674-0_2) [540-45674-0_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45674-0_2).

- [18] L. Biferale and I. Procaccia, Anisotropy in turbulent flows and in turbulent transport, Phys. Rep. 414[, 43 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2005.04.001)
- [19] K. P. Iyer, F. Bonaccorso, L. Biferale, and F. Toschi, Multiscale anisotropic fluctuations in sheared turbulence with multiple states, Phys. Rev. Fluids 2[, 052602\(R\) \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.2.052602)
- [20] R. Benzi, S. Ciliberto, R. Tripiccione, C. Baudet, and S. Succi, Extended self-similarity in turbulent flows, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.48.R29) Rev. E 48[, R29 \(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.48.R29).
- [21] R. Benzi, L. Biferale, R. Fisher, D. Q. Lamb, and F. Toschi, Inertial range Eulerian and Lagrangian statistics from numerical simulations of isotropic turbulence, [J. Fluid](https://doi.org/10.1017/S002211201000056X) Mech. 653[, 221 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1017/S002211201000056X)
- [22] C. Meneveau and K. R. Sreenivasan, Simple Multifractal Cascade Model for Fully Developed Turbulence, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.1424) Lett. 59[, 1424 \(1987\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.1424).
- [23] Z. S. She and E. Leveque, Universal Scaling Laws in Fully Developed Turbulence, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.336) 72, 336 [\(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.336)
- [24] V. Yakhot, Mean-field approximation and a small parameter in turbulence theory, Phys. Rev. E 63[, 026307 \(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.63.026307).
- [25] U. Frisch and M. Vergassola, A prediction of the multifractal model: The intermediate dissipation range, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/14/5/009) 14[, 439 \(1991\).](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/14/5/009)
- [26] A. Arnèodo, R. Benzi, J. Berg, L. Biferale, E. Bodenschatz, A. Busse, E. Calzavarini, B. Castaing, M. Cencini, L. Chevillard, R. T. Fisher, R. Grauer, H. Homann, D. Lamb, A. S. Lanotte, E. Lévèque, B. Lüthi, J. Mann, N. Mordant, W.-C. Müller, S. Ott, N. T. Ouellette, J.-F. Pinton, S. B. Pope, S. G. Roux, F. Toschi, H. Xu, and P. K. Yeung, Universal Intermittent Properties of Particle Trajectories in

Highly Turbulent Flows, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.254504) 100, 254504 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.254504)

- [27] D. A. Donzis and K. R. Sreenivasan, The bottleneck effect and the Kolmogorov constant in isotropic turbulence, [J. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112010001400) 657, 171 (2010).
- [28] C. Küchler, G. Bewley, and E. Bodenschatz, Experimental study of the Bottleneck in fully developed turbulence, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-019-02251-1) 175, 617 (2019).
- [29] G. I. Taylor, Statistical theory of turbulence, [Proc. R. Soc. A](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1935.0158) 151[, 421 \(1935\)](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1935.0158).
- [30] V. Yakhot and D. Donzis, Emergence of Multiscaling in a Random-Force Stirred Fluid, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.044501) 119, 044501 [\(2017\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.044501) V. Yakhot, Matching conditions and high-re anomalies in hydrodynamic turbulence, [arXiv:1812.02883.](https://arXiv.org/abs/1812.02883)
- [31] J. Schumacher, K. R. Sreenivasan, and V. Yakhot, Asymptotic exponents from low-Reynolds-number flows, [New J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/9/4/089) Phys. 9[, 89 \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/9/4/089).
- [32] R. Benzi, G. Paladin, G. Parisi, and A. Vulpiani, On the multifractal nature of fully developed turbulence and chaotic systems, J. Phys. A 17[, 3521 \(1984\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/18/021)
- [33] D. P. Ruelle, Hydrodynamic turbulence as a problem in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218747109) U.S.A. 109[, 20344 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218747109).
- [34] C. Eling and Y. Oz, The anomalous scaling exponents of turbulence in general dimension from random geometry, [J. High Energy Phys. 09 \(2015\) 150.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2015)150)
- [35] E.-W. Saw, P. Debue, D. Kuzzay, F. Daviaud, and B. Dubrulle, On the universality of anomalous scaling exponents of structure functions in turbulent flows, [J. Fluid](https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2017.848) Mech. 837[, 657 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2017.848)
- [36] N. Reinke, A. Fuchs, D. Nickelsen, and J. Peinke, On universal features of the turbulent cascade in terms of nonequilibrium thermodynamics, [J. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2018.360) 848, 117 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2018.360)