Nonlinear Large Scale Flow in a Precessing Cylinder and Its Ability To Drive Dynamo Action

André Giesecke, Tobias Vogt, Thomas Gundrum, and Frank Stefani Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Bautzner Landstrasse 400, D-01328 Dresden, Germany

(Received 21 August 2017; published 12 January 2018)

We have conducted experimental measurements and numerical simulations of a precession-driven flow in a cylindrical cavity. The study is dedicated to the precession dynamo experiment currently under construction at Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf and aims at the evaluation of the hydrodynamic flow with respect to its ability to drive a dynamo. We focus on the strongly nonlinear regime in which the flow is essentially composed of the directly forced primary Kelvin mode and higher modes in terms of standing inertial waves arising from nonlinear self-interactions. We obtain an excellent agreement between experiment and simulation with regard to both flow amplitudes and flow geometry. A peculiarity is the resonance-like emergence of an axisymmetric mode that represents a double roll structure in the meridional plane. Kinematic simulations of the magnetic field evolution induced by the time-averaged flow yield dynamo action at critical magnetic Reynolds numbers around $\text{Rm}^c \approx 430$, which is well within the range of the planned liquid sodium experiment.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.024502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.024502)

Magnetic fields of celestial bodies like planets, moons, or asteroids are ubiquitous in the Solar System with a wide diversity of manifestations [\[1\].](#page-4-1) While it is undisputed that these fields are generated by the conversion of mechanical energy from the flow of an electrically conductive fluid, there are various possibilities to drive the underlying fluid motions. Usually, it is assumed that the flow in liquid planetary cores is driven by thermocompositional convection [\[2\]](#page-4-2), yet alternative approaches invoke mechanical stirring by libration [\[3\]](#page-4-3), tidal forcing [\[4\]](#page-4-4), or precession [\[5\]](#page-4-5). In particular, precession has been repeatedly proposed as a source for the dynamo action of the ancient lunar magnetic field [\[6\]](#page-4-6) or the geodynamo [\[7\].](#page-4-7) Indeed, simulations and experiments revealed that precession may excite vigorous flows [\[8\]](#page-4-8) which are supposed to drive a dynamo [\[9\]](#page-4-9). Precessional forcing has become of great interest from the experimental point of view, because it represents a natural mechanism which allows an efficient driving of conducting fluid flows on the laboratory scale without making use of propellers or pumps [\[10\].](#page-4-10) At Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR), a precession dynamo experiment is under development [\[11\]](#page-4-11) which will provide a flow of liquid sodium in a cylindrical cavity with a magnetic Reynolds number of up to Rm $\Omega_c R^2 / \eta \approx 700$ (defined with the achievable angular velocity of the cylinder $\Omega_c = 63 \text{ s}^{-1}$, the radius $R = 1 \text{ m}$, and the magnetic diffusivity for liquid sodium $\eta = 0.09 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$. The project is further motivated by previous precession experiments conducted by Gans [\[12\],](#page-4-12) who achieved an amplification of an applied magnetic field by a factor of 3 with a device smaller by a factor of 8, and by numerical studies yielding precession-driven dynamos in different geometries

with a critical magnetic Reynolds number of $O(10^3)$ [\[5,13\]](#page-4-5). However, so far numerical models of the planned experiment have not shown conclusively that the achievable magnetic Reynolds number will be sufficient to allow for dynamo action [\[14,15\].](#page-4-13)

In the present study, we address preparatory simulations and flow measurements in a water experiment that represents a downscaled model of the planned sodium dynamo. The results provide flow patterns and amplitudes in dependence on the Reynolds number $Re = \Omega_c R^2/\nu$ and on the relation of precession frequency Ω_p to rotation frequency Ω_c , the Poincaré number Po = Ω_p/Ω_c . Finally, the threedimensional velocity fields from the simulations are used in kinematic dynamo models in order to estimate parameter regimes that will be appropriate for dynamo action.

We conduct direct numerical simulations in the precession reference frame using the code SEMTEX [\[16\]](#page-4-14). In this frame, the observer resides on the turntable following the rotation around the precession axis, thereby watching the spinning cylinder [Fig. $1(a)$]. The flow is described by the Navier-Stokes equation including a time-independent term for the Coriolis force due to precession [\[17\]:](#page-4-15)

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u}\nabla\boldsymbol{u} = -\nabla P - 2\Omega_{\mathbf{p}} \times \boldsymbol{u} + \nu \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{u}.
$$
 (1)

Here, u is the incompressible velocity field, P the reduced pressure, ν the viscosity, and Ω_{p} the angular velocity of the precessional motion. The flow obeys no-slip boundary conditions for the poloidal components, $u_r = u_{\overline{z}} = 0$, whereas the azimuthal flow at the boundaries is prescribed by $u_{\varphi} = r\Omega_c$. Fluid velocities are measured using Ultrasonic Doppler Velocimetry (UDV), which provides instantaneous profiles of the velocity component in the

FIG. 1. (a) Sketch of the experimental setup. The red dots denote the locations of the UDV probes in the water experiment, and the arrows illustrate the propagation of the ultrasound signal. (b) Temporal evolution of the axial velocity u_z at $r = 150$ mm (top: UDV measurements; center: simulations; bottom: comparison of simulations and experiments in the equatorial plane). (c) Isosurfaces showing a snapshot of u_z from simulations at Re = $10⁴$ and Po = 0.1. Blue (red) colors indicate flow in the negative (positive) direction (see movie at Ref. [\[22\]](#page-4-19) in the Supplemental Material for temporal evolution of u_z).

direction of an ultrasonic beam [\[18,19\]](#page-4-16) oriented parallel to the cylinder axis. Four ultrasound transducers are fixed at one end cap of the cylinder $[Fig, 1(a)]$ $[Fig, 1(a)]$ and corotate with the container, thus providing measurements in the cylinder frame. This reference frame is well suited for flow characterization in terms of eigenmodes of rotating flows, which are the solutions of the linearized inviscid version of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0). In a cylinder, these solutions are inertial waves, or Kelvin modes, $U_j(r, z, \varphi, t) = \tilde{u}_j(r)e^{i(\omega_j t + m\varphi + kz)}$ [\[20,21\]](#page-4-17) labeled by j , which abbreviates a triple index comprising the azimuthal wave number m , the axial wave number k , and a radial wave number index n . The last index counts the roots of the dispersion relation for an inertial wave

$$
\omega_j \lambda_j J_{m-1}(\lambda_j) + m(2 - \omega_j) J_m(\lambda_j) = 0 \quad \text{with}
$$

$$
\omega_j = \frac{\pm 2}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{\lambda_j}{2k\pi})^2}}, \quad (2)
$$

where J_m denotes the Bessel function of order m, and λ_i plays the role of a radial wave number.

Precession causes a steady volume forcing with an odd symmetry with respect to the equatorial plane. Therefore, the primary response of the fluid is a flow with an azimuthal wave number $m = 1$ and an odd axial wave number k that is stationary in the precession reference frame. If the frequency of the corresponding eigenmode (ω_i) exactly matches the frequency of the forcing (Ω_c) , the mode becomes resonant, and the linear inviscid approach for the computation of the amplitude fails [\[23\]](#page-4-18). The resonance condition delicately depends on the aspect ratio, and the primary forced mode with the simplest possible structure, i.e., $m = 1$, $k = 1$, and $n = 1$ becomes resonant at $H/R = 1.989 82$, which is close to the geometry envisaged for our planned experiment $(H/R = 2)$. In the present study, the corresponding cylinder utilized in the water experiment has radius $R = 163$ mm and height $H = 326$ mm, and the angle between the rotation axis and the precession axis is fixed at $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$. Typical measurements of a single UDV probe are shown in Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-1-0) (top) in terms of the axial velocity versus time and depth. The alternation of the sign of u_z with the periodicity of Ω_c and the asymmetry with respect to the equatorial plane illustrate the dominance of the $m = 1$ component superposed by higher azimuthal modes (essentially $m = 2$). We find a very good agreement between experiments and simulations [Fig. [1\(b\),](#page-1-0) central and bottom panels]. For sufficiently large Po, the flow is concentrated in the vicinity of the cylinder walls $[Fig, 1(c)]$ $[Fig, 1(c)]$ $[Fig, 1(c)]$ and can be decomposed into few large-scale modes. These modes represent standing inertial waves in the precession reference frame, and time-dependent contributions only appear as weak small-scale fluctuations (see movie in the Supplemental Material [\[22\]\)](#page-4-19).

A quantitative analysis of the flow is done by decomposing axial profiles of u_z in k-modes $\propto \sin(\pi z k/H)$, which is the characteristic z dependence of the axial component of an inertial wave in a cylinder with height H [\[23,24\]](#page-4-18). In a second step, we take the individual k-modes from this decomposition and apply a 2D Fourier transformation in the azimuthal direction and in time, which finally yields spectra that allow the identification of individual modes labeled by (m, k) . Typical spectra from simulations at $Re = 10^4$ and $Po = 0.1$ are shown in Fig. $2(a)$, which represents the signature of the primary forced mode $(m, k) = (1, 1)$ and its first multiple $(m, k) =$ $(2, 2)$ resulting from nonlinear self-interaction. For sufficiently strong precession, the spectra of all (m, k) -modes

FIG. 2. (a) Fourier spectra for the $(m, k) = (1, 1)$ mode and for the strongest secondary mode $(m, k) = (2, 2)$ from simulations at $r = 150$ mm, Re $= 10^4$, and Po $= 0.1$. (b) Amplitude of the time-independent part of directly forced mode $(m, k) = (1, 1)$, its multiple $(m, k) = (2, 2)$ and the nongeostrophic axisymmetric mode $(m, k) = (0, 2)$ (Re $= 10⁴$, $r = 150$ mm). (c) Relative amplitude of the nongeostrophic axisymmetric mode $(m, k) = (0, 2)$ with respect to $(m, k) = (1, 1)$. The solid curves in (b) and (c) denote results from the water experiment, and the diamonds denote results from simulations.

qualitatively look similar, with one single peak at $\omega = 0$ that corresponds to a standing inertial wave in the precession reference frame. The amplitudes of individual modes, estimated from spectral peaks at $\omega = 0$, show that, independent of Po, the flow is always dominated by the primary forced mode $(m, k) = (1, 1)$ [Fig. [2\(b\)](#page-2-0), blue curve]. A characteristic feature is the concise maximum of the amplitude at $Po^c \approx 0.09$. Immediately following this maximum, we find three phenomena that are intimately connected: a strong and abrupt reduction of the amplitude of the directly forced flow with $(m, k) = (1, 1)$, a gradual increase of higher modes that originate from nonlinear selfinteraction according to $(m, k) \rightarrow (2m, 2k)$ [Fig. [2\(b\),](#page-2-0) red curve], and a sudden appearance of a nongeostrophic axisymmetric flow with k even [Fig. [2\(b\),](#page-2-0) green curve]. The axisymmetric mode only exists with noteworthy amplitude within a rather narrow band with a width $\Delta P_0 \sim$ 0.006 [Fig. $2(c)$]. This axisymmetric mode is of interest with regard to the dynamo problem because its geometric pattern corresponds to a double roll structure [Fig. [3\(a\)\]](#page-2-1) similar to the mean poloidal flow in the von Kármán sodium dynamo in which the flow was driven by two opposite counterrotating impellers [\[25\].](#page-4-20) It is well known that this flow can drive a dynamo at comparatively low Rm [\[26\]](#page-4-21) when the relation between toroidal and poloidal components is of order unity. However, there are further contributions to the axisymmetric flow in terms of a geostrophic azimuthal circulation [Fig. [3\(b\)\]](#page-2-1) directed opposite to the solid body rotation which modifies this relation in our model.

The experiments show that the basic flow properties remain unchanged up to $Re = 10^5$ except for the decrease of the critical value Po^c at which the previously discussed phenomena emerge. The occurrence of the nongeostrophic axisymmetric resonance is a robust feature which does not disappear when increasing Re [Fig. [2\(c\)](#page-2-0)]. This mode can be excited by interacting inertial waves according to $(m, k, \omega) \rightarrow (0, 2k, 0)$ [\[24\]](#page-4-22). However, this is unlikely without the presence of singularities [\[27\]](#page-4-23), so these interactions must happen within no-slip boundary layers [\[28\]](#page-4-24) or internal shear layers [\[29\]](#page-4-25). A more descriptive explanation rests upon the modification of the basic azimuthal circulation, which for sufficiently large Po compensates the bulk fluid's solid body rotation. The azimuthal fluid motion opposite to

FIG. 3. (a) Time-averaged axisymmetric velocity field at $Re =$ 10^4 and Po = 0.1. Colors denote u_{ω} (without solid body rotation) and arrows represent u_r and u_z . (b) Axial profile of u_φ and u_z at $r = 150$ mm. Grey curves represent temporal variations of instantaneous profiles from simulations, and red curves show the time average. The black curve in the bottom panel shows the time-averaged profile obtained in the water experiment. (c) Radial profiles of the time-averaged angular momentum including solid body rotation from simulations at $Re = 10⁴$.

the cylinder rotation can even become so strong that eventually the Rayleigh criterion for stability of rotating fluids may be violated by developing a negative radial derivative of the angular momentum, i.e., $\frac{d}{dr}(u_{\varphi}r) < 0$ [Fig. [3\(c\)](#page-2-1)], immediately leading to the formation of Taylor vortices. Finally, the further increase of Po leads to the breakdown of the large-scale structures into smaller scales, which, at Re $\approx O(10^6)$, corresponds to a transition into a fully turbulent flow without significant large-scale contributions [\[30\].](#page-4-26)

In the following, we use the velocity fields obtained from the hydrodynamic simulations, validated by UDV flow measurements, as the basis for kinematic dynamo models. We concentrate on the strongly precessing regime around Po \approx 0.1 so that the flow is determined by standing inertial waves, which makes the time-averaged velocity field appropriate for the application in kinematic simulations. The flow field is further decomposed into separate azimuthal modes $m = 0, 1, 2$ in order to carve out the impact of the individual contributions on the dynamo. The temporal evolution of the magnetic flux density \bm{B} induced by a given time-averaged flow \bar{u} of a conducting liquid is determined by the induction equation

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \times \mathbf{B} - \eta \nabla \times \mathbf{B}). \tag{3}
$$

With the ansatz $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{r})e^{\sigma t}$, the solution of Eq. [\(3\)](#page-3-0) is a linear problem with the real part of the eigenvalue σ representing the magnetic field growth rate γ. We solve Eq. [\(3\)](#page-3-0) numerically with pseudovacuum boundary conditions for the magnetic field, and the growth rates are computed from the time evolution of the magnetic field. Except for the velocity field at $Po = 0.1$, the kinematic models either show no dynamo or do so at best for magnetic Reynolds numbers far above the values that will be attainable in the planned dynamo experiment (e.g., $\text{Rm}^c \approx$ 5000 for $Po = 0.0875$. Taking the time-averaged flow field from hydrodynamic simulations at $Re = 10⁴$ and $Po = 0.1$, we find dynamos at much reduced Rm. The kinematic growth rates for this particular case are shown in Fig. [4,](#page-3-1) where we distinguish five different setups. We find that neither the axisymmetric flow $(m = 0, \text{ orange curve})$ nor the directly forced flow $(m = 1, \text{green curve})$ alone are capable of driving a dynamo. The latter was expected, because the structure of the primary flow is too simplistic for dynamo action [\[14\].](#page-4-13) The failure of the pure axisymmetric flow to drive a dynamo confirms our previous assumption of the inappropriate relation of axisymmetric poloidal and toroidal flow components. However, when summing up both contributions, we obtain dynamo action at a critical magnetic Reynolds number $Rm^c \approx 560$ (blue curve). This value decreases to Rm^c \approx 430 when further including the $m = 2$ modes (red curve), most probably because this contribution, which is dominated by the

FIG. 4. Growth rates for combinations of various azimuthal modes from the velocity field obtained at simulations at $Re = 10⁴$ and $Po = 0.1$. The insert drawing depicts isosurfaces of the magnetic energy density mapped with B_{φ} . In the precession frame, the field structure propagates around the cylinder axis (see movie at Ref. [\[31\]](#page-4-28) in the Supplemental Material).

 $(m, k) = (2, 2)$ mode, increases the breaking of the equatorial symmetry, which is beneficial for precession-driven dynamos [\[5\]](#page-4-5). Other contributions with higher wave numbers are less important, and no significant further reduction of Rm^c is obtained when using the total time-averaged flow, which yields $\text{Rm}^c \approx 428$ (black curve).

The water experiments indicate that the flow structure does not change much when increasing Re (see Ref. [\[32\]](#page-4-27) in the Supplemental Material); albeit the corresponding decrease of Po^c does not follow a simple scaling law (Fig. [2c\)](#page-2-0). However, it is known from measurements of the internal pressure that the sudden drop of the $m = 1$ mode, which constitutes the second criteria for Po^c, only weakly depends on Re if $\text{Re} \gtrsim 5 \times 10^5$ [\[30\]](#page-4-26). This is already indicated in our experiments when increasing Re from 4×10^4 to 10⁵. The width within which we observe the axisymmetric mode $(\Delta P_0 \approx 0.006)$ corresponds nearly exactly to the width of the hysteresis found in Ref. [\[30\]](#page-4-26) around Re $\sim O(10^6)$ at a precession ratio comparable with Po^c in our experiments at $Re = 10^5$. It seems likely that both phenomena are closely connected, with the $(m, k) =$ $(0, 2)$ mode being a precursor for the transition to the turbulent state observed in Ref. [\[30\].](#page-4-26) In the limit of large Re as they will occur in the liquid sodium experiment (up to $Re \approx 10^8$), we thus expect dynamo action to arise in connection with the nongeostrophic axisymmetric mode within a width of $\Delta \text{Po} \approx 0.006$ around Po not much smaller than Po^c in our experiments at Re $= 10^5$.

Our results reveal a first promising—though narrow regime, defined by the presence of the axisymmetric mode, within which we expect dynamo action in the planned dynamo experiment. This is not a turbulent dynamo, since there is no significant amount of turbulence as would result, for example, from the resonant collapse reported in experimental studies of precessing flows with small nutation angles [\[33\].](#page-5-0) Our model rather constitutes a laminar dynamo driven by few large-scale velocity modes, and our simulations and measurements indicate that timedependent contributions remain weak even at the largest Re, with the spectra always being determined by standing inertial waves. This is in contrast to the flow in the VKS dynamo, where the fluctuations are of the same order as the mean flow. Instead, a comparison with the Riga dynamo is more appropriate, in which a fully developed turbulence arises on top of a mean flow [\[34\],](#page-5-1) and calculations based on the time-averaged flow field still provide good agreement with the experiment [\[35\],](#page-5-2) proving that the turbulent β effect remains negligible for such flows.

So far, we have not considered more realistic magnetic boundary conditions, like an insulating outer domain or the finite conductivity of the container made of stainless steel, which will be the focus of a future study. Preliminary results from models including a thin outer layer with the electrical conductivity reduced by a factor of 8 show an increment of Rm^c by roughly 10%, which is still well within the capabilities of the planned facility.

This study has been conducted in the framework of the project DRESDYN (DREsden Sodium facility for DYNamo and thermohydraulic studies), which provides the platform for the precession dynamo experiment at HZDR. The authors further acknowledge support by the Helmholtz Allianz LIMTECH and thank Bernd Wustmann for the mechanical design of the experiment.

[*](#page-0-1) a.giesecke@hzdr.de

- [1] J. Wicht and A. Tilgner, [Space Sci. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-010-9638-y) **152**, 501 (2010).
- [2] S. I. Braginsky and P. H. Roberts, [Geophys. Astrophys.](https://doi.org/10.1080/03091929508228992) [Fluid Dyn.](https://doi.org/10.1080/03091929508228992) 79, 1 (1995).
- [3] C.C. Wu and P.H. Roberts, [Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid](https://doi.org/10.1080/03091929.2012.682990) Dyn. 107[, 20 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/03091929.2012.682990)
- [4] D. Cébron and R. Hollerbach, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/789/1/L25) 789, L25 [\(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/789/1/L25)
- [5] A. Tilgner, Phys. Fluids 17[, 034104 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1852576).
- [6] C. A. Dwyer, D. J. Stevenson, and F. Nimmo, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10564) (London) 479[, 212 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10564); J. Noir and D. Cébron, [J. Fluid](https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2013.524) Mech. 737[, 412 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2013.524); B. P. Weiss and S. M. Tikoo, Science 346[, 1246753 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246753).
- [7] W. V. R. Malkus, Science 160[, 259 \(1968\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.160.3825.259); J. P. Vanyo, [Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.](https://doi.org/10.1080/03091929108227780) 59, 209 (1991).
- [8] R. F. Gans, [J. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112070000976) 41, 865 (1970); R. Manasseh, [J. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112092002726) 243, 261 (1992); 315[, 151 \(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112096002388); J. Noir, P. Cardin, D. Jault, and J.-P. Masson, [Geophys. J. Int.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.2003.01934.x) 154, 407 [\(2003\);](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.2003.01934.x) R. Lagrange, P. Meunier, F. Nadal, and C. Eloy, [J. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112010004040) 666, 104 (2011); S. Goto, A. Matsunaga, M. Fujiwara, M. Nishioka, S. Kida, M. Yamato, and S. Tsuda, Phys. Fluids 26[, 055107 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4874695); Y. Lin, J. Noir, and A. Jackson, Phys. Fluids 26[, 046604 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4871026); Y. Lin, P. Marti, and J. Noir, Phys. Fluids 27[, 046601 \(2015\);](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4916234) F. Marques and

J. M. Lopez, [J. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2015.524) 782, 63 (2015); A. Giesecke, T. Albrecht, T. Gundrum, J. Herault, and F. Stefani, [New J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/11/113044) Phys. 17[, 113044 \(2015\);](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/11/113044) J. M. Lopez and F. Marques, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.1.023602) Rev. Fluids 1[, 023602 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.1.023602); W. Mouhali, T. Lehner, J. Léorat, and R. Vitry, Exp. Fluids 53[, 1693 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-012-1385-2).

- [9] M. Le Bars, D. Cébron, and P. Le Gal, [Annu. Rev. Fluid](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-fluid-010814-014556) Mech. 47[, 163 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-fluid-010814-014556)
- [10] J. Léorat, F. Rigaud, R. Vitry, and G. Herpe, Magnetohydrodynamics 39, 321 (2003); J. Léorat, Magnetohydrodynamics 42, 143 (2006); F. Stefani, A. Gailitis, and G. Gerbeth, [Z. Angew. Math. Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1002/zamm.200800102) 88, 930 (2008).
- [11] F. Stefani, S. Eckert, G. Gerbeth, A. Giesecke, T. Gundrum, C. Steglich, T. Weier, and B. Wustmann, Magnetohydrodynamics 48, 103 (2012).
- [12] R. F. Gans, [J. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112071003021) 45, 111 (1971).
- [13] C.-C. Wu and P. Roberts, [Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.](https://doi.org/10.1080/03091920903311788) 103[, 467 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1080/03091920903311788); J. Ernst-Hullermann, H. Harder, and U. Hansen, [Geophys. J. Int.](https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggt303) 195, 1395 (2013); Y. Lin, P. Marti, J. Noir, and A. Jackson, Phys. Fluids 28[, 066601 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4954295).
- [14] A. Giesecke, T. Albrecht, G. Gerbeth, T. Gundrum, and F. Stefani, Magnetohydrodynamics 51, 293 (2015).
- [15] C. Nore, J. Léorat, J.-L. Guermond, and F. Luddens, Phys. Rev. E 84[, 016317 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.84.016317); O. Goepfert and A. Tilgner, New J. Phys. 18[, 103019 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/10/103019).
- [16] H. M. Blackburn and S. J. Sherwin, [J. Comp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2004.02.013) 197, 759 [\(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2004.02.013)
- [17] A. Tilgner, [Studia geophysica et geodaetica](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023332115179) 42, 232 (1998).
- [18] Y. Takeda, [Int. J. Heat Fluid Flow](https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-727X(86)90011-1) 7, 313 (1986).
- [19] T. Vogt, D. Räbiger, and S. Eckert, [J. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2014.371) 753, 472 [\(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2014.371)
- [20] W. Thomson, [Philos. Mag.](https://doi.org/10.1080/14786448008626912) **10**, 155 (1880).
- [21] H. P. Greenspan, The Theory of Rotating Fluids (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1968).
- [22] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.024502) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.024502](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.024502) for animation of the three-dimensional structure of the axial flow at $Re = 10^4$ and $Po = 0.1$.
- [23] X. Liao and K. Zhang, [J. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2012.355) **709**, 610 (2012).
- [24] P. Meunier, C. Eloy, R. Lagrange, and F. Nadal, [J. Fluid](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112008000335) Mech. 599[, 405 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112008000335)
- [25] R. Monchaux, M. Berhanu, M. Bourgoin, M. Moulin, P. Odier, J.-F. Pinton, R. Volk, S. Fauve, N. Mordant, F. Pétrélis, A. Chiffaudel, F. Daviaud, B. Dubrulle, C. Gasquet, L. Marié, and F. Ravelet, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.044502) 98, 044502 [\(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.044502)
- [26] M. L. Dudley and R. W. James, [Proc. R. Soc. A](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1989.0112) 425, 407 [\(1989\);](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1989.0112) F. Ravelet, A. Chiffaudel, F. Daviaud, and J. Léorat, Phys. Fluids 17[, 117104 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2130745).
- [27] H. P. Greenspan, [J. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112069001649) 36, 257 (1969).
- [28] F. H. Busse, [J. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112068001655) 33, 739 (1968).
- [29] A. Tilgner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**[, 194501 \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.194501).
- [30] J. Herault, T. Gundrum, A. Giesecke, and F. Stefani, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4936653) Fluids 27[, 124102 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4936653)
- [31] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.024502) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.024502](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.024502) for animation of the three-dimensional structure of the magnetic energy density mapped with the azimuthal field.
- [32] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.024502) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.024502](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.024502) for flow structure from UDV measurements up to $Re = 10^5$.
- [33] A. D. McEwan, [J. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112070000344) 40, 603 (1970); R. Manasseh, [J. Fluid Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112094000868) 265, 345 (1994).
- [34] A. Gailitis, G. Gerbeth, T. Gundrum, O. Lielausis, E. Platacis, and F. Stefani, [C.R. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crhy.2008.07.004) 9, 721 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crhy.2008.07.004)
- [35] A. Gailitis, O. Lielausis, S. Dement'ev, E. Platacis, A. Cifersons, G. Gerbeth, T. Gundrum, F. Stefani, M. Christen, H. Hänel, and G. Will, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4365) 84, 4365 (2000); A. Gailitis, O. Lielausis, E. Platacis, G. Gerbeth, and F. Stefani, [Phys. Plasmas](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1666361) 11, 2838 (2004).