way, is the seventh component of a pseudoscalar octet with  $\mathfrak{C}=+1$ . Again CP=+1, but the transition between the p-v spurion and  $K_1^0$  is forbidden by SU(3). This fact tends to make alternative (b) above somewhat less attractive than it was without unitary symmetry.

Next, consider the transition from the p-v spurion to  $K_1{}^0+\pi^++\pi^-$  or  $K_1{}^0+\pi^0+\pi^0$  in a symmetrical state, which is responsible for the decays  $K_1{}^0+2\pi$ . Since the K and  $\pi$ 's all belong to the same octet, the unitary spin coupling of the three octets to form the fourth must be totally symmetric. With this coupling, we can form out of  $K_1{}^0+2\pi$  the seventh component of a pseudoscalar octet with  $\mathfrak{C}=+1$  (like  $K_1{}^0$ ) but not the sixth component of a pseudoscalar octet with  $\mathfrak{C}=-1$  (like the p-v spurion). Thus,  $K_1{}^0+2\pi$  is forbidden<sup>8</sup> by SU(3).

Finally, the property e=-1 of the p-v spurion gives one condition on the four independent observable p-v amplitudes A in the weak decay of strange baryons into baryon plus pion:

$$-A(\Lambda \rightarrow p + \pi^{-}) + 2A(\Xi^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda + \pi^{-}) = \sqrt{3}A(\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow p + \pi^{0}).$$

This condition, compatible with experimental evidence, is valid in the limit of unitary symmetry in the model presented here. In references 6 and 7, the same condition is found for both p-v and p-c amplitudes, but on the basis of R invari-

ance, which does not appear to be a good approximation, at any rate for baryons.

 $^8$ The author is much indebted to Professor Cabibbo for a discussion of this matter. N. Cabibbo (to be published) points out that with  $K_1{}^0\to 2\pi$  forbidden by SU(3), the comparatively high rate of  $K^+\to 2\pi$  need not be an obstacle to a theory of purely electromagnetic violation of the nonleptonic rule  $|\Delta \vec{\mathbf{I}}|=1/2$ , in accordance with possibility (a) above. One simple theory of type (a) would involve a single neutral current, transforming like  $(-F_3-3^{-1/2}F_8)\cos\theta+(F_6+iF_7)\sin\theta$ , to accompany the single charged current transforming like  $(F_1+iF_2)\times\cos\theta+(F_4+iF_5)\sin\theta$ . Each would be multiplied by its Hermitian conjugate.

## ERRATA

 $\Sigma$ -RADIATIVE DECAY AS A METHOD OF DETERMINING THE ANGULAR MOMENTUM OF THE  $\Sigma$ -PIONIC DECAY. Saul Barshay, Uriel Nauenberg, and Jonas Schultz [Phys. Rev. Letters 12, 76 (1964)].

In Fig. 3 the dashed lines refer to the *P*-wave case and the solid lines to the *S*-wave case.

VARIATIONAL PROPERTY OF FREE-ENERGY PERTURBATION THEORY. Harold Falk [Phys. Rev. Letters 12, 93 (1964)].

A printer's error occurred in the last term of Eq. (4). In the upper limit of the  $d\lambda_1$  integral, the subscript p should be deleted. In Eq. (7), the exponent of  $(-\beta)$  should be m-1.

<sup>\*</sup>Work supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>M. Gell-Mann, California Institute of Technology Synchrotron Laboratory Report CTSL-20, 1961 (unpublished); Phys. Rev. <u>125</u>, 1067 (1962).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Y. Ne'eman, Nucl. Phys. <u>26</u>, 222 (1961).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>M. Gell-Mann and M. Lévy, Nuovo Cimento <u>16</u>, 705 (1960). See also M. Gell-Mann, <u>Proceedings of the Tenth Annual International Rochester Conference on High-Energy Physics, 1960 (Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1960).</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>10</u>, 531 (1963). <sup>5</sup>The current evidence for this rule is reviewed by R. H. Dalitz (to be published).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Benjamin Lee (to be published).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Hirotaka Sugawara (to be published).