## Direct Visualization of Ambipolar Mott Transition in Cuprate  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  Planes

Yong Zhong,<sup>1</sup> Jia-Qi Fan<sup>®</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Rui-Feng Wang,<sup>1</sup> ShuZe Wang®,<sup>1</sup> Xuefeng Zhang,<sup>2</sup> Yuying Zhu,<sup>1</sup> Ziyuan Dou,<sup>1</sup>

<span id="page-0-0"></span>Xue-Qing Yu,<sup>1</sup> Yang Wang,<sup>1</sup> Ding Zhang,<sup>1,3</sup> Jing Zhu,<sup>2</sup> Can-Li Song [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4985-0968)<sup>1,3[,\\*](#page-4-0)</sup> Xu-Cun Ma,<sup>1,3,[†](#page-4-1)</sup> and Qi-Kun Xue<sup>1,3,4,[‡](#page-4-2)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Physics, Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China<br><sup>2</sup>Institute of Physics, National Center for Electron Microscopy in Peijing, School of Materials

 $^{2}$ Institute of Physics, National Center for Electron Microscopy in Beijing, School of Materials Science and Engineering,

Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China <sup>3</sup>

 $3$ Frontier Science Center for Quantum Information, Beijing 100084, China <sup>4</sup> Beijing Academy of Quantum Information Sciences, Beijing 100193, China

(Received 31 January 2020; revised 24 June 2020; accepted 16 July 2020; published 12 August 2020)

Identifying the essence of doped Mott insulators is one of the major outstanding problems in condensed matter physics and the key to understanding the high-temperature superconductivity in cuprates. We report real space visualization of Mott insulator-metal transition in  $Sr_{1-x}La_xCuO_{2+y}$  cuprate films that cover both the electron- and hole-doped regimes. Tunneling conductance measurements directly on the copper-oxide  $(CuO<sub>2</sub>)$  planes reveal a systematic shift in the Fermi level, while the fundamental Mott-Hubbard band structure remains unchanged. This is further demonstrated by exploring the atomic-scale electronic response of CuO<sub>2</sub> to substitutional dopants and intrinsic defects in a sister compound  $Sr_{0.92}Nd_{0.08}CuO_2$ . The results may be better explained in the framework of self-modulation doping, similar to that in semiconductor heterostructures, and form a basis for developing any microscopic theories for cuprate superconductivity.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.077002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.077002)

High-temperature superconductivity in cuprates develops upon doping a state of matter that is insulating due to strong electron-electron correlation of the  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  plane [\[1](#page-4-3)–3]. As Mott insulators, the ground state of cuprates could be characterized by a charge-transfer gap (CTG) between charge-transfer band (CTB) and upper-Hubbard band (UHB), derived predominantly from the O  $2p$  and Cu 3d<sub>x2→y</sub><sup>2</sup> orbitals, respectively [\[4,5\].](#page-4-4) Whether the doping prompts spectral weight transfer from the high- to low-energy scale [\[6\]](#page-4-5) and pins Fermi energy  $(E_F)$  by some putative midgap states within CTG [\[4,5,7\]](#page-4-4) or it induces  $E_F$ shift of the Mott-Hubbard insulating state [8–[10\]](#page-4-6) is a fundamental question that remains unresolved. The difficulty of settling this open issue is partially owing to specific doping level [\[4,10\]](#page-4-4) or limited doping range of samples [\[5,9\]](#page-4-7) explored before and partially to the complex layered structure of cuprates. The latter makes direct experimental access to the  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  planes that are sandwiched between the charge reservoir layers extremely challenging [\[4,5,9](#page-4-4)–11]. Under this context, the systematic measurement of a cuprate system terminated by the  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  planes, covering sufficiently broad doping range and both the electron  $(n)$ and hole  $(p)$ -doped regimes [\[12\]](#page-4-8), is the most effective way to solve the major problem.

We report such measurement by choosing an infinitelayer SrCuO<sub>2</sub>, because, besides its simplest crystal structure among cuprates [Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-1-0), inset], its surface is terminated with  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  [\[13](#page-4-9)–15]. Given the metastability of bulk infinite-layer cuprates, an ozone-assisted molecular beam epitaxy technique is utilized to prepare single-crystalline films of  $Sr_{1-x}La_xCuO_{2+y}$  (SLCO) and  $Sr_{0.92}Nd_{0.08}CuO_2$ (SNCO) with well-controlled dopant type and concentration [\[16\]](#page-4-10). This enables us to access a broad doping regime in the phase diagram and investigate the Mott physics on  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  comprehensively.

Figure [1\(a\)](#page-1-0) shows a series of x-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of SLCO films with various La doping level x. The good crystallinity is evident by the distinct Kiessig fringes in Fig. S1 of the Supplemental Material [\[16\]](#page-4-10). Quantitative analysis of the XRD data reveals two distinct phases: a phase with c-axis lattice constant  $c \sim 3.45-3.50$  Å for  $x <$ 0.104 (circles) and another with  $c \sim 3.65$  Å for  $x > 0.132$ (squares), while for  $x = 0.104 - 0.132$  the two phases coexist [Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-1-0)]. Both phases belong to the family of infinite-layer cuprates sharing the same crystal structure, except for a small difference by  $\sim$ 0.2 Å in c [\[17,18\].](#page-4-11) Yet, the Hall effect measurements reveal that the two phases exhibit *n*-type (electron) and *p*-type (hole) conductivity, respectively, involving a carrier-sign reversal at  $x \sim 0.104$  – 0.132 [Fig. S2]. Similar phenomenon was evidenced in electron-doped La<sub>2−x</sub>Ce<sub>x</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> [\[19\]](#page-4-12), but the mechanism differs between them (Supplemental Material, Sec. I [\[16\]](#page-4-10)). Thus, we label the two phases as  $n$ -SLCO and  $p$ -SLCO, indicated by the blue and black arrows in Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-1-0), respectively.

The phase identification and atomically sharp interface in  $SLCO/SrTiO<sub>3</sub>$  heterostructures are further established by high-resolution scanning transmission electron

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. (a) XRD spectra for  $Sr_{1-x}La_xCuO_{2+y}$  films (~60 unit cells) at varied x. Inset: the schematic crystal structure of SLCO. (b) Out-of-plane lattice constant c as a function of x. (c),(d) Integrated differential phase contrast-STEM images of  $n(p)$ -SLCO/SrTiO<sub>3</sub> heterostructures, with the interfaces marked by the horizontal dashes. In contrast to n-SLCO without apical oxygen, an excess of oxygens (marked by the red and green arrows) register at the apical sites of Cu and change alternately in intensity and shape in p-SLCO cuprates, while the planar oxygens (orange arrows) in the  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  planes exhibit no spatial variation. (e),(f) STM topographies  $(80 \times 80 \text{ Å}^2)$  of *n*-type  $(x = 0.076)$  and p-type  $(x = 0.167)$  SLCO films. Tunneling conditions are (e)  $V = -1.0 \text{ V}$ ,  $I = 10 \text{ pA}$ , and (f)  $V = -0.3 \text{ V}$ ,  $I = 50$  pA.

microscopy (STEM). By applying the integrated differential phase contrast-STEM imaging technique [\[20\]](#page-4-13) that enables simultaneous visualization of all atoms, we show that the variation of  $c$ -axis lattice constant and concomitant carrier-sign reversal are due to the appreciable intake of apical oxygens as  $x > 0.132$  [Figs. [1\(c\)](#page-1-0) and [1\(d\)\]](#page-1-0). Thus, the oxygen stoichiometry in  $p$ -SLCO is significantly higher than that in  $n$ -SLCO. We also carry out *in situ* scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) imaging of the SLCO films. The *n*-SLCO films display a pristine CuO<sub>2</sub> $(1 \times 1)$  surface with the anticipated Cu-Cu spacing of 3.9 Å [Fig. [1\(e\)](#page-1-0)], whereas the *p*-SLCO ones are characteristic of a  $(2 \times 2)$ superstructure (white square) [Fig. [1\(f\)\]](#page-1-0). The  $(2 \times 2)$  superstructure in p-SLCO is consistent with the periodic occupation of apical oxygens, whereas the integrity of  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  is maintained [Supplemental Material, Sec. II and Fig. S3 [\[16\]](#page-4-10), and Fig. [1\(d\)\]](#page-1-0). The excellent consistency among XRD, STEM, STM, and Hall measurements indicates that a system for exploring the physics of doped Mott insulator directly on  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  has been prepared.

Our most significant finding is that the fundamental Mott-Hubbard bands remain essentially unchanged, while  $E_F$  systematically moves with doping. This is unambiguously revealed by measuring the electronic density of states (DOS) of  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  planes at various doping levels via STM, as enumerated in Figs. [2\(a\)](#page-2-0)–2(c) and Fig. S4 [\[16\].](#page-4-10) A comparison of the electronic DOS with the schematic band structure [Figs. [2\(b\)](#page-2-0) and [2\(c\)](#page-2-0)] shows the overall similar electronic structure: a CTG between CTB (its onsets are marked by black triangles) and UHB (its onsets are marked by blue triangles) is invariably present. Figure [2\(d\)](#page-2-0) depicts the onset energies of CTB and UHB as a function of the La doping  $x$ , from which the magnitude  $\Delta_{CT}$  of CTG separating CTB and UHB is extracted. Here the CTB (UHB) onsets are determined from the intersections of linear fits to the data above and below the CTB (UHB) [Fig. S4(b)]. Except for the undoped  $(x = 0)$  and slightly doped  $(x = 0.020)$  samples (Fig. S5) for which the  $\Delta_{CT}$  cannot be measured correctly by STM due to the tip-induced band bending [\[21\]](#page-4-14),  $\Delta_{CT}$  holds constant at  $1.30 \pm 0.07$  eV, irrespective of either samples at various La doping [Fig. [2\(d\)\]](#page-2-0) or a sample over various regions [Fig. S4(d)]. This value is close to the gap size of ∼1.5 eV measured by optical conductivity on infinite-layer cuprates [\[22\]](#page-4-15). Furthermore, the bandwidths of CTB and UHB have a value of approximately 0.46 eV irrespective of doping [Fig. S6], which is in good agreement with the previous report of 0.41 eV [\[14\]](#page-4-16). Altogether, we conclude that doping does not disrupt the fundamental band structure of the  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  planes of SLCO we have studied. Such finding agrees with the stability of Mott-Hubbard bands with the Sr doping up to  $x = 0.3$  in La<sub>2−x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> [\[23\].](#page-4-17)

As anticipated, the midgap energy  $(E_i)$ , vertical bars in Fig. S5 [\[16\]\)](#page-4-10) lies close to  $E_F$  ( $V = 0$ ) in undoped SLCO. With increasing La doping from 0.020 to 0.100,  $E_F$  moves gradually away from  $E_i$  [see the right-pointing arrows in Figs. [2\(a\)](#page-2-0) and [2\(b\)](#page-2-0)] and approaches UHB, consistent with *n*-type doping. However, at  $x = 0.132$ ,  $E_F$  suddenly jumps down below  $E_i$  [see the left-pointing arrows in Figs. [2\(a\)](#page-2-0) and [2\(c\)](#page-2-0)] and passes CTB because of the intake of apical oxygens [Fig. [1\(d\)\]](#page-1-0), signifying a transition to  $p$ -type doping. As the x is further increased,  $E_F$  shifts upward [Figs. [2\(a\)](#page-2-0) and [2\(d\)](#page-2-0)], a consequence of increased La donors and reduced apical oxygens (Supplemental Material, Sec. I [\[16\]](#page-4-10)). Given that the only difference between *n*-SLCO and p-SLCO cuprates is the type of dominant ionized dopants, and that all STM measurements are conducted on the  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$ planes, this systematic shift of  $E_F$  with doping should be inherent to doped Mott insulators.

We argue that the above findings on  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  are of fundamental importance and contrast sharply with both

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 2. (a) Spatially averaged differential conductance  $dI/dV$  spectra of SLCO films under various doping levels. Gray solid lines and short bars indicate  $E_F$  and the midgap energy  $E_i$  throughout. For each  $dI/dV$  spectrum, the tunneling junction is stabilized to the starting voltage from the negative side and  $I = 100$  pA except for  $x = 0.132$  and 0.167 ( $I = 200$  pA). (b),(c) Representative  $dI/dV$  spectra taken at equal separations (1 nm) in n-SLCO ( $x = 0.100$ ,  $V = -1.6$  V,  $I = 100$  pA) and p-SLCO ( $x = 0.206$ ,  $V = 1.8$  V,  $I = 200$  pA). Inserted are schematic energy bands of cuprates, only showing the CTB (green) and UHB (unfilled). (d) Statistically measured onset energies of CTB and UHB (top), charge-transfer gap  $\Delta_{CT}$  (middle), and  $E_F$  shift relative to  $E_i$  (bottom) versus the La dopant.

scenarios of  $E_F$  pinning by the midgap states [4–[7,21\]](#page-4-4) and collapsing of the Mott-Hubbard ground state upon doping iridates [\[24\],](#page-4-18) which were distinctively measured on the charge reservoir layers. Instead, our results bear resemblance to the modulation doping of  $Al_xGa_{1-x}As/GaAs$ semiconductor heterostructures [\[25\],](#page-4-19) with the roles of the valence and conduction bands of undoped gallium arsenide (GaAs) played by the CTB and UHB of chemically undisturbed  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  in SLCO, respectively. A minor distinction is that the separation of ionized dopants (La and apical oxygens) in the Sr layers and free carriers in  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$ occurs in SLCO itself, for which it is best described as selfmodulation doping [\[26\].](#page-4-20) In this scheme, the intrinsic band structure of stoichiometric  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  and GaAs does not alter with doping in a fundamental fashion [\[23,27\]](#page-4-17), although the correlated states occur in  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$ . The role of La (O) dopants is to provide mobile electrons (holes) that push  $E_F$  of the  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  planes upward (downward). They are consistent with our observations in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0)

The finding of unchanged Mott-Hubbard band structure of  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  on doping is further confirmed on the atomic scale in  $Sr<sub>0.92</sub>Nd<sub>0.08</sub>CuO<sub>2</sub>$ , which presents an essentially atomically flat  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  [Fig. [3\(a\)\]](#page-3-0) and spatially more uniform DOS [Figs. [3\(b\)](#page-3-0) and S7]. Evidently, the overall electronic structure, measured  $\Delta_{CT} \sim 1.28 \pm 0.04$  eV and bandwidths of CTB  $(0.43 \pm 0.06 \text{ eV})/$ UHB  $(0.46 \pm 0.14 \text{ eV})$  resemble SLCO prominently. The observations affirm the immunity of the Mott-Hubbard band structure of  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$ to the dopant type  $(La^{3+}, Nd^{3+}, and O^{2-})$  in the intervening Sr planes (or equivalently the charge reservoir layers), echoing the self-modulation doping scenario.

The local response of electronic DOS to single dopants or impurities provides additional insight into the selfmodulation doping scheme. By measuring the lateral registries with respect to the top Cu atoms, we identify substitutional Nd donors  $(Nd<sub>Sr</sub>)$ , intrinsic acceptors of apical oxygen  $(O_A)$ , and Cu vacancies  $(V_{Cu})$  and characterize their nearby electronic DOS in Figs.  $3(c)$ – $3(h)$ . Around the Nd<sub>Sr</sub> donors, both CTB and UHB are shifted downward [Fig. [3\(d\)](#page-3-0)], whereas the  $O_A$  and  $V_{Cu}$  acceptors locally move the bands upward [Figs. [3\(f\)](#page-3-0) and [3\(h\)\]](#page-3-0). This band bending agrees with screened Coulomb potential (Supplemental Material, Fig. S8 and Sec. III [\[16\]](#page-4-10)). Although a  $V_{\text{Cu}}$  acceptor suppresses significantly UHB on a length scale of 4.3 Å [Figs. [3\(h\)](#page-3-0) and S8(d)], the whole Mott-Hubbard bands of CuO<sub>2</sub> are robust against Nd<sub>Sr</sub> and O<sub>A</sub> in the Sr charge reservoir layers [Figs. [3\(d\)](#page-3-0) and [3\(f\)\]](#page-3-0).

The unchanged Mott-Hubbard band structure of infinitelayer cuprates against the defects of charge reservoir layers is corroborated by acquiring the  $48 \times 48$  grid  $dI/dV$  spectroscopy data over a field of view of  $62 \times 62 \text{ Å}^2$ , devoid of any defects in the topmost  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  plane [Fig. [4\(a\),](#page-3-1) inset]. The  $Nd_{Sr}$  donors and  $O_A$  acceptors underneath are spatially distributed randomly, which induce the contrast in the STM

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 3. (a) STM topography of SNCO films (40  $\times$  40 Å<sup>2</sup>, V = 1.5 V, I = 20 pA). (b) Tunneling spectra acquired along a trajectory of  $26.5$  Å on CuO<sub>2</sub>. The blue and black dashes mark the UHB and CTB onsets, respectively. (c),(d) Atomic-resolution topography  $(20 \times 20 \text{ Å}^2, V = -1.4 \text{ V}, I = 20 \text{ pA})$  of a single Nd<sub>Sr</sub> dopant and  $dI/dV$  spectra along a trajectory of 16.4 Å from Nd<sub>Sr</sub> (bottom curve). (e),(f) STM topography (20  $\times$  20 Å<sup>2</sup>, V = 1.0 V, I = 20 pA) of an intrinsic O<sub>A</sub> acceptor and  $dI/dV$  spectra along a trajectory of 12.2 Å from its center (bottom curve). (g),(h) STM topography (20 × 20 Å<sup>2</sup>, V = 1.5 V, I = 20 pA) of a Cu vacancy (V<sub>Cu</sub>) and dI/dV spectra along a trajectory of 12.8 Å from its center (bottom curve). The set point is stabilized at  $V = 1.5$  V and  $I = 50$  pA. Orange dots denote the top Cu atoms.

image. As revealed, the electronic DOS displays spatial variation in the onsets of UHB and CTB, but the overall Mott-Hubbard band structure changes little [Fig. [4\(a\)](#page-3-1)]. This is more quantitatively proved in Figs. [4\(b\)](#page-3-1) and [4\(c\).](#page-3-1) On the bright regions, the UHB and CTB onset energies, which correlate positively with each other [top panel of Fig. [4\(b\)](#page-3-1)], are relatively lower, matching with the aggregation of  $Nd_{Sr}$ donors there. The tiny spatial variation in  $\Delta_{CT}$  [Fig. [4\(c\)\]](#page-3-1) might arise from either the measurement uncertainty or band tailing associated with heavy doping [\[28\],](#page-4-21) or both.

Followed by the systematic shift in  $E_F$ , we observe dopant-induced in-gap states (IGS) that overspread the whole CTG [Figs.  $2(a)-2(c)$  $2(a)-2(c)$ , [3,](#page-3-0) and  $4(a)$ ] and induce a Mott insulator-metal transition as  $x \geq 0.054$  (Supplemental Material, Sec. IV and Fig. S9 [\[16\]\)](#page-4-10). Figure S10 plots x-dependent lower-energy-scale conductance spectra near  $E_F$ . Intriguingly, smoothly varying electronic DOS with nanoscale puddles of pseudogap [Fig. S10(b)] are spatially separated by regions that are relatively featureless [Fig.  $S10(c)$ ]. The pseudogaps exhibit pronounced electron-hole asymmetry that awaits further explanation (Supplemental Material, Sec. IV and Fig. S11 [\[16\]](#page-4-10)). In contrast to earlier STM studies on charge reservoir layers (e.g., BiO, CaCl, and SrO) in cuprate compounds [\[4,5,29\]](#page-4-4) and iridates [\[21,30\],](#page-4-14) no peak- or humplike electronic DOS has been observed within the CTG of  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  planes. This finding is not expected from the emergent IGS associated

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

FIG. 4. (a) Local DOS spectra along the white arrow of the inserted STM topography  $(62 \times 62 \text{ Å}^2, V = 0.4 \text{ V}, I = 20 \text{ pA}).$ Every curve is the mean of 16  $dI/dV$  spectra inside the colorcoded square. For clarity, the curves have been vertically offset by 0.05 nS. (b) Correlations between UHB onsets (top),  $\Delta_{CT}$ (bottom), and CTB onsets. (c) Maps of UHB onsets, CTB onsets, and  $\Delta_{CT}$ .

with spectral weight transfer from the high- to the lowenergy scale [\[6\]](#page-4-5). From a view point of modulation-doping scheme in semiconductor physics [\[25\],](#page-4-19) the dopant-induced continuum of IGS could be better explained invoking the confined two-dimensional electron or hole gas at the  $Sr_{1-x}(La, Nd)_x/CuO_{2+y}$  interfaces, evanescent states within the charge-transfer gap of  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  [\[27\],](#page-4-22) or a combination of them. The present experimental data are not sufficient to disentangle both scenarios, and the nature of emergent IGS responsible for the low-lying physics remains an open question in cuprates.

To conclude, our measurement of Mott insulator-metal transition in infinite-layer cuprates has presented several indispensable and exceptionally new results. These results are obtained from the direct measurement of the key  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$ plane, which is different from earlier studies that were usually conducted on the charge reservoir layers of cuprates. The observed robust fundamental Mott-Hubbard bands against doping and the self-modulation doping-driven systematic shift of  $E_F$  should form a starting point for developing any microscopic models of the Mott physics as well as the superconductivity mechanism in cuprates. Given that the superconductivity exclusively occurs in the  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  planes for all cuprates, we believe that such a model is also applicable to other cuprates, which merits a future study.

We thank Y.Y. Wang, F.C. Zhang, G.M. Zhang, D. H. Lee, and X. C. Xie for fruitful discussions. The work was financially supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China, the National Natural Science Foundation of China, and in part by the Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Future Chip (ICFC).

Y. Zhong, J. Q. Fan, and R. F. Wang contributed equally to this work.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>[\\*](#page-0-0) clsong07@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

<span id="page-4-1"></span>[†](#page-0-0) xucunma@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[‡](#page-0-0) qkxue@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[1] P. A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X. G. Wen, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.17) 78, [17 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.17)
- [2] B. Keimer, S. Kivelson, M. Norman, S. Uchida, and J. Zaanen, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14165) 518, 179 (2015).
- [3] M. Kohno, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aaa53d) **81**, 042501 (2018).
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[4] C. Ye, P. Cai, R. Z. Yu, X. D. Zhou, W. Ruan, Q. Q. Liu, C. Q. Jin, and Y. Y. Wang, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2369) 4, 1365 (2013).
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[5] P. Cai, W. Ruan, Y. Y. Peng, C. Ye, X. T. Li, Z. Q. Hao, X. J. Zhou, D. H. Lee, and Y. Y.Wang, Nat. Phys. 12[, 1047 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3840)
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[6] M. B. J. Meinders, H. Eskes, and G. A. Sawatzky, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.3916) Rev. B 48[, 3916 \(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.3916).
- [7] J. Wu, O. Pelleg, G. Logvenov, A. T. Bollinger, Y. J. Sun, G. S. Boebinger, M. Vanević, Z. Radović, and I. Božović, Nat. Mater. 12[, 877 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3719)
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[8] M. A. van Veenendaal, G. A. Sawatzky, and W. A. Groen, Phys. Rev. B 49[, 1407 \(1994\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.1407).
- [9] N. P. Armitage, F. Ronning, D. H. Lu, C. Kim, A. Damascelli, K. M. Shen, D. L. Feng, H. Eisaki, Z. X. Shen,

P. K. Mang, N. Kaneko, M. Greven, Y. Onose, Y. Taguchi, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88[, 257001 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.257001)

- [10] K. M. Shen, F. Ronning, D. H. Lu, W. S. Lee, N. J. C. Ingle, W. Meevasana, F. Baumberger, A. Damascelli, N. P. Armitage, L. L. Miller, Y. Kohsaka, M. Azuma, M. Takano, H. Takagi, and Z. X. Shen, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.267002) 93, [267002 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.267002)
- [11] Ø. Fischer, M. Kugler, I. Maggio-Aprile, C. Berthod, and C. Renner, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.79.353) 79, 353 (2007).
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[12] K. Segawa, M. Kofu, S. H. Lee, I. Tsukada, H. Hiraka, M. Fujita, S. Chang, K. Yamada, and Y. Ando, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1717) 6, [579 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1717).
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[13] J. W. Harter, L. Maritato, D. E. Shai, E. J. Monkman, Y. Nie, D. G. Schlom, and K. M. Shen, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.267001) 109, [267001 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.267001)
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[14] J. W. Harter, L. Maritato, D. E. Shai, E. J. Monkman, Y. F. Nie, D. G. Schlom, and K. M. Shen, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.035149) 92, [035149 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.035149)
- [15] Y. Zhong, S. Han, Y. Wang, Z. L. Luo, D. Zhang, L. L. Wang, W. Li, K. He, C. L. Song, X. C. Ma, and Q. K. Xue, Phys. Rev. B 97[, 245420 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.245420)
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[16] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.077002) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.077002](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.077002) for details on materials, methods, Hall measurements, STEM measurements, and low-lying electronic DOS on  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$ .
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[17] S. Karimoto, K. Ueda, M. Naito, and T. Imai, [Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1410872) Lett. 79[, 2767 \(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1410872).
- [18] V. Leca, D. H. A. Blank, G. Rijnders, S. Bals, and G. Van Tendeloo, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2339840) 89, 092504 (2006).
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[19] T. Sarkar, P. R. Mandal, J. S. Higgins, Y. Zhao, H. Yu, K. Jin, and R. L. Greene, Phys. Rev. B 96[, 155449 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.155449)
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[20] I. Lazić, E. G. Bosch, and S. Lazar, [Ultramicroscopy](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultramic.2015.10.011) 160, [265 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultramic.2015.10.011).
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[21] I. Battisti, K. M. Bastiaans, V. Fedoseev, A. De La Torre, N. Iliopoulos, A. Tamai, E. C. Hunter, R. S. Perry, J. Zaanen, F. Baumberger, and M. P. Allan, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3894) 13, 21 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3894)
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[22] Y. Tokura, S. Koshihara, T. Arima, H. Takagi, S. Ishibashi, T. Ido, and S. Uchida, Phys. Rev. B 41[, 11657 \(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.41.11657).
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>[23] N. B. Brookes, G. Ghiringhelli, A. M. Charvet, A. Fujimori, T. Kakeshita, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, and T. Mizokawa, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.027002) Rev. Lett. 115[, 027002 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.027002)
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>[24] A. de la Torre, S. McKeownWalker, F. Y. Bruno, S. Riccó, Z. Wang, I. Gutierrez Lezama, G. Scheerer, G. Giriat, D. Jaccard, C. Berthod, T. K. Kim, M. Hoesch, E. C. Hunter, R. S. Perry, A. Tamai, and F. Baumberger, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.176402) 115[, 176402 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.176402)
- <span id="page-4-19"></span>[25] R. Dingle, H. Störmer, A. Gossard, and W. Wiegmann, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.90457) 33, 665 (1978).
- <span id="page-4-20"></span>[26] H. Fu, J. Wu, H. Peng, and B. Yan, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.241203) 97, [241203\(R\) \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.241203).
- <span id="page-4-22"></span>[27] T. Iffländer, S. Rolf-Pissarczyk, L. Winking, R. G. Ulbrich, A. Al-Zubi, S. Blügel, and M. Wenderoth, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.146804) 114[, 146804 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.146804)
- <span id="page-4-21"></span>[28] P. Van Mieghem, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.64.755) **64**, 755 (1992).
- [29] Y. Kohsaka, T. Hanaguri, M. Azuma, M. Takano, J. C. Davis, and H. Takagi, Nat. Phys. 8[, 534 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2321)
- [30] Y. Okada, D. Walkup, H. Lin, C. Dhital, T.-R. Chang, S. Khadka, W. Zhou, H.-T. Jeng, M. Paranjape, A. Bansil, Z. Q. Wang, S. D. Wilson, and V. Madhavan, [Nat. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3653) 12, [707 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3653).