Universal AdS Black Holes in Theories with 16 Supercharges and Their Microstates

Seyed Morteza Hosseini $\mathbf{\Theta}^{1,*}$ $\mathbf{\Theta}^{1,*}$ $\mathbf{\Theta}^{1,*}$ $\mathbf{\Theta}^{1,*}$ and Alberto Zaffaroni $\mathbf{\Theta}^{2,3,\dagger}$ $\mathbf{\Theta}^{2,3,\dagger}$ $\mathbf{\Theta}^{2,3,\dagger}$

¹Kavli IPMU (WPI), UTIAS, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan ²Dinartimento di Eisias, Università di Milano Pisocea, L20126 Milano, Italy

 2 Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano-Bicocca, I-20126 Milano, Italy

 β INFN, sezione di Milano-Bicocca, I-20126 Milano, Italy

(Received 21 December 2020; accepted 2 April 2021; published 28 April 2021)

We provide a universal microscopic counting for the microstates of the asymptotically AdS black holes and black strings that arise as solutions of the half-maximal gauged supergravity in 4 and 5 dimensions. These solutions can be embedded in all M-theory and type II string backgrounds with an AdS vacuum and 16 supercharges and provide an infinite set of examples dual to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ conformal field theories in four and three dimensions, respectively. The counting is universal and it is performed by either studying the large N limit of the relevant supersymmetric index of the dual field theory or by using the charged Cardy formula.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.171604](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.171604)

Introduction.—The microscopic counting of black hole microstates is a fundamental question for all theories of quantum gravity. String theory has provided a microscopic explanation for the entropy of a class of asymptotically flat black holes [\[1\].](#page-4-2) Despite the AdS/CFT correspondence [\[2\]](#page-4-3), the analogous question for asymptotically anti–de Sitter (AdS) black holes has remained elusive until recently, except for $AdS₃$. In the last few years there has been some progress, first for a class of magnetically charged supersymmetric black holes in AdS_4 [\[3\],](#page-4-4) and later for a class of supersymmetric Kerr-Newman (KN) black holes in AdS_5 [\[4,5\].](#page-4-5) The AdS/CFT correspondence provides a nonperturbative definition of quantum gravity in asymptotically AdS space in terms of a dual boundary quantum field theory (QFT) and the black hole microstates appear as particular states in the boundary description. The entropy of a supersymmetric black hole with angular momentum J and a set of conserved electric and magnetic charges is reproduced by counting the states with spin J and the same quantum numbers in the dual QFT. Computations in a strongly coupled supersymmetric QFT are difficult but the numbers of states of interest can be extracted from supersymmetric indices that can be often evaluated using exact nonperturbative techniques. Supersymmetric localization [\[6\]](#page-4-6), for example, allows one to reduce the indices to matrix models that can be evaluated in a saddle-point approximation when the number of colors N is large, which is the regime where holography applies.

Unfortunately, asymptotically AdS black holes are difficult to find, and not so many 4- and 5-dimensional examples are known besides those that can be embedded in AdS₄ \times S⁷ or AdS₅ \times S⁵ and some universal examples that arise from embedding minimal gauged supergravity into string compactifications [7–[10\]](#page-4-7). Some progress has been made instead in constructing infinite classes of supersymmetric AdS_2 and AdS_3 solutions that can arise as the near horizon limit of AdS black objects [\[11,12\]](#page-4-8). In this Letter, in the spirit of the above-mentioned universal examples, we consider a large class of black holes and black strings that arise as solutions of the half-maximal supergravity in AdS_4 and AdS_5 . Such solutions can be embedded in all AdS_4 and AdS_5 type II or M-theory backgrounds with 16 supercharges. Indeed, for any supersymmetric solution of 10- or 11-dimensional supergravity of the warped product form $AdS_D \times_w M$, there is a consistent truncation to pure gauged supergravity in D dimensions containing that solution and having the same supersymmetry [\[13](#page-4-9)–16]. This observation calls for a universal large N formula for the number of supersymmetric states in conformal field theories (CFT) with 8 supercharges (16 including conformal supersymmetries). We will indeed perform a universal counting of states in CFTs with 8 supercharges using the superconformal index and the charged Cardy formula. Extrapolating from known results about the large N behavior of the index in various limits, we precisely reproduce the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the black objects. We will check the results for the known classes of CFTs with 8 supercharges that admit a holographic dual. We will also provide a conjecture for the R-symmetry charge dependence of the $S³$ free energy of 3D CFTs with 8 supercharges. A similar universal computation was done in [\[7\]](#page-4-7) and in [8–[10\]](#page-4-10) for theories with 4 supercharges. For other related universal results see [\[17](#page-4-11)–19].

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauged supergravity in 5 dimensions.—The bosonic part of minimal 5D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauged supergravity [\[20,21\]](#page-4-12) consists of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, a U(1)_R gauge field a_{μ} , an SU(2)_R Yang-Mills gauge field A_{μ}^{I} , $I = 1, 2, 3$, two antisymmetric tensor fields B^{α} , $\alpha - 4$, 5, and one real antisymmetric tensor fields $B_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}$, $\alpha = 4, 5$, and one real
scalar ϕ . The fermionic components are four graviting scalar ϕ . The fermionic components are four gravitini $\psi_{\mu i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and four spin-1/2 fermions χ_i . These fields form the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauged supergravity multiplet $(g_{\mu\nu}, \psi_{\mu i}, a_{\mu}, A_{\mu}^{I}, B_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}, \chi_{i}, \phi)$. The bosonic Lagrangian is given by given by

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\mathcal{L} = -\frac{R}{4} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial^{\mu}\phi)(\partial_{\mu}\phi) + \frac{g_2}{8}(g_2\xi^{-2} + 2\sqrt{2}g_1\xi)
$$

$$
-\frac{\xi^{-4}}{4}f^{\mu\nu}f_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\xi^2}{4}(F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + B^{\mu\nu\alpha}B^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu})
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{4\sqrt{-g}}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau}\left(\frac{1}{g_1}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}B^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}D_{\rho}B^{\beta}_{\sigma\tau} - F_{\mu\nu}^{I}F_{\rho\sigma}^{I}a_{\tau}\right),
$$

where $\xi = \exp(\sqrt{2/3}\phi)$, and the field strengths are
 $\xi = \partial \phi$, $\xi = \partial \phi$, $E = \partial A^T$, ∂A^T , $\partial \phi = \partial K A J A K$ $f_{\mu} = \partial_{\nu} a_{\mu} - \partial_{\nu} a_{\mu}$, $F_{\mu\nu}^{I} = \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{I} - \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^{I} + g_{2} \epsilon^{IJK} A_{\mu}^{J} A_{\nu}^{K}$.
The theory with $\sqrt{2}$, $\sqrt{2}$ has an AdS summary The theory with $g_2 = \sqrt{2}g_1 = 2\sqrt{2}$ has an AdS vacuum with radius $f_1 = 1$ that preserves all of the 16 real with radius $\ell_5 = 1$ that preserves all of the 16 real supercharges.

The universal KN black hole in AdS_5 .—There is a universal solution of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauged supergravity corresponding to a supersymmetric, asymptotically AdS black hole with two electric charges Q_1 and Q_2 under U(1)² ⊂ $U(1)_R \times SU(2)_R$ and two angular momenta J_1 and J_2 . It can be obtained as a particular case of the KN black holes in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ with angular momenta (J_1, J_2) and electric charges (Q_1, Q_2, Q_2) under the Cartan subgroup of SO(6) [22–[26\].](#page-4-13) The latter are solutions of the $U(1)^3$ truncation of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and one can easily check that they become solutions of the minimal $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauged supergravity when two U(1) gauge fields are identified. The entropy can be compactly written as [\[27\]](#page-4-14)

$$
S(J_I, Q_i) = 2\pi \sqrt{Q_2^2 + 2Q_1 Q_2 - 2a(J_1 + J_2)},
$$
 (1)

where $a = \left\{ \left[\pi \ell_5^3 \right] / \left[8G_N^{(5)} \right] \right\}$, with ℓ_5 being the radius of AdS₅ and $G_N^{(5)}$ the Newton constant is the central charge of the dual $\mathcal{N} = 2 \text{ CFT}$ [\[28\]](#page-4-15) at leading order in N. In order for the black hole to have a smooth horizon, the charges must satisfy the nonlinear constraint

$$
0 = 2Q_2(Q_1 + Q_2)^2 + 2a(Q_2^2 + 2Q_1Q_2)
$$

- 2a(J₁ + J₂)(Q₁ + 2Q₂) – 2aJ₁J₂ – 4a²(J₁ + J₂). (2)

The entropy [\(1\)](#page-1-0) can be written as the constrained Legendre transform of the quantity [\[29\]](#page-5-0)

$$
\log \mathcal{Z}(X_i, \omega_i) = -4\pi i a \frac{X_1 X_2^2}{\omega_1 \omega_2}.
$$
 (3)

The entropy is obtained indeed by extremizing

$$
S = \log \mathcal{Z}(X_i, \omega_i) - 2\pi i (\omega_1 J_1 + \omega_2 J_2 + X_1 Q_1 + 2X_2 Q_2),
$$

with respect to X_i and ω_i with the constraint $X_1 + 2X_2 - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = \pm 1$. Both signs lead to the same critical value [\(1\)](#page-1-0) [\[30\],](#page-5-1) which is real precisely when [\(2\)](#page-1-1) is satisfied. The solution can be embedded in all $AdS₅$ type II or M-theory backgrounds preserving 16 supercharges.

Examples of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ quiver theories with a holographic dual and central charges of order $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ are provided by orbifolds of AdS₅ \times S⁵. Another large class of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories with a holographic dual can be obtained by compactifying N M5-branes on a Riemann surface Σ_a of genus g with regular punctures [\[31\]](#page-5-2). We will refer to these theories as holographic class S theories. The theories are generically non-Lagrangian and their central charge is of order $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$. On general grounds [\[14,15\]](#page-4-16), one knows that the effective 5D dual gravitational theory can be consistently truncated to the minimal $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauged supergravity. As an example, one can check explicitly that the universal KN solution can be embedded in the compactification with no punctures, corresponding to the M-theory $AdS₅$ solution originally found in [\[32\]](#page-5-3). To this purpose we can use the 5D consistent truncation of 7D $U(1)^2$ gauged supergravity on Σ_a derived in [\[33](#page-5-4)–35]. The corresponding 5D theory is written as an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauged supergravity with two vector multiplets and one hypermultiplet. At the AdS_5 vacuum one vector multiplet becomes massive through a Higgs mechanism. The theory depends on a parameter z that specifies the twist along $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the preserved supersymmetry is generically $\mathcal{N} = 2$ corresponding to a dual $\mathcal{N} = 1$ CFT. For the special values $z = \pm 1$ supersymmetry is enhanced to $\mathcal{N} = 4$, and, for $g > 1$, one obtains a dual $\mathcal{N} = 2$ CFT. One can check that, for $z = \pm 1$ and $g > 1$, by setting the hyperscalars to their AdS_5 value and setting to zero the fields in the massive vector multiplet, equations of motion and supersymmetry variations of the theory in [\[33\]](#page-5-4) coincide with those of the U(1)² sector of the minimal $\mathcal{N} =$ 4 gauged supergravity. Explicitly, in the notations of [\[33\]](#page-5-4) we need to set $e^{-10B/3} = 2^{-1/3}m^{10/3}\zeta$, $e^{10\lambda_1/9} = e^{-5\lambda_2/3} = 2^{-1/3}\zeta$ and $A^{(0)} = m^{-4/3}2^{-1/6}A$ with $a_2 = 2^{5/6}m^{5/3}$ $2^{-1/3}\zeta$ and $A^{(0)} = m^{-4/3}2^{-1/6}A$, with $g_2 = 2^{5/6}m^{5/3}$.
We will now show that the entropy (1) matches

We will now show that the entropy [\(1\)](#page-1-0) matches the prediction of a microscopic computation based on the superconformal index for a generic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ CFT with a holographic dual. The number of BPS states with charges Q_1 , Q_2 and spin J_1 , J_2 in an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ CFT can be computed (for large charges and spins) by taking the Legendre transform of the superconformal index I , which is a function of chemical potentials for the electric charges and the angular momenta. The agreement between the gravitational picture and the field theory computation requires $\log \mathcal{I} = \log \mathcal{Z}$. The general expectation for an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ CFT with a holographic dual is [\[36\]](#page-5-5)

$$
\log \mathcal{I}(\Delta, \omega_i) = -\frac{4\pi i}{27} \frac{(\omega_1 + \omega_2 \pm 1)^3}{\omega_1 \omega_2} a(\Delta), \qquad (4)
$$

valid at large N. In this formula ω_1 and ω_2 are chemical potentials conjugated to J_1 and J_2 and $(\omega_1 + \omega_2 \pm 1)\Delta/2$ is a set of chemical potentials for the R and flavor symmetries of the theory. They are normalized such that Δ can be interpreted as an assignment of R charges to the fields of the theory with the only constraint that the superpotential has R-charge two. Moreover, $a(\Delta) = \frac{9}{32} \text{Tr}R(\Delta)^3$, where $R(\Delta)$ is the R-symmetry generator and the trace is taken over the fermionic fields is the erator and the trace is taken over the fermionic fields, is the trial *a* charge at large N [\[37\].](#page-5-6) For Lagrangian theories with a holographic dual, formula [\(4\)](#page-2-0) has been derived in the large N limit in [\[4,10,38,39\].](#page-4-5) It is also compatible with the Cardy limit performed in [\[5,8,9,40\].](#page-4-17) It has not been yet derived in full generality for non-Lagrangian theories. However, the Cardy limit can be also derived by writing the effective theory of the CFT coupled to background fields on $S^3 \times S^1$ in the limit where the circle shrinks [\[5,9\]](#page-4-17) and this method applies also to non-Lagrangian theories. The two signs in [\(4\)](#page-2-0) arise in the saddle-point evaluation of the index in different regions in the space of chemical potentials. Consider first an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Lagrangian CFT with n_V vector multiplets and n_H hypermultiplets. In $\mathcal{N} = 1$ language, the theory can be described by n_V vector multiplets, n_V chiral multiplets ϕ_I , and n_H pairs of chiral multiplets (q_a, \tilde{q}_a) . The trial R symmetry can be written as $R(\Delta) = (\Delta_1 r_1 + \Delta_2 r_2)/2$ with $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 = 2$, where r_1 is the U(1)_R symmetry assigning charge 2 to ϕ_I and zero to q_a , \tilde{q}_a and r_2 is the Cartan generator of $SU(2)_R$ assigning charge zero to ϕ_I and charge 1 to q_a , \tilde{q}_a . In the gravitational dual, Q_1 is the charge under $r_1/2$ and Q_2 under r_2 . Notice that the exact R symmetry corresponds to $\hat{\Delta}_1 = \frac{2}{3}$, $\hat{\Delta}_2 = \frac{4}{3}$
leading to canonical dimensions for the fields. We easily leading to canonical dimensions for the fields. We easily compute

$$
TrR(\Delta) = (n_V - n_H)\Delta_1,
$$

\n
$$
TrR(\Delta)^3 = \frac{3n_V}{4}\Delta_1\Delta_2^2 + \frac{n_V - n_H}{4}\Delta_1^3.
$$
 (5)

Holography requires $a = c$ at large N [\[28\]](#page-4-15). Using $16(a - c) = TrR$ [\[41\],](#page-5-7) this implies $n_V = n_H$ at leading order in N. We then find

$$
\log \mathcal{I}(\Delta, \omega_i) = -\frac{\pi i a}{8} \frac{(\omega_1 + \omega_2 \pm 1)^3}{\omega_1 \omega_2} \Delta_1 \Delta_2^2, \qquad (6)
$$

where $a = a(\hat{\Delta}) = (n_V/4)$ denotes the *exact* central charge of the CFT at large N. We see that, with the redefinitions $X_1 = (\omega_1 + \omega_2 \pm 1)(\Delta_1/2)$ and $X_2 = (\omega_1 + \omega_2 \pm 1)(\Delta_2/4)$, we recover [\(3\)](#page-1-2) and the

constraint $X_1 + 2X_2 - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = \pm 1$. For non-
Lagrangian theories, we just replace n_V and n_H with an effective number of vector multiplets and hypermultiplets, $n_V = 4(2a - c), n_H = 4(5c - 4a)$ [\[31\]](#page-5-2). The structure of [\(5\)](#page-2-1) is completely fixed by $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal invariance [\[42\]](#page-5-8) and the previous argument still holds.

The universal black string in AdS_5 .—We can similarly find a universal black string solution of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauged supergravity as a special case of the black strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ found in [\[43\]](#page-5-9) with angular momentum *J*, electric charges (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) , and magnetic charges (p_1, p_2, p_3) when we set $Q_3 = Q_2$ and $p_3 = p_2$. The horizon geometry of this solution has the topology of a warped product BTZ \times $_{w}S^{2}$ and carries an extra conserved charge corresponding to a momentum Q_0 along the Bañados-Teitelboim-Zanelli (BTZ) circle. Upon compactification on the circle we obtain a 4D dyonic black hole with Lifshitz-like asymptotics. In the special case where all of the electric charges Q_i and J are zero, the 5D solution is a domain wall interpolating between AdS₅ and AdS₃ \times S². There is a constraint on the magnetic charges $p_1 + 2p_2 = -1$, which corresponds to the fact that the dual field theory is topologically twisted along $S²$, and a further constraint involving the electric charges: $(1 + p_1)Q_2 + (1 + 2p_1)Q_1 = 0$. The entropy of the 4-dimensional black hole reads [\[43,44\]](#page-5-9)

$$
S(J, Q_I, p_i) = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{c_{\text{CFT}}}{6} \left(Q_0 - \frac{J^2}{2k} - \frac{F^2}{2k_{FF}}\right)},\quad(7)
$$

where $F = Q_1 - Q_2$ and

$$
c_{\text{CFT}} = -24a \frac{(1+p_1)^2}{2+3p_1},
$$

\n
$$
k = -2ap_1(1+p_1)^2, \qquad k_{FF} = -2a(2+3p_1). \quad (8)
$$

We expect again that the solution can be embedded in any $AdS₅$ compactification preserving 16 supercharges. The special case of class S with no punctures has been constructed in [\[44\]](#page-5-10), as a part of a more general compactifications of M5-branes on a Riemann surface with 8 supercharges. It is not difficult to check that the solution in [\[44\]](#page-5-10) becomes that of the universal black string when the theory has 16 supercharges ($\kappa = -1$, $z_1 = -1$, $\phi_1 = 2 - 2g$, and $t_1 = -2p_1$, in the notation of [\[44\]](#page-5-10)), and the entropy coincides with [\(7\)](#page-2-2).

The result is indeed universal from the field theory point of view. The black string is dual to a 2D CFT obtained by compactifying the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ 4D CFT on S^2 with a topological twist. The microscopic entropy is just the number of states of the 2D CFT with $L_0 = Q_0$, electric charges Q_1 and Q_2 under $r_1/2$ and r_2 and charge J under the additional infrared SU(2) symmetry associated with rotation along $S²$. These states are accounted for by the charged Cardy formula. The latter has precisely the form (7) , where c_{CFT} is

the central charge of the 2D CFT, k is the level of the rotational SU(2) symmetry, and k_{FF} the level of the flavor symmetry $r_1/2 - r_2$ [\[44\]](#page-5-10). All these quantities can be computed with an (equivariant) integration of the 4D anomaly polynomial [44–[46\]](#page-5-10) and are universal because, as already noticed, the form of the 4-dimensional anomalies for an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ CFT with a holographic dual is universal and only depends on $a = (n_V/4)$. For details on the anomaly integration leading to [\(8\)](#page-2-3) see [\[44\]](#page-5-10).

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauged supergravity in 4 dimensions.—Fourdimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SO(4) gauged supergravity can be obtained as the consistent truncation of 11D supergravity on $S⁷$ [\[47\]](#page-5-11). The bosonic field content of this theory is the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, two SU(2) gauge fields $(A_{\mu}^{I}, \tilde{A}_{\mu}^{I})$, $I = 1, 2, 3, a$
dilaton ϕ , and an axion χ . The fermionic components are dilaton ϕ , and an axion χ . The fermionic components are four gravitini ψ_{ui} , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and four spin-1/2 fermions χ_i . These fields form the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauged supergravity multiplet $(g_{\mu\nu}, \psi_{\mu i}, A^I_{\mu}, \tilde{A}^I_{\mu}, \chi_i, \phi, \chi)$. The bosonic Lagrangian is given by

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\mathcal{L} = R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial^{\mu}\phi)(\partial_{\mu}\phi) - \frac{1}{2}e^{2\phi}(\partial^{\mu}\chi)(\partial_{\mu}\chi)
$$

$$
+ 2g^{2}[4 + 2\cosh(\phi) + \chi^{2}e^{\phi}]
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2}e^{-\phi}F_{\mu\nu}^{I}F^{I\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{e^{\phi}}{1 + \chi^{2}e^{2\phi}}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^{I}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu I}
$$

$$
- \frac{\chi}{2\sqrt{-g}}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(F^{\mu\nu I}F^{\rho\sigma I} - \frac{e^{2\phi}}{1 + \chi^{2}e^{2\phi}}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu I}\tilde{F}^{\rho\sigma I}\right).
$$

The universal KN black hole in $AdS₄$.—The universal 4D KN solution can be obtained by specializing the general KN black holes in AdS₄ \times S⁷ to have angular momentum J, electric charges (Q_1, Q_2, Q_1, Q_2) , and magnetic charges (p_1, p_2, p_1, p_2) under the Cartan subgroup of SO(8) [\[48](#page-5-12)–50]. The magnetic charges are restricted to satisfy $p_1 = -p_2 \equiv p$, which corresponds to the absence of a topological twist, and there is a further constraint among charges

$$
J = \frac{Q_1 + Q_2}{2} \left(-1 + \sqrt{1 - 16p^2 + \frac{4\pi^2}{F_{S^3}^2} Q_1 Q_2} \right). \tag{9}
$$

The entropy, given by $S(p, Q_1, Q_2, J) = 2F_{S^3} \frac{J}{(Q_1 + Q_2)}$ where $F_{S^3} = \{[\pi \ell_4^2]/[2G_N^{(4)}]\}\$ is the S^3 free energy of the dual CET can be obtained by extremizing the functional where $T_{S^3} = \sqrt{\mu \nu_{4}} / 2\sigma_N$ is the stremizing the functional dual CFT, can be obtained by extremizing the functional [\[51,52\]](#page-5-13)

$$
S = -F_{S^3} \frac{(\Delta_1 \Delta_2 - 4p^2 \omega^2)}{\omega} + \pi i \sum_{i=1}^2 \Delta_i Q_i + \pi i \omega J, \quad (10)
$$

with the constraint $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \omega = 2$. This solution can be embedded in any $AdS₄$ solution of M-theory or type II string theory with 16 supercharges.

The general expectation for a 3D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ CFT with a holographic dual is that the entropy is the Legendre transform of [\[52\]](#page-5-14)

$$
\log \mathcal{I}(\Delta, \omega) = -\frac{F_{S^3}(\Delta_i - 2\omega p_i)}{2\omega} - \frac{F_{S^3}(\Delta_i + 2\omega p_i)}{2\omega}, \quad (11)
$$

where $F_{S^3}(\Delta_i)$ is the S^3 free energy as a function of the trial R symmetry [\[53\]](#page-5-15) and $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 = 2$. Δ_1 and Δ_2 are conjugated to the Cartan generators of the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ R symmetry. This formula follows from gluing gravitational blocks in gravity [\[52\]](#page-5-14), which is the counterpart of gluing holomorphic blocks in the dual field theory [\[54,55\]](#page-5-16). For $p = 0$ and the Aharony, Bergman, Jafferis, Maldacena (ABJM) theory, [\(11\)](#page-3-0) has been derived in the Cardy limit in [\[56,57\]](#page-5-17) by factorizing the superconformal index into vortex partition functions. It is expected to hold for more general theories and for $p \neq 0$. We then find a general prediction for the trial free energy of a generic $\mathcal{N} = 4$ CFT with a holographic dual in the large N limit

$$
F_{S^3}(\Delta_i) = F_{S^3} \Delta_1 \Delta_2. \tag{12}
$$

We can explicitly check this prediction in various examples. Holographic $\mathcal{N} = 4$ CFTs arise as wold volume theories of M2-branes probing $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_2$, with Γ_i discrete subgroups of SU(2), where the role of Γ_1 and Γ_2 can be exchanged by mirror symmetry [\[58\].](#page-5-18) Consider, for simplicity, the case where $\Gamma_2 = \mathbb{Z}_p$. The world volume theory is based on an $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE quiver with gauge groups $U(n_aN)$ corresponding to the nodes of the extended Dynkin diagram of Γ_1 and bifundamental hypermultiplets associated with the links, flavored with the addition of p fundamental hypermultiplets $(n_a$ are the comarks: see [\[59\]](#page-5-19) for conventions and details). Denote by n_V , n_B , and n_F the total number of vector multiplets, bifundamental and fundamental hypers, respectively. The large N limit of the $S³$ partition function can be computed with the methods in $[60-62]$ $[60-62]$. In the large N limit the eigenvalue distribution for a group $U(n_aN)$ is given by n_a copies of the segment $\lambda(t) = N^{1/2}t$ with density $\rho(t)$ $\iint dt \rho(t) = 1$. In the large N limit, using the rules in [61.62] we obtain $[61,62]$, we obtain

$$
F_{S^3}(\Delta_i) = \frac{n_{\rm B}}{N^{1/2}} \frac{\pi^2}{6} \Delta_2 (\Delta_2 - 2)(\Delta_2 - 4) \int \rho(t)^2 dt
$$

+
$$
\frac{n_{\rm V}}{N^{1/2}} \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \Delta_1 (\Delta_1 - 1)(\Delta_1 - 2) \int \rho(t)^2 dt
$$

+
$$
\frac{n_{\rm F} N^{1/2}}{2} (2 - \Delta_2) \int \rho(t) |t| dt,
$$
 (13)

where we assign charge Δ_1 to the adjoint chiral in the vector multiplet and $\Delta_2/2$ to the chiral fields q_a, \tilde{q}_a in the hypermultiplets, in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ notations. Since the ADE quivers are balanced (the number of hypers for each group is twice the number of colors), we have $n_V = n_B$ and we find the saddle-point distribution

$$
\rho(t) = \frac{\pi \sqrt{2n_{\rm F}n_{\rm V}N}\Delta_2 - n_{\rm F}N|t|}{2\pi^2 n_{\rm V}\Delta_2^2},\tag{14}
$$

with free energy

$$
F_{S^3}(\Delta_i) = \frac{\pi}{3} \sqrt{2n_{\rm F}n_{\rm V}} \Delta_1 \Delta_2, \tag{15}
$$

which reproduces [\(12\)](#page-3-1) with $F_{S^3} = (\pi/3)\sqrt{2n_F n_V}$. The previous computation for $\Gamma_i = \mathbb{Z}$ was already done in previous computation for $\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}_q$ was already done in disguise in [\[63\]](#page-5-22). Notice that $F_{S^3} = \mathcal{O}(N^{3/2})$, as expected for M2-brane theories. Formula [\(15\)](#page-4-18) can be also derived from the identification of the trial free energy with the volume functional of the transverse Calabi-Yau [\[61,64\]](#page-5-21). M2-branes probing Abelian hyper-Kähler orbifolds of \mathbb{C}^4 can be also realized in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ circular quivers with nonzero Chern-Simons terms [\[65\].](#page-5-23) The simplest example is actually ABJM itself, whose free energy has been com-puted in [\[61\]](#page-5-21) and reads $F_{S^3}(\delta_i) = 4F_{S^3}\sqrt{\delta_1\delta_2\delta_3\delta_4}$, where δ_i are conjugated to the Cartan subgroup of SO(8) and satisfy are conjugated to the Cartan subgroup of SO(8) and satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \delta_i = 2$. This reduces to [\(12\)](#page-3-1) for $\delta_3 = \delta_1 = \Delta_1/2$
and $\delta_4 = \delta_2 = \Delta_2/2$. Using and extending the results in and $\delta_4 = \delta_2 = \Delta_2/2$. Using and extending the results in [\[63,66\]](#page-5-22), one can also compute the free energy for the more general $\mathcal{N} = 4$ quivers discussed in [\[65\]](#page-5-23) and check that [\(12\)](#page-3-1) is valid. Notice that in all these examples the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ R symmetry acts differently from the case with no Chern-Simons and is fully visible once the theory is written in terms of both standard and twisted hyper-multiplets [\[67](#page-5-24)–69]. Another large class of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ holographic quivers are the $T^{\rho}_{\sigma}(G)$ theories [\[70\]](#page-5-25) whose
pravitational dual was found in [71.72]. The refined free gravitational dual was found in [\[71,72\]](#page-5-26). The refined free energy on S^3 for $T(SU(N))$ has been recently computed in the large N limit in [\[73\]](#page-5-27) and it reads $F_{S^3} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_1 \Delta_2 N^2 \log N$
which also agrees with (12). The prediction (12) can be also which also agrees with [\(12\).](#page-3-1) The prediction [\(12\)](#page-3-1) can be also checked for a larger class of $T_{\sigma}^{\rho}(G)$ theories [\[74\].](#page-5-28)
The universal twisted black hole in AdS.

The universal twisted black hole in $AdS₄$. We can obtain dyonic black holes with a twist (magnetic charge for the R symmetry) and horizon $AdS_2 \times \Sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$, where $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a Riemann surface of genus g, by specializing the corresponding solution in AdS₄ \times S⁷ [\[75](#page-5-29)–77]. For g = 0 we can add an angular momentum J [\[78\].](#page-5-30) The case of static solutions of minimal gauged supergravity has been already discussed in [\[7,18\]](#page-4-7). Solutions with a generic $\mathcal{N} = 4$ choice of charges are not regular and we will not discuss them further. One can check however that the comparison between the gravity entropy functional and the large N limit of the (refined) topologically twisted index would still agree [since it is also based on [\(12\)](#page-3-1) [\[52\]](#page-5-14)], although the extremization leads to a nonphysical value for the entropy. For $J = 0$ one can find an off-shell agreement by considering the Euclidean black saddles discussed in [\[79\]](#page-5-31).

S. M. H. is supported in part by WPI Initiative, MEXT, Japan at IPMU, the University of Tokyo, JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid (Wakate-A), No. 17H04837 and JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid (Early-Career Scientists), No. 20K14462. A.Z. is partially supported by the INFN, the ERC-STG Grant No. 637844-HBQFTNCER, and the MIUR-PRIN Contract No. 2017CC72MK003.

[*](#page-0-0) morteza.hosseini@ipmu.jp

- [†](#page-0-0) alberto.zaffaroni@mib.infn.it
- [1] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(96)00345-0) 379, 99 (1996).
- [2] J. M. Maldacena, [Int. J. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026654312961) 38, 1113 (1999).
- [3] F. Benini, K. Hristov, and A. Zaffaroni, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2016)054) [Phys. 05 \(2016\) 054.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2016)054)
- [4] F. Benini and P. Milan, *Phys. Rev. X* **10**[, 021037 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.10.021037).
- [5] S. Choi, J. Kim, S. Kim, and J. Nahmgoong, [arXiv:1810.12067.](https://arXiv.org/abs/1810.12067)
- [6] V. Pestun et al., J. Phys. A 50[, 440301 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/aa63c1)
- [7] F. Azzurli, N. Bobev, P. M. Crichigno, V. S. Min, and A. Zaffaroni, [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2018\) 054.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2018)054)
- [8] A. Cabo-Bizet, D. Cassani, D. Martelli, and S. Murthy, [J.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2019)120) [High Energy Phys. 08 \(2019\) 120.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2019)120)
- [9] J. Kim, S. Kim, and J. Song, [J. High Energy Phys. 01 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)025) [025.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)025)
- [10] F. Benini, E. Colombo, S. Soltani, A. Zaffaroni, and Z. Zhang, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/abb39b) 37, 215021 (2020).
- [11] C. Couzens, J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, [J.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)212) [High Energy Phys. 01 \(2019\) 212.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)212)
- [12] J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2019)176) [Phys. 11 \(2019\) 176.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2019)176)
- [13] J. P. Gauntlett and O. Varela, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.126007) **76**, 126007 [\(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.126007)
- [14] J. P. Gauntlett and O. Varela, [J. High Energy Phys. 02](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/02/083) [\(2008\) 083.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/02/083)
- [15] D. Cassani, G. Josse, M. Petrini, and D. Waldram, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2019)017) [Energy Phys. 11 \(2019\) 017.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2019)017)
- [16] E. Malek, Fortschr. Phys. **65**[, 1700061 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.201700061)
- [17] F. Benini, N. Bobev, and P. M. Crichigno, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2016)020) [Phys. 07 \(2016\) 020.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2016)020)
- [18] N. Bobev and P.M. Crichigno, [J. High Energy Phys. 12](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2017)065) [\(2017\) 065.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2017)065)
- [19] N. Bobev and P.M. Crichigno, [J. High Energy Phys. 12](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2019)054) [\(2019\) 054.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2019)054)
- [20] L. Romans, Nucl. Phys. **B267**[, 433 \(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(86)90398-6).
- [21] M. Awada and P. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. **B255**[, 617 \(1985\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90156-7)
- [22] J. B. Gutowski and H. S. Reall, [J. High Energy Phys. 02](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/02/006) [\(2004\) 006.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/02/006)
- [23] J. B. Gutowski and H. S. Reall, [J. High Energy Phys. 04](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/04/048) [\(2004\) 048.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/04/048)
- [24] Z. W. Chong, M. Cvetič, H. Lu, and C. N. Pope, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.041901) D 72[, 041901\(R\) \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.041901)
- [25] Z.-W. Chong, M. Cvetič, H. Lu, and C. N. Pope, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.161301) Lett. 95[, 161301 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.161301)
- [26] H. K. Kunduri, J. Lucietti, and H. S. Reall, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/04/036) [Phys. 04 \(2006\) 036.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/04/036)
- [27] S. Kim and K.-M. Lee, [J. High Energy Phys. 12 \(2006\) 077.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/12/077)
- [28] M. Henningson and K. Skenderis, [J. High Energy Phys. 07](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1998/07/023) [\(1998\) 023.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1998/07/023)
- [29] S. M. Hosseini, K. Hristov, and A. Zaffaroni, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2017)106) [Phys. 07 \(2017\) 106.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2017)106)
- [30] A. Cabo-Bizet, D. Cassani, D. Martelli, and S. Murthy, [J.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2019)062) [High Energy Phys. 10 \(2019\) 062.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2019)062)
- [31] D. Gaiotto and J. Maldacena, [J. High Energy Phys. 10](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)189) [\(2012\) 189.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)189)
- [32] J. M. Maldacena and C. Nunez, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X01003937) 16, 822 [\(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X01003937)
- [33] P. Szepietowski, [J. High Energy Phys. 12 \(2012\) 018.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2012)018)
- [34] D. Cassani, G. Josse, M. Petrini, and D. Waldram, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2021)232) [Energy Phys. 02 \(2021\) 232.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2021)232)
- [35] E. Malek and V. V. Camell, [arXiv:2012.15601.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2012.15601)
- [36] S. M. Hosseini, K. Hristov, and A. Zaffaroni, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2018)121) [Phys. 05 \(2018\) 121.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2018)121)
- [37] K. A. Intriligator and B. Wecht, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00459-0) **B667**, 183 [\(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00459-0)
- [38] A. Lanir, A. Nedelin, and O. Sela, [J. High Energy Phys. 04](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2020)091) [\(2020\) 091.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2020)091)
- [39] A. Cabo-Bizet, D. Cassani, D. Martelli, and S. Murthy, [J.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2020)150) [High Energy Phys. 11 \(2020\) 150.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2020)150)
- [40] A. Amariti, I. Garozzo, and G. Lo Monaco, [arXiv:1904.10009.](https://arXiv.org/abs/1904.10009)
- [41] D. Anselmi, D. Freedman, M. T. Grisaru, and A. Johansen, Nucl. Phys. B526[, 543 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00278-8)
- [42] A. D. Shapere and Y. Tachikawa, [J. High Energy Phys. 09](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/09/109) [\(2008\) 109.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/09/109)
- [43] S. M. Hosseini, K. Hristov, and A. Zaffaroni, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2019)090) [Phys. 11 \(2019\) 090.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2019)090)
- [44] S. M. Hosseini, K. Hristov, Y. Tachikawa, and A. Zaffaroni, [J. High Energy Phys. 09 \(2020\) 167.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2020)167)
- [45] F. Benini and N. Bobev, [J. High Energy Phys. 06 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2013)005) [005.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2013)005)
- [46] I. Bah, F. Bonetti, R. Minasian, and E. Nardoni, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2020)125) [Energy Phys. 01 \(2020\) 125.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2020)125)
- [47] M. Cvetic, H. Lu, and C. Pope, Nucl. Phys. **B574**[, 761 \(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00828-7)
- [48] V. Kostelecky and M. J. Perry, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(95)01607-4) 371, 191 (1996).
- [49] M. Cvetic, G. Gibbons, H. Lu, and C. Pope, [arXiv:hep-th/](https://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0504080) [0504080.](https://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0504080)
- [50] K. Hristov, S. Katmadas, and C. Toldo, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.066016) 100, [066016 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.066016)
- [51] S. Choi, C. Hwang, S. Kim, and J. Nahmgoong, [J. Korean](https://doi.org/10.3938/jkps.76.101) Phys. Soc. 76[, 101 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.3938/jkps.76.101)
- [52] S. M. Hosseini, K. Hristov, and A. Zaffaroni, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2019)168) [Phys. 12 \(2019\) 168.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2019)168)
- [53] D. L. Jafferis, [J. High Energy Phys. 05 \(2012\) 159.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2012)159)
- [54] S. Pasquetti, [J. High Energy Phys. 04 \(2012\) 120.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2012)120)
- [55] C. Beem, T. Dimofte, and S. Pasquetti, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2014)177) [12 \(2014\) 177.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2014)177)
- [56] S. Choi, C. Hwang, and S. Kim, [arXiv:1908.02470](https://arXiv.org/abs/1908.02470).
- [57] S. Choi and C. Hwang, [J. High Energy Phys. 03 \(2020\) 068.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2020)068)
- [58] M. Porrati and A. Zaffaroni, Nucl. Phys. B490[, 107 \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00061-8)
- [59] N. Mekareeya, [J. High Energy Phys. 12 \(2015\) 174.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2015)174)
- [60] C. P. Herzog, I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu, and T. Tesileanu, Phys. Rev. D 83[, 046001 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.046001).
- [61] D. L. Jafferis, I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu, and B. R. Safdi, [J.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2011)102) [High Energy Phys. 06 \(2011\) 102.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2011)102)
- [62] P. M. Crichigno, C. P. Herzog, and D. Jain, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2013)039) [Phys. 03 \(2013\) 039.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2013)039)
- [63] S. M. Hosseini and N. Mekareeya, [J. High Energy Phys. 08](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2016)089) [\(2016\) 089.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2016)089)
- [64] D. Martelli, J. Sparks, and S.-T. Yau, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-008-0479-4) 280[, 611 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-008-0479-4).
- [65] Y. Imamura and K. Kimura, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.120.509) 120, 509 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.120.509)
- [66] A. Amariti, C. Klare, and M. Siani, [J. High Energy Phys. 10](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)019) [\(2012\) 019.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)019)
- [67] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, [J. High Energy Phys. 06 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2010)097) [097.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2010)097)
- [68] Y. Imamura and K. Kimura, [J. High Energy Phys. 10 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/10/040) [040.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/10/040)
- [69] K. Hosomichi, K.-M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee, and J. Park, [J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/07/091) [High Energy Phys. 07 \(2008\) 091.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/07/091)
- [70] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-009-9687-3) 135, 789 (2009).
- [71] B. Assel, C. Bachas, J. Estes, and J. Gomis, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2011)087) [Phys. 08 \(2011\) 087.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2011)087)
- [72] B. Assel, C. Bachas, J. Estes, and J. Gomis, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2012)044) [Phys. 12 \(2012\) 044.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2012)044)
- [73] L. Coccia, [arXiv:2006.06578.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2006.06578)
- [74] L. Coccia and C. F. Uhlemann, [arXiv:2011.10050.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2011.10050)
- [75] S. L. Cacciatori and D. Klemm, [J. High Energy Phys. 01](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2010)085) [\(2010\) 085.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2010)085)
- [76] S. Katmadas, [J. High Energy Phys. 09 \(2014\) 027.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2014)027)
- [77] N. Halmagyi, [J. High Energy Phys. 03 \(2015\) 032.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2015)032)
- [78] K. Hristov, S. Katmadas, and C. Toldo, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)199) [Phys. 01 \(2019\) 199.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)199)
- [79] N. Bobev, A. M. Charles, and V. S. Min, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2020)073) [Phys. 10 \(2020\) 073.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2020)073)