Direct Frequency-Comb-Driven Raman Transitions in the Terahertz Range

C. Solaro[,*](#page-4-0) S. Meyer, K. Fisher, M. V. DePalatis, and M. Drewsen[†](#page-4-1)

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Aarhus University, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

(Received 19 December 2017; published 19 June 2018)

We demonstrate the use of a femtosecond frequency comb to coherently drive stimulated Raman transitions between terahertz-spaced atomic energy levels. More specifically, we address the $3d²D_{3/2}$ and $3d²D_{5/2}$ fine structure levels of a single trapped ⁴⁰Ca⁺ ion and spectroscopically resolve the transition frequency to be $\nu_D = 1,819,599,021,534 \pm 8$ Hz. The achieved accuracy is nearly a factor of five better
than the previous best Parma spectroscopy, and is currently limited by the stability of our atomic clock than the previous best Raman spectroscopy, and is currently limited by the stability of our atomic clock reference. Furthermore, the population dynamics of frequency-comb-driven Raman transitions can be fully predicted from the spectral properties of the frequency comb, and Rabi oscillations with a contrast of $99.3(6)\%$ and millisecond coherence time have been achieved. Importantly, the technique can be easily generalized to transitions in the sub-kHz to tens of THz range and should be applicable for driving, e.g., spin-resolved rovibrational transitions in molecules and hyperfine transitions in highly charged ions.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.253601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.253601)

It is difficult to overestimate the impact of femtosecond frequency combs [\[1\]](#page-4-2) on optical frequency metrology [\[2,3\]](#page-4-3) as well as on high resolution spectroscopy [\[4\]](#page-4-4). Such combs can be used to directly induce transitions not only in the visible spectrum for high precision measurements [\[5,6\],](#page-4-5) but also in regions not easily accessible with cw lasers, for spectroscopy (e.g., in the deep-ultraviolet [\[7\]](#page-4-6)) as well as for cooling and trapping of exotic atomic species [\[8,9\]](#page-4-7). More notably, their large bandwidth and tunability allow one to address multiple transitions, making the optical frequency comb a promising tool for manipulating complex multilevel quantum systems. In this context, frequency combs have already been applied to the cooling of [\[10,11\]](#page-4-8) and proposed for the quantum control of [\[12,13\]](#page-4-9) molecular rovibrational states. In particular, deterministic and coherent manipulation of pure rotational states in molecules could also be achieved by driving stimulated Raman transitions with femtosecond frequency combs, hence exploiting their high tunability in the terahertz (THz) range [\[14,15\]](#page-4-10). Previously, pulse trains [\[16\]](#page-4-11) or mode-locked picosecond lasers [\[17\]](#page-4-12) have been applied in the MHz to the few GHz range in atomic ensembles, and more recently, Hayes et al. [\[18\]](#page-4-13) used a picosecond frequency comb to coherently manipulate hyperfine levels separated by ∼12.6 GHz in trapped and laser-cooled $171Yb⁺$ ions.

In this Letter, we report on expanding the comb technique to the THz range by using a femtosecond frequency comb to drive stimulated Raman transitions between the $3d²D_{3/2}$ and $3d^2D_{5/2}$ fine structure states of a single trapped ${}^{40}Ca^+$ ion. Precise control of the mode-locked laser's repetition rate ω_r allows us to drive transitions between selected Zeeman sublevels. Whenever a harmonic of the repetition rate is equal to the energy splitting $(q \times \omega_r = \omega_0)$, and if the comb's spectral bandwidth $\delta \omega_{fs}$ is on the order of ω_0 , all frequency components of the comb contribute to drive the Raman transition. Interestingly, if $\delta \omega_{fs} \gg \omega_0$, each comb tooth contributes twice, and the resulting Raman transition rate is twice that of two phase-locked cw lasers with identical

FIG. 1. Schematic of the experimental setup and the relevant electronic levels of the $Ca⁺$ ion. The 397 nm beam, propagating along the $\left(1/\sqrt{2}\right)\left(\hat{y} + \hat{z}\right)$ direction, is π polarized with respect to the automal magnetic field \vec{p} . The 866, 854, and 720, am the external magnetic field \vec{B}_{ext} . The 866, 854, and 729 nm beams, propagating along \hat{z} , have a linear polarization rotated by 45° with respect to \vec{B}_{ext} . The ac-Stark-shift laser beam, propagating along \hat{y} , has a linear polarization rotated to maximize the ac-Stark shift on the selected Zeeman sublevels of the $D_{3/2}$ state. The frequency comb beam propagates along \hat{z} with a well-defined linear polarization \vec{E}_{comb} set by a rotatable Glan-Taylor polarizer.

and combined intensity equal to the comb intensity [\[19\]](#page-4-14). Since this process relies only on the frequency difference between Raman pairs, the carrier envelope offset frequency does not need to be locked. However, because the effective comb's linewidth is proportional to q , expanding the technique from GHz to THz transitions requires better stabilization of the repetition rate. In addition, nonlinear dispersion, which increases with the comb's spectral bandwidth, can undermine the phase relation between pairs of comb teeth and hence influence the effective Raman coupling strength.

An overview of our experimental setup is presented in Fig. [1](#page-0-0). We use a linear Paul trap, detailed in Ref. [\[21\]](#page-4-15), consisting of four rods, each sectioned into three electrodes. By applying suitable ac and dc voltages, an effective 3D harmonic confining potential is created with axial and radial frequencies of $\{\omega_z, \omega_x\} = 2\pi \times \{509, 730\}$ kHz, respectively. A single ${}^{40}Ca^+$ ion is loaded into the trap via isotope-selective photoionization of atoms in a neutral calcium beam [\[22,23\].](#page-4-16) An external magnetic field of $6.500(3)$ G along the x direction lifts the Zeeman degeneracy of the involved electronic energy levels by a few MHz, defining a natural quantization axis. An experimental cycle starts with Doppler cooling on the $S_{1/2} \leftrightarrow P_{1/2}$ transition, carried out using a single 397 nm laser beam. Simultaneously, repump beams at both 866 and 854 nm clear population out of the $D_{3/2}$ and $D_{5/2}$ states, respectively. Next, the ion is sideband cooled to the ground state of the trapping potential along the z direction using a narrow linewidth 729 nm laser beam addressing the $S_{1/2} \leftrightarrow D_{5/2}$ electric-quadrupole transition. The sideband cooling sequence, detailed in Ref. [\[24\]](#page-4-17), is followed by optical pumping into one of the two $|S_{1/2}, m_j = \pm 1/2\rangle$ states.
Initialization to the chosen $|D_{1/2} + m_{\perp}\rangle$ state with day-to-Initialization to the chosen $|D_{5/2}, \pm m_j\rangle$ state with day-to-
day efficiency >97% is then achieved by rapid adjabatic day efficiency \geq 97% is then achieved by rapid adiabatic passage (RAP) [\[25,26\]](#page-4-18) from the $|S_{1/2}, \pm 1/2\rangle$ state. After
this preparation sequence, we drive Paman transitions this preparation sequence, we drive Raman transitions between the $|D_{5/2}, m_j\rangle$ and $|D_{3/2}, m_j'\rangle$ states with a femto-
second fractuous comb lesse. The state of the ion is read second frequency comb laser. The state of the ion is read out by the electron-shelving technique [\[27\]](#page-4-19) through addressing the $S_{1/2} \leftrightarrow P_{1/2}$ and $D_{3/2} \leftrightarrow P_{1/2}$ transitions. This cycle is repeated 100 times to extract the mean transition probability.

The femtosecond frequency comb laser is a commercial mode-locked fiber laser from MenloSystems (model FC1500-250-WG [\[28\]\)](#page-4-20) with a carrier frequency of $\omega_c/2\pi \approx$ 380 THz, blue-detuned on average by $\Delta/2\pi \approx 29$ THz from the $D_{5/2} \leftrightarrow P_{3/2}$ transition, and spectrally truncated at a minimum detuning of 7 THz by two short-pass filters (Semrock FF01-842/SP-25). The repetition rate of this laser is $\omega_r/2\pi = 250$ MHz. It can be finely adjusted (by a few kHz) in between measurements to address transitions between different Zeeman sublevels. The frequency comb beam $1/e^2$ radius at the position of the ion is 34(2) μ m, and the average power ranges from 18 to 90 mW. The beam is blocked by a mechanical shutter during preparation and readout. For fast effective shuttering of the comb beam, an AOM-controlled AC-Stark-shift laser, detuned by 2 GHz from the $D_{3/2} \leftrightarrow P_{1/2}$ transition, is used to shift the $D_{3/2}$ level out of resonance by about 50 kHz with a switching time of about 150 ns. This allows for precise coherent manipulation of the populations of the $D_{3/2}$ and $D_{5/2}$ states with a time resolution much smaller than the ms-timescale Raman Rabi oscillations shown in Fig. [2\(a\).](#page-1-0) Damping of the Raman Rabi oscillations is due to both magnetic field fluctuations and instabilities of the comb's repetition rate. The $1/e$ decay time extracted from an exponential decay fit to the data is $T_{\text{coh}} = 3.2(2)$ ms. For π pulses shorter than T_{coh} , the transition line shape is a Fourier-limited sinc² and data can be fit to extract the transition frequency within 10 to 20 Hz uncertainty as exemplified by Fig. [2\(b\).](#page-1-0) The 6 kHz frequency offset between the two spectra shown in Fig. [2\(b\)](#page-1-0) is due to the differential ac-Stark shift $\delta \nu_D^{\text{ac}}$ induced by the comb resulting from off-resonant coupling of the $D_{3/2,5/2}$ states to the $P_{1/2,3/2}$ states. The unshifted transition frequency is obtained from extrapolating the measured frequencies to zero light intensity. To determine the laser intensity at the position of the ion, the differential ac-Stark

FIG. 2. (a) Evolution of the population of the $D_{5/2}$ state versus duration of the frequency comb's pulse train. Points correspond to the experimental data, while the solid line is a fit to the data with 99.3(6)% contrast, an exponential decay time of 3.2(2) ms and a Rabi frequency of $\Omega_R = 2\pi \times 4.121(3)$ kHz. Note that the contrast, depending also on state initialization, is a lower estimate to the Raman transfer efficiency. (b) Typical spectra of the $|J,m_i\rangle$: $\left|\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}\right\rangle$ → $\left|\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}\right\rangle$ transition performed at two different comb's intensities and with $\vec{E}_{\text{comb}} || \vec{B}_{\text{ext}}$. The measured spectra are shifted from the expected transition frequency (calculated by taking the linear Zeeman shift into account) by $\delta v_D^{\text{ac}} = 9.873(12) \text{ kHz}$ (black
points) and $\delta v_{\text{ac}}^{\text{ac}} = 4.253(8) \text{ kHz}$ (red squares) due to the comb's points) and $\delta \nu_{\rm D}^{\rm ac} = 4.253(8)$ kHz (red squares) due to the comb's
ac-Stark shift induced by off-resonant coupling to the *P*-vack ac-Stark shift induced by off-resonant coupling to the $P_{1/2,3/2}$ states. Solid curves are \sin^{-2} fits to the data.

shift $\delta \nu_{729}^{\text{ac}}$ induced by the comb on the $|S_{1/2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle \leftrightarrow$ $|D_{5/2}, -\frac{5}{2}\rangle$ transition is measured with the 729 nm laser
between each Paman scan. Doing so reduces the error on the between each Raman scan. Doing so reduces the error on the extrapolated frequency due to, e.g., pointing instabilities. In addition, we take advantage of the existence of (for some transitions) a "magic polarization" for which $\delta \nu_D^{\text{ac}} = 0$. This is for instance the case $|\frac{5}{4} + \frac{3}{4}| \rightarrow |\frac{3}{4}| + \frac{3}{4}|$ as well as the is for instance the case $\left[\frac{5}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}\right) \rightarrow \left[\frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}\right]$ as well as the $\left|\frac{5}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow \left|\frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle$ transitions [\[19\]](#page-4-14). The other dominant systematic shift is the first-order differential Zeeman shift δv_D^Z induced by the static magnetic field defining the quantization axis. This shift is about 3 times smaller for the $\left[\frac{5}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}\right) \rightarrow \left[\frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)$ transitions than for the $\left[\frac{5}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left[\frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}\right]$ transitions and cancels out when averaging a pair of
symmetric, transitions. To aliminate this shift, the two symmetric transitions. To eliminate this shift, the two $|\frac{5}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}\rangle \rightarrow |\frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}\rangle$ transitions are probed in the experi-
mants. With typically 30 points taken interleaved on each ments. With typically 30 points taken interleaved on each transition within 15 min, the measured fine structure level separation at a given comb intensity is determined with

FIG. 3. (a) Measured transition frequency versus the differential ac-Stark shift induced by the frequency comb on the $|S_{1/2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle \leftrightarrow |D_{5/2}, -\frac{5}{2}\rangle$ transition ($\delta \nu_{729}^{\text{ac}} \propto I$). Blue squares (red
points) correspond to a comb polarization rotated by $A = 78(1)$ ° points) correspond to a comb polarization rotated by $\theta = 78(1)^\circ$ $(\theta = 88(1)^\circ)$ from the quantization axis. Solid lines show the linear fit to the data used to extrapolate the fine structure level separation to zero intensity. The measured frequency is extracted from the average of the resonance frequencies of the $\left[\frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ \rightarrow $\left[\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $\left[\frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ \rightarrow $\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ transitions. The argued along the sum $\left[\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\left[\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right) \rightarrow \left[\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)$ transitions. The spread along the x
axis is mainly due to pointing instabilities of the frequency axis is mainly due to pointing instabilities of the frequency comb beam. (b) Absolute frequency measurements on different dates used to determine the fine structure level separation $\bar{\nu}_D = 1,819,599,021,555 \pm 8$ Hz. The dashed lines correspond to the 8 Hz standard deviation of the mean to the 8 Hz standard deviation of the mean.

20 Hz resolution. This sequence is then repeated by alternating measurements at five different comb intensities to extrapolate the ac-Stark-shifted transition frequency to zero intensity. Figure [3\(a\)](#page-2-0) shows the measurements for two different comb polarizations \vec{E}_{comb} . Blue squares correspond to a linear polarization rotated by $\theta = 78(1)^\circ$ with respect to the quantization axis (see Fig. [1](#page-0-0)). Red points correspond to the magic polarization $[\theta = 88(1)^\circ]$ and show essentially no dependence with respect to $\delta \nu_{729}^{\text{ac}}$ (i.e., the comb intensity). From this measurement, the extrapolated, unshifted frequency obtained is $1,819,599,021,549 \pm 9$ Hz. The fractional statistical uncertainty of 5.5×10^{-12} is limited mainly tional statistical uncertainty of 5.5×10^{-12} is limited mainly by the instability of the atomic clock (Stanford Research Systems model FS725) used as a reference for stabilizing the repetition rate of the femtosecond frequency comb. Repeated frequency measurements performed over the course of five months are presented in Fig. [3\(b\)](#page-2-0). A weighted fit of these four data points gives a center frequency of $\bar{\nu}_D = 1,819,599,021,555 \pm 8$ Hz.
The most significant systematics

The most significant systematics on the transition frequency are listed in Table [I](#page-2-1). Since the linear Zeeman shift and the frequency comb ac-Stark shift cancel by the chosen measurement scheme, the largest remaining frequency shift is due to the quadratic Zeeman shift, calculated to be $21.94(2)$ Hz at a mean magnetic field of $6.500(3)$ G. The electric-quadrupole shift from the gradient of the dctrapping fields is $-0.79(2)$ Hz. The only relevant light shift is due to the residual light of the ac-Stark-shift laser when switched off during spectroscopy by two acousto-optic modulators (AOM). To estimate this shift, we measured the fine structure level separation for two opposite detunings of the ac-Stark-shift laser and for ten times higher intensity. The measured shift, zero within our statistical uncertainty, bounds this systematic to $-0.3(1.0)$ Hz. Differential ac-Stark shifts induced by the 729 and 397 nm beams are estimated to be well below the Hz level. The two repumper beams at 866 and 854 nm are physically blocked during spectroscopy and do not induce any additional bias. Since the polarizabilities of the $D_{5/2}$ and $D_{3/2}$ states differ

TABLE I. The systematic frequency shifts and their associated 1σ standard errors in Hz.

Effect	Shift (Hz)	Error(Hz)
2nd-order Zeeman	21.94	0.02
Electric-quadrupole	-0.79	0.02
AC-Stark shifts:		
AC-Stark-shift laser	-0.3	1.0
Laser at 729 nm	\mathcal{O}	< 0.2
Laser at 397 nm	0	< 0.001
Lasers at 866 nm and 854 nm	\mathcal{O}	θ
Black-body Radiation	0.002	0.006
Excess micromotion	\mathcal{O}	< 0.001
Rb Standard	0	9
Total	20.9	9

by less than 1% [\[29\]](#page-4-21), the differential dc-Stark shift due to black-body radiation amounts to only 2(6) mHz. Stark shifts and second order Doppler effects due to residual micromotion of the ion can be estimated below the mHz level [\[30\].](#page-4-22) Hence, the major source of error originates from our GPS-disciplined rubidium standard, whose fractional inaccuracy was measured against an acetylene-stabilized ultrastable fiber laser (Stabiλaser from Denmark's National Metrology Institute [\[31,32\]\)](#page-4-23) to be 5×10^{-12} . Correcting for the aforementioned systematics, our measurement corresponds to a fine structure level separation of $\nu_{D}^{\text{cor}} =$ 1,819,599,021,534 \pm 8 Hz. This result is consistent with a
previous experiment where two phase-locked cw lasers were previous experiment where two phase-locked cw lasers were applied $(1,819,599,021,504 \pm 37 \text{ Hz})$ [\[33\];](#page-4-24) however, we achieve nearly 5 times better accuracy achieve nearly 5 times better accuracy.

For the above high-precision spectroscopy, the differential ac-Stark shift $\delta \nu_D^{ac}$ essentially vanished due to the existence of a magic polarization and dispersion compensation of the comb's spectra was not really an issue, even though the effective Raman Rabi frequencies were roughly 10 times lower than the maximum possible [\[19\].](#page-4-14) For high-precision Raman spectroscopy in general, keeping the differential ac-Stark shift as small as possible for a given Rabi frequency is essential though, and group delay dispersion (GDD) compensation is necessary. This is as well the case for maximizing the attainable Rabi frequency for driving very far off-resonant Raman transitions (e.g., in molecular ions) or optimizing fast gate operations between Raman qubit states. To look into this aspect, we replaced our fiber femtosecond frequency comb by a mode-locked Ti:sapphire solid-state laser from Coherent Inc. (model Mira 900 [\[34\]](#page-4-25)). This laser not only provides 3 times more power, but its pulses (duration of 63 fs) at the output coupler are essentially Fourier limited, hence allowing for a rather simple GDD compensation at the ion's position. To quantify the impact of GDD, one must sum over all the comb's frequency components and over the different intermediate Zeeman sublevels $|i\rangle$ of the $P_{3/2}$ state, which, after adiabatic elimination of the intermediate levels and in the rotating wave approximation, results in the Raman Rabi frequency,

$$
\Omega_R = \eta \bigg| \sum_{n,i} \frac{|\Omega_n^{g,i} \Omega_{n-q}^{e,i}|}{2\Delta_{n,i}} e^{i\psi_i^{e,g}} e^{i\delta \phi_n} \bigg|
$$
\n
$$
\equiv \eta_{\text{eff}} \bigg| \sum_{n,i} \frac{|\Omega_n^{g,i} \Omega_{n-q}^{e,i}|}{2\Delta_{n,i}} e^{i\psi_i^{e,g}} \bigg|,
$$
\n(1)

where $\Omega_n^{j,i}$ is the one-photon Rabi frequency of the tooth *n* addressing the $|j = g, e \rangle$ to $|i \rangle$ transition, $\Delta_{n,i}$ is the Raman detuning of the Raman pair $(n - q, n)$ to the intermediate level $|i\rangle$, $\delta\phi_n$ is the phase difference between the two teeth of the Raman pair $(n - q, n)$, $\psi_i^{e, g}$ is the phase corresponding to the Raman path involving the intermediate level $|i\rangle$ and its associated Clebsch-Gordan coefficients [\[19\],](#page-4-14) and η (η_{eff}) is a measure of the efficiency, without (with) taking GDD into account, with which the total comb intensity is used. In the case of nonzero GDD, the spectral phase of the frequency comb to second order reads

$$
\phi(\omega) = \phi_0 + \tau_g(\omega - \omega_c) + \frac{D_2}{2}(\omega - \omega_c)^2, \qquad (2)
$$

where τ_q is the group delay and D_2 the GDD. As a result, $(\partial \delta \phi_n / \partial n) = D_2 q \omega_r^2 \neq 0$, and the different Raman pairs
interfere partially destructively resulting in a Rabi frequency interfere partially destructively, resulting in a Rabi frequency reduced by a factor η_{eff}/η . Raman Rabi oscillations obtained without (with) GDD compensation are shown in Fig. [4](#page-3-0) top (bottom). As seen, the Raman Rabi frequencies are nearly the same (\approx 21 kHz). However, the two measurements were carried out at different comb intensities: 47(1) (uncompensated case) and $38(1)$ W/mm² (compensated case). The lines are fits to the experimental data with two adjustable parameters: η_{eff} and the effective linewidth of the Mira frequency comb $[\Delta \nu_{\text{eff}} = 43(2) \text{ kHz}]$ [\[19\]](#page-4-14). Remarkably, η_{eff} is found to be 0.72(3) (top curve) and 0.92(3) (bottom curve), the latter corresponding to a 92(3)% use of the total comb power when GDD is compensated. For the uncompensated case, GDD was measured by frequency resolved optical gating using a "GRENOUILLE" device to be $D_2 = 2600$ fs² [\[19\]](#page-4-14). Taking this value into account in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-3-1), we obtain the same scaling factor $\eta = 0.92(3) \approx 1$ as for the compensated case (for which $\eta = \eta_{\text{eff}}$), hence validating our simple model and demonstrating that we are able to predict the dynamics of frequency-comb-driven Raman transitions based on the comb's spectral properties.

In summary, we have demonstrated direct frequencycomb-driven stimulated Raman transitions between the 1.8 THz separated $3d^2D_{3/2}$ and $3d^2D_{5/2}$ fine structure states of a single trapped $^{40}Ca^{+}$ ion. We achieved highcontrast Rabi oscillations and high-resolution spectroscopy

FIG. 4. Top (bottom): Evolution of the population of the $|D_{5/2}\rangle$ state versus duration of the Ti:sapphire frequency comb's pulse train without (with) GDD compensation. Lines are fit to the experimental data [\[19\].](#page-4-14)

with a fiber-based frequency comb, and proved, using a solid-state Ti-sapphire frequency comb, that all the individual comb teeth can contribute coherently to the effective Rabi frequency if group delay dispersion is fully compensated. Remarkably, we used this technique to improve the knowledge of the absolute transition frequency by nearly a factor of 5. With a better atomic clock reference and a better locking scheme of the frequency comb, much higher resolution is within reach. Such high resolution spectroscopy performed on other calcium isotopes could, e.g., in combination with precise measurements of the $4s^2S_{1/2} - 3d^2D_{5/2}$ transition, improve bounds on new physics beyond the standard model [\[35\]](#page-4-26). Moreover, this versatile technique, which takes advantage of the full tunability of femtosecond frequency combs, can be generalized to drive subrepetition rate transitions by splitting the comb's beam into two AOM-controlled beams in a similar way to Ref. [\[18\]](#page-4-13), hence allowing for driving any Raman transition between state separations ranging from the sub-kHz range to a few tens of THz. The method could therefore be used to coherently manipulate anything from, e.g., hyperfine-resolved rovibrational transitions in molecules [36–[39\]](#page-5-0) to hyperfine transitions in highly charged ions [\[40,41\]](#page-5-1), and eventually open up paths towards new qubit systems for quantum technology.

We acknowledge the Danish National Laser Infrastructure, LASERLAB.DK, established through the support of the Danish Ministry of Research and Education, for financial support and access to the fiber-based frequency comb. We also acknowledge support from Innovation Fund Denmark, through the Quantum Innovation Center, Qubiz, for financial support and access to the Stabiλaser. C .S. and M. V. D. acknowledge supports from LASERLAB.DK. S. M., K. F., and M. V. D acknowledge support from the European Commission through the Marie Curie Initial Training Network COMIQ (Grant Agreement No. 607491) under FP7. M. D. acknowledges support from the Danish Council of Independent Research through the Sapere Aude Advanced grant. We are extremely grateful to H. Stapelfeldt from the Department of Chemistry, Aarhus University for lending us their GRENOUILLE device.

[*](#page-0-1) solaro@phys.au.dk

[†](#page-0-1) drewsen@phys.au.dk

- [1] S. A. Diddams, D. J. Jones, J. Ye, S. T. Cundiff, J. L. Hall, J. K. Ranka, R. S. Windeler, R. Holzwarth, T. Udem, and T. W. Hänsch, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5102) 84, 5102 (2000).
- [2] T. W. Hänsch, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.1297) 78, 1297 (2006).
- [3] J. L. Hall, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.1279) 78, 1279 (2006).
- [4] M. C. Stowe, M. J. Thorpe, A. Pe'er, J. Ye, J. E. Stalnaker, V. Gerginov, and S. A. Diddams, Advances In Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics (Elsevier, New York, 2008), p. 1.
- [5] A. Marian, M. C. Stowe, J. R. Lawall, D. Felinto, and J. Ye, Science 306[, 2063 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1105660)
- [6] A. L. Wolf, S. A. van den Berg, W. Ubachs, and K. S. E. Eikema, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102[, 223901 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.223901).
- [7] S. Witte, Science 307[, 400 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1106612).
- [8] A. M. Jayich, X. Long, and W. C. Campbell, Phys. Rev. X 6, [041004 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.041004)
- [9] D. Kielpinski, Phys. Rev. A **73**[, 063407 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.063407)
- [10] M. Viteau, A. Chotia, M. Allegrini, N. Bouloufa, O. Dulieu, D. Comparat, and P. Pillet, Science 321[, 232 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1159496)
- [11] C.-Y. Lien, C. M. Seck, Y.-W. Lin, J. H. Nguyen, D. A. Tabor, and B. C. Odom, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5783) 5, 4783 (2014).
- [12] A. Pe'er, E. A. Shapiro, M. C. Stowe, M. Shapiro, and J. Ye, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98[, 113004 \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.113004).
- [13] E. A. Shapiro, A. Pe'er, J. Ye, and M. Shapiro, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.023601) Lett. **101**[, 023601 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.023601).
- [14] S. Ding and D. Matsukevich, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/2/023028) 14, 023028 [\(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/2/023028)
- [15] D. Leibfried, New J. Phys. **14**[, 023029 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/2/023029)
- [16] J. Mlynek, W. Lange, H. Harde, and H. Burggraf, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.24.1099) ^A 24[, 1099 \(1981\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.24.1099)
- [17] Y. Fukuda, J. Hayashi, K. Kondo, and T. Hashi, [Opt.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4018(81)90065-1) Commun. ³⁸[, 357 \(1981\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4018(81)90065-1)
- [18] D. Hayes, D. N. Matsukevich, P. Maunz, D. Hucul, Q. Quraishi, S. Olmschenk, W. Campbell, J. Mizrahi, C. Senko, and C. Monroe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**[, 140501 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.140501)
[19] See Supplemental Material at http://link.ap
- Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.253601) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.253601](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.253601) for details regarding the experiment and our model, which includes Ref. [20].
- [20] D. F. James, [Appl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003400050373) **66**, 181 (1998).
- [21] M. Drewsen, I. Jensen, J. Lindballe, N. Nissen, R. Martinussen, A. Mortensen, P. Staanum, and D. Voigt, [Int. J. Mass Spectrom.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-3806(03)00259-8) 229[, 83 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-3806(03)00259-8).
- [22] N. Kjærgaard, L. Hornekær, A. Thommesen, Z. Videsen, and M. Drewsen, [Appl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003400000296) 71, 207 (2000).
- [23] A. Mortensen, J. J. T. Lindballe, I. S. Jensen, P. Staanum, D. Voigt, and M. Drewsen, Phys. Rev. A 69[, 042502 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.69.042502)
- [24] G. Poulsen and M. Drewsen, [arXiv:1210.4309.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1210.4309)
- [25] A. Turrin, [Opt. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4018(77)90311-X) **23**, 220 (1977).
- [26] C. Wunderlich, T. Hannemann, T. Körber, H. Häffner, C. Roos, W. Hänsel, R. Blatt, and F. Schmidt-Kaler, [J. Mod.](https://doi.org/10.1080/09500340600741082) Opt. 54[, 1541 \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1080/09500340600741082).
- [27] C. Roos et al., [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4713) 83, 4713 (1999).
- [28] [http://www.menlosystems.com/products/optical-frequency](http://www.menlosystems.com/products/optical-frequency-combs/fc1500 250-wg/)[combs/fc1500 250-wg/](http://www.menlosystems.com/products/optical-frequency-combs/fc1500 250-wg/).
- [29] M. S. Safronova and U. I. Safronova, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.012503) 83, [012503 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.012503)
- [30] D. J. Berkeland, J. D. Miller, J. C. Bergquist, W. M. Itano, and D. J. Wineland, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.367318) 83, 5025 (1998).
- [31] [http://www.stabilaser.dk/.](http://www.stabilaser.dk/)
- [32] T. Talvard, P. G. Westergaard, M. V. DePalatis, N. F. Mortensen, M. Drewsen, B. Gøth, and J. Hald, [Opt. Express](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.25.002259) 25[, 2259 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.25.002259).
- [33] R. Yamazaki, H. Sawamura, K. Toyoda, and S. Urabe, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.012508) Rev. A 77[, 012508 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.012508).
- [34] [https://www.coherent.com/lasers/laser/mira 900.](https://www.coherent.com/lasers/laser/mira 900)
- [35] J.C. Berengut, D. Budker, C. Delaunay, V.V. Flambaum, C. Frugiuele, E. Fuchs, C. Grojean, R. Harnik, R. Ozeri, G. Perez et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**[, 091801 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.091801).
- [36] P. F. Staanum, K. Højbjerre, P. S. Skyt, A. K. Hansen, and M. Drewsen, Nat. Phys. 6[, 271 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1604).
- [37] A. K. Hansen, O. O. Versolato, Ł. Kłosowski, S. B. Kristensen, A. Gingell, M. Schwarz, A. Windberger, J. Ullrich, J. R. C. López-Urrutia, and M. Drewsen, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12996) (London) 508[, 76 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12996)
- [38] F. Wolf, Y. Wan, J. C. Heip, F. Gebert, C. Shi, and P. O. Schmidt, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16513) 530, 457 (2016).
- [39] C.-w. Chou, C. Kurz, D. B. Hume, P. N. Plessow, D. R. Leibrandt, and D. Leibfried, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22338) 545, 203 (2017).
- [40] J. D. Gillaspy, J. Phys. B 34[, R93 \(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/34/19/201)
- [41] L. Schmoger, O. O. Versolato, M. Schwarz, M. Kohnen, A. Windberger, B. Piest, S. Feuchtenbeiner, J. Pedregosa-Gutierrez, T. Leopold, P. Micke, A. K. Hansen, T. M. Baumann, M. Drewsen, J. Ullrich, P. O. Schmidt, and J. R. C. Lopez-Urrutia, Science 347[, 1233 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa2960)