## Anomaly of the Electromagnetic Duality of Maxwell Theory

Chang-Tse Hsieh $\bullet$ ,<sup>1,2</sup> Yuji Tachikawa $\bullet$ ,<sup>1</sup> and Kazuya Yonekura $\bullet$ <sup>[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0198-6540)</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan <sup>2</sup> Tratitute for Solid State Physics *Injugration* of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

(Received 19 August 2019; published 14 October 2019)

We consider the  $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional Maxwell theory in the situation where going around nontrivial paths in the spacetime involves the action of the duality transformation exchanging the electric field and the magnetic field, as well as its  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  generalizations. We find that the anomaly of this system in a particular formulation is 56 times that of a Weyl fermion. This result is derived in two independent ways: one is by using the bulk symmetry protected topological phase in  $(4 + 1)$  dimensions characterizing the anomaly, and the other is by considering the properties of a  $(5 + 1)$ -dimensional superconformal field theory known as the E-string theory. This anomaly of the Maxwell theory plays an important role in the consistency of string theory.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.161601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.161601)

Introduction.—Every physicist knows that the electromagnetic field is described classically by the Maxwell equation and that it is invariant under the electromagnetic duality S:  $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) \mapsto (\mathbf{B}, -\mathbf{E})$  [\[1\].](#page-4-0) The properties of the electromagnetic duality in quantum theory might not be as well known to physicists in general and, in fact, are not very well understood in the literature. This is particularly true when going around a nontrivial path in the spacetime results in a duality transformation [\[2\].](#page-4-1) In this Letter, we focus on a feature of the Maxwell theory and its duality symmetry in such a situation, namely, the fact that it has a quantum anomaly [\[14,15\]](#page-4-2), which we explicitly determine.

We recall that a quantum theory in  $d+1$  dimensions with a symmetry group  $G$  can have a quantum anomaly, in the sense that its partition function has a controllable phase ambiguity. Our modern understanding is that such a theory is better thought of as living on the boundary of a symmetry protected topological (SPT) phase in the  $[(d+1)+1]$ dimensional bulk. It was noticed in the last few years in [\[14,16](#page-4-2)–19] that a version of the Maxwell theory (often called all-fermion electrodynamics, where all particles of odd charge are fermions) has a global gravitational anomaly and lives on the boundary of a certain bulk SPT phase. As we will see, this result is a special case of the anomaly and the corresponding bulk SPT phase that we find for the duality symmetry.

We study the anomaly and the bulk SPT phase by imitating the relationship between the  $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional chiral boson and the  $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional  $U(1)<sub>1</sub>$ Chern-Simons theory and its generalization to the  $[(4n + 1) + 1]$ -dimensional self-dual form field and the  $[(4n + 2) + 1]$ -dimensional bulk theory studied, e.g., in [\[20](#page-4-3)–26]. The essential point is that the  $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional Maxwell theory with a nontrivial background for its duality symmetry is a self-dual field, and we can utilize the techniques developed in the papers listed above to study it. One of our main messages is that the subtle and interesting issues concerning the self-dual fields studied in the past already manifest themselves in the case of the Maxwell theory once the nontrivial background for its duality symmetry is turned on.

Before proceeding, we note that the electromagnetic duality group in the quantum theory is, in fact, the 2 dimensional special linear group  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  over the integers acting on lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  of the electric and magnetic charges. Its effect on the Maxwell theory on a curved manifold was carefully analyzed in [\[27,28\],](#page-5-0) and it was interpreted as a mixed  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -gravitational anomaly in [\[15\].](#page-4-4) Our result in this Letter can be considered as the determination of the pure  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  part of the anomaly.

Our computation shows that the anomaly of the Maxwell theory is 56 times that of a Weyl fermion in a certain precise formulation of the duality. Where does this number 56 come from? We will provide an answer using the property of a  $(5 + 1)$ -dimensional superconformal field theory originally found in [\[29,30\]](#page-5-1) and known as the E-string theory; the name comes from the fact that it has  $E_8$  global symmetry. The *E*-string theory has two branches of vacua: called the tensor branch and the Higgs branch. On the Higgs branch, the  $E_8$  symmetry is Higgsed to  $E_7$ , which acts on 28 fermions via its 56-dimensional fundamental representation; this is possible because a pseudoreal

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

representation R with dim  $R = 2k$  can act on k fermions in  $(5 + 1)$  dimensions because the spin representation S in  $(5 + 1)$  dimensions is pseudoreal and we can impose the Majorana condition on  $R \otimes S$ . When one moves to the tensor branch, the  $E_8$  symmetry is restored and a self-dual tensor field appears. By compactifying this system on  $T^2$ , one finds that one Maxwell field is continuously connected to 56 Weyl fermions, showing that they should have the same anomaly. The electromagnetic duality is formulated as the SL $(2, \mathbb{Z})$  acting on this torus  $T^2$ , and therefore is geometrized in this formulation. This means that both the purely  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  part and the mixed gravitational-SL $(2, \mathbb{Z})$ part of the  $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional anomaly come from the purely gravitational anomaly of the  $(5 + 1)$ -dimensional theory. These statements about the anomaly are valid if the  $E_8$  background field is turned off.

The rest of the Letter is organized as follows. We start by recalling how the anomaly of a  $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional chiral boson is captured by the phase of the partition function of the  $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional  $U(1)$  Chern-Simons theory at level 1. We outline the path integral computation of its phase, as well as how this can be matched with the anomaly of a  $(1 + 1)$ dimensional chiral fermion. We then adapt this discussion to the anomaly of the  $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional Maxwell theory and the corresponding  $(4 + 1)$ -dimensional bulk *BdC* theory. We will see that the anomaly computed in this way reproduces the known anomaly when the  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  background is trivial. We then consider the case of the nontrivial  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  backgrounds on  $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_k$  for  $k = 2, 3, 4$ , and 6; and we note that the resulting phase is equal to 56 times that of a charged Weyl fermion. This plays an important role in the consistency of the  $O3^-$  plane and its generalizations. Finally, we explain why the anomaly of the Maxwell theory has to be 56 times that of a charged Weyl fermion in terms of the sixdimensional superconformal field theory known as the E-string theory. More details will be provided in a longer version of the Letter [\[31\]](#page-5-2).

Warm-up: Anomaly of  $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional chiral boson in terms of  $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional  $U(1)$  Chern-Simons.—We start by recalling the well-understood case of the anomaly of the  $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional chiral boson at the free fermion radius. This theory naturally lives at the boundary of the  $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional  $U(1)$  Chern-Simons theory at level  $k = 1$ , for which the Euclidean action is  $-S_{k=1}$  =  $\pi i \int (A/2\pi) (F/2\pi)$  [\[20,32,33\].](#page-4-3) The anomaly is then characterized by the partition function of this Chern-Simons theory on closed 3-dimensional manifolds  $M_3$ .

Let us recall that the action at level 2,  $-S_{k=2}$  =  $2\pi i \int (A/2\pi)(F/2\pi)$ , is well-defined modulo  $2\pi i$  when the manifold is oriented. However, there is a problem in dividing it by two. To make the action  $S_{k=1}$  well-defined modulo  $2\pi i$ , it is known that we need to pick a spin structure [\[34\]](#page-5-3). Once this is done, the path integral can be performed explicitly because the theory is free. The details are given, e.g., in [\[32,35](#page-5-4)–37]. Very roughly, we split the gauge field A into a sum of the flat but topologically nontrivial part and the topologically trivial but nonflat part. Assuming, for simplicity, that flat connections on  $M_3$  are isolated, we have

$$
Z_{U(1)CS}(M_3) = \left(\int [DA]_{\text{top-trivial}} e^{\pi i \int (A/2\pi)(F/2\pi)}\right) \times \left(\sum_{A:\text{flat}} e^{\pi i \int (A/2\pi)(F/2\pi)}\right).
$$
 (1)

Let us rewrite its phase.

The phase of the first term can be written in terms of the eta invariant of the signature operator  $*d$ :

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Arg} \int [DA]_{\text{top-trivial}} e^{\pi i \int (A/2\pi)(F/2\pi)} = -\frac{1}{8} \eta_{\text{signature}}.
$$
 (2)

Here and below, the equality of the phase is modulo one and is simply denoted by the equal sign  $(=)$ . The phase of the second term can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Arg} \sum_{c \in H^2(M_3, \mathbb{Z})} q(c) =: \text{Arf}(q),\tag{3}
$$

where  $c = c_1(F)$  is the first Chern class of the gauge bundle, and  $q(c) := e^{\pi i \int (A/2\pi)(F/2\pi)}$ .

We note that  $q(c)$  is simply the exponentiated level-1 classical action evaluated at a flat A. As recalled above, defining it requires something more than an oriented manifold and the integration on it. Mathematically,  $q$  is known as a quadratic refinement of the torsion pairing on  $H^2(M_3, \mathbb{Z})$ . The Arf invariant Arf $(q)$  is defined by the equation above and is known to take values in one eighth of an integer. We end up with the formula

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Arg} Z_{U(1)CS}(M_3) = -\frac{1}{8} \eta_{\text{signature}} + \text{Arf}(q). \tag{4}
$$

Let us now recall that a chiral boson can be fermionized. Then, the bulk theory can be taken to be the  $(2 + 1)$ dimensional fermion with infinite mass, for which the partition function has the phase [\[38\]](#page-5-5)

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Arg} Z_{\text{fermion}}(M_3) = \eta_{\text{fermion}}.\tag{5}
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>The values of  $\eta_{\text{signature}}$  and  $\eta_{\text{fermion}}$  on lens spaces are known in the literature, e.g., [\[39\]](#page-5-6). For example, on  $M_3 = S^3/\mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $\eta_{\text{signature}} = 0$ ; whereas Arf $(q)$  and  $\eta_{\text{fermion}}$  can be either  $1/8$ or  $-1/8$ , depending on the spin structure. On  $M_3 = S^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $\eta_{\text{signature}} = 2/9$ , Arf $(q) = 1/4$ , and  $\eta_{\text{fermion}} = 2/9$  because there is a unique spin structure. We indeed confirm

$$
-\frac{1}{8}\eta_{\text{signature}} + \text{Arf}(q) = \eta_{\text{fermion}},\tag{6}
$$

which can be proved using a mathematical result [\[40\].](#page-5-7) We note that  $\eta_{\text{signature}}$  is independent of the spin structure but  $Arf(q)$  does depend on the spin structure. In other words, the spin structure provides us the quadratic refinement.

The anomaly of the Maxwell theory.—The analysis of the anomaly of the  $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional chiral boson we recalled above was generalized to the  $[(4n-3)+1]$ dimensional self-dual form fields in [\[41\]](#page-5-8) at the perturbative level. The study of the corresponding  $[(4n-2)+1]$ dimensional theory in the bulk, generalizing the  $(2 + 1)$ dimensional Chern-Simons theory, was carried out in detail in [\[21](#page-4-5)–26]. The bulk theory has the action  $-S = \pi i \int (A/2\pi) d(A/2\pi)$ , where A is now a  $(2n - 1)$ form gauge field. Assuming  $H^{2n-1}(M_{4n-1}, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ , the phase of the partition function still has the form of Eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-0), where q is now a quadratic refinement of the torsion pairing on  $H^{2n}(M_{4n-1}, \mathbb{Z})$ , and its choice is not obviously related to the choice of the spin structure.

Here, we are more interested in the  $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional Maxwell theory. The natural generalization in this case is to consider the bulk theory with the action

$$
-S = \pi i \int \left[ \left( \frac{B}{2\pi} \right) d \left( \frac{C}{2\pi} \right) - \left( \frac{C}{2\pi} \right) d \left( \frac{B}{2\pi} \right) \right],
$$

where  $B$  and  $C$  are two 2-form gauge fields to be path integrated over [\[14\]](#page-4-2). This action has the  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  symmetry acting on  $(B, C)$ , which corresponds to the duality symmetry of the Maxwell theory [\[14\].](#page-4-2) We can and will introduce the background gauge field  $\rho$  for this  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ symmetry, which means that there is a nontrivial duality transformation when going around a nontrivial loop in spacetime. The phase of the partition function is then

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Arg} Z_{BdC}(M_5) = -\frac{1}{4} \eta_{\text{signature}} + \text{Arf}(q) \tag{7}
$$

where the eta invariant is now for the signature operator  $*d$ acting on the differential forms tensored with  $(\mathbb{Z}^2)_{\alpha}$ , and q is now the quadratic refinement of the natural torsion pairing on  $H^3(M_5,(\mathbb{Z}^2)_o)$ . Here,  $(\mathbb{Z}^2)_o$  signifies the coefficient system twisted by the  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  bundle  $\rho$ . The eta invariant of the signature operator with such a twist and its reduction from higher dimensions were considered earlier in the mathematical literature; see, e.g., [\[42\]](#page-5-9).

Let us first consider the case where we do not have the  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  background. In this case, the signature eta invariant simply vanishes, and only the Arf invariant contributes. Recall that a quadratic refinement is simply the classical action evaluated on flat  $B$  and  $C$ . Then, a general quadratic refinement can be written as

$$
\int \frac{B}{2\pi} \frac{dC}{2\pi} + \int \frac{dB}{2\pi} \frac{C}{2\pi} + \int \frac{B}{2\pi} \frac{dC}{2\pi},
$$
 (8)

where  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in H^2(M_5, \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  are the background fields for the electric and magnetic 1-form  $U(1)$  symmetry of the Maxwell theory [\[43\]](#page-5-10), which we chose to be flat. Its Arf invariant is computed to be  $\int (\mathcal{B}/2\pi)\beta(\mathcal{C}/2\pi)$ , where  $\beta$ is the Bockstein homomorphism  $\beta: H^2(M_5, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow$  $H^3(M_5, \mathbb{Z})$ ; the Bockstein homomorphism  $\beta$  can roughly be regarded as the exterior derivative d when it acts on torsion elements of cohomology groups. The end result is that

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Arg} Z_{BdC}(M_5) = \int \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}}{2\pi}\right) \beta\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}}{2\pi}\right). \tag{9}
$$

This reproduces a known result. Indeed, the mixed anomaly is known to be of the form

$$
2\pi i \int_{M_5} \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}}{2\pi}\right) d\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}}{2\pi}\right),
$$

for which the mathematically precise formulation [\[44\]](#page-5-11) reduces to Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-0) when we only consider flat fields. Furthermore, we can take  $\frac{\mathcal{B}}{2\pi} = \frac{\mathcal{C}}{2\pi} = w_2$ , where  $w_2$ is the Stiefel-Whitney class of the spacetime, which is here regarded as an element of  $H^2(M_5, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  by using  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . The Maxwell theory with this coupling is also known as the all-fermion electrodynamics, and it has the gravitational anomaly  $2\pi i \int w_2 \beta w_2 = \pi i \int w_2 w_3$  [\[18,19\]](#page-4-6).

Let us next consider the case when a nontrivial  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ background is present. We can choose the symmetry structure on  $M_5$  to consider, such as spin  $\times$  SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) or spin-Mp $(2, \mathbb{Z})$  [= spin ×<sub> $\mathbb{Z}$ </sub>, Mp $(2, \mathbb{Z})$ ], distinguished by whether  $C^2 = +1$  or  $= (-1)^F$ . Here,  $C \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  is the charge conjugation  $C: (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) \mapsto -(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B})$ , and the metaplectic group  $Mp(2, \mathbb{Z})$  is the double cover of the group  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . We will focus on the latter case in this Letter because it has a natural connection to the  $(6 + 1)$ dimensional CdC theory on a spin seven manifold, as we will see. Canonical examples of  $M_5$  associated with this symmetry structure are  $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_k$ ,  $k = 2, 3, 4$ , and 6, where going around the generator of  $\pi_1(\frac{S^5}{\mathbb{Z}_k})=\mathbb{Z}_k$  comes with the duality action by an element g of order k in  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , whereas  $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_k$  is not spin for even k; it has a natural spin- $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$  structure for any k by embedding  $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_k \subset \mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_k$ . Then, we get the spin-Mp $(2, \mathbb{Z})$  structure by embedding  $\mathbb{Z}_{2k} \subset \text{Mp}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . The results of explicit computations for Eq. [\(7\)](#page-2-1) are tabulated in Table [I](#page-3-0). When there are multiple choices for  $g$  or  $g$ , we choose a particular one. Other quadratic refinements correspond to different background fields  $(B, C)$  for electromagnetic 1-form symmetries.

When  $k = 2$ , the relevant element in  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  is just the charge conjugation symmetry C. This case has the anomaly  $(1/2\pi)$ ArgZ = 1/2 on  $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_2$ . This is responsible for the difference of  $1/2$  of the Ramond-Ramond (RR) charges of the  $O3^+$  plane and the  $O3^-$  plane in type-IIB string theory

<span id="page-3-0"></span>



[\[45\]](#page-5-12). As explained in [\[46\]](#page-5-13), for the consistency of the theory, the fractional part of the RR charge must be exactly negative of the anomaly of a D3 brane living on  $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_2$ . The background  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$  produced by  $O3^{\pm}$  is such that only the O3<sup>−</sup> leads to the anomaly of the Maxwell theory, explaining the difference of the RR charges; we note that the charge  $1/4$  of the  $O3<sup>+</sup>$  plane was already explained by the fermion anomaly [\[46\].](#page-5-13) We can also check that the resulting  $(1/2\pi)$ ArgZ for other k is exactly what is necessary to reproduce the RR charge of the  $\mathcal{N} = 3$ S-fold [\[47,48\].](#page-5-14)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>Let us now consider the infinitely massive fermions encoding the anomaly of a  $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional Weyl fermion of unit charge under  $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ , which was studied in [\[49](#page-5-15)–51]. The corresponding eta invariants on  $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_k$  are also tabulated in Table [I.](#page-3-0) We can check that the relation

$$
-\frac{1}{4}\eta_{\text{signature}} + \text{Arf}(q) = 56\eta_{\text{fermion}} \tag{10}
$$

holds for the choices of the Arf invariants given in Table [I.](#page-3-0)

Why 56?—Relation [\(10\)](#page-3-1) about the anomaly of the Maxwell theory and 56 Weyl fermions in  $(3 + 1)$ dimensions reminds us of the relation [Eq. [\(6\)\]](#page-1-1) about the anomaly of a chiral boson and a chiral fermion in  $(1 + 1)$  dimensions. In the latter case, the equality should evidently hold because a chiral fermion can be bosonized to a chiral boson in  $(1 + 1)$  dimensions. It also explained how and why the spin structure could be used to define the quadratic refinement necessary to formulate the integrand of the  $U(1)$  Chern-Simons theory. In  $(3 + 1)$ dimensions, however, the Maxwell theory and 56 Weyl fermions are two clearly different theories. What is the relation? How and why does the spin (or, more precisely, the spin- $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ ) structure provide the necessary quadratic refinement? One explanation is provided, somewhat surprisingly, by supersymmetric physics in  $(5 + 1)$  dimensions.

Consider a self-dual tensor field in  $(5 + 1)$  dimensions. Its dimensional reduction on  $T^2$  gives rise to the Maxwell theory in  $(3 + 1)$  dimensions, geometrizing the SL $(2, \mathbb{Z})$ duality symmetry of the Maxwell theory. Correspondingly, the  $(4 + 1)$ -dimensional *BdC* theory on  $M_5$  coupled to an  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  bundle is the dimensional reduction of the  $(6 + 1)$ -dimensional CdC theory on  $M_7$ , which is the  $T^2$ bundle over  $M_5$ .

We now embed this theory of a self-dual tensor field into the tensor branch of the  $E$ -string theory [\[29,30\].](#page-5-1) The  $E$ string theory is a  $(5 + 1)$ -dimensional theory realized in M theory, with two continuous families of vacua. One family of vacua is called the tensor branch, which describes an M5 brane close to the spacetime boundary of M theory carrying the  $E_8$  gauge symmetry [\[52,53\].](#page-5-16) On the tensor branch, the low energy theory consists of the self-dual tensor field with some additional fields. The other family of vacua is called the Higgs branch, which describes an instanton of the  $E_8$ gauge symmetry. The instanton breaks  $E_8$  to  $E_7$ , and it produces some chiral fermions as zero modes of the instanton. A nontrivial fact in  $M$  theory is that these two families of vacua are continuously connected; an M5 brane put on the spacetime boundary can become an  $E_8$  instanton. The transition point is a strongly coupled conformal field theory.

We start from the  $M5$  brane close to the spacetime boundary, and we transform it into an  $E_8$  instanton of nonzero size. In this process, the low energy theory is changed from that of the tensor branch, containing the selfdual tensor field, into that of the Higgs branch, containing  $28 = 56/2$  chiral fermions in  $(5 + 1)$  dimensions transforming under the fundamental 56-dimensional representation of  $E_7$ . Because this is a continuous process, the anomaly at the start and the anomaly at the end should be the same; previously, the same argument was used to compute the anomaly polynomial of the E-string theory in [\[54\]](#page-5-17) (which reproduced earlier results in [55–[57\]\)](#page-5-18), but the same statement is true, even for the subtler anomalies we are discussing now. There are also some additional fields on the tensor and Higgs branches, but their anomalies are manifestly the same on both sides, and so we can match the anomaly of the self-dual tensor field and the 28 chiral fermions.

Because one chiral fermion in  $(5 + 1)$  dimensions gives rise to two chiral fermions in  $(3 + 1)$  dimensions, we conclude that the anomaly of the Maxwell theory, formulated as the  $T^2$  compactification of the  $(5 + 1)$ -dimensional self-dual field with the trivial  $E_8$  background, should be equal to that of the 56 Weyl fermions. See Fig. [1](#page-3-2) for a summary of what we have described.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

FIG. 1. Maxwell to 56 fermions via E-string theory.

If we turn on a nontrivial  $E_7$  background  $A_{E_7}$  on the fermion side, the data are translated on the self-dual tensor side into the background 3-form field  $C$ , which couples to the dynamical self-dual tensor field, which is basically given by the Chern-Simons term constructed from  $A_{E_7}$ . When  $A_{E_7}$  is flat, this determines a quadratic refinement required to define the  $(6 + 1)$ -dimensional CdC theory. In particular, the trivial  $E_7$  background, which is available on any manifold, provides a canonical quadratic refinement for the  $(6 + 1)$ -dimensional CdC theory; and this construction only requires the spin structure. This point was already essentially made in [\[58\]](#page-5-19).

Because this explanation of Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-1) requires a lot of information from string and  $M$  theories, it would be of independent interest to check the equality [Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-1)] by a direct analysis in  $(3 + 1)$  and  $(4 + 1)$  dimensions. To translate the analysis in  $(5 + 1)$  dimensions to the study of the Maxwell theory, we need to require that the  $T^2$ bundle over  $M_5$  specified by the SL $(2, \mathbb{Z})$  background is equipped with a spin structure. This means that the symmetry structure we consider is a spin-Mp $(2, \mathbb{Z})$  structure. According to the cobordism classification theorem [\[59](#page-5-20)–62], the anomaly of any system with this symmetry is classified by the dual of  $\Omega_5^{\text{spin-Mp}(2,\mathbb{Z})} = \mathbb{Z}_9 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{32} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ , which is the bordism group for closed 5-manifolds with spin-Mp $(2, \mathbb{Z})$ structures and is generated by  $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_4$ , and  $[(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_4)' + 9(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_4)]$ , respectively, where  $(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_4)$  and  $(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_4)$ <sup>'</sup> both have the spin- $\mathbb{Z}_8$  structure coming from the embedding  $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_4 \subset \mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_4$  but with different actions of  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ given by diag $(i, i, i, \pm i)$ . We have not directly determined which quadratic refinement comes from the trivial  $E_7$  field, but we have checked that, for a suitable choice, we have the equality [Eq. [\(10\)\]](#page-3-1) for each case, providing a strong check of our identification [Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-1)].

The authors thank Nati Seiberg for useful comments on a draft of this Letter. Y. T. also thanks Yu Nakayama for pointing out the relevance of papers by Ganor and coworkers [5–[8\]](#page-4-7) to the authors. C.-T. H. and Y. T. are in part supported by the WPI Initiative, MEXT, Japan at IPMU, and the University of Tokyo. C.-T. H. is also supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid (Early-Career Scientists) No. 19K14608. Y. T. is also supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid (Wakate-A) No. 17H04837 and JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid (Kiban-S) No. 16H06335. K. Y. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid (Wakate-B) No. 17K14265.

- <span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] For some of the early contributions to the study of the duality transformation, see, e.g., [\[3,4\]](#page-4-8).
- [2] One example is a periodic boundary condition twisted by duality:  $\mathbf{E}(x+L, y, z) = \mathbf{B}(x, y, z)$  and  $\mathbf{B}(x+L, y, z) =$  $-E(x, y, z)$ . This particular setup was studied by Ganor and co-workers [5–[8\]](#page-4-7), but what happens in a more general

situation remains unanswered to the authors' knowledge. There is also a series of interesting papers on the flux sectors of the Maxwell theory by Moore and co-workers [9–[11\],](#page-4-9) which are related to the inherent self-dual nature of the Maxwell theory. Another intriguing scenario is to consider a Maxwell theory with dynamical "duality gauge fields," which might be thought of as a generalization of the Alice electrodynamics [\[12,13\],](#page-4-10) where the charge conjugation  $C = S^2$  is also gauged.

- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[3] S. Deser and C. Teitelboim, Duality transformations of Abelian and non-Abelian gauge fields, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.13.1592) 13, [1592 \(1976\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.13.1592).
- [4] S. Deser, Off-shell electromagnetic duality invariance, [J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/15/3/039) Phys. A 15[, 1053 \(1982\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/15/3/039)
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[5] O. J. Ganor and Y. P. Hong, Selfduality and Chern-Simons theory, [arXiv:0812.1213](http://arXiv.org/abs/0812.1213).
- [6] O. J. Ganor, Y. P. Hong, and H. S. Tan, Ground states of S-duality twisted  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super Yang-Mills theory, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2011)099) [Energy Phys. 03 \(2011\) 099.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2011)099)
- [7] O. J. Ganor, Y. P. Hong, R. Markov, and H. S. Tan, Static charges in the low-energy theory of the S-duality twist, [J.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2012)041) [High Energy Phys. 04 \(2012\) 041.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2012)041)
- [8] O. J. Ganor, N. P. Moore, H.-Y. Sun, and N. R. Torres-Chicon, Janus configurations with  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -duality twists, strings on mapping tori and a tridiagonal determinant formula, [J. High Energy Phys. 07 \(2014\) 010.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)010)
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[9] D. S. Freed, G. W. Moore, and G. Segal, The uncertainty of fluxes, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-006-0181-3) 271, 247 (2007).
- [10] D. S. Freed, G. W. Moore, and G. Segal, Heisenberg groups and noncommutative fluxes, [Ann. Phys. \(Amsterdam\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2006.07.014) 322, [236 \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2006.07.014).
- [11] A. Kitaev, G. W. Moore, and K. Walker, Noncommuting flux sectors in a tabletop experiment, [arXiv:0706.3410.](http://arXiv.org/abs/0706.3410)
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[12] A. S. Schwarz, Field theories with no local conservation of the electric charge, Nucl. Phys. B208[, 141 \(1982\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(82)90190-0).
- [13] M. Bucher, H.-K. Lo, and J. Preskill, Topological approach to Alice electrodynamics, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90173-9) B386, 3 (1992).
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[14] S.M. Kravec and J. McGreevy, A Gauge Theory Generalization of the Fermion-Doubling Theorem, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.161603) Rev. Lett. 111[, 161603 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.161603)
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[15] N. Seiberg, Y. Tachikawa, and K. Yonekura, Anomalies of duality groups and extended conformal manifolds, [Prog.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/pty069) [Theor. Exp. Phys. \(](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/pty069)2018), 073B04.
- [16] C. Wang, A. C. Potter, and T. Senthil, Classification of interacting electronic topological insulators in three dimensions, Science 343[, 629 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1243326)
- [17] S. M. Kravec, J. McGreevy, and B. Swingle, All-fermion electrodynamics and fermion number anomaly inflow, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.085024) Rev. D 92[, 085024 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.085024).
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[18] R. Thorngren, Framed Wilson operators, fermionic strings, and gravitational anomaly in 4D, [J. High Energy Phys. 02](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)152) [\(2015\) 152.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)152)
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[19] J. Wang, X.-G. Wen, and E. Witten, A new  $SU(2)$  anomaly, [J. Math. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5082852) 60, 052301 (2019).
- [20] S. Gukov, E. Martinec, G. W. Moore, and A. Strominger, in From Fields to Strings: Circumnavigating Theoretical Physics, edited by M. Shifman et al. (World Scientific, Singapore, 2005), Vol. 2, pp. 1606–1647.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[21] D. Belov and G. W. Moore, Holographic action for the self-dual field, [arXiv:hep-th/0605038](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0605038).
- [22] S. Monnier, Topological field theories on Manifolds with Wu structures, Rev. Math. Phys. 29[, 1750015 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129055X17500155).
- [23] S. Monnier, The anomaly field theories of six-dimensional (2,0) superconformal theories, [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.2018.v22.n8.a6) 22, [2035 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.2018.v22.n8.a6).
- [24] S. Monnier, G. W. Moore, and D. S. Park, Quantization of anomaly coefficients in 6D  $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$  supergravity, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2018)020) [Energy Phys. 02 \(2018\) 020.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2018)020)
- [25] S. Monnier and G. W. Moore, Remarks on the Green-Schwarz terms of six-dimensional supergravity theories, Commun. Math. Phys. [arXiv:1808.01334](http://arXiv.org/abs/1808.01334), [10.1007/s00220-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-019-03341-7) [019-03341-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-019-03341-7) (2019).
- [26] S. Monnier and G. W. Moore, A brief summary of global anomaly cancellation in six-dimensional supergravity, [arXiv:1808.01335.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1808.01335)
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[27] E. Witten, On S duality in Abelian gauge theory, [Sel. Math.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01671570) 1[, 383 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01671570)
- [28] E. Verlinde, Global aspects of electric-magnetic duality, Nucl. Phys. B455 [\(1995\) 211,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00431-Q)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[29] O.J. Ganor and A. Hanany, Small  $E_8$  instantons and tensionless non-critical strings, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00243-X) B474, 122 [\(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00243-X)
- [30] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Comments on string dynamics in six-dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B471[, 121 \(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00189-7)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[31] C.-T. Hsieh, Y. Tachikawa, and K. Yonekura (to be published).
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[32] E. Witten, Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01217730) 121, 351 (1989).
- [33] M. Bos and V.P. Nair, U(1) Chern-Simons theory and  $C = 1$  conformal blocks, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(89)90920-9) 223, 61 (1989).
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[34] D. Belov and G. W. Moore, Classification of Abelian spin Chern-Simons theories, [arXiv:hep-th/0505235.](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0505235)
- [35] M. Manoliu, Abelian Chern-Simons theory, [J. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.532333) (N.Y.) 39[, 170 \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.532333).
- [36] L. Jeffrey and B. McLellan, Nonabelian Localization for U(1) Chern-Simons Theory, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 292 (Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2011), pp. 199–212.
- [37] L. Jeffrey and B. McLellan, Eta-invariants and anomalies in U(1) Chern-Simons theory, in Chern-Simons Gauge Theory: 20 Years After, Vol. 50 of AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011), pp. 173–199.
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[38] L. Álvarez-Gaumé, S. Della Pietra, and G. W. Moore, Anomalies and odd dimensions, [Ann. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(85)90383-5) 163, [288 \(1985\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(85)90383-5).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[39] P.B. Gilkey, The eta invariant and the K-theory of odddimensional spherical space forms, [Inventiones Mathema](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388468)ticae 76[, 421 \(1984\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388468)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[40] G. W. Brumfiel and J. W. Morgan, Quadratic functions, the index modulo 8, and a  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -Hirzebruch formula, [Topology](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(73)90001-3) 12[, 105 \(1973\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(73)90001-3)
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[41] L. Álvarez-Gaumé and E. Witten, Gravitational anomalies, Nucl. Phys. B234[, 269 \(1984\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(84)90066-X)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[42] J.-M. Bismut and J. Cheeger, Transgressed Euler classes of  $SL(2n, \mathbb{Z})$  vector bundles, adiabatic limits of eta invariants

and special values of L-functions, [Ann. Sci. École Norm.](https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.1653) Sup. <sup>25</sup>[, 335 \(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.1653).

- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[43] D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, N. Seiberg, and B. Willett, Generalized global symmetries, [J. High Energy Phys. 02](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)172) [\(2015\) 172.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)172)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[44] J. Cheeger and J. Simons, Differential characters and geometric invariants, in Geometry and Topology, Vol. 1167 of Lecture Notes in Math. (Springer, Berlin, 1985), pp. 50–80.
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[45] E. Witten, Baryons and branes in anti-de Sitter space, [J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1998/07/006) [High Energy Phys. 07 \(1998\) 006.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1998/07/006)
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[46] Y. Tachikawa and K. Yonekura, Why are fractional charges of orientifolds compatible with Dirac quantization? [arXiv:1805.02772.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1805.02772)
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[47] I. García-Etxebarria and D. Regalado,  $\mathcal{N} = 3$  four dimensional field theories, [J. High Energy Phys. 03 \(2016\) 083,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2016)083)
- [48] O. Aharony and Y. Tachikawa, S-folds and 4D  $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superconformal field theories, [J. High Energy Phys. 06](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2016)044) [\(2016\) 044,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2016)044)
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[49] I. García-Etxebarria and M. Montero, Dai-Freed anomalies in particle physics, [J. High Energy Phys. 08 \(2019\) 003.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2019)003)
- [50] C.-T. Hsieh, Discrete gauge anomalies revisited, [arXiv:](http://arXiv.org/abs/1808.02881) [1808.02881.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1808.02881)
- [51] M. Guo, K. Ohmori, P. Putrov, Z. Wan, and J. Wang, Fermionic finite-group gauge theories and interacting symmetric/crystalline orders via cobordisms, [arXiv:](http://arXiv.org/abs/1812.11959) [1812.11959.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1812.11959)
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>[52] P. Hořava and E. Witten, Heterotic and Type I string dynamics from eleven-dimensions, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00621-4) B460, [506 \(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00621-4).
- [53] P. Hořava and E. Witten, Eleven-dimensional supergravity on a manifold with boundary, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00308-2) B475, 94 (1996).
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>[54] H. Shimizu, Y. Tachikawa, and G. Zafrir, Anomaly matching on the Higgs branch, [J. High Energy Phys. 12](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2017)127) [\(2017\) 127.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2017)127)
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>[55] K. Ohmori, H. Shimizu, and Y. Tachikawa, Anomaly Polynomial of E-String Theories, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2014)002) [08 \(2014\) 002.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2014)002)
- [56] K. Ohmori, H. Shimizu, Y. Tachikawa, and K. Yonekura, Anomaly polynomial of general 6d SCFTs, [Prog. Theor.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptu140) [Exp. Phys. \(](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptu140)2014), 103B07.
- [57] K. Intriligator, 6d,  $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$  Coulomb branch anomaly matching, [J. High Energy Phys. 10 \(2014\) 162.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2014)162)
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>[58] E. Witten, On flux quantization in  $M$  theory and the effective action, [J. Geom. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0393-0440(96)00042-3) 22, 1 (1997).
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>[59] A. Kapustin, Symmetry protected topological phases, anomalies, and cobordisms: Beyond group cohomology, [arXiv:1403.1467.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1403.1467)
- [60] A. Kapustin, R. Thorngren, A. Turzillo, and Z. Wang, Fermionic symmetry protected topological phases and cobordisms, [J. High Energy Phys. 12 \(2015\) 052.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2015)052)
- [61] D. S. Freed and M. J. Hopkins, Reflection positivity and invertible topological phases, [arXiv:1604.06527](http://arXiv.org/abs/1604.06527).
- [62] K. Yonekura, On the cobordism classification of symmetry protected topological phases, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-019-03439-y) 368, [1121 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-019-03439-y).