## First Observation of a Stable Highly Dissipative Divertor Plasma Regime on the Wendelstein 7-X Stellarator

D. Zhang,<sup>1</sup> R. König,<sup>1</sup> Y. Feng,<sup>1</sup> R. Burhenn,<sup>1</sup> S. Brezinsek,<sup>2</sup> M. Jakubowski,<sup>1</sup> B. Buttenschön,<sup>1</sup> H. Niemann,<sup>1</sup> A. Pavone,<sup>1</sup>

M. Krychowiak,<sup>1</sup> S. Kwak,<sup>1</sup> J. Svensson,<sup>1</sup> Y. Gao,<sup>2</sup> T. S. Pedersen,<sup>1</sup> A. Alonso,<sup>3</sup> J. Baldzuhn,<sup>1</sup> C. D. Beidler,<sup>1</sup>

C. Biedermann,<sup>1</sup> S. Bozhenkov,<sup>1</sup> K. J. Brunner,<sup>1</sup> H. Damm,<sup>1</sup> M. Hirsch,<sup>1</sup> L. Giannone,<sup>4</sup> P. Drewelow,<sup>1</sup> F. Effenberg,<sup>5</sup>

G. Fuchert,<sup>1</sup> K. C. Hammond,<sup>1</sup> U. Höfel,<sup>1</sup> C. Killer,<sup>1</sup> J. Knauer,<sup>1</sup> H. P. Laqua,<sup>1</sup> R. Laube,<sup>1</sup> N. Pablant,<sup>6</sup> E. Pasch,<sup>1</sup> F. Penzel,<sup>4</sup>

K. Rahbarnia,<sup>1</sup> F. Reimold,<sup>1</sup> H. Thomsen,<sup>1</sup> V. Winters,<sup>5</sup> F. Wagner,<sup>1</sup> T. Klinger,<sup>1</sup> and W7-X team<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, 17491 Greifswald, Germany
<sup>2</sup>Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, IEK-4 52425 Jülich, Germany
<sup>3</sup>Laboratorio Nacional de Fusion CIEMAT, 28040 Madrid, Spain
<sup>4</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, 85748 Garching, Germany
<sup>5</sup>University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

<sup>6</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey 08540, USA

(Received 17 March 2019; published 9 July 2019; corrected 17 July 2019)

For the first time, the optimized stellarator Wendelstein 7-X has operated with an island divertor. An operation regime in hydrogen was found in which the total plasma radiation approached the absorbed heating power without noticeable loss of stored energy. The divertor thermography recorded simultaneously a strong reduction of the heat load on all divertor targets, indicating almost complete power detachment. This operation regime was stably sustained over several energy confinement times until the preprogrammed end of the discharge. The plasma radiation is mainly due to oxygen and is located at the plasma edge. This plasma scenario is reproducible and robust at various heating powers, plasma densities, and gas fueling locations. These experimental results show that the island divertor concept actually works and displays good power dissipation potential, producing a promising exhaust concept for the stellarator reactor line.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.025002

Reduction of the heat flux density on plasma facing components to a technically feasible level is one of the most challenging issues for magnetic confinement fusion devices on the path to a reactor. A promising approach is the creation of a controlled impurity radiation zone in the vicinity of the plasma-surface-interaction (PSI) area by means of a divertor [1-8]. The basic idea of a divertor configuration [9-11] is to separate the PSI region from the plasma confinement region by establishing an appropriate separatrix-bounded magnetic configuration. In the advanced stellarator Wendelstein 7-AS (W7-AS) [12] and the optimized stellarator Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X) [13,14], this separation is realized by an intrinsic, loworder magnetic island chain which forms the basis for the so-called island divertor configuration. The island divertor concept has been successfully tested on W7-AS. In particular, heat flux reduction onto divertor targets with partial plasma detachment has been observed [15-18]. W7-X is an optimized quasi-isodynamic stellarator [19] with shaped nonplanar superconducting coils. It is designed for long-pulse steady-state plasma operation with the goal of bringing the optimized stellarator to reactor maturity. Development of a reactor-relevant island divertor concept is one of the main scientific objectives of W7-X [20]. The essential question is the following: How much power can be removed via line radiation of low-Z impurities without serious impurity contamination and degradation of energy confinement at the core plasma? Plasma detachment from the divertor targets can play a decisive role here. In this Letter, we present first observations of a stable, highly radiative divertor regime, in which the radiation power loss approaches the absorbed heating power, leading to almost complete power detachment while the stored plasma energy is preserved. We note the difference between the stable detachment on W7-AS and W7-X: Most significant is that the heat flux reduction on the targets in W7-AS is inhomogeneous and a certain target range still receives a notable heat flux even at the maximum radiation level and is referred to as partial detachment [15–18]. By contrast, the detachment achieved in W7-X is more complete in the sense that the heat flux reduces uniformly on all the targets.

W7-X (major radius of 5.5 m, effective minor radius 0.5 m, and plasma volume 30 m<sup>3</sup>) is currently the largest advanced stellarator worldwide. After initial operation with a limiter configuration [21–23], the device was subsequently equipped with ten uncooled graphite divertor units [Fig. 1(a)], presently being replaced by corresponding water-cooled divertor modules. The first wall (made of



FIG. 1. (a) The stellarator W7-X equipped with ten graphite divertor units. The islands in the scrape-off layer (SOL) intersected by the divertor elements. (b) The lines of sight of the horizontal and vertical bolometer cameras (HBC and VBC) installed at the triangular cross section for monitoring the plasma radiation from both confinement region and SOL. The Poincaré plot of the magnetic flux surfaces at this cross section is also shown).

stainless steel) is partially covered with graphite tiles in regions exposed to high thermal loads (>0.3 MW/m<sup>2</sup>). Wall conditioning was done by means of glow discharge and electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH) discharge in helium (boronization became possible only after this initial divertor campaign). The plasma is generated by ECRH. Carbon and oxygen have been identified as the main impurity species. The experiments described here were carried out in a magnetic configuration with a 5/5 island chain at the plasma edge and 2.52 T magnetic field on axis. The island chain has an effective radial dimension of about 6.5 cm [24].

Plasma radiation is measured by two bolometer cameras installed at a triangular cross section of W7-X with a spatial resolution of 3–4 cm [Fig. 1(b)] [25]. No divertor modules are installed at this toroidal position. To obtain the total radiated power loss  $P_{\rm rad}$ , the radiation from the observation volume is extrapolated to the whole plasma volume. Possible toroidal variations of the radiation strength are not considered, as supported by EMC3-EIRENE [26] calculations at different toroidal positions. Although a tomographic reconstruction is possible, the line-integrated signals are used for  $P_{\rm rad}$  calculations in order to avoid uncertainties from the deconvolution procedure. Other

plasma parameters and diagnostics involved [27–29] are the following: an interferometer for the line-averaged plasma density, a diamagnetic loop for the plasma stored energy, an electron cyclotron emission (ECE) radiometer for electron temperature, a Thomson scattering (TS) system for electron density and temperature profile, infrared (IR) cameras for divertor thermography measuring the divertor heat load, and spectroscopic diagnostics for impurity line emissions and bremsstrahlung radiation. The Minerva Bayesian modeling framework [30] has been used for fitting the TS measurements and deriving the effective ion charge  $Z_{\rm eff}$  based on the bremsstrahlung radiation.

Figure 2 shows diagnostic time traces for the hydrogen discharge No. 20171109.045, in which at t > 2 s a highly radiative regime is established with a quasistationary phase between t = 3.3 and 4 s. At constant ECRH power of ~3 MW, a plasma density of  $2.5 \times 10^{19}$  m<sup>-3</sup> is first obtained via gas puffing (t = 0 to 1.2 s) and afterwards further increased by repetitively injecting hydrogen pellets (from 1.7 to 2.1 s). Shortly after pellet injection, the stored energy  $W_p$  reaches a maximum (cf. also on the stellarator



FIG. 2. Time traces of plasma parameters of discharge No. 20171109.045. From top to bottom: ECR-heating power  $P_{\rm ECRH}$  and total radiation power  $P_{\rm rad}$ , line-averaged density, stored energy  $W_P$  and energy confinement time  $\tau_E$ , the effective ion charge  $Z_{\rm eff}$ , two representative ECE channels for respective core and edge electron temperature  $T_e$ , total heat load on all targets. Pellets are injected in the time interval from 1.7 to 2.1 s.

LHD [31,32]), followed by a decay on a timescale larger than the energy confinement time  $\tau_E$  of 0.15 s, but corresponding to the particle confinement time  $\tau_P$  of around 0.5 s. After the initial transient phase for t > 3 s, the lineaveraged plasma density as well as  $W_P$  and  $\tau_E$  approach the levels prior to pellet injection. The total radiation  $P_{\rm rad}$ , however, does not return to its prepellet value but stays at a higher level close to the total heating power  $P_{\text{ECRH}}$ , with a fraction  $f_{\rm rad} = P_{\rm rad}/P_{\rm ECRH} = 90\%$  (estimated error  $\pm 10\%$ ). Meanwhile, the total heat load on all divertor targets obtained from IR-camera measurements (integrated over the entire divertor surface) drops strongly to  $P_{\rm div} \sim 0.2$  MW, corresponding to a heat flux of 0.15 MW/m<sup>2</sup>. For comparison before pellet injection, its maximum value was  $\sim 3 \text{ MW/m}^2$ . This drop of the heat load (by a factor of more than 10) to a level slightly above the diagnostic resolution indicates almost complete power detachment. The full recovery of the core plasma is seen in the time evolution of  $T_e$  and the evolution of electron temperature and density profiles measured with Thomson scattering (Fig. 3). From t = 3.3 s on, the plasma reaches a quasistationary, highly radiative divertor plasma regime, during which the plasma stored energy  $W_P$  remains constant at  $W_P \sim 280$  kJ. The global energy confinement time  $\tau_E \approx W_P / P_{\text{ECRH}}$  is slightly above 0.1 s, almost the same as that before pellet injection. This regime is self-sustained over several energy confinement times terminated only by the preprogrammed end of the discharge. The remnant heat load on the targets is 7% of the 3 MW ECR-heating power including the contributions of photons and charge-exchange neutrals. This implies that, at least 93% of the total power is removed before reaching the targets. This result is consistent with the bolometer results within error bars, presenting a good power balance. The effective ion charge in the bulk plasma  $Z_{eff}$  (Fig. 2), as determined from bremsstrahlung radiation, is 3 before pellet injection (with an uncertainty of 1); it has a slight increment after pellet injection approaching 3.5 in the detachment phase. This variation may indicate that impurity transport is partially involved in establishing the regime, which is a topic requiring further studies.

In the transient phase from t = 1.7 to 3.0 s, two maxima of  $P_{rad}$  (Fig. 2) have been obtained: one at t = 2.1 s corresponding to the maximum density and the other at t = 2.7 s (with  $P_{rad} > P_{ECRH}$ ) associated with the enhancement of  $Z_{eff}$  and the decline of  $W_P$ .

It is noteworthy that the Thomson scattering measurements (together with Gaussian process fits [33], i.e., nonparametric fits of the profiles through covariance functions carried out within the Minerva Bayesian modeling framework [30]) shown in Fig. 3 demonstrate an edge-density increment at t = 3.5 s (in red). A rise of  $n_{e,\text{LCFS}}$  up to  $(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{19}$  m<sup>-3</sup> with a flatter density profile in comparison to that at t = 1.7 s is observed. The electron temperature has a slight reduction at the LCFS, comparable, however, to the diagnostic uncertainty of about 20 eV.



FIG. 3. (a) Electron density and (b) temperature profiles measured with Thomson scattering (solid points) at t = 1.7 s (before pellet injection), 2.6 s (just after pellet injection), and 3.5 s (in the regime) in the discharge No. 20171109.045. The radial position  $\rho$  marks the normalized effective radius of the magnetic flux surface and  $\rho = 1$  corresponds to the last closed flux surface (LCFS). The solid curves are Gaussian process fits [33] to the measured data points and the shadowed region represents the standard deviation of the fits. It shows the pronounced central peaking of the density profile caused by pellet fueling followed by a recovery at the plasma center and, in particular, an increment of  $n_{e,\text{LCFS}}$  at t = 3.5 s; the temperature profile change is comparatively small, however.

Figure 4(a) shows the corresponding temporal evolution of the chord brightness of the vertical bolometer camera (VBC). After pellet injection (t > 2.1 s), the brightness of the bolometer channels that detect the SOL region sharply drops due to cooling of the peripheral plasma, especially the inboard side. After detachment (t > 3.3 s), the edge bolometer channels at or slightly outside the LCFS show the strongest signals, indicating that the radiation is mainly located at the plasma edge. This is confirmed by the data from the horizontal camera (HBC) and also a preliminary tomographic reconstruction of the radiation intensity distribution (not shown here) using both the HBC and VBC measurements with the Gaussian process tomography method [34]. Additionally, a poloidal asymmetry that has more intensive radiation from the plasma region below the midplane has been observed. This emissivity asymmetry is often observed for high-density, high-radiation phases. It may result from unevenly distributed impurity



FIG. 4. Temporal evolution of the brightness of the VBC channels labeled by their tangential radii of closed flux surfaces ( $r_{\rm eff}$  on the vertical axis with negative numbers indicating the inboard side). They are flux surfaces in the confinement region, and linearly extended from a smooth flux surface near the separatrix into the edge islands.

sources originating from plasma-surface-interaction regions. Further analysis shows that approximately 80% of the power is radiated from the near-separatrix region.

During this divertor experimental campaign carbon and oxygen have been identified as main impurity species. Their absolute concentration is however unknown. Figure 5 shows the time-traces of the line emissions from CIII (117.5 nm), CIV (31.2 nm), OV (22.02 nm), and OVI (12.98 nm). These are the most intensive radiating ion stages of carbon and oxygen and have therefore been selected as representative for the total carbon and oxygen radiation. The temporal evolution of  $P_{\rm rad}$  is compared with that of the four selected impurity ion emissions.

For this purpose, each line emission curve is aligned with the normalized  $P_{\text{rad}}$  at t = 1.7 s (just before the pellet



FIG. 5. Line radiation of OVI, OV, CIV, and CIII for the same discharge as shown in Figs. 2–4. For comparison  $P_{rad}$  is shown as black lines.

injection). The measured line emissions from OV and OVI follow well the time evolution of  $P_{\rm rad}$ , whereas those from CIII and CIV do not. In particular, the CIII and CIV line emission intensities do not rise in the high-radiation phase after the pellet injection; the CIV brightness even sharply drops. (Additional analysis treating line emissions from impurity ions with even lower ionization stages, such as CII and OIII, close to the PSI region also indicates no correlation with the  $P_{\rm rad}$  evolution.) Considering that CIV is the ionization stage of carbon that radiates most effectively, the comparison suggests a dominant role of oxygen in contributing to the total radiation. This is likely due to the high radiation potential of oxygen, which is about three times larger than that of carbon [35], but could also be due to a higher oxygen influx. The impurity influx is not known in this discharge. However, according to the mass spectrometry data, relatively high H<sub>2</sub>O and CO partial pressure has been measured in this discharge. Clarification of the origins and recycling processes of oxygen impurities in W7-X is beyond the scope of this Letter.

In summary, the first island divertor experiments on W7-X have demonstrated that a stable highly radiative divertor plasma regime can be established. Oxygen radiation, which is located at the edge plasma region, plays a key role. Strong reduction of heat flux on the divertor targets shows plasma detachment although the plasma stored energy is well maintained. This regime was first observed in the studied discharge with pellet injection, and was later found in discharges fueled via gas-puff and even in discharges with only a prefill. A certain amount of particle fueling is needed to provide the necessary recycling and plasma conditions in the scape-off layer, while the fueling location does not seem to play a role. In this regime, two target Langmuir probe arrays, which were out of operation in the discharge mentioned above (No. 20171109.045) but available for other relevant discharges, have measured significantly reduced ion saturation currents indicating strongly reduced particle flux. Nevertheless, there is no experimental evidence for noticeable volume recombination, so far. The fact that the heat flux drops uniformly over the wetted area on the targets at W7-X shows that the island divertor concept at W7-X performs as desired and providing good power dissipation, yielding a promising exhaust option for the stellarator reactor line.

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training program under Grant Agreement No. 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

- [1] T. Petrie et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 196-198, 848 (1992).
- [2] K. Lackner and R. Schneider, Fusion Eng. Des. 22, 107 (1993).

- [3] O. Gruber et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4217 (1995).
- [4] G. Matthews, J. Nucl. Mater. 220-222, 104 (1995).
- [5] B. Lipschultz et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 220-222, 50 (1995).
- [6] A. Kallenbach et al., Nucl. Fusion 55, 053026 (2015).
- [7] Y. Feng, C. D. Beidler, J. Geiger, P. Helander, H. Hölbe, H. Maassberg, Y. Turkin, and D. Reiter, Nucl. Fusion 56, 126011 (2016).
- [8] M. Bernert et al., Nucl. Mater. Energy 12, 111 (2017).
- [9] F. Karger and K. Lackner, Phys. Lett. A 61, 385 (1977).
- [10] T. Evans et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 145-147, 812 (1987).
- [11] T. Evans et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 162-164, 636 (1989).
- [12] H. Renner, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 31, 1579 (1989).
- [13] C. Beidler et al., Fusion Technol. 17, 148 (1990).
- [14] H.-S. Bosch et al., Nucl. Fusion 53, 126001 (2013).
- [15] P. Grigull *et al.*, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion **43**, A175 (2001).
- [16] K. McCormick, P. Grigull, R. Burhenn, R. Brakel, H. Ehmler, Y. Feng, F. Gadelmeier, L. Giannone, D. Hildebrandt, M. Hirsch *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 015001 (2002).
- [17] L. Giannone, R. Burhenn, K. McCormick, R. Brakel, Y. Feng, P. Grigull, and Y. Igitkhanov (NBI Team, ECRH Team, and the W7-AS Team), Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 44, 2149 (2002).
- [18] M. Hirsch *et al.*, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion **50**, 053001 (2008).
- [19] J. Nührenberg, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 52, 124003 (2010).
- [20] R. C. Wolf, C. D. Beidler, R. Burhenn, J. Geiger, M. Hirsch, J. Kisslinger, H. Maaßberg, A. Weller, and A. Werner, Plasma Fusion Res. 5, S1011 (2010).
- [21] T. Klinger et al., Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 59, 014018 (2017).

- [22] T. Sunn Pedersen et al., Phys. Plasmas 24, 055503 (2017).
- [23] A. Dinklage et al., Nat. Phys. 14, 855 (2018).
- [24] Y. Feng, F. Sardei, P. Grigull, K. McCormick, J. Kisslinger, and D. Reiter, Nucl. Fusion 46, 807 (2006).
- [25] D. Zhang, R. Burhenn, R. Knig, L. Giannone, P. Grodzki et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 81, 10E134 (2010).
- [26] Y. Feng, F. Sardei, J. Kisslinger, P. Grigull, K. McCormick, and D. Reiter, Contrib. Plasma Phys. 44, 57 (2004).
- [27] M. Krychowiak *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **87**, 11D304 (2016).
- [28] K. Rahbarnia, H. Thomsen, and U. Neuner *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **58**, 096010 (2018).
- [29] M. Jakubowski et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 89, 10E116 (2018).
- [30] J. Svensson and A. Werner, in Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE International Symposium on Intelligent Signal Processing (IEEE, New York, 2007), pp. 1–6.
- [31] N. Ohyabu, T. Morisaki, S. Masuzaki, R. Sakamoto, M. Kobayashi, J. Miyazawa, M. Shoji, A. Komori, and O. Motojima (LHD Experimental Group), Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 055002 (2006).
- [32] T. Morisaki et al., Phys. Plasmas 14, 056113 (2007).
- [33] C. E. Rasmussen and C. K. I. Williams, *Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning* (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2006).
- [34] J. Svensson, JET Internal Report, Technical Report No. EFDA-JET-PR (11) 24, 2011.
- [35] U. Samm, P. Bogen, H. A. Claassen, H. Gerhauser, H. Hartwig, E. Hintz, Y. T. Lie, A. Pospieszczyk, D. Rusbüldt, and B. Schweer, J. Nucl. Mater. **176–177**, 273 (1990).

*Correction:* The surname of the twenty-eighth author appeared incorrectly due to a database error and has been fixed.