Phase Memory Preserving Harmonics from Abruptly Autofocusing Beams

Anastasios D. Koulouklidis,^{1,2} Dimitris G. Papazoglou,^{1,[2,*](#page-4-0)} Vladimir Yu. Fedorov,^{3,4} and Stelios Tzortzakis^{1,2,3}

¹Institute of Electronic Structure and Laser (IESL), Foundation for Research and Technology—Hellas (FORTH),

P.O. Box 1527, GR-71110 Heraklion, Greece
²Materials Science and Technology Department University of Crate

Materials Science and Technology Department, University of Crete, 71003 Heraklion, Greece
³Science Brogram, Taxas A.P.M. University at Ostar, B.O. Box 23874, Deba, Ostar

 3 Science Program, Texas A&M University at Qatar, P.O. Box 23874, Doha, Qatar

⁴P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 53 Leninskiy Prospekt, 119991 Moscow, Russia

(Received 7 July 2017; published 29 November 2017)

We demonstrate both theoretically and experimentally that the harmonics from abruptly autofocusing ring-Airy beams present a surprising property: They preserve the phase distribution of the fundamental beam. Consequently, this "phase memory" imparts to the harmonics the abrupt autofocusing behavior, while, under certain conditions, their foci coincide in space with the one of the fundamental. Experiments agree well with our theoretical estimates and detailed numerical calculations. Our findings open the way for the use of such beams and their harmonics in strong field science.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.223901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.223901)

Introduction.—The preservation of a wave packet phase, after the action of nonlinear or other phase-deteriorating effects, is often referred to as "phase memory" [1–[3\].](#page-4-1) Phase memory, in general, leads to a coherent wave packet behavior [\[1\],](#page-4-1) while its physical origin is quite diverse, ranging from quantum-mechanical physical system configurations [\[2,3\]](#page-4-2) to optical wave packet distributions [\[1\]](#page-4-1). Optical wave packets that exhibit phase memory present exciting applications in strong field physics, like in higher harmonics and attosecond pulses [\[4\]](#page-4-3).

Optical harmonics are generated by exploiting strong field interactions in nonlinear optical media. An intense pulsed beam of fundamental frequency ω with an electric field amplitude $E(r, t)$ will modulate the dielectric polarization density $P(r, t)$ of the medium. This physical process can be described as [\[5\]](#page-4-4) $P(r, t) =$ $\varepsilon_0 \chi^{(1)} E_\omega(\mathbf{r}, t) + \varepsilon_0 \chi^{(2)} E_\omega^2(\mathbf{r}, t) + \cdots$, where ε_0 is the vacuum permittivity and $\chi^{(1)}$ and $\chi^{(p)}$ ($p = 2, 3, ...$) are, respectively, the linear and the nonlinear optical susceptibilities of the order of p . Each one of the nonlinear polarization terms can be correlated to a harmonic field $E^p(\mathbf{r}, t)$, of the order of p, with a phase and amplitude that are, in general, different from that of the fundamental. Phase memory in this case would be expressed as a preservation of the spatial phase of the fundamental in the harmonics and can be extremely beneficial when using engineered optical wave packets [\[6](#page-4-5)–8] to tailor the harmonic generation process. For example, since the spatial phase controls the wave packet propagation, phase memory would lead harmonics sharing the same propagation properties as the fundamental.

Here we show that phase memory, during harmonic generation, is an inherent property of a family of optical wave packets whose distribution is described by the Airy function [\[9\].](#page-4-6) This family includes the accelerating Airy beams [\[10](#page-4-7)–12], as well as the cylindrically symmetric ring-Airy wave packets [\[13,14\].](#page-4-8) The spatial phase distribution of Airy beams leads to unique properties, including propagation along parabolic trajectories [\[10,15,16\]](#page-4-7), bypassing obstacles, and self-healing [\[17,18\]](#page-4-9). Also, ring-Airy beams exhibit abrupt autofocusing while experiencing only a minor nonlinear focus shift as their power is increased [\[19\],](#page-4-10) making them ideal candidates for highintensity applications with a precise deposition of energy in space [\[8,20\]](#page-4-11). Beside these exiting properties, we demonstrate that phase memory preserves the abruptly autofocusing behavior in the harmonics of ring-Airy wave packets, while under certain conditions their foci coincide in space with the one of the fundamental. We analytically show that this behavior is further preserved under the action of a converging lens for obtaining tighter focusing and higher intensities. Experiments and detailed numerical simulations of the second harmonic of ring-Airy beams validate our theoretical analysis. Our results open the way for the use of accelerating beams and their harmonics in a plethora of nonlinear optics applications.

Theoretical analysis.—Since the generation of harmonics involves powers of the field distribution, we will start our analysis from the case of second harmonic generation. The amplitude of a ring-Airy beam is described as

$$
u_{\omega}(r) = u_0 \text{Ai}(\rho) e^{\alpha \rho}, \qquad (1)
$$

where u_0 is the amplitude, Ai(\cdot) is the Airy function, $\rho \equiv (r_0 - r)/w$, and r_0 , w, and α are, respectively, the primary ring radius, width, and apodization parameters. Without the loss of generality, the amplitude of the generated second harmonic in a thin β -barium borate (BBO) crystal is given by

$$
u_{2\omega}(r) \propto \chi^{(2)} u_{\omega}(r)^2 = \chi^{(2)} u_0^2 \text{Ai}(\rho)^2 e^{2\alpha \rho}, \qquad (2)
$$

where $\chi^{(2)}$ is the nonlinear susceptibility. By using wellknown approximations of the Airy function [\[9\],](#page-4-6) we reach an analytical expression of the square of the Airy function term that appears in Eq. (2) $[21]$:

$$
Ai(\rho)^{2} \simeq 2E(\rho)^{2} + E(\rho)Ai(\hat{S} \cdot \rho) \equiv Ai_{2}^{a}(\rho), \quad (3)
$$

where $E(\rho) \equiv 1/[2\sqrt{\pi}f(\rho)^{1/4}], \hat{S}$ is a linear scaling operator $\hat{S} \cdot \rho \equiv 2^{2/3} \rho + \pi/(8 \times 2^{1/3})$, and f is an apodization function [\[21\].](#page-4-12) Figure [1](#page-1-0) shows a comparison of Ai $(\rho)^2$ to the approximation $\text{Ai}_2^a(\rho)$.

Using the approximation of Eq. [\(3\),](#page-1-1) we can write for the second harmonic

$$
u_{2\omega}(r,0) \propto 2\chi^{(2)}u_0^2 E(\rho)^2 + \chi^{(2)}u_0^2 Ai(\rho')E(\rho)e^{2\alpha\rho}, \quad (4)
$$

where $\rho' \equiv (r'_0 - r)/w'$, $r'_0 = r_0 + \pi w/16$, and $w' = 2^{-2/3}w$. Equation [\(4\)](#page-1-2) shows clearly that the amplitude of the generated second harmonic is composed by two terms: a smooth pedestal that does not exhibit any autofocusing behavior and a ring-Airy term that is strongly apodized, carrying ∼25% of the total energy. The second term is a clear demonstration of phase memory, which will result in abrupt autofocusing of the second harmonic.

One can calculate the focus position of the fundamental, using the 1D Airy analytical formulas [\[11,14,19\]](#page-4-13):

$$
f_{\text{Ai}}^{\omega} = 4\pi \frac{w^2}{\lambda} \sqrt{r_0/w + 1} \simeq 4\pi \frac{w^{3/2}}{\lambda} \sqrt{r_0}, \qquad r_0 \gg w. (5)
$$

Under the same assumption $(r_0 \gg w)$, we can estimate the autofocus position of the second harmonic autofocusing term by using its primary ring radius r'_0 and width w' parameters as estimated by Eq. [\(4\):](#page-1-2)

$$
f_{\text{Ai}}^{2\omega} = 4\pi \frac{w'^2}{\lambda/2} \sqrt{r'_0/w' + 1} \simeq 4\pi \frac{w^{3/2}}{\lambda} \sqrt{r_0} = f_{\text{Ai}}^{\omega}.\tag{6}
$$

Interestingly, this result shows that the autofocusing component of the second harmonic will autofocus at the same position as the fundamental. So, the phase memory in the second harmonic acts in such a way that its propagation dynamics are identical to the fundamental. As for the pedestal term, it does not interfere with this focus, since it diffracts out.

We can now generalize this analysis for the generation of ring-Airy harmonics of any higher order. As we demonstrate in the following, all harmonics exhibit phase memory

and inherit the propagation dynamics of the fundamental. In more detail, for the case of even orders $Ai(\rho)^{2m}$ $(m = 1, 2, ...)$, Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-1) is generalized to [\[21,22\]](#page-4-12)

$$
Ai(\rho)^{2m} \simeq Ai_2^a(\rho)^m = \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{2^{m-n} m!}{n! (m-n)!} E(\rho)^{2m-n} Ai(\hat{S} \cdot \rho)^n.
$$
\n(7)

Furthermore, whenever $n=2^l$ $(l = 0, 1, ...)$, the corresponding power term $Ai(\hat{S} \cdot \rho)^n$ in Eq. [\(7\)](#page-1-3) will result in a sum of "net" ring-Airy terms $\sum_{i=-1}^{l-1} (\ldots)Ai(\hat{S}^{l-i} \cdot \rho),$ where $\hat{S}^q \cdot \rho \equiv \alpha_q \rho + \beta_q$, $\alpha_q \equiv 2^{2q/3}$, and $\beta_q \equiv$ $\pi/(8 \times 2^{1/3})(1-2^{2q/3})/(1-2^{2/3})$. Each of these harmonic ring-Airy terms will autofocus at positions [\[21\]](#page-4-12)

$$
f_{\text{Ai}(q)}^{(2m\omega)} = 4\pi \frac{w_q^2}{\lambda_{(2m\omega)}} \sqrt{\frac{r_{0q}}{w_q} + 1} \approx m 2^{1-q} f_{\text{Ai}}^{\omega},
$$

$$
q = 1, ..., \log_2 m + 1,
$$
 (8)

where $\lambda_{(2m\omega)} = \lambda/2m$ is the 2*mth* harmonic wavelength, $r_{0q} = r_0 + \alpha_q \beta_q w$, $w_q = w/\alpha_q$, and f_{Ai}^{ω} refers to the autofocus position of the fundamental. Interestingly, in all the even harmonics that are a power of 2 (i.e., second, fourth, …) there will exist a term that will be autofocusing at f_{Ai}^{ω} . On the other hand, for odd orders $Ai(\rho)^{2m+1}$ $(m = 1, 2, ...)$, Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-1) is generalized to

$$
\text{Ai}(\rho)^{2m+1} \simeq \text{Ai}_2^a(\rho)^m \text{Ai}(\rho)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{2^{m-n} m!}{n!(m-n)!} E(\rho)^{2m-n} \text{Ai}(\hat{S} \cdot \rho)^n \text{Ai}(\rho). \quad (9)
$$

Clearly, now there exists only one "net" ring-Airy term (for $n = 0$). This harmonic ring-Airy term will be autofocusing at [\[21\]](#page-4-12)

$$
f_{\text{Ai}}^{(2m+1)\omega} = 4\pi \frac{w^2}{\lambda_{(2m+1)\omega}} \sqrt{\frac{r_0}{w} + 1} \simeq (2m+1) f_{\text{Ai}}^{\omega}.
$$
 (10)

Figure [2](#page-2-0) shows an overview of these results up to the 40th harmonic. Because of the phase memory, all harmonics exhibit abrupt autofocusing. We can clearly see that even orders exhibit multiple foci and that when the order is a power of 2 there exists always a focus at f^{ω}_{Ai} .

The next challenge in our analysis is related to reaching higher intensities compared to the ones achievable by autofocusing. A straightforward way of doing this is by using focusing optical elements. As we have previously shown [\[23\],](#page-4-14) ring-Airy beams can be further focused using a lens, though they behave in a peculiar way; they exhibit double foci, a property characteristic to the family of Janus waves [\[23\]](#page-4-14) where they belong. The main question here is FIG. 1. Approximation of the Ai^2 function. how their harmonics will be affected. Although in the

FIG. 2. Autofocusing positions of ring-Airy harmonics. Note that even orders exhibit multiple foci (vertical lines are guides to the eye to identify each harmonic order). Curves that follow the points are $f = 2^j f_{\text{Ai}}^{\omega}$ ($j = 0, -1, \ldots$); the factors 2^j are indicated on each curve.

following we are discussing the effect that focusing has on the second harmonic, our analysis can be easily expanded to the case of higher harmonics as well. As shown in Fig. [3](#page-2-1), if we assume that a thin lens is located at a distance Δz from the focus of the ring-Airy beam, we get for the fundamental two foci at positions z_1^{ω} , z_2^{ω} relative to the lens [\[23\]](#page-4-14):

$$
z_1^{\omega} = \left(\frac{\Delta \tilde{z}^{\omega}}{\Delta \tilde{z}^{\omega} + \tilde{f}^{\omega}}\right) f, \qquad z_2^{\omega} = \left(\frac{\Delta \tilde{z}^{\omega} - 2}{\Delta \tilde{z}^{\omega} - 2 + \tilde{f}^{\omega}}\right) f, \quad (11)
$$

where $\tilde{f}^{\omega} \equiv f/f^{\omega}_{Ai}$ and $\Delta \tilde{z}^{\omega} \equiv \Delta z/f^{\omega}_{Ai}$. In the case of the second harmonic, the situation is more complex. The second harmonic Airy term will lead to two foci at positions $z_1^{2\omega}$, $z_2^{2\omega}$, while the smooth pedestal term will focus at position $z_3^{2\omega}$:

$$
z_1^{2\omega} = \left(\frac{\Delta \tilde{z}^{2\omega}}{\Delta \tilde{z}^{2\omega} + \tilde{f}^{2\omega}}\right) f \approx z_1^{\omega},
$$

\n
$$
z_2^{2\omega} = \left(\frac{\Delta \tilde{z}^{2\omega} - 2}{\Delta \tilde{z}^{2\omega} - 2 + \tilde{f}^{2\omega}}\right) f \approx z_2^{\omega},
$$

\n
$$
z_3^{2\omega} \cong f,
$$
\n(12)

where $\tilde{f}^{2\omega} \equiv f/f_{\text{Ai}}^{2\omega} \approx \tilde{f}^{\omega}$ and $\Delta \tilde{z}^{2\omega} \equiv \Delta z/f_{\text{Ai}}^{2\omega} \approx \Delta \tilde{z}^{2\omega}$. Interestingly, even after the focusing, the two foci of the second harmonic Airy term overlap with those of the fundamental. So, focusing does not deteriorate its phase memory inherited propagation dynamics.

FIG. 3. Focusing of a ring-Airy beam by a thin lens. As in the case of all Janus waves, two foci are observed [\[23\].](#page-4-14)

Note that our analysis applies also to the harmonics and powers of 1D and 2D Airy beams, but in this case the resulting Airy terms in the harmonics do not result in any distinguishable accelerating features, since their propagation is shadowed by the propagation of the non-Airy terms [\[24](#page-4-15)–26]. Likewise, the above can be applied in the temporal domain for Airy pulses [\[12,27](#page-4-16)–29].

Experimental part.—We have performed second harmonic (SH) generation experiments using autofocusing ring-Airy beams. In our experiments, we studied the propagation of the fundamental and SH, both in free propagation and after focusing by a lens. The experimental setup used for the generation of the SH is shown in Fig. [4](#page-2-2). A Ti:sapphire laser system, delivering Gaussian-shaped beams at 800 nm, 35 fs at a 50 Hz repetition rate, was used. The ring-Airy beam was generated using a Fourier transform approach [\[14\]](#page-4-17) in which the phase of the Gaussian laser beam was modulated using a spatial light modulator (SLM, Hamamatsu LCOS-X10468-2). The beam parameters (radius and width) were selected so that the beam abruptly autofocuses at $f^{\omega}_{Ai} = 400$ mm from the generation plane. For generating the second harmonic, the autofocusing beam propagated in a type-I BBO crystal of $200 \mu m$ thickness. The conversion efficiency in this case was measured to be 7.3%. The fundamental and its second harmonic were then allowed either to freely propagate or were focused using a focusing lens $f = 100$ mm. The distances between the BBO crystal and that of the focusing lens from the Fourier plane (FP) were 60 and 146 mm, respectively. Bandpass interference filters were used to isolate the fundamental and the second harmonic.

The transverse intensity distribution of the beam along its propagation was imaged by a linear CCD camera (14 bit), which was moved along the propagation axis z.

In the first experiments we report below, we studied the propagation and autofocusing properties of the fundamental and its second harmonic without the presence of any focusing lens. Combining 2D transverse (x, y) images that

FIG. 4. Schematic representation of the experimental setup. The ring-Airy beam is generated at the FP of a lens after being phase modulated by a SLM. A type I BBO crystal generates the second harmonic. The fundamental and its second harmonic either propagate freely and autofocus (a) or are focused by a lens (b). The transverse profile of the beam is captured by a CCD camera at different distances along the propagation axis z.

FIG. 5. Free propagating fundamental and second harmonic ring-Airy beams (a),(b) together with numerical simulations $(c), (d).$

were captured at various positions along the propagation z axis, the $I(x, y, z)$ intensity profile of the beam was retrieved. An $x - z$ cross section of such a profile is shown in Fig. [5](#page-3-0) for the above case. Figure $5(a)$ reveals the autofocusing behavior of the fundamental ring-Airy beam, which focuses at $z = 400$ mm as expected, followed by the characteristic ring-Airy focus intensity distribution. As predicted by our model and shown in Fig. [5\(b\)](#page-3-0), the second harmonic exhibits a parabolic trajectory, autofocusing at the same focus position as the fundamental. The non-ring-Airy pedestal term diffracts out and appears as a lower-intensity halo in the images.

Our analysis is completed through detailed numerical simulations of the SH generation process and the propagation dynamics of the fundamental and its second harmonic. Our model is based on two coupled equations for monochromatic fields of the fundamental and its second harmonic [\[5\]](#page-4-4). In the coupling terms, we assumed perfect phase matching and the second order susceptibility $\chi^{(2)}$, equal to 4×10^{-12} m/V [\[30\].](#page-4-18) The corresponding numerical simulations, shown in Figs. [5\(c\)](#page-3-0) and [5\(d\),](#page-3-0) are in very good agreement with the experimental results, while the analytical predictions of the foci positions nicely agree as well.

Placing a focusing lens after the BBO crystal results in the peculiar behavior previously discussed. Figures [6\(a\)](#page-3-1) and [6\(c\)](#page-3-1) show, respectively, the experimental and simulation results for the fundamental beam, presenting two discrete conjugate foci distributions. Again, a very nice agreement is found between the results from our experiments, simulations, and analytical predictions, with the two foci located at $z_1^{\omega} = 75.3$ mm and $z_2^{\omega} = 122.6$ mm. Figures [6\(b\)](#page-3-1) and [6\(d\)](#page-3-1) show, respectively, the experimental and simulation results for the second harmonic beam. Once more, the agreement between the results from our experiments, simulations, and analytical predictions is nice. The central intense focus results from the pedestal term and is located at $z_3^{2\omega} = 92.7$ mm, practically at the focal plane of the lens. The other two foci are positioned before and

FIG. 6. Fundamental and second harmonic ring-Airy beams after being focused by a lens. (a),(b) Experimental results and (c), (d) numerical simulations.

after the focal plane of the lens at $z_1^{2\omega} = 67.4$ mm and $z_2^{2\omega} = 112.8$ mm, respectively. The combination of the three foci results in an elongated focal volume for the second harmonic, which, as one can clearly see in Fig. [6](#page-3-1), overlaps to a great extent with the also elongated focal volume of the fundamental. This extended spatial overlap of the fundamental and second harmonic is of a pivotal role in nonlinear wave-mixing experiments, like in the generation of intense terahertz fields using two-color ring-Airy beams [\[8\]](#page-4-11).

Conclusions.—In conclusion, we have demonstrated theoretically and experimentally that the harmonics of autofocusing ring-Airy beams preserve the phase of the fundamental. Through an analytic approximation of the powers of the Airy function, we have shown that phase memory during harmonic generation is an inherent property of all optical wave packets described by an Airy distribution. Our findings are thus applicable to accelerating Airy beams (1D and 2D), cylindrically symmetric ring-Airy beams but also in the temporal domain for Airy pulses, and spatiotemporal Airy light bullets. The phase memory in the case of ring-Airy beams results in abruptly autofocusing harmonics, with their focus position coinciding with that of the fundamental for even harmonics of power 2. We have also demonstrated that even after focusing these beams still spatially overlap, surprisingly over elongated focal volumes. Our analytical predictions are in excellent agreement with second harmonic generation experiments and detailed numerical simulations. Our results open the way for using accelerating beams and their harmonics in a plethora of nonlinear optics applications, like for intense terahertz fields [\[8,31\],](#page-4-11) nonlinear wave mixing [\[24\]](#page-4-15), and filamentation [\[10,19,32\]](#page-4-7).

This research was supported by the National Priorities Research Program Grant No. NPRP9-383-1-083 from the Qatar National Research Fund (member of The Qatar Foundation), the H2020 "Laserlab Europe" (EC-GA 654148), and the H2020 "MIR-BOSE" (EC-GA 737017).

[*](#page-0-1) dpapa@materials.uoc.gr

- [1] T. H. Coskun, D. N. Christodoulides, Z. Chen, and M. Segev, Phys. Rev. E 59[, R4777 \(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.59.R4777).
- [2] A. M. Basharov and N. V. Znamenskiy, [J. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/39/21/009) 39, 4443 [\(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/39/21/009)
- [3] D. T. Smithey, M. Belsley, K. Wedding, and M. G. Raymer, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.2446) 67, 2446 (1991).
- [4] M. Chini, K. Zhao, and Z. Chang, [Nat. Photonics](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2013.362) 8, 178 [\(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2013.362)
- [5] R. W. Boyd, Nonlinear Optics, 2nd ed. (Academic Press, New York, 2003), p. 578.
- [6] B. Glushko, B. Kryzhanovsky, and D. Sarkisyan, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.243) Lett. 71[, 243 \(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.243).
- [7] N. Olivier and E. Beaurepaire, [Opt. Express](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.16.014703) 16, 14703 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.16.014703)
- [8] K. Liu, A. D. Koulouklidis, D. G. Papazoglou, S. Tzortzakis, and X.-C. Zhang, Optica 3[, 605 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1364/OPTICA.3.000605).
- [9] O. Vallée and M. Soares, Airy Functions and Applications to Physics (Imperial College, London, 2004).
- [10] P. Polynkin, M. Kolesik, and J. Moloney, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.123902) 103[, 123902 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.123902)
- [11] G. A. Siviloglou and D. N. Christodoulides, [Opt. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.32.000979) 32, [979 \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.32.000979).
- [12] D. Abdollahpour, S. Suntsov, D. G. Papazoglou, and S. Tzortzakis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105[, 253901 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.253901).
- [13] N. K. Efremidis and D. N. Christodoulides, [Opt. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.35.004045) 35, [4045 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.35.004045).
- [14] D. G. Papazoglou, N. K. Efremidis, D. N. Christodoulides, and S. Tzortzakis, Opt. Lett. 36[, 1842 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.36.001842).
- [15] G. A. Siviloglou, J. Broky, A. Dogariu, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99[, 213901 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.213901)
- [16] D. G. Papazoglou, S. Suntsov, D. Abdollahpour, and S. Tzortzakis, Phys. Rev. A 81[, 061807 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.061807)
- [17] J. Broky, G.A. Siviloglou, A. Dogariu, and D.N. Christodoulides, Opt. Express 16[, 12880 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.16.012880)
- [18] J. Baumgartl, M. Mazilu, and K. Dholakia, [Nat. Photonics](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2008.201) 2, [675 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2008.201).
- [19] P. Panagiotopoulos, D. Papazoglou, A. Couairon, and S. Tzortzakis, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3622) 4, 2622 (2013).
- [20] M. Manousidaki, D. G. Papazoglou, M. Farsari, and S. Tzortzakis, Optica 3[, 525 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1364/OPTICA.3.000525)
[21] See Supplemental Material
- Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.223901) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.223901](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.223901) for details in the mathematical derivation.
- [22] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions: With Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables (Dover, New York, 1970), p. 1046.
- [23] D. G. Papazoglou, V. Y. Fedorov, and S. Tzortzakis, [Opt.](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.41.004656) Lett. 41[, 4656 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.41.004656).
- [24] I. Dolev and A. Arie, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3504247) 97, 171102 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3504247)
- [25] I. Dolev, A. Libster, and A. Arie, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4748979) 101, [101109 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4748979)
- [26] I. Dolev, I. Kaminer, A. Shapira, M. Segev, and A. Arie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108[, 113903 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.113903)
- [27] C. Ament, P. Polynkin, and J. V. Moloney, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.243901) 107[, 243901 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.243901)
- [28] P. Saari, Laser Phys. **19**[, 725 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1054660X09040264)
- [29] P. Piksarv, A. Valdmann, H. Valtna-Lukner, and P. Saari, Laser Phys. 24[, 085301 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1054-660X/24/8/085301).
- [30] R. L. Sutherland, Handbook of Nonlinear Optics, 2nd ed. (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2003), p. 296.
- [31] S. Moradi, A. Ganjovi, F. Shojaei, and M. Saeed, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4916123) Plasmas 22[, 043108 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4916123).
- [32] A. Couairon and A. Mysyrowicz, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2006.12.005) 441, 47 [\(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2006.12.005)