## Theory of Phonon-Mediated Superconductivity in Twisted Bilayer Graphene

Fengcheng Wu,<sup>1,2</sup> A. H. MacDonald,<sup>3</sup> and Ivar Martin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

<sup>2</sup>Condensed Matter Theory Center and Joint Quantum Institute, Department of Physics, University of Maryland,

College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, USA

(Received 21 May 2018; published 17 December 2018)

We present a theory of phonon-mediated superconductivity in near magic angle twisted bilayer graphene. Using a microscopic model for phonon coupling to moiré band electrons, we find that phonons generate attractive interactions in both *s*- and *d*-wave pairing channels and that the attraction is strong enough to explain the experimental superconducting transition temperatures. Before including Coulomb repulsion, the *s*-wave channel is more favorable; however, on-site Coulomb repulsion can suppress *s*-wave pairing relative to *d* wave. The pair amplitude varies spatially with the moiré period, and is identical in the two layers in the *s*-wave channel but phase shifted by  $\pi$  in the *d*-wave channel. We discuss experiments that can distinguish the two pairing states.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.257001

Introduction.-Long-period moiré superlattices form whenever two-dimensional crystals are overlaid with a small difference in lattice constant or orientation and have recently been employed to alter the electronic [1-6] and excitonic [7–9] properties of two-dimensional materials. One particularly exciting development is the discovery of interaction-induced insulating states accompanied by nearby lobes of superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene [10,11]. Both states occur only when the twist angle between layers is close to the largest magic angle [12] at which the low-energy moiré bands [12–15] are nearly flat. The superconducting pairing mechanism of twisted bilayer graphene has not yet been established, and a variety of possibilities are currently being explored [16–29], including routes towards electron-electron interaction-mediated superconductivity, and also a phenomenological mean-field theory [23] for s-wave pairing. In this Letter we present a microscopic theory of magic angle superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene in which the attractive interaction is mediated by the phonon modes of the individual two-dimensional graphene sheets. We find that phonons generate attraction in both s- and d-wave channels. In combination with the greatly enhanced density of states of the flatbands, the attraction in both channels is strong enough to account for the superconducting transition temperatures observed experimentally. The competition between s- and d-wave channels depends on Coulomb repulsive interaction. We discuss experimental signatures that could be used to distinguish these two pairing states.

*Moiré bands.*—At small twist angles the single-particle physics of twisted bilayer graphene can be described using a continuum moiré Hamiltonian, in which the atomic-scale

commensurability plays no role. To construct the twisted bilayer, we start from AA stacked bilayer graphene, and then rotate the bottom and top layers by angles  $-\theta/2$  and  $+\theta/2$  around one of the hexagonal plaquette centers. We choose the origin of coordinates to be on this rotation axis and halfway between layers. With respect to this origin, the point group symmetry is  $D_6$ , which is generated by a sixfold rotation  $\hat{C}_6$  around the  $\hat{z}$  axis and twofold rotations  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_x$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_y$ , respectively, around the  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$  axes. The operations  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{x,y}$  swap the two layers. Because spin-orbit interactions are negligible in graphene [30,31], electrons also have accurate spin SU(2) symmetry and spinless timereversal symmetry  $\hat{T}$ .

At small twist angles the bilayer moiré pattern, illustrated in Fig. 1(a), is anchored by a triangular lattice of regions with local AA interlayer coordination that has lattice constant  $a_M = a_0/[2\sin(\theta/2)]$ , where  $a_0$  is the lattice constant of monolayer graphene. Local AB and BA coordination then occurs at the corners of the moiré Wigner-Seitz cell. Our theory of phonon-mediated pairing is based on the continuum moiré Hamiltonian for lowenergy electrons [12], which is spin independent and is given in valley +K by

$$\mathcal{H}_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{\mathfrak{b}}(\boldsymbol{k}) & T(\boldsymbol{r}) \\ T^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) & h_{\mathfrak{t}}(\boldsymbol{k}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1)

Here  $h_{b,t}$  are the isolated Dirac Hamiltonians of the twisted bottom (b) and top (t) layers:

$$h_{\ell}(\mathbf{k}) = e^{-i\ell(\theta/4)\sigma_{z}} [\hbar v_{F}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{\kappa}_{\ell}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}] e^{+i\ell(\theta/4)\sigma_{z}}, \quad (2)$$



FIG. 1. (a) Real- and (b) momentum-space structure of twisted bilayer graphene. Low-energy electrons are located in  $\pm K$  valleys. (c) Moiré bands in +K valley along high-symmetry lines at a twist close to the first magic angle. The spectra along  $\nu\gamma$  and  $\bar{\nu}\gamma$  lines are different, reflecting the absence of time-reversal symmetry within one valley.

where  $\ell$  is +1 (-1) for the b (t) layer,  $v_F$  is the bare Dirac velocity(~10<sup>6</sup> m/s), and  $\sigma$  are Pauli matrices that act in the sublattice space. Because of the rotation, the Dirac cone position in layer  $\ell$  is shifted to  $\kappa_{\ell}$ . We choose a moiré Brillouin zone (MBZ) in which the  $\kappa_{\ell}$  is located at the corners, and refer to the MBZ center below as the  $\gamma$  point. The sublattice-dependent interlayer tunneling terms vary periodically with the real-space position r:

$$T(\mathbf{r}) = w[T_0 + e^{-i\mathbf{b}_+ \cdot \mathbf{r}} T_{+1} + e^{-i\mathbf{b}_- \cdot \mathbf{r}} T_{-1}], \qquad (3)$$

where  $w \approx 118 \text{ meV}$  [32] and  $T_j = \sigma_0 + \cos(2\pi j/3)\sigma_x + \sin(2\pi j/3)\sigma_y$ . We use **b** to denote moiré reciprocal lattice vectors, and  $\mathbf{b}_{\pm} = [4\pi/(\sqrt{3}a_M)](\pm 1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$ .

Figure 1(c) illustrates the +K-valley moiré band structure at a rotation angle that is close to the largest magic angle. The combined symmetry  $\hat{C}_2 \hat{\mathcal{T}}$  implies that the Berry curvature of the moiré bands is identically zero, and protects the Dirac cone band touching, and the  $\hat{C}_3$  symmetry pins the Dirac cones to the MBZ corners  $\kappa_{\ell}$ . Here  $\hat{C}_2$  $(\hat{C}_3)$  is a twofold (threefold) rotation around the  $\hat{z}$  axis. The  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_x$  operation maps  $\kappa_+$  to  $\kappa_-$ , and therefore enforces the energy spectra at  $\kappa_+$  to be identical. The absence of timereversal symmetry in the single valley Hamiltonian implies that  $\varepsilon_{\tau}(q) \neq \varepsilon_{\tau}(-q)$ , where  $\tau = \pm$  labels valley and q is momentum relative to the  $\gamma$  point. Microscopic timereversal invariance instead implies that  $\varepsilon_{\tau}(q) = \varepsilon_{-\tau}(-q)$ . This feature in the single-particle band structure suggests that intravalley electron pairing is not energetically favorable. We therefore consider only intervalley pairing in the following.

The velocity of the Dirac cones at  $\kappa_{\pm}$  varies systematically with twist angle, decreasing from near  $v_F$  at large twist angles and crossing through zero at a series of magic twist angles. Near magic angle, the moiré band is nearly flat through much of the MBZ, leading to a greatly enhanced density of states and opening the way to interaction driven phase transitions. For our choice of parameters, the largest magic angle is 1° if defined by vanishing Dirac velocity, while flatbands with the narrowest bandwidth ( $\sim 3 \text{ meV}$ ) occur at about 1.05°.

Phonon mechanism.--We first study phonon-mediated pairing and then address effects of Coulomb repulsion in the discussion below. We have considered a variety of phonon modes as discussed in the Supplemental Material [33], and found that in-plane optical phonon modes associated with each graphene layer have a particularly strong effect on the pairing. These modes yield weak phonon-mediated interlayer interactions [37], which we will neglect. With this simplification we can follow the monolayer analysis in Ref. [38], which identifies four inplane phonon modes that couple strongly to low-energy electrons: (i) the doubly degenerate  $E_2$  modes in the vicinity of the  $\Gamma$  point and (ii) the  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  modes, which are combinations of phonon modes near  $\pm K$ . The atomic displacements associated with the four modes are illustrated in Fig. 2. The  $\Gamma$  and  $\pm K$  point phonon modes lead respectively to intra- and intervalley scattering. The isolated layer electron-phonon coupling Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\text{EPC}} = \int d^2 \boldsymbol{r} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \{ F_{E_2}[\hat{u}_x(\boldsymbol{r})\tau_z \sigma_y - \hat{u}_y(\boldsymbol{r})\sigma_x] + F_{A_1}[\hat{u}_a(\boldsymbol{r})\tau_x \sigma_x + \hat{u}_b(\boldsymbol{r})\tau_y \sigma_x] \} \hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{r}), \qquad (4)$$

where  $\hat{u}_{x,y}$  and  $\hat{u}_{a,b}$  are the normal mode coordinates of the two  $E_2$  modes, and of the  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  modes, respectively,  $\tau_{x,y,z}$  are Pauli matrices in valley space, and the operator  $\hat{\psi}$  is a spinor in sublattice-valley space,  $(\hat{\psi}_{+A}, \hat{\psi}_{+B}, \hat{\psi}_{-A}, \hat{\psi}_{-B})^T$ . (The subscripts  $\pm$  refer to  $\pm K$ 



FIG. 2. Illustration of the atomic displacements in the four phonon modes. Each blue dot represents a carbon atom.

valleys, *A* and *B* label sublattices, and the layer and spin indices are hidden.) In a nearest-neighbor tight-binding model, the coupling constants  $F_{E_2} = F_{A_1} = (3/\sqrt{2})$   $(\partial t_0/\partial a_{CC})$ , where  $t_0$  and  $a_{CC}$  are, respectively, the nearest-neighbor hopping parameter and distance. When quantized, the  $\hat{u}_{\alpha}$  can be expressed in terms of phonon creation and annihilation operators:

$$\hat{u}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2NM\omega_{\alpha}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} [a_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) + a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{q})], \quad (5)$$

where *N* is the number of *A* sites in a monolayer, *M* is the mass of a single carbon atom, and  $\omega_{\alpha}$  is the frequency of phonon mode  $\alpha$ . We neglect the momentum dependence of  $\omega_{\alpha}$  below because only phonons that are close to either  $\Gamma$  or  $\pm K$  points are important for low-energy electrons;  $\hbar\omega_{E_2}$  and  $\hbar\omega_{A_1}$  are, respectively, 0.196 and 0.17 eV in monolayer graphene [38]. Integrating out the phonon modes and neglecting retardation effects because of the high phonon frequencies, we obtain the following phonon-mediated interaction Hamiltonian:

$$H_{\text{att}} = -\int d^2 \boldsymbol{r} \{ g_{E_2} [(\hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \tau_z \sigma_y \hat{\psi})^2 + (\hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \sigma_x \hat{\psi})^2] \\ + g_{A_1} [(\hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \tau_x \sigma_x \hat{\psi})^2 + (\hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \tau_y \sigma_x \hat{\psi})^2] \}.$$
(6)

Here the operators  $\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}$  and  $\hat{\psi}$  are understood to be at the same coarse-grained position r, and the attractive interaction strength  $g_{\alpha}$  parameters are given by

$$g_{\alpha} = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{N} \left( \frac{F_{\alpha}}{\hbar \omega_{\alpha}} \right)^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{2M},\tag{7}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the sample area. We estimate  $g_{E_2}$  and  $g_{A_1}$  to be about 52 and 69 meV nm<sup>2</sup>, respectively [33].

To study the Cooper pairing instability, we restrict the interaction in Eq. (6) to the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) channel that pairs electrons from opposite valleys:

$$H_{\text{BCS}} = -4 \int d^2 \boldsymbol{r} \{ g_{E_2} [ \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{+As} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{-As'} \hat{\psi}_{-Bs'} \hat{\psi}_{+Bs} + \text{H.c.} ] + g_{A_1} [ \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{+As} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{-As'} \hat{\psi}_{+Bs'} \hat{\psi}_{-Bs} + \text{H.c.} ] + g_{A_1} [ \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{+As} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{-Bs'} \hat{\psi}_{+As'} \hat{\psi}_{-Bs} + (A \leftrightarrow B) ] \},$$
(8)

where *s* and *s'* are spin indices. In  $H_{BCS}$ , there are two distinct spin-singlet pairing channels: (i) intrasublattice pairing, e.g.,  $\epsilon_{ss'}\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{+As}\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{-As'}$ , and (ii) intersublattice pairing, e.g.,  $\epsilon_{ss'}\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{+As}\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{-Bs'}$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the fully antisymmetric tensor with  $\epsilon_{\uparrow\downarrow} = 1$ . Only the  $\pm K$  phonons contribute to intersublattice pairing, which has *d*-wave symmetry because electrons at different sublattices and opposite valleys share the *same* angular momentum under the

threefold rotation  $\hat{C}_3 \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{C}_3^{-1} = \exp[i2\pi\sigma_z \tau_z/3] \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{R}_3 \mathbf{r})$ . Intersublattice Cooper pairs therefore carry a finite angular momentum.

*s-wave pairing.*—In the *s*-wave intrasublattice channel, the local pairing amplitude,

$$\Delta_{\ell}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \langle \hat{\psi}_{-\sigma\ell\downarrow}(\boldsymbol{r}) \hat{\psi}_{+\sigma\ell\uparrow}(\boldsymbol{r}) \rangle = -\langle \hat{\psi}_{-\sigma\ell\uparrow}(\boldsymbol{r}) \hat{\psi}_{+\sigma\ell\downarrow}(\boldsymbol{r}) \rangle,$$
(9)

is sublattice ( $\sigma$ ) independent by symmetry, but we allow a layer ( $\ell$ ) dependence. We solve the linearized gap equation by assuming that the pair amplitude has moiré periodicity and can therefore be expanded in the form  $\Delta_{\ell}^{(s)}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} e^{i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \Delta_{\mathbf{b},\ell}^{(s)}$ . It follows that

$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{b},\ell}^{(s)} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{b}'\ell'} \chi_{\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{b}'}^{\ell\ell'} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{b}',\ell'}^{(s)},$$
  

$$\chi_{\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{b}'}^{\ell\ell'} = \frac{2g_0}{\mathcal{A}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{q},n_1,n_2} \left( \frac{1 - n_F[\varepsilon_{n_1}(\boldsymbol{q})] - n_F[\varepsilon_{n_2}(\boldsymbol{q})]}{\varepsilon_{n_1}(\boldsymbol{q}) + \varepsilon_{n_2}(\boldsymbol{q}) - 2\mu} \times [\langle u_{n_1}(\boldsymbol{q}) | u_{n_2}(\boldsymbol{q}) \rangle_{\boldsymbol{b},\ell}]^* \langle u_{n_1}(\boldsymbol{q}) | u_{n_2}(\boldsymbol{q}) \rangle_{\boldsymbol{b}',\ell'} \right), \quad (10)$$

where  $\chi$  is the pair susceptibility,  $g_0 = g_{E_2} + g_{A_1}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{q}$  is a momentum within the MBZ,  $n_{1,2}$  are moiré band labels in the +K valley,  $\varepsilon_n$  and  $|u_n\rangle$  are the corresponding energies and wave functions,  $n_F(\varepsilon)$  is the Fermi-Dirac occupation function, and  $\mu$  is the chemical potential. The overlap function  $\langle \cdots \rangle_{\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{\ell}}$  is the layer-resolved matrix element of the plane-wave operator  $\exp(i\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{r})$ . Note that  $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$  symmetry has been employed to simplify Eq. (10).

The critical temperature  $T_c$  is reached when the largest eigenvalue of  $\chi$  is equal to 1. In Fig. 3(a) we illustrate  $T_c$  calculated in this way for  $\theta = 1.05^\circ$ , including momenta **b** 



FIG. 3. (a) Critical temperature  $T_c$  in *s* wave (blue lines) and *d* wave channels (green lines) as a function of chemical potential (upper panel), and the DOS per spin and per valley as a function of energy (lower panel) for the twist angle 1.05°. The vertical dashed lines indicate the chemical potential at which the upper or lower flatband is half filled. (b)  $T_c$  in *s* wave (upper panel) and *d* wave (lower panels) channels as a function of reduced attractive interaction strength for  $\theta = 1.05^\circ$  and  $\mu = -0.3$  meV (half filling of the lower flatband).

up to the third moiré reciprocal lattice vector shell. The relatively large  $T_c$  values, which exceed 10 K near the magic angle, can be understood by examining the uniform susceptibility, which has the standard  $g_0 \int d\varepsilon D(\varepsilon) [1 - \varepsilon]$  $2n_F(\varepsilon)]/[2(\varepsilon-\mu)]$  form, where  $D(\varepsilon)$  is the density of states per spin and per valley. Because the DOS can reach values of order 10 eV<sup>-1</sup> nm<sup>-2</sup>, as illustrated in Fig. 3, the coupling constant  $g_0 D(\mu)$  can be of order 1, corresponding to strong-coupling superconductivity. Since the higherenergy bands have much smaller DOS, we have retained only the two flatbands in evaluating Eq. (10). The eigenvector of  $\chi$  with the largest eigenvalue specifies the spatial and layer dependence of the pair amplitude. For s-wave pairing, the pair amplitude is layer independent and concentrated near AA regions in the moiré pattern, as illustrated Fig. 4(a). The spatial variation of  $\Delta^{(s)}$  follows the electron density distribution in the normal state of the flatbands.  $\Delta^{(s)}$  transforms trivially under all the point-group symmetries, confirming that intrasublattice pairing is s wave.

*d*-wave pairing.—In the intersublattice channel, the pair amplitudes  $\epsilon_{ss'} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{+As} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{-Bs'}$  and  $\epsilon_{ss'} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{+Bs} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{-As'}$  carry opposite angular momenta corresponding to chiral d-wave pairing channels, which we refer to as  $d_{\perp}$  and  $d_{-}$ , respectively. At the atomic scale, chiral *d*-wave pairing is realized by forming nearest-neighbor spin-singlet Cooper pairs with bond-dependent phase factors, as illustrated in Fig. 4(b). Because of  $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$  symmetry, both channels have the same  $T_c$ and we therefore focus on  $d_+$  pairing, which has the same susceptibility as in Eq. (10) except that (i)  $g_0$  is replaced by  $2g_{A_1}$  and (ii) the overlap functions are replaced by  $\langle u_{n_1}(\boldsymbol{q}) | \sigma_+ | u_{n_2}(\boldsymbol{q}) \rangle_{\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \text{ where } \sigma_+ = (\sigma_x + i\sigma_y)/2.$  The operator  $\sigma_+$  is closely related to the velocity operator  $\hat{v}_x + i\hat{v}_y$ , where  $\hbar \hat{v} = \partial \mathcal{H}_+ / \partial k$ . Near the magic angle, the velocity of the flatbands is strongly suppressed, but the layer counterflow velocity remains large [12]. As a result, we find that the leading *d*-wave instability has pair



FIG. 4. Real-space map of pair amplitudes  $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$  that satisfy (a) *s*-wave and (b) *d*-wave linearized gap equations for  $\theta = 1.05^{\circ}$ and  $\mu = -0.3$  meV.  $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$  is peaked in the *AA* regions in both cases. The inset in (b) illustrates  $d_+$  pairing at the atomic scale, where electrons in the same layer but on different sublattices are paired with the indicated bond-dependent phase factors.

amplitudes of opposite signs in the two layers:  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{b}}^{(d)}(\mathbf{r}) = -\Delta_{\mathfrak{t}}^{(d)}(\mathbf{r})$ , where  $\Delta_{\ell}^{(d)} = \langle \hat{\psi}_{-B\ell\downarrow} \hat{\psi}_{+A\ell\uparrow} \rangle$ . The highest  $T_c$  in the *d*-wave channel at  $\theta = 1.05^{\circ}$  is about 3 K, as shown in Fig. 3(a). The spatial variation of the pair amplitude, illustrated in Fig. 4(b), is similar to the *s*-wave case. We note that  $\Delta_{\ell}^{(d)}(\mathbf{r})$  describes the center-of-mass motion of the Cooper pairs, while the relative motion of the two paired electrons has *d*-wave symmetry.

Discussion.-Experimentally, magic angle twisted bilayer graphene exhibits superconducting states when the lower-energy flatband is near half filled, but not at neutrality ( $\mu = 0$ ) or when the upper flatband is partially occupied [11]. The highest experimental  $T_c$  is ~1.7 K. It is not yet clear whether or not this stark particle-hole asymmetry, which is absent in our results, is intrinsic or due to an extrinsic disorder effect. We note that the moiré band structure does have intrinsic particle-hole asymmetry, as evident in Fig. 1(c), and the asymmetry can be sensitive to the exact model parameters. Even discounting the particle-hole asymmetry, differences remain between experimental and theoretical  $T_c(\mu)$  trends in Fig. 3, particularly in connection with the experimental absence of superconductivity at neutrality. Adding a Coulomb contribution to electron-electron interactions weakens the attractive interaction and makes the  $T_c$  calculation more like the standard weak-coupling case in which only  $q_0 D(\mu)$ is relevant, and could explain the absence of superconductivity at neutrality where  $D(\mu)$  has a minimum and often vanishes, as shown in Fig. 3(a).

We account for the Coulomb repulsion using a phenomenological model with only the atomic-scale on-site  $(U_0)$ and nearest-neighbor  $(U_1)$  repulsion on the honeycomb lattice of each graphene layer.  $U_0$  and  $U_1$ , respectively, suppress s- and d-wave pairings [33], and the corresponding attractive interaction strengths in the gap equation are reduced to  $\tilde{g}_0 = g_0 - U_0 A_0/2$  and  $\tilde{g}_{A_1} = g_{A_1} - 3U_1 A_0/4$ , where  $A_0 = \sqrt{3}a_0^2/2$ . We have calculated  $T_c$  as a function of  $\tilde{g}_0$  and  $\tilde{g}_{A_1}$ , respectively, for the two channels [Fig. 3(b)]. If  $T_c$  in the s-wave channel is fit to the experimental value 1.7 K for a half filled lower flatband at  $\theta = 1.05^{\circ}$ , then  $U_0$ is about 3.7 eV. Similarly,  $U_1$  is about 0.5 eV if the d wave has  $T_c \sim 1.7$  K. Depending on the exact values of  $U_{0.1}$ , either channel can be the leading superconductivity instability. We note that the on-site repulsion  $U_0$  can drive correlated insulating states for integer number of electrons or holes per moiré cell, which has been studied for the charge neutral case [39]. Experimentally, the interactioninduced insulating states at half filling of the lower or upper flatband have a tiny gap of about 0.3 meV [10,11]. This tiny gap is a possible indication that the Coulomb repulsion is strongly screened, which can be due to the enhanced DOS in the moiré bands, the dielectric encapsulation (hexagonal boron nitride), and the nearby metallic gate that is only 10-30 nm away from the twisted bilayer [10,11]. The screening effects require further study, while the phonon-mediated attraction combined with the local repulsion can provide a minimal model to study the competition between the superconducting and the correlated insulating states. Our theory should be taken as a step toward a full quantitative theory of twisted bilayer graphene.

If d wave is the leading instability, the  $d_{\pm}$  pair amplitudes have identical  $T_c$ , and the corresponding superconducting state then has a two-component order parameter that can lead to either chiral or nematic superconductivity. The chiral state is more favored in mean-field theory because it is fully gapped, whereas nematic pairing with spontaneous rotational symmetry breaking results in point nodes. In a follow-up work, we will report that the chiral d-wave state is topological and carries spontaneous bulk supercurrent.

The *s*- and *d*-wave pairings with distinct in-plane phase structures can be distinguished by phase-sensitive experiments [40]. In addition, a peculiar feature of the proposed *d*-wave superconductivity is that the order parameter has opposite signs on the top and bottom graphene layers, which could also be tested by phase-sensitive measurement. The chiral *d*-wave state spontaneously breaks time-reversal symmetry, which can be examined by Kerr effect and magnetization measurement. Moreover, application of uniaxial strain, which tunes the competition between nematic and chiral *d*-wave states, in conjunction with upper critical field or critical current measurements could be used to differentiate *s*- and *d*-wave pairings.

Our theory sets up a general framework to study superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene, and can be used to predict the response of superconductivity to various perturbations, such as electric displacement field, magnetic field, pressure, and disorder, which can be compared with coming experimental results.

We thank M. Norman for valuable discussions. Work at Argonne National Laboratory was supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Science, Materials Science and Engineering Division. F. W. was also supported by Laboratory for Physical Sciences. A. H. M. was supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Grant No. DE-FG02-02ER45958 and by the Welch foundation under Grant No. TBF1473.

*Note added.*—A new experimental work has recently been posted [41], which demonstrates the tunability of the superconductivity by pressure and also reports the appearance of superconductivity in partially filled upper flatbands.

- J. M. B. L. dos Santos, N. M. R. Peres, and A. H. C. Neto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 256802 (2007).
- [2] B. Hunt, J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, A. F. Young, M. Yankowitz, B. J. LeRoy, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P.

Moon, M. Koshino, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and R. C. Ashoori, Science **340**, 1427 (2013).

- [3] C. R. Dean, L. Wang, P. Maher, C. Forsythe, F. Ghahari, Y. Gao, J. Katoch, M. Ishigami, P. Moon, M. Koshino, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, K. L. Shepard, J. Hone, and P. Kim, Nature (London) 497, 598 (2013).
- [4] L. Wang, Y. Gao, B. Wen, Z. Han, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, M. Koshino, J. Hone, and C. R. Dean, Science 350, 1231 (2015).
- [5] K. Kim, A. DaSilva, S. Huang, B. Fallahazad, S. Larentis, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, B. J. LeRoy, A. H. MacDonald, and E. Tutuc, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **114**, 3364 (2017).
- [6] G. Chen, L. Jiang, S. Wu, B. Lv, H. Li, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, Z. Shi, Y. Zhang, and F. Wang, arXiv:1803 .01985.
- [7] F. Wu, T. Lovorn, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 147401 (2017).
- [8] F. Wu, T. Lovorn, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B 97, 035306 (2018).
- [9] H. Yu, G.-B. Liu, J. Tang, X. Xu, and W. Yao, Sci. Adv. 3, e1701696 (2017).
- [10] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, A. Demir, S. Fang, S. L. Tomarken, J. Y. Luo, J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, R. C. Ashoori, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Nature (London) 556, 80 (2018).
- [11] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Nature (London) 556, 43 (2018).
- [12] R. Bistritzer and A. H. MacDonald, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108, 12233 (2011).
- [13] E. S. Morell, J. D. Correa, P. Vargas, M. Pacheco, and Z. Barticevic, Phys. Rev. B 82, 121407 (2010).
- [14] G. T. de Laissardière, D. Mayou, and L. Magaud, Phys. Rev. B 86, 125413 (2012).
- [15] S. Fang and E. Kaxiras, Phys. Rev. B 93, 235153 (2016).
- [16] C. Xu and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 087001 (2018).
- [17] N.F.Q. Yuan and L. Fu, Phys. Rev. B 98, 045103 (2018).
- [18] H. C. Po, L. Zou, A. Vishwanath, and T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031089 (2018).
- [19] G. Baskaran, arXiv:1804.00627.
- [20] B. Padhi, C. Setty, and P. W. Phillips, Nano Lett. 18, 6175 (2018).
- [21] J. F. Dodaro, S. A. Kivelson, Y. Schattner, X. Q. Sun, and C. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 98, 075154 (2018).
- [22] C.-C. Liu, L.-D. Zhang, W.-Q. Chen, and F. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 217001 (2018).
- [23] T. J. Peltonen, R. Ojajärvi, and T. T. Heikkilä, arXiv:1805 .01039.
- [24] J. Kang and O. Vafek, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031088 (2018).
- [25] L. Rademaker and P. Mellado, arXiv:1805.05294.
- [26] D. M. Kennes, J. Lischner, and C. Karrasch, arXiv:1805 .06310.
- [27] H. Isobe, N. F. Q. Yuan, and L. Fu, arXiv:1805.06449 [Phys. Rev. X (to be published)].
- [28] M. Koshino, N. F. Q. Yuan, T. Koretsune, M. Ochi, K. Kuroki, and L. Fu, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031087 (2018).
- [29] Y.-Z. You and A. Vishwanath, arXiv:1805.06867.
- [30] D. Huertas-Hernando, F. Guinea, and A. Brataas, Phys. Rev. B 74, 155426 (2006).

- [31] H. Min, J. E. Hill, N. A. Sinitsyn, B. R. Sahu, L. Kleinman, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B 74, 165310 (2006).
- [32] J. Jung, A. Raoux, Z. Qiao, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B 89, 205414 (2014).
- [33] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.257001 for additional discussion on the electron-phonon coupling, the Coulomb repulsion effects, the twist angle dependence of the critical temperature, and the coherence length, which includes Refs. [34–36].
- [34] R. M. Ribeiro, V. M. Pereira, N. M. R. Peres, P. R. Briddon, and A. H. C. Neto, New J. Phys. 11, 115002 (2009).
- [35] B. Roy, J. D. Sau, and S. D. Sarma, Phys. Rev. B 89, 165119 (2014).

- [36] T. O. Wehling, E. Şaşıoğlu, C. Friedrich, A. I. Lichtenstein, M. I. Katsnelson, and S. Blügel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 236805 (2011).
- [37] J.-A. Yan, W. Y. Ruan, and M. Y. Chou, Phys. Rev. B 77, 125401 (2008).
- [38] D. M. Basko and I. L. Aleiner, Phys. Rev. B 77, 041409 (2008).
- [39] L. A. Gonzalez-Arraga, J. L. Lado, F. Guinea, and P. San-Jose, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 107201 (2017).
- [40] D. J. Van Harlingen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 67, 515 (1995).
- [41] M. Yankowitz, S. Chen, H. Polshyn, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, D. Graf, A. F. Young, and C. R. Dean,arXiv: 1808.07865.