Purification of Single Photons from Room-Temperature Quantum Dots

Shih-Wen Feng, Chun-Yuan Cheng, Chen-Yeh Wei, Jen-Hung Yang, Yen-Ru Chen, Ya-Wen Chuang,

Yang-Hsiung Fan,[‡] and Chih-Sung Chuu^{*}

Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan

and Frontier Research Center on Fundamental and Applied Sciences of Matters,

National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan

(Received 3 December 2016; published 4 October 2017)

Single photon emitters are indispensable to photonic quantum technologies. Here, we demonstrate waveform-controlled high-purity single photons from room-temperature colloidal quantum dots. The purity of the single photons does not vary with the excitation power, thereby allowing the generation rate to be increased without compromising the single-photon quality.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.143601

Single-photon emitters (SPEs) are vital to photonic quantum technologies [1-3], with examples ranging from quantum communication and computation to quantum metrology. Among a variety of SPEs available today, semiconductor quantum dots are promising due to their outstanding optical properties and scalability [4]. While much effort has been devoted to the self-assembled quantum dots at cryogenic temperatures, single-photon emission has also been demonstrated with the roomtemperature colloidal core-shell quantum dots [5]. The colloidal quantum dots exhibit high quantum yield and photostability at room temperature. However, due to the spectrally broad and inseparable biexciton spectrum at room temperature, the single-photon purity of these SPEs is incomparable to their cryogenic-temperature counterparts and may limit their applicability.

In this Letter, we demonstrate the purification of single photons to realize high-purity single photons from room-temperature colloidal quantum dots. The purification is made possible by the long temporal length of the single photons [5,6] and the waveform engineering that eliminates the biexciton emission. The waveform engineering not only enables the purification but also preserves the single-photon purity at high excitation power, thereby allowing the single-photon quality. By properly shaping the single photons, we achieve a single-photon purity of $g^{(2)}(0) = 0.01$ which does not vary with the excitation power or between different quantum-dot samples.

There is a distinction between our waveform engineering and that achieved with the single trapped ions [7] or cold atomic ensembles [8–12]. While our single photons have a temporal length comparable to those from the single trapped ions and cold atomic ensembles, their bandwidths are dominated by dephasing and not lifetime limited. Thus, the waveform engineering demonstrated here only controls the temporal envelope of the single photons. On the contrary, the temporal wave functions of the single photons can be coherently controlled in the single trapped ions or cold atomic ensembles.

The schematic of our experimental setup is illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Room-temperature CdSeTe/ZnS quantum dots (7.5-nm radius, 705-nm peak emission) are prepared on a cover slip with a density $< 1 \ \mu m^{-2}$ by spin coating. A single quantum dot is identified by the photoluminescence imaging [Fig. 1(b)] and the antibunching feature of its emitted photons in the Hanbury Brown-Twiss measurement [Fig. 1(c)]. The excitation laser, a 405-nm pulsed laser (63-ps pulse width, 1 MHz repetition rate), is tightly focused onto the single quantum dot with a beam waist of 500 nm using an oil-immersion microscope objective (1.4 NA, 100X). The single photons from the quantum dot are collected through the same objective and separated from the excitation beam using a dichroic mirror and two longpass filters. In addition, confocal microscopy is exploited to increase the signal-to-noise ratio. The detection efficiency of the single photons, including the overall collection efficiency of the optical system (20%) and the quantum efficiency of the single photons counting module (60%), is 12%. The measured brightness (namely, the photon number collected by the first optics per excitation pulse) and spectra of a single quantum dot are shown in Figs. 1(d) and 1(e), respectively, for various normalized excitation power.

Figure 2(a) shows the waveform of the single photons obtained by the time-resolved photoluminescence of a single quantum dot at the single photon level. The waveform is biexponential as evident in the logarithmic scale (inset). The fast decay, with a time constant of $\tau_1 \sim 2$ ns, is contributed mainly by the biexciton emission [13]. The slow decay, on the other hand, results from the radiative single-exciton transition [14] and determines the temporal width of the single photons, $\tau_2 \sim 138$ ns. Such a long temporal length allows us to manipulate the waveform of the single photons with an acousto-optic modulator.

To control the waveform, special care has to be taken to ensure that the front edge of the single photon arrives at



FIG. 1. Single photons from room-temperature quantum dots. (a) Single quantum dots (QDs) are spin coated on a cover glass (CS) and optically excited by focused laser pulses using a 100X microscopic objective (MO). The emitted single photons are collected by the same MO, separated from the laser pulses at the dichroic mirror (DM), and detected by the single photon counting modules (SPCMs). To generate waveform-controlled single photons, an acousto-optic modulator (AOM) driven by a function generator is used to modulate the waveform of the single photons. Other optical elements shown are mirror (M), filter (F), pinhole (PH), lens (L) and beam splitter (BS). (b) Photoluminescence image (20 × 20 μ m) of single quantum dots. (c) Antibunching in the Hanbury Brown–Twiss experiment. (d) Brightness as a function of excitation power P normalized to the saturation power P_{sat} . (e) Spectra of a single quantum dot.

the acousto-optic modulator at the same time as that of the electric signal driving the acousto-optic modulator. For this purpose, the excitation laser is triggered by the function generator (after a controlled time delay) which also drives the acousto-optic modulator. Figure 2(b) shows the waveform of the single photons modulated by a biased sinusoidal function of frequency 10 MHz. The modulation results in two visible periods of a sinusoidal wave with each width of 100 ns. Figure 2(c) shows another example of shaped single photons which is modulated by a biased square function with a period of 200 ns. These examples



FIG. 2. Acousto-optic modulation of single photons. (a) Waveform of the unmodulated single photons from a single roomtemperature quantum dot. The inset shows the biexponential shape. (b) Waveforms of the single photons modulated by a biased sinusoidal function of frequency 10 MHz (red) and without modulation (black). (c) Waveforms of single photons

biased sinusoidal function of frequency 10 MHz (red) and without modulation (black). (c) Waveforms of single photons modulated by a biased square function with a period of 200 ns (red) and without modulation (black). The excitation power is $5.8P_{sat}$.

demonstrate the feasibility of shaping the single photons from the room-temperature quantum dots.

The possibility of manipulating the waveform allows us to purify the single photons. As can be seen in Fig. 2(a), the two-photon emission due to the biexciton transition is quite intense in the first few ns, which causes the quality of single photons to degrade. This is also evident in Fig. 1(c) where a small peak is noticeable at the time delay ($\tau \sim 0 \mu s$) that antibunching occurs. Thus, to purify the single photons, one can superimpose a Heaviside-step function $H(t - t_0)$ onto the unmodulated waveform with a proper time offset t_0 . This would eliminate the multiphoton emission from the quantum dot. In practice, the modulation waveform is smoothed at the rising edge due to the finite optical rise time of the acousto-optic modulator [Fig. 3(a)].

Figure 3 summarizes the purification of the single photons from a single quantum dot. For comparison, the waveform of the unmodulated single photons is shown in Fig. 3(b), the inset of which shows a peak of nonzero correlation in the antibunching region (centered at $\tau = 0 \ \mu$ s) of the Hanbury Brown–Twiss measurement.





FIG. 3. Purification of the single photons from roomtemperature quantum dots. (a) The modulation function generated by the acousto-optic modulator (red solid curve) compared to the Heaviside step function (black dashed curve). (b) The waveform and Hanbury Brown-Twiss measurement (inset) of the unmodulated single photons. (c)-(d) The waveforms and Hanbury Brown-Twiss measurements (insets) of the modulated single photons with time offset of $t_0 = 30$ ns (c) and $t_0 =$ 45 ns (d). (e) Decomposition of the photoluminescence (black curve) into single-exciton (SX, pink) and biexciton (BX, gray) emission. (f) The biexciton percentage and relative total counts (inset) with different time offsets. (g) The biexciton percentage and relative total counts (inset) with different time offsets if the modulation function is Heaviside step. (h) The normalized photon correlation functions $q^{(2)}(0)$ at zero time delay. The time offset of the unmodulated single photons, whose values are marked by open circles, corresponds to $t_0 = -50$ ns due to the finite rising time of the modulation waveform.

Figures 3(c) and 3(d) show two examples of the modulated single photons with the corresponding Hanbury Brown–Twiss measurements given in the insets. The area of the peak centered at $\tau = 0 \ \mu$ s reduces noticeably and vanishes with larger t_0 , indicating that the biexciton emission is completely eliminated. These observations are consistent with the fact that the biexciton emission occurs only within the first few nanoseconds.

In Fig. 3(e), we estimate the percentage β of the photons from the biexciton emission in the absence of waveform modulation. The total emission is assumed to consist of single-exciton and biexciton emission. Noting that the biexciton emission is extinct after a few ns (inset), we reconstruct the time trace of the single-exciton emission (gray area) by fitting the photoluminescence at a later time (>100 ns) with a single exponential decay. The time trace of the biexciton emission (pink area) is then revealed by subtracting that of the single-exciton emission from the total emission. By comparing the areas under the time traces, we estimate that the biexciton emission is 4% of the total emission. Using the same analysis, we also obtain the biexciton percentage in the presence of modulation. The results are summarized in Fig. 3(f) where one can see a significant drop of biexciton percentage after the first few nanoseconds and it being nearly eliminated at larger time offsets. While the biexciton percentage is reduced by the waveform modulation, the total counts of the emitted photons (inset) is also reduced. By using modulators with higher speeds such as electro-optic modulators, the biexciton emission can be temporally eliminated with a higher precision and the reduction of the single-exciton emission can be minimized. This is shown in Fig. 3(g) where we calculate the biphoton percentage and the corresponding total count if an ideal Heaviside step waveform is used.

The purity of the waveform-controlled single photons can be characterized by the normalized photon correlation function at zero time delay $q^{(2)}(0)$, which gives an upper bound on the probability of the multiphoton emission [15]. For pulsed single photon sources, $q^{(2)}(0)$ is equal to the ratio of the peak area at zero time delay ($\tau = 0 \ \mu s$) to the average of the peak areas at nonzero time delays ($\tau \neq 0 \mu s$). Figure 3(h) shows the $q^{(2)}(0)$ of the single photons before $(t_0 = -50 \text{ ns})$ and after $(t_0 > 15 \text{ ns})$ the modulation, with the latter being clearly smaller. The $q^{(2)}(0)$ decreases with t_0 in a similar way as the biexciton percentage. The two are approximately related by $q^{(2)}(0) \approx 2\beta$ [16] if we consider the exciton and biexciton emission only and assume low biexciton percentage. In practice, the dark counts of the detectors, the leakage light of the excitation laser, and the higher-order multiphoton emission can also contribute to $q^{(2)}(0).$

Figure 4(a) shows the $g^{(2)}(0)$ from five different quantum dots at the excitation power of $6.7P_{\text{sat}}$. The $g^{(2)}(0)$ of the unmodulated single photons varies from 0.04 to 0.08. However, with modulation, both the magnitude and variation of $g^{(2)}(0)$ decrease. The different degrees and rates of purifying single photons from different quantum dots indicates the initial variation of the strength and lifetime of the biexciton emission. Nevertheless, by controlling the waveform of the single photons, we achieve $g^{(2)}(0) = 0.02$ for all quantum dots at large time offsets.

Figure 4(b) shows the $g^{(2)}(0)$ of the single photons from four quantum dots at different excitation power. When the



FIG. 4. Normalized photon correlation function at zero time delay. (a) The time offset of the modulation function is varied from 16 to 45 ns for different quantum dots. (b) The excitation power is varied from 1.4 to 7 $P_{\rm sat}$ for different quantum dots. $g^{(2)}(0)$ of the unmodulated and modulated single photons are shown by the open and solid symbols, respectively.

excitation power increases, the probability of the biexciton emission increases and the purity of the unmodulated single photons degrades. The measured $g^{(2)}(0)$ of the unmodulated single photons (open symbols) increases up to 0.06–0.07 at an excitation power of $6.7P_{\rm sat}$. On the other hand, with the biexciton emission eliminated by the modulation, the waveform-controlled single photons preserve the high purity with increasing excitation power. The measured $g^{(2)}(0)$ of the modulated single photons (solid symbols) remains to be ~0.01 at a higher excitation power.

In summary, we have demonstrated waveform-controlled single photons from single room-temperature quantum dots. By temporally shaping the single photons to eliminate the biexciton emission, single-photon purity of $g^{(2)}(0) = 0.01$ is obtained with different quantum dot samples. Among the solid-state SPEs, $g^{(2)}(0) = 0.01$ or lower has only been achieved with the cryogenic-temperature InGaAs quantum dots [17]. Thus, our work provides a novel way of preparing high-purity single photons from room-temperature quantum dots. The purity of the waveform-controlled single photons also remains high and constant with increasing excitation power, thereby allowing one to increase the generation rate without compromising the quality of the single photons. The generation rate will eventually be constrained by the

inverse of the single photons' temporal length. As a result, one may need to reduce the temporal length of the single photons (for example, by exploiting the Purcell effect or choosing a different type and size of quantum dots) to optimize the generation rate. In our experiment, fluorescence blinking also causes an additional drop in the emission rate. However, with the core-shell engineering [18], the blinking could be completely suppressed to further increase the emission rate. Colloidal quantum dots have recently been exploited to demonstrate a cavity-free, broadband approach for engineering the interaction between single photons and quantum emitters [19], which finds potential applications such as single-photon transistors [20] and the long-range coupling of qubits [21]. The temporally shaped single photons may open up new possibilities of controlling such photon-emitter interaction.

The authors thank W.-C. Chou, J.-J. Su, W.-H. Chang, Y.-C. Chen, C.-Y. Wang, and C.-H. Wu for helpful discussion and loan equipment. This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (Grants No. 103-2112-M-007-015-MY3 and No. 106-2112-M-007-023).

S.-W. Feng and C.-Y. Cheng contributed equally to this work.

cschuu@phys.nthu.edu.tw

[†]Present address: Department of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA.

- ^{*}Present address: Ezconn Corporation, Taipei 11259, Taiwan.
- [1] H. J. Kimble, Nature (London) 453, 1023 (2008).
- [2] J. L. O'Brien, A. Furusawa, and J. Vuckovic, Nat. Photonics 3, 687 (2009).
- [3] T. E. Northup and R. Blatt, Nat. Photonics 8, 356 (2014).
- [4] A. J. Shields, Nat. Photonics 1, 215 (2007).
- [5] P. Michler, A. Imamoğlu, M. D. Mason, P. J. Carson, G. F. Strouse, and S. K. Buratto, Nature (London) 406, 968 (2000).
- [6] B. Lounis, H. A. Bechtel, D. Gerion, P. Alivisatos, and W. E. Moerner, Chem. Phys. Lett. 329, 399 (2000).
- [7] M. Keller, B. Lange, K. Hayasaka, W. Lange, and H. Walther, Nature (London) 431, 1075 (2004).
- [8] V. Balic, D. A. Braje, P. Kolchin, G. Y. Yin, and S. E. Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 183601 (2005).
- [9] S. Du, P. Kolchin, C. Belthangady, G. Y. Yin, and S. E. Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 183603 (2008).
- [10] P. Kolchin, C. Belthangady, S. Du, G. Y. Yin, and S. E. Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 103601 (2008).
- [11] J. F. Chen, S. Zhang, H. Yan, M. M. T. Loy, G. K. L. Wong, and S. Du, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 183604 (2010).
- [12] L. Zhao, X. Guo, Y. Sun, Y. Su, M. M. T. Loy, and S. Du, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 193601 (2015).
- [13] B. Fisher, J. M. Caruge, D. Zehnder, and M. G. Bawendi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 087403 (2005).
- [14] X. Brokmann, L. Coolen, M. Dahan, and J. P. Hermier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 107403 (2004).

- [15] C. Santori, M. Pelton, G. Solomon, Y. Dale, and Y. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1502 (2001).
- [16] C. Santori, D. Fattal, J. Vuckovic, G. S. Solomon, and Y. Yamamoto, New J. Phys. 6, 89 (2004).
- [17] I. Aharonovich, D. Englund, and M. Toth, Nat. Photonics 10, 631 (2016).
- [18] M. Nasilowski, P. Spinicelli, G. Patriarche, and B. Dubertret, Nano Lett. 15, 3953 (2015).
- [19] A. V. Akimov, A. Mukherjee, C. L. Yu, D. E. Chang, A. S. Zibrov, P. R. Hemmer, H. Park, and M. D. Lukin, Nature (London) 450, 402 (2007).
- [20] D. E. Chang, A. S. Sorensen, E. A. Demler, and M. D. Lukin, Nat. Phys. 3, 807 (2007).
- [21] G.-Y. Chen, N. Lambert, C.-H. Chou, Y.-N. Chen, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. B 84, 045310 (2011).