## Enhancement of the Inelastic Nuclear Interaction Rate in Crystals via Antichanneling

E. Bagli,<sup>1</sup> D. De Salvador,<sup>2,3</sup> L. Bacci,<sup>3</sup> F. Sgarbossa,<sup>3</sup> L. Bandiera,<sup>1</sup> R. Camattari,<sup>1</sup> G. Germogli,<sup>1</sup> A. Mazzolari,<sup>1</sup>

A. Sytov,  $1,4$  and G. Guidi<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INFN Sezione di Ferrara, Via Saragat 1, 44122 Ferrara, Italy <sup>2</sup> IMFN Sezione di Leanara Viale dell'Università 2, 35020 Leanara PI

 $^{2}$ INFN Sezione di Legnaro, Viale dell'Università 2, 35020 Legnaro PD, Italy

 $^3$ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università degli Studi di Padova, Via Marzolo, 8, 35131 Padova, Italy

Institute for Nuclear Problems, Belarusian State University, 220030 Minsk, Belarus

<sup>5</sup>COMECER SpA, Via Maestri del Lavoro 90, 48014 Alberazzo-Canalvecchio, Italy

(Received 9 January 2019; revised manuscript received 24 May 2019; published 23 July 2019)

The interaction rate of a charged particle beam with the atomic nuclei of a target varies significantly if the target has a crystalline structure. In particular, under specific orientations of the target with respect to the incident beam, the probability of inelastic interaction with nuclei can be enhanced with respect to the unaligned case. This effect, which can be named antichanneling, can be advantageously used in the cases where the interaction between beam and target has to be maximized. Here we propose to use antichanneling to increase the radioisotope production yield via cyclotron. A dedicated set of experimental measurements was carried out at the INFN Legnaro Laboratories with the AN2000 and CN accelerators to prove the existence of the antichanneling effect. The variation of the interaction yield at hundreds of keV to MeV energies was observed by means of sapphire and indium phosphide crystals, achieving an enhancement of the interaction rate up to 73% and 25%, respectively. Such a result may pave the way to the development of a novel type of nozzle for the existing cyclotrons, which can exploit crystalline materials as targets for radioisotope production, especially to enhance the production rate for expensive prime materials with minor upgrades of the current instrumentation.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.044801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.044801)

Interactions between charged particle beams and solid media are studied and exploited throughout different scientific fields. If the target has a crystalline structure, a series of coherent phenomena may manifest. One of these effects is the channeling phenomenon [\[1\]](#page-4-0), which may occur as a charged particle impinges a crystal nearly aligned with the crystal planes or axes, i.e., when the angle between the particle direction and the crystal planes or axes is lower than the critical angle  $\theta_c$ . Under channeling conditions, the particle is captured by the potential well formed by two neighboring planes and is forced to bounce between them. The angle  $\theta_C$  depends on the crystal potential well depth  $U_0$ The angle  $\theta_C$  depends on the crystal potential well depth  $U_0$ <br>and the particle energy  $E$ ,  $\theta_C = \sqrt{U_0/E}$ . A particle under channeling can traverse a longer distance into the medium with respect to a particle interacting with an amorphous medium with the same average material density. Indeed, a channeled positive particle interacts less frequently with nuclei and core electrons, losing its energy only because of the interaction with the valence electrons.

These effects can be exploited to modify the probability of a close encounter with nuclei [\[2\].](#page-4-1) The variation of the nuclear interaction rate was experimentally observed from hundreds of keV [\[2\]](#page-4-1) to hundreds of GeV energies [\[3](#page-4-2)–5]. Since the reduction of the interaction frequency with nuclei induces a lowering of the rate of nuclear inelastic interactions within a crystal, channeling has been proposed to be used to collimate or extract the circulating beam at the LHC accelerator [\[6\].](#page-4-3)

For instance, when fast protons impinge on a crystal near a crystal axis or plane, some of the protons will travel the crystal remaining above the potential barrier, thus approaching at close distances to the crystalline nuclei. An interesting case is when a beam enters a crystal with an angle close to  $\theta_c$ . In such a case, almost all the beam particles, even those entering in the middle of two planes, undergo an over-barrier motion with respect to the potential wells of the crystal. These particles have the ability to hang above the maxima of the potential barriers, where the nuclei of the lattice atoms are located. The role of the abovebarrier particles in the interaction of fast particles with a crystal was noted in the seminal article of Akhiezer [\[7\]](#page-4-4) on the radiation process of fast electrons and positrons in a crystal. Subsequently, the interaction of over-barrier particles with crystals was reported, for example, in Ref. [\[8\]](#page-4-5), where the orientation dependencies of the processes associated with small impact parameters were studied.

Here below, a simple case is presented. Figure [1](#page-1-0) shows the simulated trajectories of charged particles that enter parallel (a) or at  $\theta_c$  (b) with respect to the (110) planes of a Si crystal. The simulation refers to a 655-keV proton beam,  $\theta_c = 0.33^\circ$ . In the first case, the particles enter parallel to the crystal planes—the red dashed lines—and bounce

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. GEANT4 simulation of the distribution of the particle trajectories for a 655 keV proton beam impinging on a (110) Si crystal under channeling condition (a) and antichanneling conditions (b). (c) The average nuclei encounter probability as a function of the penetration depth for the two cases.

between them, in a region without nuclei. Therefore, their probability of interaction with nuclei is lower with respect to the case of amorphous material or randomly oriented crystal. On the contrary, the particles entering the crystal with an angle close to  $\theta_c$  result to be focused toward the atomic rows. As a consequence, their probability of interaction with the nuclei is higher with respect to the case of amorphous material or randomly oriented crystal [\[9,10\]](#page-4-6).

The latter phenomenon can be called antichanneling, and can be extremely useful in the case where the interaction between the beam and the target has to be maximized, as for the production yield of radioisotopes for medical applications. Here, experimental measurements carried out at the INFN Legnaro Laboratories on the observation of the antichanneling effect at sub-MeV energies are shown. Finally, a series of GEANT4 simulations was used to validate the experimental data and to propose the usage of the antichanneling effect to increase the production yield of the radioisotope for medical applications.

In order to quantify the variation of the nuclear interaction rate of a charged particle beam with a target, let us define the nuclei encounter probability (NEP) as the average of the nuclear density experienced by the particle of a beam undergoing coherent interactions into a crystal, normalized by the nuclear density of an amorphous material. For a quantitative definition of NEP see Ref. [\[11\].](#page-4-7) The NEP can be computed by Monte Carlo calculation of an ensemble of beam particle trajectories and evolves with the penetration depth into the crystal. Figure [1\(c\)](#page-1-0) shows the GEANT4 simulation of the evolution of the NEP as a function of the penetration depth into the crystal for the channeled and the antichanneled particle beams of Figs. [1\(a\)](#page-1-0) and [1\(b\)](#page-1-0), respectively. Detailed information on the code is available below.

Most of the channeled particles oscillate far from the crystalline nuclei. As a consequence, after the first crystalline layers the NEP goes down almost to zero. On the contrary, the antichanneled particles are focused on the atomic rows of the crystal, resulting in a higher NEP, up to  $\sim$ 4.5.

A scheme of antichanneling is here shown for a sapphire  $(A<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)$  $(A<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)$  $(A<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)$  crystal. In particular, Fig. 2 shows the GEANT4 simulations of protons interacting with the  $Al_2O_3$  crystal under channeling (a) and antichanneling (b) conditions.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>

FIG. 2. GEANT4 simulations of the distribution of the protons interacting with an  $Al_2O_3$  crystal under channeling (a) and antichanneling conditions (b). The potential of the  $Al_2O_3$  crystal oriented along the  $\langle 0001 \rangle$  axis is shown.

The particle trajectories are along the  $z$  axis; i.e., the direction of propagation is perpendicular to the figure, while the transversal movements of the particles are shown. In Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-1-1), the particles enter in the channeling condition. Thus, they follow a path far from the nuclei of the crystal, minimizing the probability of interaction with them. On the contrary, if the particles enter the  $Al_2O_3$  crystal in antichanneling condition, they are forced to oscillate in a region with a high concentration of oxygen atoms, leading to an increase of the probability of interactions with the oxygen nuclei. In Fig. [2\(b\)](#page-1-1) the trajectories of particles under antichanneling are shown. In contrast to what happens in Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-1-1), here the particles are forced by the potential generated by aluminium atoms to pass closer to the oxygen nuclei.

In order to experimentally quantify the increase of the nuclear interaction, we studied the behavior of a proton beam as a function of the impinging angle with two samples, i.e., an  $Al_2O_3$  and an InP crystal.  $Al_2O_3$  and an InP crystals were chosen because they can be fabricated with good crystalline quality. The experiments were performed at the AN2000 and CN accelerators at the Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro (INFN—LNL). Both the accelerators are Van de Graaf electrostatic with 2.2 and 6 MeV maximum energy, respectively. The output energy available by such compact-size accelerators makes them ideal to promote nuclear reaction events within the first layers of target materials. Indeed, O and P atoms composing the samples show nuclear resonances at available energies for the used accelerators; thus it was possible to measure the increase of the nuclear interaction.

The samples were installed on a goniometer with an angular resolution of 0.01° and a minimum pressure inside the chamber of approximately  $10^{-7}$  mbar. This goniometer allowed the alignment of the crystal axis with respect to the incoming beam, to study the transition from a channeling to antichanneling condition. Beam divergence and goniometer resolution—both of the order of 0.01°—are perfectly suitable for channeling studies at low energy, taking into account that  $\theta_c$  for 2 MeV protons is of the order of 0.2°.

The alignment of the samples was done through a silicon detector for Rutherford backscattering analysis (RBS), i.e., by measuring the backscattered particles as a function of the angle between the beam and the samples, since the backscattering process is proportional to the NEP [\[11\].](#page-4-7) The RBS detector was placed at 110 mm and at an angle of 160° from the target. The surface of the detector was  $25 \text{ mm}^2$  large.

The measurement of the reaction products was done via a silicon detector for nuclear resonance analysis (NRA). Starting with the beam entering the crystal as perfectly aligned to the lattice planes—the channeling case—we measured the nuclear reaction products as a function of the angle of incidence of the beam with respect to the crystal planes. The NRA detector was placed at 150° and at a distance of 40 mm from the target. It had a 300 mm<sup>2</sup>

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 3. Schematic representation of the experimental setup.

surface and was covered with a mylar foil to prevent backscattered primary particles from entering the detector. The experimental setup is schematized in Fig. [3.](#page-2-0)

The reaction studied for the  $Al_2O_3$  crystal was the production of  $15N$  atoms starting from  $18O$  with protons at 642.5 keV  $[^{18}O(p, \alpha)^{15}N]$ , which has a sharp resonance at 628 keV. The reaction studied for the InP crystal was the production of <sup>34</sup>S starting from <sup>31</sup>P  $[31P(\alpha, p)^{34}S]$  using  $\alpha$ particles at 4.960 MeV, for which the nuclear reaction shows a sharp resonance [\[12\]](#page-4-8).

Figure [4\(a\)](#page-3-0) shows the <sup>18</sup>O $(p, \alpha)$ <sup>15</sup>N reaction rate—which is proportional to the NEP with oxygen atoms—for the  $\langle 0001 \rangle$  Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystal as a function of the angle of incidence of the particles, with the trajectory being parallel to the  $[1\bar{2}10]$  plane; an angle equal to zero corresponds to the  $\langle 0001 \rangle$  axial channeling condition. The values in the plot are normalized to the reaction rate for random orientation, i.e., for a case comparable to that of an amorphous or randomly misaligned  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  sample. The beam energy was 642.5 keV, about 14 keV above the resonance. One can see that when the beam is perfectly aligned with respect to the crystal  $\langle 0001 \rangle$  axis, the interaction rate decreases to less than 40%. On the other hand, when the beam is aligned under the antichanneling condition, the interaction rate grows and reaches 1.2 times the rate under random orientation; i.e., the probability that the particles interact with the oxygen atoms increases of 20%.

The increase in the NEP is related to the energy of the outgoing  $\alpha$  particles, which is a function of the penetration depth of the protons in the crystal. Indeed, the more a proton penetrates deep into the crystal, the more a generated  $\alpha$  particle that exits the crystal loses energy, since it has to traverse a thicker portion of the crystal. By investigating the RBS spectra, it was possible to record an increased interaction rate of the proton beam with the oxygen atoms if the crystal was aligned in the antichanneling condition, reaching a NEP 73% higher with respect to the amorphous case, which indicates that the protons are actually focused on the oxygen atoms if the  $A<sub>1</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  crystal is in an antichanneling condition.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 4. Experimental and simulated interaction rates for  $Al_2O_3$ (a) and InP (b) crystals as a function of the particle incoming angle. (a) The  ${}^{18}O(p,\alpha){}^{15}N$  reaction and RBS spectra for the  $\langle 0001 \rangle$  axis to the  $\left[1\frac{1}{2}10\right]$  plane in an Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystal with protons at 642.5 keV. (b) The <sup>31</sup>P $(\alpha, p)^{34}$ S reaction for the  $\langle 001 \rangle$  axis to the [010] plane in an InP crystal with  $\alpha$  at 5.057 MeV.

Figure [4\(b\)](#page-3-0) shows the interaction rate of the  ${}^{31}P(\alpha, p){}^{34}S$ reaction for the  $\langle 001 \rangle$  InP crystal as a function of the angle in the [010] plane. The data were collected at the CN accelerator with  $\alpha$  particles at 4.968 MeV. A 1.25 increase in the nuclear interaction rate was recorded.

A series of ad hoc Monte Carlo simulations was worked out using the GEANT4 toolkit [\[13,14\]](#page-4-9) in order to give an insight into the mechanism and to evaluate the effective enhancement rate of the nuclear interaction due to the antichanneling effect for the experimental data of this manuscript. The simulations are shown in Fig. [4](#page-3-0). These simulations are similar to the numerical evaluations worked out by Chesnokov et al. for protons at higher energies traveling in bent crystals [\[15\]](#page-4-10).

The channeling process is implemented by including DYNECHARM++ [\[16\]](#page-4-11) and ECHARM [\[17\]](#page-4-12) into the GEANT4 channeling package [\[18\]](#page-4-13). DYNECHARM++ allows the tracking of a relativistic charged particle inside a crystalline medium via the numerical integration of the classical equations of motion. The continuum potential approximation proposed by Lindhard is used [\[1\]](#page-4-0). ECHARM allows the computation of the electric field of the crystal within this approximation. The GEANT4 application was developed on top of the 10.3 version of the toolkit, which allows particle-trajectory simulations through crystalline structures [\[19\].](#page-4-14) All physical phenomena occurring for a channeled particle are strongly affected by the encountered number of nuclei and electrons, which depends on the particle trajectory [\[1\]](#page-4-0). Therefore, the probability of a physics process to occur in the simulation has been weighted as a function of the density of material experienced by a channeled particle.

The simulations show a good agreement with the experimental data. Indeed, the measured enhancement ratios turned out to be 75% and 25% for  $Al_2O_3$  and InP materials, respectively, while the simulated enhancement ratios are  $81 \pm 5\%$  and  $26 \pm 5\%$ 

In this work, we show the possibility of increasing the nuclear interaction between a particle beam and a properly oriented crystal via antichanneling. Here, the antichanneling effect was experimentally observed for two different crystalline samples, i.e.,  $Al_2O_3$  and InP crystals, at various energy, as proof of concept.

The agreement between the simulations and the experimental results allows us to use GEANT4 as a tool for predicting the occurrence of antichanneling and its effects for various crystalline materials. A case of interest could be the radioisotope production with a charged beam, at the typical energies of cyclotrons, impinging on a properly oriented crystal. Indeed, the antichanneling effect would allow us to produce radio isotopes with higher efficiency; i.e., it would be possible to increase the production yield of radioisotopes consuming less time and/or using a smaller amount of prime material. In particular, antichanneling could be exploited to improve the performance for future cyclotrons using almost the same setup that already exists for solid targets, provided that the target is an aligned crystalline sample.

Modern medical applications, such as diagnosis and therapy of tumors, may benefit from an increased production yield of radioisotopes. Indeed, the spreading of these techniques is mainly inhibited by the limited availability of radioisotopes because they are costly and/or they have to be fast produced and transported. Cyclotrons represent the present and future source for radioisotopes for many hospitals, due to the possibility of producing radionuclides in loco.

In this context, antichanneling can be used for the enhancement of the production of zirconium 89 from yttrium crystals, i.e., to enhance the  ${}^{89}Y(p,n){}^{89}Zr$  reaction. Zirconium-89 is utilized in specialized diagnostic applications using positron emission tomography imaging, for example, with zirconium-89 labeled antibodies (immuno-PET) [\[20\]](#page-5-0). Figure [5](#page-4-15) shows the enhancement of the nuclei encounter probability for a 17 MeV collimated proton beam impinging on the first layers of an yttrium crystal. In particular, the figure shows the transition from the  $[1\bar{2}10]$ plane to random orientation. A ∼ 50% enhancement of the nuclear interaction rate can be reached in the first crystalline layers by properly aligning the crystal under antichanneling conditions.

<span id="page-4-15"></span>

FIG. 5. GEANT4 simulations of interaction rates for Y and Ni crystals as a function of the particle incoming angle. The values are normalized to the average density ratio in the crystal. The transition from the  $[1\bar{1}0]$  plane to random for 13 MeV protons impinging on a Ni crystal and the transition from the  $[1\bar{1}00]$ plane to random for 17 MeV protons impinging on a Y crystal are shown.

The antichanneling effect could also be used for enriched crystalline material, i.e., for <sup>64</sup>Ni. A <sup>64</sup>Ni target is used to produce <sup>64</sup>Cu through the <sup>64</sup>Ni $(p, n)$ <sup>64</sup>Cu reaction, for which the cross section is maximum in an energy range between 10 and 14 MeV [\[21\].](#page-5-1) Figure [5](#page-4-15) shows a simulation carried out with a 13-MeV collimated proton beam impinging on the first crystalline layers of a  $\langle 111 \rangle$  Ni crystal. Also for this case, a ∼55% enhancement of the nuclear interaction rate can be reached.

On the other hand, the importance of having a crystalline target for the production of radioisotopes via cyclotrons could encourage the industries of crystalline material, for the production of increasingly defect-free crystal samples and the development of new techniques for the manufacturing of new crystalline materials. Indeed, the simulations were worked out by considering the samples as ideal monocrystals.

We acknowledge partial financial support from the Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (CSNV-MC-INFN and CSNV-GECO projects), from ERC Ideas Consolidator Grant No. 615089 CRYSBEAM, and from the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) through the POR FESR 2014-2020 program for Emilia Romagna (INFN— TTLab TROPIC project). We also acknowledge the CINECA award under the ISCRA initiative for the availability of high performance computing resources and support.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] J. Lindhard, Influence of ceystal lattice on motion of energetic charged particles, Mat. Fys. Medd. K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk 34, 1 (1965).

- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[2] D. S. Gemmell, Channeling and related effects in the motion of charged particles through crystals, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.46.129) 46, [129 \(1974\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.46.129).
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] C. Murphy et al., First results from bent crystal extraction at the Fermilab Tevatron, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res.,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X(96)00356-4) Sect. B 119[, 231 \(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X(96)00356-4)
- [4] B. N. Jensen *et al.*, A proposal to test beam extraction by crystal channeling at the SPS: A first step towards a LHC extracted beam, Technical Report No. CERN-DRDC-91-25 and No. DRDC-P-29, CERN, Geneva, 1991.
- [5] W. Scandale et al., Probability of inelastic nuclear interactions of high-energy protons in a bent crystal, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2010.07.002) [Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2010.07.002) 268, 2655 (2010).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[6] W. Scandale et al., Observation of channeling for 6500 GeV/c protons in the crystal assisted collimation setup for LHC, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.05.004) 758, 129 (2016).
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[7] A. Akhiezer, I. Akhiezer, and N. Shul'Ga, Theory of bremsstrahlung of relativistic electrons and positrons in crystals, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 49, 631 (1979).
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[8] A. I. Akhiezer and N. F. Shulga, *High-Energy Electrody*namics in Matter (Gordon & Breach, New York, 1996).
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[9] P. Smulders, A. Dygo, and D. Boerma, Giant focusing peak and potential dependence observed in a transition from axial to planar channeling in Si, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X(92)95798-V) [Res., Sect. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X(92)95798-V) 67, 185 (1992).
- [10] A. Carnera, G. Della Mea, A. V. Drigo, S. Lo Russo, P. Mazzoldi, G. G. Bentini, A. Desalvo, and R. Rosa, New aspects of axial-to-planar channeling transition in a noncubic compound crystal,  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.18.995) 18, 995 [\(1978\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.18.995)
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[11] P. Smulders, The nuclear encounter probability, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X(94)95443-7) [Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X(94)95443-7) 94, 595 (1994).
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[12] M. Bolorizadeh, S. Ruffell, I. Mitchell, and R. Gwilliam, Quantitative depth profiling of ultra-shallow phosphorus implants in silicon using time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry and the nuclear reaction  ${}^{31}P(\alpha, p_0)^{34}S$ , [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2004.04.180) [Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2004.04.180) 225, 345 (2004).
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[13] S. Agostinelli et al., Geant4 simulation toolkit, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8) [Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8) 506, 250 (2003).
- [14] J. Allison et al., Recent developments in Geant4, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2016.06.125) [Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2016.06.125) 835, 186 (2016).
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[15] Y. Chesnokov, I. Kirillin, W. Scandale, N. Shul'ga, and V. Truten, About the probability of close collisions during stochastic deflection of positively charged particles by a bent crystal, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.02.024) 731, 118 (2014).
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[16] E. Bagli and V. Guidi, DYNECHARM++: a toolkit to simulate coherent interactions of high-energy charged particles in complex structures, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2013.01.073) [Res., Sect. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2013.01.073) 309, 124 (2013).
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[17] E. Bagli, V. Guidi, and V. A. Maisheev, Calculation of the potential for interaction of particles with complex atomic structures, Phys. Rev. E 81[, 026708 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.81.026708)
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[18] E. Bagli, M. Asai, D. Brandt, A. Dotti, V. Guidi, and D. H. Wright, A model for the interaction of high-energy particles in straight and bent crystals implemented in Geant4, [Eur.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2996-y) Phys. J. C 74[, 2996 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2996-y)
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[19] E. Bagli, M. Asai, A. Dotti, L. Pandola, and M. Verderi, Allowing for crystalline structure effects in Geant4, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2017.03.092) 402, 304 [\(2017\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2017.03.092) proceedings of the 7th International Conference

Channeling 2016: Charged and Neutral Particles Channeling Phenomena.

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[20] G. A. M. S. van Dongen and M. J. W. D. Vosjan, Immunopositron emission tomography: shedding light on clinical antibody therapy,[Cancer Biother. Radiopharm.](https://doi.org/10.1089/cbr.2010.0812) 25, 375 (2010).
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[21] R. A. Rebeles, P. V. den Winkel, A. Hermanne, and F. Tárkányi, New measurement and evaluation of the excitation function of  $64Ni(p, n)$  reaction for the production of <sup>64</sup>Cu, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2008.11.038) 267, 457 [\(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2008.11.038)