## Level Set Percolation in the Two-Dimensional Gaussian Free Field

Xiangyu Cao<sup>1</sup> and Raoul Santachiara<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Physique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, ENS, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Université de Paris, 75005 Paris, France

<sup>2</sup>Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, LPTMS, 91405 Orsay, France

(Received 6 January 2021; accepted 25 February 2021; published 22 March 2021)

The nature of level set percolation in the two-dimensional Gaussian free field has been an elusive question. Using a loop-model mapping, we show that there is a nontrivial percolation transition and characterize the critical point. In particular, the correlation length diverges exponentially, and the critical clusters are "logarithmic fractals," whose area scales with the linear size as  $A \sim L^2/\sqrt{\ln L}$ . The two-point connectivity also decays as the log of the distance. We corroborate our theory by numerical simulations. Possible conformal field theory interpretations are discussed.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.120601

Introduction.—Imagine a random landscape being flooded with water. As the water level rises, initially disconnected lakes connect with one another and form eventually an infinite ocean. Does that happen when the flooded area reaches a critical density? If yes, what are the critical properties of the transition? These are the basic questions of the percolation theory of random fields—the relief profile is given by a field  $\phi(x)$  and the flooded area  $\{x:\phi(x) \le h\}$  is known as the level set (or excursion set) of height *h* [1]. Such questions arise naturally in topography and planet science [2,3], but also in transport properties of disordered systems [4–6] and have been extensively studied (for recent reviews, see [7,8]).

The answer to these questions depends crucially on the statistical properties of the field. If it is short-range correlated, there is a second-order percolation transition [9–11]: in the thermodynamic limit, infinite clusters (connected components) of the level set never appear below some critical threshold and always appear above it. The critical point is in the universality class of standard uncorrelated percolation. For long-range correlated fields characterized by a single Hurst roughness exponent H,  $\langle \phi(x)\phi(y)\rangle \sim |x-y|^{2H}$ , the situation is richer. When H < 0, a transition still exists. At criticality, the infinite clusters are fractals, see Fig. 1(a). Their geometric properties depend on H and have been analytically and numerically characterized [12–15]. When H > 0, there is no sharp transition [16], and the clusters are "compact" objects instead of fractals, see Fig. 1(c).

A natural question is then what happens at H = 0, where  $\phi$  is log correlated. This is arguably the most interesting point, especially in two dimensions, as it corresponds to the 2D Gaussian free field (GFF). A simple model of elastic interfaces [17], its importance in low-dimensional physics [18], 2D critical phenomena [19], and random geometry [20] cannot be overstated. Despite the enormous amount of

studies on the 2D GFF, the percolation of its level sets has been little discussed (by contrast, a number of rigorous results exist for the GFF in  $D \ge 3$  dimensions [21,22] or for the GFF on a transient tree [23]). There are indeed a few arguments for dismissing this problem as uninteresting. For example, since the correlation length exponent  $\nu$  diverges as H approaches zero from below [12], there would be no transition at H = 0 [2] (see, however, [16]). Moreover, even if there is a transition, it could be trivial from a geometric point of view [24], since the fractal dimension of the critical clusters approaches  $D_f = 2$  in the same limit [14,15,25]. However, these conclusions can be challenged by looking at some large level set clusters of the 2D GFF, shown in Fig. 1(b). They are apparently distinct from their H > 0 as well as H < 0 counterparts. Numerical simulations also indicate a sharp transition at a critical density 1/2 in the thermodynamic limit, see Fig. 2. Could the above arguments have missed something subtle?

In this Letter, we revisit the problem of level set percolation in the 2D GFF. By an analytical argument based on the loop-model reconstruction of the 2D GFF, we



FIG. 1. Level set percolation in random fields with different roughness exponents. (a) When H = -1 < 0, there is a percolation transition, with fractal clusters appearing at criticality. (b) When H = 0 (2D GFF), the critical clusters are logarithmic fractals. (c) When  $H = \frac{1}{2} > 0$ , there is no sharp transition, and the clusters are not fractal.



FIG. 2. Percolation threshold of 2D GFF level sets on square lattices of size  $L \times L$ . The existence probability of a percolating cluster  $P_L(f)$ , where  $f = (\text{level set area})/L^2$  is the level set's mean density. Inset: finite-size scaling collapse according to the correlation length prediction (16), identifying  $\xi = L$ . The critical value  $f_c = 1/2$  regardless of lattice details. All numerics in this Letter are done with real-valued 2D GFFs on periodic square lattices, generated by the standard Fourier filter method [26,27].

show that its level set percolation is a nontrivial critical phenomenon, characterized by an exponentially diverging correlation length. At criticality, we find that the area of the large clusters with linear size L scales as

$$A \sim \frac{L^2}{\sqrt{\ln L}}.$$
 (1)

So, the clusters have the same fractal dimension 2 as compact Euclidean forms, but differ from them by a log correction. Such geometric objects have been named "log fractals" [28–30]. A remarkable example of log fractals is the set of points visited by a random walk on a 2D lattice, which satisfies  $A \sim L^2 / \ln L$  [31,32]. We will also show that the probability that two points *x* and *y* belong to the same level cluster has a peculiar log decay,

$$P_2(x,y) \approx C - \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\ln|x-y|}{\ln L}, \qquad (2)$$

where C is an unknown constant and L is the system size.

*GFF by loop model.*—The 2D GFF can be defined by the action

$$\mathcal{S}[\phi] = \frac{g}{4\pi} \int [\nabla \phi(x)]^2 d^2 x \tag{3}$$

(the probability of  $\phi$  is proportional to  $e^{-S[\phi]}$ ), where g is the coupling constant, which also determines the log correlation of  $\phi$ ,

$$\langle \phi(x)\phi(y)\rangle = -\frac{1}{g}\ln|x-y|. \tag{4}$$

Its short-distance divergences need to be regularized in some way. Here, we shall do this by using the O(n = 2)



FIG. 3. (a) A loop-model configuration on a honeycomb lattice and the associated height field  $\phi$  (heights are in unit of  $\pi$ ). The color of a loop (blue or green) determines the increment of  $\phi$ across it;  $\phi$  is constant in regions between loops. (b) A point *z* encircled by  $d_z = 4$  loops and a path from the boundary to *z* (without crossing other loops that are not drawn). The field along the paths gives a random walk realization  $\{Z_j\} = 0, -1, 0, 1$ . (c) Two points *x* and *y* satisfying q = 2,  $d_x = 3$ ,  $d_y = 4$ , connected to the boundary by a branching path. The random walk realization  $\{X_j\} = 0, -1, 0, -1$  and  $\{Y_j\} = 0, -1, 0, 1, 0$ share the first *q* steps.

loop model on the honeycomb lattice [33], as reviewed below. We expect the critical properties of the level set percolation do not depend on the choice of regularization.

The O(n) loop models can be defined by a partition function that sums over all configurations C of disjoint loops on the lattice, see Fig. 3(a),

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} n^{N_{\mathcal{C}}} K^{L_{\mathcal{C}}},\tag{5}$$

where *n* and *K* are fugacities associated with the number of loops  $N_c$  and the their length  $L_c$ , respectively. When n = 2, for any  $K \ge K_c = 1/\sqrt{2}$ , the model is critical and described by a conformal field theory (CFT) with central charge c = 1 (see, e.g., [34,35]). Given a loop configuration, we can assign a random orientation to each loop and define a height function  $\phi$  on the lattice faces such that the loops are its oriented contour lines, with a step  $\pm \pi$ across each loop. Assuming the Dirichlet boundary condition for  $\phi$  in a simply connected domain, that is, using  $\phi|_{\text{boundary}} = 0$  as the starting point, the height configurations are in one-to-one correspondence with oriented loop configurations [36]. It is well known that the scaling limit of the height function is a 2D GFF with a coupling constant satisfying

$$n = -2\cos(\pi g) \stackrel{n=2}{\Rightarrow} g = 1.$$
(6)

The above mapping is the first step to the Coulomb gas approach, which has been applied for studying certain random sets of the GFF, notably the loops and the regions between them [37] (see [38] and references therein for rigorous works). However, the Coulomb gas approach fails to capture the level cluster, but we can still use the mapping to study them. Application to percolation.—Now that we have a 2D GFF  $\phi$  regularized on a simply connected domain with Dirichlet boundary condition, let us consider the level set  $\{\phi \le h\}$  for h > 0. The "infinite cluster" is defined as the set of points that are connected to boundary by a path in the level set. Note that the "gasket," i.e., the region not encircled by any loop, is a subset of the infinite cluster. Now, let *z* be inside a hexagon, the following probability

$$P_{\infty}(z,h) \coloneqq \operatorname{Prob}(z \in \text{the infinite cluster})$$
 (7)

is the order parameter in percolation theory. It encodes, in particular, the basic critical exponents.

A little thought shows that this has much to do with the number  $d_z$  of loops that encircle z. Indeed, consider a path from the boundary to z that only crosses the  $d_z$  loops encircling z, see Fig. 3(b). z is in the infinite cluster if and only if  $\phi \leq h$  along this path. By the loop-model construction, the values of  $\phi$  along the path, denoted  $\{Z_j\}_{j=0}^{d_z}$ , form an unbiased 1D random walk with steps  $\pm \pi$ 

$$Z_0 = 0, \quad Z_j - Z_{j-1} = \pm \pi, \quad j = 1, \dots, d_z.$$
 (8)

A classical result states that this random walk never goes above h with the following probability (see, e.g., [39]):

Prob
$$(\forall j \le d_z, Z_j \le h) \approx \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{h}{\pi\sqrt{2d_z}}\right)\theta(h),$$
 (9)

provided  $d_z \gg 1$ . Since  $\operatorname{Prob}(\forall j \le d_z, Z_j \le h)$  is the probability (7) conditioned on the random number  $d_z$ , the order parameter can be obtained by averaging over  $d_z$ . In the scaling limit, this can be done by simply treating  $d_z$  as deterministic and replacing it by its mean value

$$P_{\infty}(z,h) \approx \operatorname{Prob}( \forall j \le \langle d_z \rangle, Z_j \le h).$$
 (10)

To justify this, observe first that the mean value of  $d_z$  is related to the variance of  $\phi(z)$ 

$$\langle d_z \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \langle \phi(z)^2 \rangle \approx \frac{1}{\pi^2} \ln L,$$
 (11)

where *L* is the lattice size, for any *z* far from the boundary (in lattice units). Meanwhile, the fluctuations of  $d_z$  are of order  $\sqrt{\ln L}$  [40,41], so can indeed be neglected compared to  $\langle d_z \rangle$  [27] as  $L \to \infty$ . Combining (9)–(11), we have

$$P_{\infty}(z,h) \approx \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2\ln L}}\right) \approx \frac{h}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}\ln L}},$$
 (12)

where we linearized  $\operatorname{erf}(x)$  around x = 0. Therefore, (12) is valid in the scaling limit  $L \to \infty$  with fixed h > 0 and z far from the boundary.

With the result (12) at hand, we can derive most of the claims in the Introduction. Equation (1) is immediately obtained by summing (12) over the  $\sim L^2$  lattice points. The nature of the log fractal is manifest in the fact that the probability of a point belonging to it decays logarithmically in *L*, as opposed to algebraically in a usual fractal. The order parameter exponent  $\beta$  can be also obtained. Introducing the mean density of the level set

$$f = \operatorname{Prob}[\phi(z) \le h] = \int_{-\infty}^{h} e^{-x^2/(2\ln L)} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi \ln L}}$$
$$\approx \frac{1}{2} + \frac{h}{\sqrt{2\pi \ln L}}$$
(13)

for  $h \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$  and  $L \to \infty$ ,  $\beta$  is defined by the nonanalyticity of  $P_{\infty}$ ,

$$P_{\infty}(f > f_c) \sim (f - f_c)^{\beta}.$$
 (14)

Comparing (12)–(14), we have

$$\beta = 1, \tag{15}$$

as well as  $f_c = 1/2$ ; the latter is observed numerically, see Fig. 2. Finally, comparing  $P_{\infty} \sim (f - f_c)^1$  and  $P_{\infty} \sim 1/\sqrt{\ln L}$  (12), and assuming that close to the critical point, the correlation length  $\xi \sim L$ , we find that  $\xi$  diverges exponentially near criticality such that

$$|f - f_c| \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln \xi}}.$$
 (16)

This is in nice agreement with numerical simulations shown in Fig. 2 and explains why the transition sharpens extremely slowly as the system size increases. We note that, in the thermodynamic limit, any value h = O(1) is critical, even if  $h \le 0$  (the restriction h > 0 above has to do with the specific setup of the Dirichlet boundary condition and the definition of the infinite cluster).

It is useful to contextualize the above results as the limit of level set percolation with H < 0. It was shown by an extended Harris criterion [12] that, when  $H \in (-3/4, 0)$ , the correlation length exponent  $\nu(H) = -1/H$ . The level cluster's fractal dimension  $D_f(H)$  is not known exactly, yet numerics [14,15] suggest that  $D_f(H \to 0) = 2$ . Our analysis explains these limiting behaviors:  $\nu(H = 0) = \infty$ because the correlation length diverges faster than any power law, and  $D_f(0) = 2$  because we have a log fractal. Moreover, assuming that  $\beta$  is continuous at  $H = 0_-$ , we have by hyperscaling

$$D_f(H) = 2 - \frac{\beta(H)}{\nu(H)} = 2 + H + \mathcal{O}(H^2), \qquad H < 0.$$
 (17)

We also remark that the boundaries of the level cluster, i.e., the loops, are described by the Schramm-Loewner evolution  $SLE_{\kappa=4}$  [42,43] and have a fractal dimension 3/2 [44]. This fractal dimension corresponds to a primary operator in the CFT of the O(2) loop model. The same CFT also describes the interior regions between loops, which have a fractal dimension 15/8. However, the level cluster does not seem to be described by any known CFT. It is a very different object, depending on the "random topology," rather than the random geometry, of the loops.

*Two-point connectivity.*—To further illustrate this point, let us consider another standard observable in percolation: the two-point connectivity  $P_2(x, y)$  at criticality, the probability that x and y are in the same connected component of the level set  $\{\phi \le h\}$ , for any fixed h = O(1). This observable has been shown recently to probe very subtle universal properties of critical clusters [15,46]. Similar to  $P_{\infty}$  above,  $P_2(x, y)$  can be calculated by conditioning on the loop configurations. More precisely, we condition on  $d_x$ ,  $d_y$ , and also q, the number of loops that encircle both points, see Fig. 3(c). The random walks  $X_0, \ldots, X_{d_x}$  and  $Y_0, \ldots, Y_{d_y}$  that record the field value evolution from the boundary to x and y have the same first q steps,

$$X_j = Y_j, \quad j = 0, ..., q,$$
 (18)

while the remaining  $d_x - q$  and  $d_y - q$  steps are independent (we have a branching random walk). Then, it is not hard to see that the two-point connectivity conditioned on  $d_x$ ,  $d_y$ , and q is the probability that the "forked" part of the branching random walk never goes above h,

$$P_2(d_x, d_y, q) = \operatorname{Prob}(\ \forall \ i, j \ge q, X_i \le h, Y_j \le h).$$
(19)

The common part (< q) is not further constrained since we do not require *x*, *y* to belong to the infinite cluster. Similar to (9) above, we have

$$P_2(d_x, d_y, q) \approx \int_0^\infty \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u\sqrt{q} + h}{\sqrt{2s_x}}\right) \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u\sqrt{q} + h}{\sqrt{2s_y}}\right) \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}du}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$
(20)

as long as  $s_x := d_x - q$ ,  $s_y := d_y - q$ , and q are all much larger than 1. In that limit, h = O(1) can be also neglected. Like  $d_x$  and  $d_y$ , the mean value of q is fixed by the covariance of the GFF,

$$\langle q \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \langle \phi(x)\phi(y) \rangle \approx \frac{1}{\pi^2} \ln \frac{L}{|x-y|},$$
 (21)

and q also becomes deterministic when  $L/|x - y| \gg 1$  (see Supplemental Material [27]). As a result, we find



FIG. 4. Testing the two-point connectivity prediction (2) with h = 0 level sets of the 2D GFF on periodic square lattices of size  $L \times L$ . The averaged two-point connectivity  $P_2(x, y)$  as a function of  $\ln |x - y| / \ln L$ . The dots are numerical measure with L = 32, 64, ..., 2048; see inset (a) for color code. The solid line represents the prediction (2) (with the exact slope  $1/\pi$  and arbitrarily adjusted offset C). Inset (a): the slope as a function of system size, obtained by a global linear fit of each curve. A convergence to the prediction  $1/\pi$  as  $L \to \infty$  is observed. Inset (b): the raw data. Plotting  $P_2$  against |x - y| as usual, we observe no collapse. The dotted lines are a guide to the eye.

$$P_2(x,y) \approx \int_0^\infty \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u\sqrt{\ln\frac{L}{|x-y|}}}{\sqrt{2\ln|x-y|}}\right)^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}du}{\sqrt{2\pi}},\quad(22)$$

valid in the scaling limit  $1 \ll |x - y| \ll L$ , with x, y far from the boundary. In the regime where  $|x - y| \gg \sqrt{L}$ , the error functions can be linearized, and (22) simplifies to (2) in the Introduction, with the offset predicted as  $C = 1/\pi$ .

We now test (2) numerically, see Fig. 4. The results confirm nicely the  $\ln |x - y|/(\pi \ln L)$  dependence on the distance predicted in (2), including the exact prefactor and throughout the scaling regime. On the other hand, the offset C does not agree with the analytical prediction. The reason of this is twofold. First, we recall that Eq. (22) is derived on a simply connected domain with Dirichlet boundary condition, while the numerics is performed on a torus (see caption of Fig. 2). Moreover, we are a priori far from the thermodynamic limit. Indeed, our analytical argument relied on a large average number of encircling loops. Yet, according to (11), there are on average 0.8 loops encircling a point in a lattice of L = 2048 (even on an Avogadro-scale lattice with  $L = 10^{10}$ , there would be 2.3 loops on average). The offset is affected by the different infrared regularization and the abundance of realizations with few loops. In contrast, the  $\ln |x - y|/(\pi \ln L)$  dependence appears to be remarkably robust. Therefore, we propose (2), with an unknown offset C, as a general prediction of two-point connectivity.

The log in (2) can be explained by a rather simple argument. Indeed, for H < 0, the level clusters are standard

fractals, with the two-point connectivity decaying as a power law  $P_2(H) \sim |x - y|^{2[D_f(H)-2]}$  [47]. By (17),  $P_2(H) \sim 1 + 2H \ln |x - y|$  for *H* close to 0 and  $\ln |x - y| \ll 1/(-H)$ . Now, in this regime, a field with H < 0 is indistinguishable from a 2D GFF in a system of size *L* such that  $\ln L = 1/(-H)$  [27]. Therefore, we can rewrite  $P_2(H) \sim 1 - \ln |x - y| / \ln L$ , recovering the form of (2).

Conclusion.—We showed that the level sets of the 2D Gaussian free field have a nontrivial percolation transition and outlined a theory of the critical point. In particular, the critical level clusters are found to be log fractals, whose connectivity properties are determined by the random topology of the contour lines. The analysis presented above can be extended to any n-point connectivity, which is mapped to a branching random walk. The emergence of such a hierarchical structure in the 2D GFF is not at all new. For instance, it is crucial in the problem of extreme value statistics of the 2D GFF, also known as log-correlated random energy models or multiplicative chaos [48-52]. The latter problem admits, nevertheless, a conformal field theory description [53–55]. Whether the same can be said of the level clusters of the 2D GFF seems to be an interesting question. We remark that the level clusters for H < 0 seem to be described by a new CFT, some features of which have been numerically studied [15]. As discussed above, the logs that appear in (2) can be obtained from certain correlators in the H < 0 CFT, which do not involve an indecomposable representation of the conformal symmetry [56,57] or a continuous spectrum [58]. Whether such structures exist in the putative H = 0 CFT remains to be seen.

We thank Sebastian Grijalva, Nina Javerzat, and Alberto Rosso for collaborations on related questions and Malte Henkel, Pierre Le Doussal, Satya Majumdar, Sylvain Ribault, and Hugo Vanneuville for helpful discussions.

- [1] A.L. Efros, *Physics and Geometry of Disorder* (Mir Publishers, Moscow, 1987), Chap. 10.
- [2] M. B. Isichenko, Percolation, statistical topography, and transport in random media, Rev. Mod. Phys. 64, 961 (1992).
- [3] J. Kalda, Statistical topography of rough surfaces: "Oceanic coastlines" as generalizations of percolation clusters, Europhys. Lett. 84, 46003 (2008).
- [4] R. Zallen and H. Scher, Percolation on a continuum and the localization-delocalization transition in amorphous semiconductors, Phys. Rev. B 4, 4471 (1971).
- [5] S. A. Trugman, Localization, percolation, and the quantum Hall effect, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 7539 (1983).
- [6] B. Isaakovich Shklovskii and A. L. Efros, *Electronic Properties of Doped Semiconductors* (Springer Science & Business Media, New York, 2013), Vol. 45.
- [7] N. Araújo, P. Grassberger, B. Kahng, K. J. Schrenk, and R. M. Ziff, Recent advances and open challenges in percolation, Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics 223, 2307 (2014).

- [8] A. Ali Saberi, Recent advances in percolation theory and its applications, Phys. Rep. 578, 1 (2015).
- [9] S. A. Molchanov and A. K. Stepanov, Percolation in random fields. I, Theor. Math. Phys. 55, 478 (1983).
- [10] V. Beffara and D. Gayet, Percolation of random nodal lines, Publ. Math. l'IHÉS 126, 131 (2017).
- [11] A. Rivera and H. Vanneuville, The critical threshold for Bargmann-Fock percolation, arXiv:1711.05012.
- [12] A. Weinrib, Long-range correlated percolation, Phys. Rev. B 29, 387 (1984).
- [13] K. J. Schrenk, N. Posé, J. J. Kranz, L. V. M. van Kessenich, N. A. M. Araújo, and H. J. Herrmann, Percolation with long-range correlated disorder, Phys. Rev. E 88, 052102 (2013).
- [14] J. Zierenberg, N. Fricke, M. Marenz, F. P. Spitzner, V. Blavatska, and W. Janke, Percolation thresholds and fractal dimensions for square and cubic lattices with long-range correlated defects, Phys. Rev. E 96, 062125 (2017).
- [15] N. Javerzat, S. Grijalva, A. Rosso, and R. Santachiara, Topological effects and conformal invariance in longrange correlated random surfaces, SciPost Phys. 9, 50 (2020).
- [16] J. Schmittbuhl, J. P. Vilotte, and S. Roux, Percolation through self-affine surfaces, J. Phys. A 26, 6115 (1993).
- [17] D. G. A. L. Aarts, M. Schmidt, and H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, Direct visual observation of thermal capillary waves, Science 304, 847 (2004).
- [18] T. Giamarchi, *Quantum Physics in One Dimension* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003), Vol. 121.
- [19] V. Dotsenko and V. Fateev, Conformal algebra and multipoint correlation functions in 2D statistical models, Nucl. Phys. B240, 312 (1984).
- [20] B. Duplantier and S. Sheffield, Duality and the Knizhnik-Polyakov-Zamolodchikov Relation in Liouville Quantum Gravity, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 150603 (2009).
- [21] J. Bricmont, J. L. Lebowitz, and C. Maes, Percolation in strongly correlated systems: The massless Gaussian field, J. Stat. Phys. 48, 1249 (1987).
- [22] A. Drewitz, A. Prévost, and P.-F. Rodriguez, The sign clusters of the massless Gaussian free field percolate on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $d \ge 3$  (and more), Commun. Math. Phys. **362**, 513 (2018).
- [23] A. Abcherli and A.-S. Sznitman, Level-set percolation for the gaussian free field on a transient tree, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare Probab. Stat. 54, 173201 (2018).
- [24] J. L. Lebowitz and H. Saleur, Percolation in strongly correlated systems, Physica (Amsterdam) 138A, 194 (1986).
- [25] L. Schoug, A. Seplveda, and F. Viklund, Dimension of twovalued sets via imaginary chaos, arXiv:1910.09294.
- [26] S. Prakash, S. Havlin, M. Schwartz, and H. E. Stanley, Structural and dynamical properties of long-range correlated percolation, Phys. Rev. A 46, R1724 (1992).
- [27] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.120601 for the numerical methods and a few technical points in the main text.
- [28] B. B. Mandelbrot, *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*, 1st ed. (W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1982), Chap. 11.

- [29] K. Falconer, Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2004). Chap. 3.
- [30] J. O. Indekeu and G. Fleerackers, Logarithmic fractals and hierarchical deposition of debris, Physica (Amsterdam) 261A, 294 (1998).
- [31] A. Dvoretzky and P. Erds, Some problems on random walk in space, in *Proceedings of the Second Berkeley Symposium* on *Mathematical Statistics and Probability* (University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, 1951), pp. 353–367.
- [32] A. Kundu, S. N. Majumdar, and G. Schehr, Exact Distributions of the Number of Distinct and Common Sites Visited by *N* Independent Random Walkers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 220602 (2013).
- [33] J. Cardy and R. M. Ziff, Exact Results for the universal area distribution of clusters in percolation, Ising, and Potts models, J. Stat. Phys. **110**, 1 (2003).
- [34] B. Estienne and Y. Ikhlef, Correlation functions in loop models, arXiv:1505.00585.
- [35] J. Lykke Jacobsen, Loop models and boundary CFT, in Conformal Invariance: An Introduction to Loops, Interfaces and Stochastic Loewner Evolution, edited by M. Henkel and D. Karevski (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012), pp. 141–183.
- [36] Being simply connected is important here. On a torus, noncontractible loops could lead to a defect, so the field  $\phi$  must be compactified [34].
- [37] B. Duplantier and H. Saleur, Exact Fractal Dimension of 2D Ising Clusters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 2536 (1989).
- [38] W. Werner and E. Powell, Lecture notes on the Gaussian free field, arXiv:2004.04720.
- [39] K. Jörg Wiese, S. N. Majumdar, and A. Rosso, Perturbation theory for fractional Brownian motion in presence of absorbing boundaries, Phys. Rev. E 83, 061141 (2011).
- [40] H. Kesten and Y. Zhang, A central limit theorem for "critical" first-passage percolation in two dimensions, Probab. Theory Related Fields 107, 137 (1997).
- [41] O. Schramm, S. Sheffield, and D. B. Wilson, Conformal radii for conformal loop ensembles, Commun. Math. Phys. 288, 43 (2009).
- [42] O. Schramm and S. Sheffield, Contour lines of the twodimensional discrete Gaussian free field, Acta Math. 202, 21 (2009).
- [43] O. Schramm and S. Sheffield, A contour line of the continuum Gaussian free field, Probab. Theory Related Fields 157, 47 (2013).

- [44] Similarly, the boundary of the log-fractal set visited by a 2D random walk is described by another SLE<sub> $\kappa=8/3$ </sub> [45].
- [45] B. Duplantier, Conformal random geometry, arXiv:mathph/0608053.
- [46] N. Javerzat, M. Picco, and R. Santachiara, Two-point connectivity of two-dimensional critical *q*-Potts random clusters on the torus, J. Stat. Mech. (2020) 023101.
- [47] A. Kapitulnik, Y. Gefen, and A. Aharony, On the fractal dimension and correlations in percolation theory, J. Stat. Phys. 36, 807 (1984).
- [48] C. C. Chamon, C. Mudry, and X. G. Wen, Localization in Two Dimensions, Gaussian Field Theories, and Multifractality, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4194 (1996).
- [49] D. Carpentier and P. Le Doussal, Glass transition of a particle in a random potential, front selection in nonlinear renormalization group, and entropic phenomena in Liouville and sinh-Gordon models, Phys. Rev. E 63, 026110 (2001).
- [50] Y. V. Fyodorov and J.-P. Bouchaud, Freezing and extreme-value statistics in a random energy model with logarithmically correlated potential, J. Phys. A 41, 372001 (2008).
- [51] R. Rhodes and V. Vargas, Gaussian multiplicative chaos and applications: A review, Probab. Surv. 11, 315 (2014).
- [52] T. Madaule, R. Rhodes, and V. Vargas, Glassy phase and freezing of log-correlated gaussian potentials, Ann. Appl. Probab. 26, 643 (2016).
- [53] X. Cao, A. Rosso, R. Santachiara, and P. Le Doussal, Liouville Field Theory and Log-Correlated Random Energy Models, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 090601 (2017).
- [54] X. Cao, P. Le Doussal, A. Rosso, and R. Santachiara, Operator product expansion in Liouville field theory and Seiberg-type transitions in log-correlated random energy models, Phys. Rev. E 97, 042111 (2018).
- [55] G. Remy, The Fyodorov-Bouchaud formula and Liouville conformal field theory, Duke Math. J. 169, 177 (2020).
- [56] R. Santachiara and J. Viti, Local logarithmic correlators as limits of Coulomb gas integrals, Nucl. Phys. B882, 229 (2014).
- [57] R. Nivesvivat and S. Ribault, Logarithmic CFT at generic central charge: From Liouville theory to the *q*-state Potts model, SciPost Phys. **10**, 021 (2021).
- [58] S. Ribault, Conformal field theory on the plane, arXiv: 1406.4290.